DEIZTED BY SPOTTISH SOURS AND CO. LOXDON

## THE

# MISCELLANEOUS WRITINGS

# LORD MACAULAY.

## LONDON:

LONGMAN, GREEN, LONGMAN, ROBERTS, & GREUN. 1865.

## PREFACE.

-- +:--

LORD MACAULAY always looked forward to a publication of his miscellaneous works, either by himself or by those who should represent him after his death. And latterly he expressly reserved, whenever the arrangements as to copyright made it necessary, the right of such publication.

The collection which is now published comprehends some of the earliest and some of the latest works which he composed. He was born on 25th October, 1800; commenced residence at Trinity College, Cambridge, in October, 1818; was elected Craven University Scholar in 1821; graduated as B.A. in 1822; was elected fellow of the college in October, 1824: was called to the bar in February, 1826, when he joined the Northern Circuit; and was elected member for Calne in 1830. After this last event, he did not long continue to practise at the bar. He went to India in 1834, whence he returned in June, 1838. He was elected member for Edinburgh in 1839, and lost this seat in July, 1847\*; and this (though he was afterwards again elected for that city in July, 1852, without being a candidate) may be considered as the last instance of his taking an active part in the contests of public life. These few dates are mentioned for the purpose of enabling the reader to assign the articles, now and previously published, to the principal periods into which the author's life may be divided.

The admirers of his later works will probably be interested by watching the gradual formation of his style, and will notice in his carlier productions, vigorous and clear as their language at ways was, the occurrence of faults against which he afterwards most anxiously guarded himself. A much greater interest will undoubtedly be felt in tracing the date and development of his opinions.

The articles published in Knight's Quarterly Magazine were composed during the author's residence at college, as B.A. It may be remarked that the first two of these exhibit the earnestness with which he already endeavoured to represent to himself and to others

the scenes and persons of past times as in actual existence. Of the Dialogue between Milton and Cowley he spoke, many years after its publication, as that one of his works which he remembered with most satisfaction. The article on Mitford's Greece he did not himself value so highly as others thought it deserved. This article, at any rate, contains the first distinct enunciation of his views as to the office of an historian, views afterwards more fully set forth in his Essay upon History, in the Edinburgh Review (p. 106 of this collection). From the protest, in the last mentioned essay (p. 126), against the conventional notions respecting the majesty of history might perhaps have been anticipated something like the third chapter of the History of England. It may be amusing to notice that in the article on Mitford (pp. 81, 82) appears the first sketch of the New Zealander, afterwards filled up in a passage in the review of Mrs. Austin's translation of Ranke, a passage which at one time was the subject of allusion, two or three times a week, in speeches and leading articles. In this, too, appear, perhaps for the first time, the author's views on the representative system.\* These he retained to the very last; they are brought forward repeatedly in the articles published in this collection † and elsewhere, and in his speeches in parliament; and they coincide with the opinions expressed in the letter to an American correspondent, which was so often cited in the late debate on the Reform Bill.

Some explanation appears to be necessary as to the publication of the three articles which stand at the end of the first volume.

In 1828 Mr. James Mill, the author of the History of British India, reprinted some essays which he had contributed to the Supplement to the Encyclopædia Britannica; and among these was an Essay on Government. The method of inquiry and reasoning adopted in this essay appeared to Macaulay to be essentially wrong. He entertained a very strong conviction that the only sound foundation for a theory of Government must be laid in careful and copious historical induction; and he believed that Mr. Mill's work rested upon a vicious reasoning a priori. Upon this point he felt the more carnestly, owing to his own passion for historical research, and to his devout admiration of Bacon, whose works he was at that time studying with intense attention. There can, howover, be little doubt that he was also provoked by the pretensions of some members of a sect which then commonly went by the name of Bonthamites, or Utilitarians. This sect included many of his contemporaries, who had quitted Cambridge at about the same time with him. It had succeeded, in some measure, to the sect of the Byronians, whom he has described in the review of Moore's Life of Lord Byron, who discarded their neckcloths, and fixed little models of skulls on the sand-glasses by which they regulated the boiling of their eggs for breakfast. The members of these sects; and of many others that have succeeded, have probably long ago learned to smile at the temporary humours. But Macaulay, himself a sincere admirer of Bentham, was irritated by what he considered the unwarranted tone assumed by several of the class of Utilitarians. "We apprehend," he said, "that many of them are persons who, having read little or nothing, are delighted to be rescued from the sense of their own inferiority by some teacher who assures them that the studies which they have neglected are of no value, puts five or six phrases into their mouths, lends them an odd number of the Westminster Review, and in a month transforms them into philosophers;" and he spoke of them as "smatterers, whose attainments just suffice to elevate them from the insignificance of dunces to the dignity of bores, and to spread dismay among their pious aunts and grandmothers." The sect, of course, like other sects, comprehended some pretenders, and these the most arrogant and intolerant among its members. He, however, went so far as to apply the following language to the majority:-"As to the greater part of the sect, it is, we apprehend, of little consequence what they study or under whom. It would be more amusing, to be sure, and more reputable, if they would take up the old republican cant and declaim about Brutus and Timoleon, the duty of killing tyrants and the blessedness of dying for liberty. But, on the whole, they might have chosen worse. They may as well be Utilitarians as jockeys or dandies. And, though quibbling about self-interest and motives, and objects of desire, and the greatest happiness of the greatest number, is but a poor employment for a grown man, it certainly hurts the health less than hard drinking and the fortune less than high play; it is not much more laughable than phrenology, and is immeasurably more humane than cock-fighting."

Macaulay inserted in the Edinburgh Review of March, 1829, an article upon Mr. Mill's Essay. He attacked the method with much vehemence; and, to the end of his life, he never saw any ground for believing that in this he had gone too far. But before long he felt that he had not spoken of the author of the Essay with the respect due to so eminent a man. In 1833, he described Mr. Mill, during the debate on the India Bill of that year, as a "gentleman, extremely well acquainted with the affairs of our Eastern Empire, a most varuable servant of the Company, and the author of a his-

tory of India, which, though certainly not free from faults, is, I think, on the whole, the greatest historical work which has appeared in our language since that of Gibbon."

Almost immediately upon the appearance of the article in the Edinburgh Review, an answer was published in the Westminster Review. It was untruly attributed, in the newspapers of the day, to Mr. Bentham himself. Macaulay's answer to this appeared in the Edinburgh Review, June, 1829. He wrote the answer under the belief that he was answering Mr. Bentham, and was undeceived in time only to add the postscript. The author of the article in the Westminster Review had not perceived that the question raised was not as to the truth or falsehood of the result at which Mr. Mill had arrived, but as to the soundness or unsoundness of the method which he pursued; a misunderstanding at which Macaulay, while he supposed the article to be the work of Mr. Bentham, expressed much surprise. The controversy soon became principally a dispute as to the theory which was commonly known by the name of The Greatest Happiness Principle. Another article in the Westminster Review followed; and a surrejoinder by Macaulay in the Edinburgh Review of October, 1829. Macaulay was irritated at what he conceived to be either extreme dulness or gross unfainess on the part of his unknown antagonist, and struck as bard as he could; and he struck very hard indeed.

The ethical question thus raised was afterwards discussed by Sir James Mackintosh, in the Dissertation contributed by him to the seventh edition of the Encyclopædia Britannica, p. 284—313 (Whewell's Edition). Sir James Mackintosh notices the part taken in the controversy by Macaulay, in the following words: "A writer of consummate ability, who has failed in little but the respect due to the abilities and character of his opponents, has given too much countenance to the abuse and confusion of language exemplified in the well-known verse of Pope,

## ' Modes of self-love the Passions we may call.'

'We know,' says he, 'no universal proposition respecting human nature which is true but one—that men always act from self-interest.'" "It is manifest from the sequel, that the writer is not.: the dupe of the confusion; but many of his readers may be so. If, indeed, the word self-interest could with propriety be used for the gratification of every prevalent desire, he has clearly shown that this change in the signification of terms would be of no advantage to the doctrine which he controverts. It would make as many sorts of self-interest as there are appetites, and it is irreconcilably

at variance with the system of association proposed by Mr. Mill." "The admirable writer whose language has occasioned this illustration, who at an early age has mastered every species of composition, will doubtless hold fast to simplicity, which survives all the fashions of deviation from it, and which a man of genius so fertile has few temptations to forsake."—Note W, p. 296 (p. 430).

When Macaulay selected for publication certain articles of the Edinburgh Review, he resolved not to publish any of the three essays in question; for which he assigned the following reason:—

"The author has been strongly urged to insert three papers on the Utilitarian Philosophy, which, when they first appeared, attracted some notice, but which are not in the American editions. He has however determined to omit these papers, not because he is disposed to retract a single doctrine which they contain; but because he is unwilling to offer what might be regarded as an affront to the memory of one from whose opinions he still widely dissents, but to whose talents and virtues he admits that he formerly did not do justice. Serious as are the faults of the Essay on Government, a critic, while noticing those faults, should have abstained from using contemptuous language respecting the historian of British India. It ought to be known that Mr. Mill had the generosity, not only to forgive, but to forget the unbecoming acrimony with which he had been assailed, and was, when his valuable life closed, on terms of cordial friendship with his assailant."

Under these circumstances, considerable doubt has been felt as to the propriety of republishing the three Essays in the present collection. But it has been determined, not without much hesitation, that they should appear. It is felt that no disrespect is shown to the memory of Mr. Mill, when the publication is accompanied by so full an apology for the tone adopted towards him; and Mr. Mill himself would have been the last to wish for the suppression of opinions on the ground that they were in express antagonism to his own. The grave has now closed upon the assailant as well as the assailed. On the other hand, it cannot but be desirable that opinions which the author retained to the last, on important questions in politics and morals, should be before the public.

Some of the poems now collected have already appeared in print; others are supplied by the recollection of friends. The first two are published on account of their having been composed in the author's childhood. In the poems, as well as in the prose

works, will be occasionally found thoughts and expressions which have afterwards been adopted in later productions.

No alteration whatever has been made from the form in which the author left the several articles, with the exception of some changes in punctuation, and the correction of one or two obvious misprints.

T. F. E.

LONDON: June 1860.

## CONTENTS.

| CONTRIBUTIONS TO KNIGHT'S QUARTERLY MAGA   | ZINE.   |
|--|---------|
|  | PAGE    |
| FRAGMENTS OF A ROMAN TALE. (June 1823.)  | 1       |
| ON THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE. (June 1823.)   | 9       |
| Scenes from "Athenian Revels." (January 1824.)   | 12      |
| CRITICISMS ON THE PRINCIPAL ITALIAN WRITERS. No. I. DANTE. (Jul  |         |
| 1824.)   |         |
| CRITICISMS ON THE PRINCIPAL ITALIAN WRITERS. No. II. PETRARCH. (   |         |
| 1824.)   |         |
| SOME ACCOUNT OF THE GREAT LAWSUIT BETWEEN THE PARISHES OF St. D.   |         |
| AND ST. GEORGE IN THE WATER. (April 1821.) ●   | . 40    |
| A CONVERSATION RETWEEN MR. ABRAHAM COWLEY AND MR. JOHN MIL   |         |
| TOUCHING THE GREAT CIVIL WAR. (August 1824.)   | •       |
| On the Athenian Orators. (August 1824.)  |         |
| A PROPHETIC ACCOUNT OF A GRAND NATIONAL EPIC POEM, TO BE ENTI  |         |
| "THE WEILINGTONIAD," AND TO BE PUBLISHED A.D. 2824. (November 1  |         |
| ON MITFORD'S HISTORY OF GREECE. (November 1824.)   |         |
| ON MITTORD'S TESTOR'S OF CREECE, CHOYCHIDEL 1024.)   | . 00    |
| CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE EDINBURGH REVIEW  JOHN DRYDEN. (January 1828.)  |         |
| HISTORY, (May 1828.)   | . 106*  |
| MILL ON GOVERNMENT. (March 1829.)  MILL ON GOVERNMENT. (March 1829.)  WESTHRISTER REVIEWER'S DEFENCE OF MILL. (June 1829.)  UTILITARIAN THEORY OF GOVERNMENT. (October 1829.)  SADLER'S LAW OF POPULATION. (July 1830.)  SADLER'S REFUTATION REFUTED. (January 1831.)  MIRAHEAU. (July 1832.)  BARÈRE. (April 1844.) | . 131-  |
| Westminster Reviewer's Defence of Mill. (June 1829.)   | . 150   |
| HTTLTTARIAN THEORY OF GOVERNMENT. (October 1829.)  | . 167*  |
| SADLER'S LAW OF POPULATION. (July 1830.)   | . 1834  |
| SADLER'S RESULTATION RESULTED. (January 1831.)   | . 199 - |
| MIRARKAII. (July 1832.)  | . 2164  |
| BARRER (April 1844.)   | . 232   |
| /  |         |
| <b>G</b>   |         |
| CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANN  | TICA.   |
| FRANCIS ATTERBURY. (December 1853.)  | . 282   |
| JOHN, BUNYAN. (May 1854.)  | . 290-  |
| NIVER GOLDSMITH. (February 1856.)  | . 298   |
| SAMUEL JOHNSON. (December 1856.)   | 307     |
| Werran Dw (Tennew 1859)  | . 227   |



## CONTENTS.

, xii

| MISCELLANEOUS POEMS, INSCRIPTIONS, ETC.                                  |
|--|
| PAGE   |
| EPITAPH ON HENRY MARTYN. (1812.)   |
| Lines to the Memory of Pitt. (1813.)                                     |
| A. RADICAL WAR SONG. (1820.)   |
| THE BATTLE OF MONCONTOUR. (1824.)  |
| THE BATTLE OF NASERY, BY ORADIAH BIND-THEIR-KINGS-IN-CHAINS-AND MURITIES |
| NOBLES-WITH-LINES-OF-TRON, SERJEANT IN IRETON'S REGIMENT. (1821.) . 3/4  |
| SERMON IN A CHURCHYARD. (1825.)  |
| TRANSLATION OF A POEM BY ARNAULT. (1826.)                                |
| DIES IRAE. (1826.)   |
| THE MARRIAGE OF TIRZAH AND AHIRAD. (1827.)                               |
| THE COUNTRY CLERGYMAN'S TRIP TO CAMBRIDGE, AN ELECTION BALLAD.           |
| (1827.)  |
| Song. (1827.)  |
| POLITICAL GEORGICS. (March 1828.)  |
| THE DELIVERANCE OF VIENNA. (1828.)                                       |
| THE LAST BUCKANEER. (1839.)  |
| EPTTAPH ON A JACOBITC. (1845.)   |
| LINES WRITTEN IN AUGUST, 1847  |
|  |
| , ,  |
| PARAPHRASE OF A PASSAGE IN THE CHRONICLE OF THE MONK OF St. GALL.        |
| (1856.)  |
| INSCRIPTION ON THE STATUE OF LORD WM. BENTINCK, AT CALCUTEA. (1835.) 382 |
| EPITAPH ON SIR BENJAMIN HEATH MALKIN, AT CALCUTTA. (1837.)               |

#### MISCEELANEOUS WRITIN

40

#### MACAULAY. LORD

CONTRIBUTIONS TO KNIGHT'S QUARTERLY MAGAZINE.

## FRAGMENTS OF A ROMAN TALE. | (JUNE 1823.)

It was an hour after noon. Ligarius was returning from the Campus Martius. He strolled through one of the streets which led to the forum, settling his gown, and calculating the odds on ! the gladiators who were to fence at the approaching Saturnalia. While thus occupied, he overtook Flaminius, who, with a heavy step and a melancholy face, was sauntering in the same direction. The light-hearted young man plucked him by the sleeve.

"Good day, Flaminius. Are you to be of Catiline's party this evening?"

" Not I."

"Why so? Your little Tarentine

girl will break her heart."

"No matter. Catiline has the best cooks and the finest wine in Rome. There are charming women at his parties. But the twelve-line board and the dice-box pay for all. The Gods confound me if I aid not lose two millions of sesterces last night. My villa at Tiber and all the statues that my father that they will hardly proscribe the the prætor brought from Ephesus, must go to the auctioneer. That is a high price, you will acknowledge, even for Phoenicopters, Chian, and Callinice."

"High indeed, by Pollux."

several of the leading senators this peatedly."

morning. Strange things are whispered in the higher political circles."

"The Gods confound the political circles. I have hated the name of politician ever since Sylla's proscription, when I was within a moment of having my throat cut by a politician, who took me for another politician. While there is a cask of Falernian in Campania, or a girl in the Suburra, I shall be too well employed to think on the subject."

"You will do well," said Flaminius gravely, "to bestow some little consideration upon it at present. Otherwise, I fear, you will soon renew your acquaintance with politicians, in a manner quite as unpleasant as that to

which you allude."

"Averting Gods! what do you

mean?"

"I will tell you. There are rumours of conspiracy. The order of things established by Lucius Sylla has excited the disgust of the people, and of a large party of the nobles. Some violent convulsion is expected."

"What is that to me? I suppose vintners and gladiators, or pass a law compelling every citizen to take a wife."

"You do not understand. Catiline is supposed to be the author of the revolutionary schemes. You must have "And that is not the worst. I saw heard bold opinions at his table resuch subjects, bold or timid."

"Look to it. Your name has been of his fashionable stagger.

mentioned."

."Mine! good Gods! I call heaven to witness that I never so much as plot as I am." mentioned Senate, Consul, or Comitia,

in Catiline's house."

"Nobody suspects you of any parti- intrigue read Greek, and write verses." cipation in the inmost counsels of the and I advise you to be cautious."

forum, which was thronged with the every two moves, and scarcely looked gay and elegant youth of Rome. "I at the board. I thought that I had can tell you more," continued Flami- him. All at once I found my counters nius; "somebody was remarking to the driven into the corner. Not a piece to Consul yesterday how loosely a certain move, by Hercules. It cost me two acquaintance of ours tied his girdle, millions of Sesterces. All the Gods 'Let him look to himself,' said Cicero, and Goddesses confound him for it!" 'or the state may find a tighter girdle for his neck.'"

"Good Gods! who is it? You can- the news." not surely mean -

"There he is."

advantages were extremely striking, expected for three days. dark curls were dressed with exquisite throat." art, and shone and steamed with odours; his step and gesture exhibited an elegant and commanding figure in trussed his gown round his left arm, every posture of polite languor. But closed with Quintus, flung him down, his countenance formed a singular contrast to the general appearance of his burst through the attendants, ran a person. The high and imperial brow, freed-man through the shoulder, and the keen aquiline features, the com- was in the street in an instant." -pressed mouth, the penetrating eye, indicated the highest degree of ability day, Caius." He seemed absorbed in and decision. intense meditation. With eyes fixed on the ground, and lips working in and skill, which seems to have been very thought, he sauntered round the area, fashionable in the higher circles of Rome. The famous lawyer Mucius was renowaed for apparently unconscious how many of his skill in it.—(Cic. Oran i. 50.)

"I never listen to any opinions upon the young gallants of Rome were envying the taste of his dress, and the case

> "Good Heaven!" said Ligarius. "Caius Cæsar is as unlikely to be in a

"Not at all."

"He does nothing but game, feast,

"You know nothing of Casar. party. But our great men surmise that Though he rarely addresses the Schate, you are among those whom he has he is considered as the finest speaker bribed so high with beauty, or en- there, after the Consul. His influence tangled so deeply in distress, that they with the multitude is immense. He are no longer their own masters. I will serve his rivals in public life as he shall never set foot within his threshold served me last night at Catiline's. We again. I have been solemnly warned were playing at the twelve lines.\*-Imby men who understand public affairs; mense stakes. He laughed all the time, chatted with Valeria over his The friends had now turned into the shoulder, kissed her hand between

"As to Valeria," said Ligarius, "I orgot to ask whether you have heard

"Not a word, What?"

"I was told at the baths to-day that Flaminius pointed to a man who was Cæsar escorted the lady home. Unforpacing up and down the forum at a tunately old Quintus Lutatius had little distance from them. He was in come back from his villa in Campania, the prime of manhood. His personal in a whim of jealousy. He was not There was a and were displayed with an extrava- fine tumult. The old fool called for his gant but not ungraceful foppery. His sword and his slaves, cursed his wife, gown waved in loose folds; his long and swore that he would cut Cæsar's

'And Casar?"

'He laughed, quoted Anacreon, twisted his sword out of his hand,

"Well done! Here he comes. Good

Cæsar lifted his head at the saluta-

\* Duodecim scripta, a game of mixed chance

His air of deep abstraction vanished; and he extended a hand to

each of the friends. "How are you after your last night's

exploit?"

"As well as possible," said Cæsar, laughing.

"In truth we should rather ask how

Quintus Lutatius is."

"He, I understand, is as well as can be expected of a man with a faithless spouse and a broken head. His freedman is most seriously hurt. Poor fellow! he shall have half of whatever I win to-night. Flaminius, you shall have your revenge at Catiline's."

"You are very kind. I do not intend to be at Catiline's till I wish to part with my town-house. My villa is gone

already.

"Not at Catiline's, base spirit! You are not of his mind, my gallant Ligarius, Dice, Chian, and the loveliest Greek singing-girl that was ever seen. Think of that, Ligarius. By Venus, she almost made me adore her, by telling me that I talked Greek with the most Attic accent that she had heard in Italy."

"I doubt she will not say the same of me." replied Ligarius. "I am just as able to decipher an obelisk as to read

a line of Homer."

the care of your education?"

"An old fool,—a Greek pedant,—a Stoic. He told me that pain was no things since Sylla's and Marius's days; evil, and flogged me as if he thought and then I never could see much so. At last one day, in the middle of a difference between the parties. All lecture. I set fire to his enormous filthy that I am sure of is, that those who beard, singed his face, and sent him meddle with such affairs are generally roaring out of the house. There ended stabbed or strangled. And, though I my studies. From that time to this I ike Greek wine and handsome women, have had as little to do with Greece as I do not wish to risk my neck for the wine that your poor old friend Lutatius calls his delicious Samian."

"Well done, Ligarius. I hate a Stoic. I wish Marcus Cato had a beard that you might singe it for him. The fool danger do you apprehend? talked his two hours in the Senate yesterday, without changing a muscle of Flaminius; "you are far more intimate his face. He looked as savage and as with Catiline than I. But I advise you motionless as the mask in which obe cautious. The leading men en-Roscius acted Alecto. I detest every- ertain strong suspicions." thing connected with him."

"Except his gister, Servilia."

"True. She is a lovely woman."

"They say that you have told her so, Caius."

"So I have."

"And that she was not angry."

"What woman is?"

"Aye—but they say—"

" No matter what they say. Common fame lies like a Greek rhetorician. You might know so much, Ligarius, without reading the philosophers. But come, I will introduce you to little dark-eyed

"I tell you I can speak no Greek."

"More shame for you. It is high time that you should begin. You will never have such a charming in-tructress. Of what was your father thinking when he sent for an old Stoic with a long beard to teach you? There is no language-mistress like a handsome woman. When I was at Athens, I learnt more Greek from a pretty flowergirl in the Peiræus than from all the Portico and the Academy. She was no Stoic, Heaven knows. But come along to Zoe. I will be your interpreter. Woo her in honest Latin, and I will turn it into elegant Greek between the throws of dice. I can make love and mind my game at once, as Flaminius can tell you."

"Well, then, to be plain, Cæsar, "You barbarous Seythian, who had Flaminius has been talking tome about plots, and suspicions, and politicians. I never plagued myself with such hem. Now, tell me as a friend, Caius; —is there no danger?"

"Danger!" repeated Cæsar, with a hort, fierce, disdainful laugh: "what

"That you should best know," said

Cæsar drew up his figure from its rdinary state of graceful relaxation

into an attitude of commanding dignity, and replied in a voice of which the deep and impassioned melody formed a strange contrast to the humorous and affected tone of his ordinary conversa-"Let them suspect. They suspect because they know what they have deserved. What have they done for Rome?-What for mankind? Ask the citizens—ask the provinces. Have they had any other object than to perpetuate their own exclusive power, and to keep us under the yoke of an oligarchical tyranny, which unites in itself the worst evils of every other system, and combines more than Athenian turbulence with more than Persian as rivals to these two famous leaders?" despotism?"

"Good Gods! Cæsar. It is not safe for you to speak, or for us to listen to,

such things, at such a crisis.'

"Judge for yourselves what you will hear. I will judge for myself what I will speak. I was not twenty years old when I defied Lucius Sylla, surrounded by the spears of legionaries and the daggers of assassins. Do you sup- found?" pose that I stand in awe of his paltry successors, who have inherited a power which they never could have acquired; who would imitate his proscriptions, though they have never equalled his retirement. Perhaps he may be one, conquests?"

"Pompey is almost as little to be trifled with as Sylla. I heard a consular senator say that, in consequence of the present alarming state of affairs, he would probably be recalled from the command assigned to him by the

Manilian law."

"Let him come,—the pupil of Sylla's butcheries.—the gleaner of Lucullus's trophies,—the thief-taker of the Senate." "For heaven's sake, Caius!—if you

knew what the Consul said-

"Something about himself, no doubt. Pity that such talents should be coupled with such cowardice and coxliving, - infinitely superior to what Hortensius was, in his best days;-a charming companion, except when he tells over for the twentieth time all the jokes that he made at Verres's trial. But he is the despicable tool of a despicable party."

"Your language, Caius, convinces me that the reports which have been circulated are not without foundation. I will venture to prophesy that within a few months the republic will pass through a whole Odyssey of strange adventures."

"I believe so; an Odyssey of which Pompey will be the Polyphemus, and Cicero the Siren. I would have the state imitate Ulysses: show no mercy to the former; but contrive, if it can be done, to listen to the enchanting voice of the other, without being seduced by it to destruction."

"But whom can your party produce

"Time will show. I would hope that there may arise a man, whose genius to conquer, to conciliate, and to govern, may unite in one cause an oppressed and divided people ;-may do all that Sylla should have done, and' xhibit the magnificent spectacle of a great nation directed by a great mind."

"And where is such a man to be

"Perhaps where you would least expect to find him. Perhaps he may be one whose powers have hitherto been concealed in domestic or literary who, while waiting for some adequate excitement, for some worthy opportunity, squanders on trifles a genius before which may yet be humbled the sword of Pompey and the gown of Cicero. Perhaps he may now be disputing with a sophist; perhaps prattling with a mistress; perhapsand, as he spoke, he turned away, and resumed his lounge, "strolling in the Forum."

It was almost midnight. The party had separated. Catiline and Cethegus were still conferring in the supper-He is the finest speaker room, which was, as usual, the highest apartment of the house. It formed a cupola, from which windows opened on the flat roof that surrounded it. To his terrace Zoe had retired. With eyes dimmed with fond and melancholy ears, she leaned over the balustrade, to catch the last glimpse of the departing

form of Cæsar, as it grew more and more indistinct in the moonlight. Had him! - He prated about humanity, he any thought of her? Any love and generosity, and moderation. for her? high-born beauties of Rome, the most lecture since I was with Xenochares splendid, the most graceful, the most at Rhodes." eloquent of its nobles? It could not ingly soft whenever he addressed her. There had been a fascinating tenderness even in the vivacity of his look and conversation. But such were always the manners of Casar towards women. He had wreathed a sprig of myrtle in her hair as she was singing. She took it from her dark ringlets, and kissed it, -of youths and girls, who, pining away in hopeless love, had been transformed into flowers by the compassion of the Gods; and she wished to become a flower, which Casar might sometimes touch, though he should touch it only to weave a crown for some prouder and happier mistress.

She was roused from her musings by the loud step and voice of Cethegus, who was pacing furiously up and down

the supper-room.

" May all the Gods confound me. if Cæsar be not the deepest traitor, or the most miserable idiot, that ever inter-

meddled with a plot!"

Zoe shuddered. She drew nearer to the window. She stood concealed from observation by the curtain of fine network which hung over the aperture, to exclude the annoying insects of the from me.'

"And you, too!" continued Cethegus, turning fiercely on his accomplice; "you habit of paying to every handsome to take his part against me !-- you, who face. proposed the scheme yourself!"

not understand me. I proposed the scheme; and I will join in executing it. But policy is as necessary to our plans as boldness. I did not wish to startle Forum." Cæsar-to lose his co-operation-perhaps to send him off with an information against us to Cicero and Catulus. He was so indignant at your suggestion that all my dissimulation was tending -- our peace-offering to the scarcely sufficient to prevent a total Senats if we fail-our first victim if rupture."

" Indignant! The gods confound He, the favourite of the Hercules, I have not heard such a

"Cæsar is made up of inconsisbe. His voice had, indeed, been touch- tencies. He has boundless ambition, unquestioned courage, admirable sagacity. Yet I have frequently observed in him a womanish weakness at the sight of pain. I remember that once one of his slaves was taken ill while carrying his litter. He alighted, put the fellow in his place, and walked home in a fall of snow. I wonder that and wept over it, and thought of the you could be so ill-advised as to talk sweet legends of her own dear Greece, to him of massacre, and pillage, and conflagration. You might have foreseen that such propositions would disgust a man of his temper."

"I do not know. I have not your self-command, Lucius, I hate such conspirators. What is the use of them? We must have blood-blood,-hacking and tearing work — bloody

work!

"Do not grind your teeth, my dear Caius; and lay down the carving-knife. By Hercules, you have cut up all the

stuffing of the couch."

"No matter; we shall have couches enough soon,-and down to stuff them with,—and purple to cover them,—and pretty women to loll on them, - unless this fool, and such as he, spoil our plans. I had something else to say. The essenced fop wishes to seduce Zoe

"Impossible! You misconstrue the rdinary gallantries which he is in the

"Curse on his ordinary gallantries, "My dear Caius Cethegus, you will and his verses, and his compliments, and his sprigs of myrtle! If Cæsar should dare—by Hercules, I will tear him to pieces in the middle of the

> "Trust his destruction to me. We must use his talents and influencethrust him upon every danger-make him our instrument while we are conwe succeed."

"Hark! what noise was that?"

"Somebody in the terrace!-lend Clodius.

me your dagger."

was standing in the shade. He stepped vestal virgin." She darted into the roompassed like a flash of lightning by the cried Clodius fiercely, "it should not startled Cethegus - flew down the stairs - through the court - through no screaming." the vestibule - through the street. Steps, voices, lights, came fast and a gay and commanding voice; "You confusedly behind her; but with the speed of love and terror she gained upon her pursuers. She fled through mingled with them unperceived. the wilderness of unknown and dusky streets, till she found herself, breath- through the very heart of Zoe. With less and exhausted, in the midst of a crowd of gallants, who, with chaplets on their heads and torches in their hands, were reeling from the portico of clasped his knees. The moon shone a stately mansion.

countenance seemed hardly consistent with his sex. But the feminine delicacy of his features rendered more frightful their expression. The libertine audacity of his stare, and the grotesque foppery of his apparel, seemed to with wine and rage, and uttering alterindicate at least a partial insanity. Flinging one arm round Zoe, and tearing away her veil with the other, he disclosed to the gaze of his thronging companions the regular features and large dark eyes which characterise Athenian beauty.

"Clodius has all the luck to-night," cried Ligarius.

"Not so, by Hercules," said Marcus Colius; "the girl is fairly our common prize: we will fling dice for her. The little Greek girl!" Venus \* throw, as it ought to do, shall decide."

"Let me go -let me go, for Heaven's sake,"cried Zoe, struggling with Clodius.

"What a charming Greek accent she has! Come into the house, my little Athenian nightingale."

you have mothers - if you ha

"Clodius has a sister," muttered Ligarius, "or he is much belied."

Venus was the Roman term for the nighest throw on the dice.

"By Heaven, she is weeping," said

"If she were not evidently a Greek," Catiline rushed to the window. Zoe said Colius, "I should take her for a

'And if she were a vestal virgin,'

deter me. This way ;-no struggling-

"Struggling! screaming!" exclaimed are making very ungentle love, Clodius."

The whole party started. Cæsar had

The sound of his voice thrilled a convulsive effort she burst from the grasp of her insolent admirer, flung herself at the feet of Cæsar, and full on her agitated and imploring The foremost of the throng was a face: her lips moved; but she uttered youth whose slender figure and beautiful no sound. He gazed at, her for an instant-raised her-clasped her to his bosom. "Fear nothing, my sweet Zoe." Then, with folded arms, and a the mingled sensuality and ferocity of smile of placid defiance, he placed himself between her and Clodius.

Clodius staggered forward, flushed

nately a curse and a hiccup.

"By Pollux, this passes a jest. Cæsar, how dare you insult me thus?" "A jest! I am as serious as a Jew on the Sabbath. Insult you; For such a pair of eyes I would insult the whole consular bench, or I should be as insensible as King Psammis's mummy."

"Good Gods, Casar!" said Marcus Callius, interposing; "you cannot think it worth while to get into a brawl for a

"Why not? The Greek girls have used me as well as those of Rome. Besides, the whole reputation of my gallantry is at stake. Give up such a lovely woman to that drunken boy! My character would be gone for ever No more perfumed tablets, full of vows "Oh! what will become of me? If and raptures. No more toying with fingers at the Circus. No more evenng walks along the Tiber. No more uding in chests or jumping from windows. I, the favoured suitor of half he white stoles in Rome, could never agala aspire above a freed-woman.

## FRAGMENTS OF A ROMAN TALE.

such a thing! For sham my dear me, my dear Marcus, and farewell. Cœlius! Do not let Clodia hear of it."

While Cæsar spoke he had been engaged in keeping Clodius at arm length. The rage of the frantic liber tine increased as the struggle con tinued. "Stand back, as you valu your life," he cried; "I will pass."

"Not this way, sweet Clodius. have too much regard for you to suffe you to make love at such disadvantage. You smell too much of Falernian a present. Would you stifle your mis tress? By Hercules, you are fit to kiss nobody now, except old Piso. when he is tumbling home in morning from the vintners." \*

Clodius plunged his hand into his bosom and drew a little dagger, the faithful companion of many desperate adventures.

"Oh, Gods! he will be murdered!" cried Zoc.

The whole throng of revellers was The street fluctuated in agitation. with torches and lifted hands. It was but for a moment. Cæsar watched with a steady eye the descending hand of Clodius, arrested the blow, seized his antagonist by the throat, and flung him against one of the pillars of the portico with such violence that he rolled, stunned and senseless, on the ground.

"He is killed," cried several voices. "Fair self-defence, by Hercules!" "Bear witness said Marcus Cœlius. you all saw him draw his dagger."

"He is not dead—he breathes," said Ligarius. "Carry him into the house; he is dreadfully bruised."

The rest of the party retired with Clodius. Cœlius turned to Cæsar.

"By all the Gods, Caius! you have won your lady fairly. A splendid victory! You deserve a triumph."

"What a madman Clodius has become!"

"Intolerable. But come and sup with me on the Nones. You have no objection to meet the Consul?"

We need "Cicero? None at all. not talk politics. Our old dispute about Plato and Epicurus will furnish us with

\* Cic. in Pis.

Youa man of gallantry, and think of | plenty of conversation. So reckon upon

Casar and Zoe turned away. As soon as they were beyond hearing, sho began in great agitation:-

"Cæsar, you are in danger. I know all. I overheard Catiline and Cethegus. You are engaged in a project which must lead to certain destruction."

"My beautiful Zoe, I live only for glory and pleasure. For these I have never hesitated to hazard an existence which they alone render valuable to In the present case, I can assure you that our scheme presents the fairest hopes of success."

"So much the worse. You do not know-you do not understand me. speak not of open peril, but of secret treachery. Catiline hates you; ---Cethegus hates you; — your destruc-tion is resolved. If you survive the contest, you perish in the first hour of victory. They detest you for your moderation ;- they are eager for blood nd plunder. I have risked my life to ring you this warning; but that is of

little moment. Farewell!-Be happy." Cæsar stopped her. "Do you fly from my thanks, dear Zoe?"

"I wish not for your thanks, but for your safety; -I desire not to defraud Valeria or Servilia of one caress, exorted from gratitude or pity. Be my eelings what they may, I have learnt n a fearful school to endure and to suppress them. I have been taught to abase a proud spirit to the claps and risses of the vulgar;—to smile on uitors who united the insults of a Jespicable pride to the endearments of a loathsome fondness;-to affect prightliness with an aching head, and yes from which tears were ready to ush;-to feign love with curses on ny lips, and madness in my brain. Who feels for me any esteem,—any Who will shed a tear enderness? ver the nameless grave which will oon shelter from cruelty and scorn the roken heart of the poor Athenian girl? But you, who alone have adlressed her in her degradation with a oice of kindness and respect, farewell. Sometimes think of me,-not with orrow:-no: I could bear your in-

gratitude, but not your distress. Yet, the evening of some mighty victory,— or perhaps your compassion—"
in the chariot of some magnificent "By Heaven!—by every oath that is in the chariot of some magnificent triumph,-think on one who loved you binding-" with that exceeding love which only "Alas! alas! Casar, were not all rounded her dying bed, your shape was to beg, to die with you." the last that swam before her sightforth on her bosom the tribute of impetuous and uncontrollable emotion. At present I am thappy in love to think of ambition He raised his head; but he in vain danger." struggled to restore composure to the derness, when, after a pause of several of the long line of Julian nobles. minutes, he thus addressed her:

"My own dear Zoe, your love has been bestowed on one who, if he can appearance, not without a slight smile, not merit, can at least appreciate and which his patron's good nature emadore you. Beings of similar loveliness, boldened him to hazard, at perceiving and similar devotedness of affection, the beautiful Athenian. mingled, in all my boyish dreams of greatness, with visions of curule chairs are reasons for precaution. Let them and ivory cars, marshalled legions and relieve each other on guard during the laurelled fasces. deavoured to find in the world; and, why are your cheeks so pale? Let me in their stead, I have met with selfish- kiss some bloom into them. How you ness, with vanity, with "trivolity, with tremble! Endymion, a flask of Samian falsehood. The life which you have and some fruit. Bring them to my preserved is a boon less valuable than apartments. This way, my sweet Zoc." the affection ---"

"Oh! Cæsar," interrupted the blushif it will not pain you too much, in ing Zoe, "think only on your own distant days, when your lofty hopes security at present. If you feel as you and destinies are accomplished, -on speak, -but you are only mocking me,

the miscrable can feel. Think that, the same oaths sworn yesterday to wherever her exhausted frame may Valeria? But I will trust you, at have sunk beneath the sensibilities of least so far as to partake your present a tortured spirit,-in whatever hovel dangers. Flight may be necessary:-or whatever vault she may have closed form your plans. Be they what they her eyes,-whatever strange scenes of may, there is one who, in exile, in horror and pollution may have sur- poverty, in peril, asks only to wander,

My Zoe, I do not anticipate any your voice the last sound that was such necessity. To renounce the conringing in her cars. Yet turn your spiracy without renouncing the prinface to me, Cesar. Let me carry away ciples on which it was originally underone last look of those features, and taken,—to clude the vengeance of the then-" He turned round. He senate without losing the confidence of looked at her. He hid his face on her the people, -is, indeed, an arduous, but bosom, and burst into tears. With not an impossible, task. I owe it to sobs long and loud, and convulsive as myself and to my country to make the those of a terrified child, he poured attempt. There is still ample time for

They had reached the door of a brow which had confronted the frown stately palace. Cæsar struck it. It of Sylla, and the lips which had rivalled was instantly opened by a slave. Zoo the eloquence of Cicero. He several found herself in a magnificent hall, times attempted to speak, but in vain; surrounded by pillars of green marble, and his voice still faltered with ten- between which were ranged the statues

"Call Endymion," said Cæsar.

The confidential freed man made his

"Arm my slaves, Endymion; there Such I have en- night. Zoe, my love, my preserver,

## ON THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF LITERATURE. (June 1823.)

This is the age of societies. carcely one Englishman in ten wh has not belonged to some association for distributing books, or for prosecuting them; for sending invalids to the hospital, or beggars to the treadmill; for giving plate to the rich or blankets to To be the most absurd instithe poor. tution among so many institutions is no small distinction; it seems, however, to belong indisputably to the Roya. At the first Society of Literature. establishment of that ridiculous academy, every sensible man predicted that. in spite of regal patronage and episcopal management, it would do nothing or do harm. And it will scarcely be denied that those expectations have hitherto been fulfilled.

I do not attack the founders of the association. Their characters are respectable; their motives, I am willing to believe, were laudable. But I feel, to feel, a strong jealousy of their proceedings. Their society can be innocent only while it continues to be despicable. Should they ever possess the power to encourage merit, they must also possess the power to depress it. Which powhuman nature, declare.

Envy and faction insinuate themselves into all communities. They often disturb the peace, and pervert the decisions, of benevolent and scientific associations. But it is in literary academies that they exert the most extensive and pernicious influence. In the first place, the principles of literary eriticism, though equally fixed with those on which the chemist and the surgeon proceed, are by no means Men are rarely equally recognised. able to assign a reason for their approbation or dislike on questions of taste; and therefore they willingly submit to any guide who boldly asserts his claim to superior discernment. It is more difficult to ascertain and establish the merits of a poem than the powers of a

machine or the benefits of a new remedy. Hence it is in literature, that quackery is most easily puffed, and excellence most easily decried.

Insome degree this argument applies to academics of the fine arts; and it is fully confirmed by all that I have ever heard of that institution which annually disfigures the walls of Somerset-House with an acre of spoiled canvass. a literary tribunal is incomparably more dangerous. Other societies, at least, have no tendency to call forth any opinions on those subjects which most agitate and inflame the minds of men. The sceptic and the zealot, the revolutionist and the placeman, meet on common ground in a gallery of paintings or a laboratory of science. can praise or censure without reference to the differences which exist between In a literary body this can them. never be the case. Literature is, and always must be, inseparably blended with politics and theology; it is the great engine which moves the feelings and it is the duty of every literary man of a people on the most momentous questions. It is, therefore, impossible that any society can be formed so impartial as to consider the literary character of an individual abstracted from the opinions which his writings inculcate. It is not to be hoped, perwill be more frequently exercised, let haps it is not to be wished, that the every one who has studied literary feelings of the man should be so comhistory, let every one who has studied pletely forgotten in the duties of the academician. The consequences are evident. The honours and censures of his Star-chamber of the Muses will be awarded according to the prejudices of the particular sect or faction which may at the time predominate. Whigs would canvass against a Southey, Tories against a Byron. Those who might at first protest against such conduct as miust would soon adopt it on the plea f retaliation; and the general good of iterature, for which the society was rofessedly instituted, would be forgotten in the stronger claims of political and religious partiality.

Yet even this is not the worst. Should the institution ever acquire any influence, it will afford most pernicious acilities to every malignant coward who nay desire to blast a reputation which

he envices. It will furnish a secure am buscade, behind which the Maroons or literature may take a certain and deadl The editorial we has often been fatal to rising genius; though all the world knows that it is only a form o speech, very often employed by a single needy blockhead. The academi would have a far greater and more ruinous influence. Numbers, while they increased the effect, would diminish the shame, of injustice. The advantages of an open and those of an anonymous attack would be combined; and the authority of avowal would be united to the security of concealment. The serpents in Virgil, after they had destroyed Laocoon, found an asylum from the vengeance of the enraged people behind the shield of the statue of Minerva. And, in the same manner, every thing that is grovelling and venomous, every thing that can hiss, and every thing that can sting, would take sanctuary in the recesses of this new temple of wisdom.

The French academy was, of all such associations, the most widely and the most justly celebrated. It was founded by the greatest of ministers; it was patronised by successive kings; it numbered in its lists most of the eminent French writers. Yet what benefit has literature derived from its labours? What is its history but an uninterrupted record of servile compliances—of paltry artifices-of deadly quarrels-of perfidious friendships? Whether governed by the Court, by the Sorbonne, or by the Philosophers, it was always equally powerful for evil, and equally impotent for good. I might speak of the attacks by which it attempted to depress the rising fame of Corneille; Imight speak of the reluctance with which it gave its tardy confirmation to the applauses which the whole civilised world had bestowed on the genius of Voltaire. I might prove by overwhelming evidence that, to the latest period of its existence, even under the superintendence of the all-accomplished D'Alembert, it continued to be a scene of the fiercest animosities and the basest intrigues. I might cite Piron's epigrams, and Mar- Society to the poets of England was 'montel's memoirs, and Montesquieu's Dartmoor. I thought that they intended

But I hasten on to another letters. topic.

One of the modes by which our Society proposes to encourage merit is the distribution of prizes. The munificence of the king has enabled it to offer an annual premium of a hundred guineas for the best essay in prose, and another of fifty guineas for the best poem, which may be transmitted to it. This is very laughable. In the first place the judges may err. Those imperfections of human intellect to which. as the articles of the church tell us, even general councils are subject may possibly be found even in the Royal Society of Literature. The French academy, as I have already said, was the most illustrious assembly of the kind, and numbered among its associates men much more distinguished than ever will assemble at Mr. Hatchard's to rummage the box of the English Society. Yet this famous body gave a poetical prize, for which Voltaire was a candidate, to a fellow who wrote some verses about the frozen and the burning pole.

Yet, granting that the prizes were always awarded to the best composition, hat composition, I say without hesitaion, will always be bad. A prize poem is ike a prize sheep. The object of the competitor for the agricultural premium is o produce an animal fit, not to be eaten. but to be weighed. Accordingly he pampers his victim into morbid and unnatural fatness; and, when it is in such a state that it would be sent away in disgust from any table, he offers it to The object of the poetical he judges. candidate, in like manner, is to produce, notagood poem, but a poem of that exact degree of frigidity or bombast which may appear to his censors to be correct Compositions thus conor sublime. tructed will always be worthless. The ew excellences which they may contain will have an exotic aspect and flavour. In general, prize sheep are good for nothing but to make tallow candles, and prize poems are good for nothing but to light them.

The first subject proposed by the

Their institution was a literary Dart- king: moor scheme ;-a plan for forcing into cultivation the waste lands of intellect. -for ruising poetical produce, by means the productions of the earth good wine of bounties, from soil too meagre to have yielded any returns in the natural course of things. The plan for the cultivation of Dartmoor has, 1 hear, been abandoned. I hope that this i may be an omen of the fate of the Society.

In truth, this seems by no means improbable. They have been offering for several years the rewards which the king placed at their disposal, and have the good-natured prince. not, as far as I can learn, been able to find in their box one composition which they have deemed worthy of publication. At least no publication has taken place. The associates may perhaps be astonished at this. But I will attempt to explain it, after the manner of ancient ment, every year, unto the man who times, by means of an apologue.

About four hundred years after the deluge, King Gomer Chephoraod reigned in Babylon. He united all the characteristics of an excellent sovereign. He made good laws, won great battles, and white-washed long streets. He was, in consequence, idolised by his people, and panegyrised by many poets and orators. raod. A book was then a serious undertaking. Neither paper nor any similar material had been invented. Authors were therefore under the necessity of inscribing bear the intelligence to the remotest their compositions on massive bricks. Some of these Babylonian records are still preserved in European museums; to come in; and the examiners assembut the language in which they are bled to adjudge the prize. The first written has never been deciphered. Gomer Chephoraod was so popular that the clay of all the plains round the it, pronounced unanimous condomna-Euphrates could scarcely furnish brickkilns enough for his eulogists. It is villainous taste of clay. recorded in particular that Pharonezzar, the Assyrian Pindar, published a bridge from one cask of execrable liquor to and four walls in his praise.

One day the king was going in state from his palace to the temple of Belus.

a covest sarcasm at their own projects. and one of them thus addressed the

"Gomer Chephoraod, live for ever! It appears to thy servants that of all is the best, and bad wine is the worst. Good wine makes the heart cheerful, the eyes bright, the speech ready. Bad wine confuses the head, disorders the stomach, makes us quarrelsome at night, and sick the next morning. Now therefore let my lord the king take order that thy servants may drink good wine."

"And how is this to be done?" said

"O King," said his monitor, "this is most easy. Let the king make a decree, and seal it with his royal signet: and let it be proclaimed that

kin will give ten she-asses, and slaves, and ten changes of raishall make ten measures of the best wine. And whosoever wishes for the she-asses, and the slaves, and the raiment, let him send the ten measures of wine to thy servants, and we will drink thereof and judge. So shall there be much good wine in Assyria."

The project pleased Gomer Chepho-"Be it so," said be. The people shouted. The petitioners prosame night heralds were despatched to districts of Assyria.

After a due interval the wines began vessel was unscaled. Its odour was such that the judges, without tasting tion. The next was opened: it had a The third was sour and vapid. They proceeded another, till at length, in absolute nausea, they gave up the investigation.

The next morning they all assem-During this procession it was lawful bled at the gate of the king, with pale for any Babylonian to offer any peti-faces and aching heads. They owned tion or suggestion to his sovereign, that they could not recommend any As the chariot passed before a vint- competitor as worthy of the rewards. ner's shop, a large company, apparently They swere that the wine was little half-drunk, sallied forth into the street, better than poison, and intreated perbetween such detestable potions.

"In the name of Belus, how car this have happened?" said the king.

Merolchazzar, the high-priest, muttered something about the anger of the Gods at the toleration shown to a sect

impious heretics who ate pigeons broiled, "whereas," said he, "our reli gion commands us to eat them roasted would the prize profit a Now therefore, O King," continued vineyards in rich soils?" this respectable divine, "give command to thy men of war, and let them smite the disobedient people with the sword, them, and their wives, and their children, and let their houses, and their flocks, and their herds, be given to thy servants the priests. Then shall the land yield its increase, and the fruits of the earth shall be more blasted by the vengeauce heaven."

"Nay," said the kin "the ground lies under no general curse from hea-The season has been singularly The wine which thou didst thyself drink at the banquet a few nights ago, oh venerable Merolchazzar, was of this year's vintage. Dost thou not remember how thou didst praise It was the same night that thou wast inspired by Belus and didst reel to and tro, and discourse sacred mysteries. These things are too hard for me. I comprehend them not. only wine which is bad is that which is sent to my judges. Who can expound this to us?"

The king scratched his head. Upon which all the courtiers scratched their heads.

He then ordered proclamation to be made, that a purple robe and a golden chain should be given to the man who could solve this difficulty.

An old philosopher, who had been observed to smile rather disdainfully when the prize had, first been instituted, came forward and spoke thus:-

"Gomer Chephoraed, live for ever! Marvel not at that which has happened. It was no miracle, but a natural event. How could it be otherwise? It is true that much good wine has been made this year. . But who would send it in for thy rewards?

mission to resign the office of deciding Thou knowest Ascobaruch who hath the great vineyards in the north, and Cohahiroth who sendeth wine every year from the south over the Persian Their wines are so delicious that ten measures thereof are sold for an hundred talents of silver. Thinkest thou that they will exchange them for thy slaves and thine asses? What would thy prize profit any who have

"Who then," said one of the judges, "are the wretches who sent us this

poison?"

"Blame them not," said the sage, seeing that you have been the authors of the evil. They are men whose lands are poor, and have never yielded them any returns equal to the prizes which the king proposed. Wherefore, know-

; that the lords of the fruitful vineyards would not enter into competition with them, they planted vines, some on rocks, and some in light sandy soil, and some in deep clay. Hence their wines are bad. For no culture or reward will make barren land bear good vines. Know therefore, assuredly, that your prizes have increased the quantity of bad but not of good wine."

There was a long silence. At length ie king spoke. "Give him the purple be and the chain of gold. Throw the wines into the Euphrates; and prolaim that the Royal Society of Wines is dissolved."

SCENES FROM "ATHENIAN REVELS." (JANUARY 1824.)

A DRAMA.

Scene-A Street in Athens. Enter Callidemus and Specisippus. CALLIDEMUS.

So, you young reprobate! You must e a man of wit, forsooth, and a man f quality! You must spend as if you vere as rich as Nicias, and prate as if ou were as wise as Pericles! You nust dangle after sophists and pretty women! And I must pay for all! I aust sup on thyme and onions, while ou are swallowing thrushes and hares! must drink water, that you may play

the cottabus\* with Chian wine! must wander about as ragged as Pauson, that you may be as fine as Alcibiades! I must lie on bare boards. with a stonet for my pillow, and a rotten mat for my coverlid, by th light of a wretched winking lamp, while you are marching in state, with as many torches as one sees at the feast . of Ceres, to thunder with your hatchet§ at the doors of half the Ionian ladies in Peirœus.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Why, thou unreasonable old man! Thou most shameless of fathers!

#### CALLIDEMUS.

so? Are you not afraid of the thun- refute that. Ruined! Do you hear? ders of Jupiter?

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Jupiter thunder! nonsense! Anaxagoras says, that thunder is only an explosion produced by-

#### CALLIDEMUS.

He does! Would that it had fallen on his head for his pains!

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Nay: talk rationally.

## CALLIDEMUS.

Rationally! You audacious young sophist! I will talk rationally. Do you know that I am your father? What quibble can you make upon that?

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Do I know that you are my father? Let us take the question to pieces, as Melesigenes would say. First, then, we must inquire what is knowledge? Secondly, what is a father? Now, knowledge, as Socrates said the other day to Theætetus, "-

\* This game consisted in projecting wine out of cups; it was a diversion extremely fa-hionable at Athenian entertainments.

† Pauscu was an Athenian painter, whose name was synonymous with beggary. See Aristophanes; Plutus, 602. From his poverty, I am inclined to suppose that he painted historical pictures.

‡ See Ari-tophanes; Plutus, 542. See Theocritus; Idyllii. 128.

Athens. See Aristophanes; Pax. 165.

¶ See Plato's Theætetus.

## CALLIDEMUS.

Socrates! what! the ragged flatnosed old dotard, who walks about all day barefoot, and filches cloaks, and dissects gnats, and shoes\* fleas with wax?

#### SPEUSIPPUS,

All fiction! All trumped up by Aristophanes!

#### CALLIDEMUS.

By Pallas, if he is in the habit of putting shoes on his fleas, he is kinder to them than to himself. But listen to me, boy; if you go on in this way, you will be ruined. There is an argument for you. Go to your Socrates and Ungrateful wretch; dare you talk your Melesigenes, and tell them to

#### Ruined!

## SPEUSIPPUS. CALLIDEMUS.

Ay, by Jupiter! Is such a show as you make to be supported on nothing? During all the last war, I made not an bol from my farm; the Peloponnesian locusts came almost as regularly as the Pleiades; -corn burnt; -olives stripoed :- fruit trees cut down ;-- wells topped up; -and, just when peace came, and I hoped that all would turn ut well, you must begin to spend as f you had all the mines of Thasus at ommand.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Now, by Neptune, who delights in

## CALLIDEMUS.

If Neptune delights in horses, he does not resemble me. You must ride it the Panathenæa on a horse fit for he great king: four acres of my best ines went for that folly: You must retrench, or you will have nothing to at. Does not Anaxagoras mention, mong his other discoveries, that when n man has nothing to cat he dies?

### SPRUSTPPUS.

You are deceived. My friends-

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Oh, yes! your friends will notice This was the most disreputable part of you, doubtless, when you are squeezing

\* See Aristophanes; Nubes, 150.

H.

through the crowd, on a winter's day, to the eyes in his own tan-pickle. But to warm yourself at the fire of the the Paphlagonian had parts. baths :- or when you are fighting with beggars and beggars' dogs for the scraps of a sacrifice ;-or when you are glad to earn three wretched obols\* by listening all day to lying speeches and crying children.

### SPEUSIPPUS.

There are other means of support.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

from house to house, like that wretched buffoon Philippus,† and beg every body who has asked a supper-party to be so kind as to feed you and laugh at you; or you will turn sycophant; you will get a bunch of grapes, or a pair of shoes, now and then, by frightening some rich coward with a mock prosecution. Well! that is a task for which your studies under the sophists may have fitted you.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

You are wide of the mark.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Then what, in the name of Juno, is your scheme? Do you intend to join Orestes, and rob on the highway? Take care; beware of the eleven beware of the hemlock. It may be very pleasant to live at other people's expense; but not very pleasant, I should think, to hear the pestle give its last bang against the mortar, when the cold dose is ready. Pah !-

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Hemlock! Orestes! folly!-I aim at nobler objects. What say you to politics, -- the general assembly?.

#### CALLIDRMUS.

You an orator !--oh no! no! Cleon was worth twenty such fools as you. You have succeeded, I grant, to his impudence, for which, if there be justice in Tartarus, he is now soaking up

- \* The stipend of an Athenian juryman. † Xenophon; Conviving. ‡ A celebrated highwayman of Attica. See Aristophanes; Aves, 711; and in several other passages.
  - & The police officers of Athens.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

And you mean to imply----

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Not I. You are a Pericles in embryo, doubtless. Well: and when are you to make your first speech? oh Pallas!

#### SPLUSIPPUS.

I thought of speaking, the other day. What! I suppose you will wander on the Sicilian expedition; but Nicias\* got up before me.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Nicias, poor honest man, might just as well have sate still; his speaking did but little good. The loss of your oration is, doubtless, an irreparable public calamity.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Why, not so; I intend to introduce it at the next assembly; it will suit any subject.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

That is to say, it will suit none. But pray, if it be not too presumptuous a request, indulge me with a specimen.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Well; suppose the agora crowded; -an important subject under discussion; -an ambassador from Argos; or from the great king; -- the tributes from the islands;—an impeachment; in short, anything you please. crier makes proclamation.—" Any citizen above fifty years old may speak any citizen not disqualified may speak." Then I rise:—a great murmur of curiosity while I am mounting the stand.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Of curiosity! yes, and of something else too. You will infallibly be dragged down by main force, like poor Glaucont last year.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Never fear. I shall begin in this style:

When I consider, Athenians, the importance of our city; - when I con-

- \* See Thucydides, vi. 8.
- † See Xenophon ; Memorabilia, ili.

## SCENES FROM "ATHENIAN REVELS."

sider the extent of its power, the wisdom of its laws, the elegance of its decorations; -when I consider by what names and by what exploits its annals are adorned; -when I think on Harmodius and Aristogiton, on Themistocles and Miltiades, on Cimon and Pericles; ---when I contemplate our pre-eminence in arts and letters;—when I observe so many flourishing states and islands compelled to own the dominion, and purchase the protection, of the City of the Violet Crown-"\*

#### CALLIDEMUS.

I shall choke with rage. Oh, all ve gods and goddesses, what sacrilege, what perjury have I ever committed, that I should be singled out from among all the citizens of Athens to be the father of this fool?

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

What now? By Bacchus, old man, I would not advise you to give way to such fits of passion in the streets. Aristophanes were to see you, you would infallibly be in a comedy next spring.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

You have more reason to fear Aristophanes than any fool living. Oh, that he could but hear you trying to imitate the slang of Straton † and the lisp of Alcibiades! † You would be You would an inexhaustible subject. console him for the loss of Cleon.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

I may perhaps figure at the No. no. dramatic representations before long; but in a very different way.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

What do you mean?

SPEUSIPPUS.

What say you to a tragedy?

CALLIDEMUS.

A tragedy of yours?

SPEUSIPPUS.

Even so.

\* A favourite epithet of Athens. Sec Aristophanes; Acharn. 637.
† Sec Aristophanes: Equites, 1375.

See Aristophanes; Vespee, 44.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Oh Hercules! Oh Bacchus! This is too much. Here is an universal genius; sophist, -- orator, -- poet. what a three-headed monster have I given birth! a perfect Cerberus of intellect! And pray what may your piece be about? Or will your tragedy, like your speech, serve equally for any subject?

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

I thought of several plots;—Œdi-ns, — Eteocles and Polynices, pus, — Eteocles the war of Troy,—the murder of Agamemnon.

## CALLIDEMUS. And what have you chosen?

## SPEUSIPPUS.

You know there is a law which permits any modern poet to retouch a play of Æschylus, and bring it forward as his own composition. And, as there is an absurd prejudice, among the vulgar, in favour of his extravagant pieces, I nave selected one of them, and altered it.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Which of them?

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Oh! that mass of barbarous absurdities, the Prometheus. But I have framed it anew upon the model of Euripides. By Bacchus, I shall make Sophocles and Agathon look about hem. You would not know the play ıgain.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

By Jupiter, I believe not.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

I have omitted the whole of the absurd dialogue between Vulcan and Strength, at the beginning.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

That may be, on the whole, an improvement. The play will then open with that grand soliloquy of Promeheus, when he is chained to the rock. 'Oh! ye eternal heavens! Ye rushing winds!

Ye fountains of great streams! Ye ocean

That in ten thousand sparkling dimples wreathe

Your azure smiles! All-generating earth! All-seeing sun! On you, on you, I call."\*

Well, I allow that will be striking did not think you capable of that idea Why do you laugh?

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Do you seriously suppose that one who has studied the plays of that greaman, Euripides, would ever begin a tragedy in such a ranting style?

#### CALLIDEMUS.

What, does not your play open with the speech of Prometheus?

#### SPRUSIPPUS.

No doubt.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Then what, in the name of Bacchus. do you make him say?

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

You shall hear; and, if it be not in the very style of Euripides, call me fool.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

That is a liberty which I shall venture to take, whether it be or no. But go on.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

## Prometheus begins thus:

"Colus begat Saturn and Briarcus Cottus and Creins and Inpetus, Gyges and Hyperion, Phobe, Tethys, Thea and Rhea and Mnemosyne, Then Saturn wedded Rhea, and begat Pluto and Neptune, Jupiter and Juno."

#### CALLIDEMUS.

Very beautiful, and very natural; and, as you say, very like Euripides.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

You are sneering. Really, father, you do not understand these things. You had not those advantages in your youth—

## CALLIDEMUS.

Which I have been fool enough to let you have. No; in my early days, lying had not been dignified into a science, nor politics degraded into a trade. I wrestled, and read Homer's battles, instead of dressing my hair, and reciting lectures in verse out of Euripides. But I have some notion of what a play should be; I have seen

Phrynichus, and lived with Æschylus, I saw the representation of the Persians.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

A wretched play; it may amuse the fools who row the triremes; but it is utterly unworthy to be read by any man of taste.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

If you had seen it acted;—the whole theatre frantic with joy, stamping, shouting, laughing, crying. There was Cynægeirus, the brother of Alschylus, who lost both his arms at Marathon, beating the stumps against his sides with rapture. When the crowd remarked him—But where are you going?

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

To sup with Alcibiades; he sails with the expedition for Sicily in a few days; this is his farewell entertainment.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

So much the better; I should say, so much the worse. That cursed Sicilian expedition! And you were one of the young fools\* who stood clapping and shouting while he was gulling the rabble, and who drowned poor Nicias's voice with your uproar. Look to it; day of reckoning will come. As to Alcibiades himself—

### SPEUSIPPUS.

What can you say against him? His enemies themselves, acknowledge his merit.

#### CALLIDEMUS.

They acknowledge that he is clever, and handsome, and that he was crowned at the Olympic games. And hat other merits do his friends claim or him? A precious assembly you will meet at his house, no doubt.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

The first men in Athens, probably.

#### · CALLIDEMUS.

Whom do you mean by the first men in Athens?

\* See Thucydides, vi. 13.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Calleles.\*

#### CALLIDEMUS.

A sacrilegious, impious, unfeeling ruffian!

SPEUSIPPUS.

Hippomachus.

## CALLIDEMUS.

A fool, who can talk of nothing bu his travels through Persia and Egypt. Go, go. The gods forbid that I should detain you from such choice society [Execunt severally.

#### $\mathbf{I}$

Scene—A Hall in the House of Alci- Susa. I wish that I had measured him.

Alciniades, Speusippus, Callicles, Hippomachus, Chariclea, and others, scated round a table, feasting.

#### ALCIBIADES.

Bring larger cups. This shall be our gayest revel. It is probably the last—for some of us at least.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

At all events, it will be long before you taste such wine again, Aleibiades.

#### CALLICLES.

Nay, there is excellent wine in Sicily. When I was there with Eurymedon's squadron, I had many a long carouse. You never saw finer grapes than those of Ætna.

#### HIPPOMACHUS.

The Greeks do not understand the art of making wine. Your Persian is the man. So rich, so fragant, so sparkling! I will tell you what the Satrap of Caria said to me about that when I supped with him.

#### ALCIBIADES.

Nay; sweet Hippomachus; not a word to-night about satraps, or the great king, or the walls of Babylon, or the Pyramids, or the mummics. "Chariclea, why do you look so sad?"

\* Callicles plays a conspicuous part in the Gorgias of Plato.

#### CHARICLEA.

Can I be cheerful when you are going to leave me, Alcibiades?

#### ALCIBIADES.

My life, my sweet soul, it is but for a short time. In a year we conquer Sicily. In another, we humble Carthage.\* I will bring back such robes, such necklaces, elephants' teeth by thousands, ay, and the elephants themselves, if you wish to see them. Nay, smile, my Chariclea, or I shall talk nonsense to no purpose.

#### HIPPOMACHUS,

The largest elephant that I ever saw was in the grounds of Teribazus, near Susa. I wish that I had measured him.

#### ALCIBIADES.

I wish that he had trod upon you. Come, come, Chariclea, we shall soon return, and then——

#### CHARICLEA.

Yes; then, indeed.

#### ALCIBIADES.

Yes, then-

Then for revels; then for dances, Tender whispers, melting glances, Peasants, pluck your richest fruits: Minstrels, sound your sweetest flutes; Come in laughing crowds to greet us, Dark-eyed daughters of Miletus; Bring the myrles, bring the diec, Ploods of Chian, lills of spice.

## SPEUSIPPUS.

Whose lines are those, Alcibiades?

#### AI CIBIADLS.

My own. Think you, because I do not shut myself up to meditate, and drink water, and eat herbs, that I cannot write verses? By Apollo, if I did not spend my days in politics, and my nights in revelry, I should have made Sophocles tremble. But now I never to beyond a little song like this, and tever invoke any Muse but Chariclea. But come, Speusippus, sing. You are a professed poet.\* Let us have some of

## SPEUSIPPUS.

My verses! How can you talk so? a professed poet!

\* Sec Thucydides, vi. 90.

#### ALCIBIADES.

Oh, content you, sweet Speusippus. We all know your designs upon the tragic honours. Come, sing. A chorus of your new play.

SPEUSIPPUS.

Nay, nay----

#### HIPPOMACHUS.

When a guest who is asked to sin at a Persian banquet refuses—

SPEUSIPPUS.

In the name of Bacchus-

ALCIBIADES.

I am absolute. Sing.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Weal, then, I will sing you a chorus, which, I think, is a tolerable imitation of Euripides.

CHARICLEA.

Of Euripides?-Not a word!

ALCIBIADES.

Why so, sweet Charielea?

#### CHARICLEA.

Would you have me betray my sex? Where you are a sun, nor flowers, and Sthenobens? No: if I ever suffer any lines of that woman-hater, or his initators, to be sung in my presence, may I sell herbs \* like his nother, and wear rags like his Telephus! †

Where you are a sun, nor flowers, ple, nor goddess.

CHARICLE

Let this sun to I venus, unto I venus

#### ALCIBIADES.

Then, sweet Charielea, since you have silenced Speusippus, you shall sing yourself.

CHARICLEA.

What shall I sing?

ALCIBIADES.

Nay, choose for yourself.

#### CHARICLEA.

Then I will sing an old Ionian hymn, which is chanted every spring at the

\* The mother of Euripides was a herbwoman. This was a favourite topic of Aristophanes. feast of Venus, near Miletus. I used to sing it in my own country when I was a child; and—Ah, Alcibiades!

#### ALCIBIADES.

Dear Chariclea, you shall sing something else. This distresses you.

#### CHARICLEA.

 No: hand me the lyre:—no matter. You will hear the song to disadvantage. But if it were sung as I have heard it sung ;-if this were a beautiful morning in spring, and if we were standing on a woody promontory, with the sea, and the white sails, and the blue Cyclades beneath us,—and the portico of a temple peeping through the trees on a huge peak above our heads,-and thousands of people, with myrtles in their hands, thronging up the winding path, their gay dresses and garlands disappearing and emerging by turns as they passed round the angles of the rock,-then perhaps-

#### ALCIBIADES.

Now, by Venus herself, sweet lady, where you are we shall lack neither sun, nor flowers, nor spring, nor temple, nor goddess.

## CHARICLEA. (Sings).

Let this sunny hour be given,
Venus, unto love and mirth:
Smiles like thine are in the heaven;
Bloom like thine is on the earth;
And the tinkling of the fountains,
And the nurmurs of the sea,
And the choes from the meuntains,
Speak of youth, and hope, and thee.

By whate'er of soft expression
Thou hast taught to lovers' eyes,
Faint denial, slow confession,
Glowing checks and stifled sighs;
By the pleasure and the pain,
By the follies and the wiles,
Pourting fondness sweet distain.

Pouting fondness, sweet distain, Happy tears and mournful smiles;

Come with music floating o'er thee; Come with violets springing round: Let the Graces dance before thee, All their golden zones unbound; Now in sport their faces hiding, Now, with slender fingers fair, From their laughing eyes dividing The long curls of rose-crowned hair.

#### ALCIBIADES.

Sweetly sung; but mournfully, Charielea; for which I would chide you,

Aristophanes.

+ The ligro of one of the lost plays of Euripides, who appears to have been brought apon the stage in the garb of a beggar. See Aristophanes; Acharn. 430; and in other places.

but that I am sad myself. More wine vegetable diet are derived from India. there. I wish to all the gods that I I met a Brachman in Sogdianahad fairly sailed from Athens.

#### CHARICLEA.

And from me, Alcibiades?

#### ALCIBIADES.

Yes, from you, dear lady. The days which immediately precede separation are the most melancholy of our lives.

#### CHARICLEA.

Except those which immediately follow it.

#### ALCIBIADES.

No; when I cease to see you, other objects may compel my attention; but can I be near you without thinking how lovely you are, and how soon I must leave you?

#### HIPPOMACHUS.

Ay; travelling soon puts such thoughts out of men's heads.

#### CALLICLES.

A battle is the best remedy for them.

### CHARICLEA.

A battle, I should think, might supply their place with others as unpleasant.

#### CALLICLES.

The preparations are rather disagreeable to a novice. But as soon as the fighting begins, by Jupiter, it is a noble time;—men trampling,—shields clashing, spears breaking, and the pean rearing louder than all.

#### CHARICLEA.

But what if you are killed?

#### CALLICLES.

What indeed? You must ask Speusippus that question. He is a philosopher.

#### ALCIBIADES.

Yes, and the greatest of philosophers, if he can answer it.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Pythagoras is of opinion-

#### HIPPOMACHUS.

Pythageras stole that and all his other opinions from Asia and Egypt. The transmigration of the soul and the the other world to all who had been initiated.

## All nonsense!

## CHARICLEA.

What think you, Alcibiades?

#### ALCIBIADES.

I think that, if the doctrine be true. your spirit will be transfused into one of the doves who carry\* ambrosia to the gods or verses to the mistresses of poets. Do you remember Anacreon's lines? How should you like such an office?

#### CHARICLEA.

If I were to be your dove, Alcibiades, and you would treat me as Anacreon treated his, and let me nestle in your breast and drink from your cup, I would submit even to carry your love-letters to other ladies.

#### CALLICLES.

What, in the name of Jupiter, is the use of all these speculations about Socrates once † lectured me upon it the best part of a day. I have hated the sight of him ever since. Such things may suit an old sophist when he is fasting; but in the midst of wine and music

#### HIPPOMACHUS.

I differ from you. The enlightened Egyptians bring skelctons into their banquets, in order to remind their guests to make the most of their life while they have it.

#### CALLICLES.

I want neither skeleton nor sophist to teach me that lesson. More wine, I pray you, and less wisdom. If you must believe something which you never can know, why not be contented with the long stories about the other world which are told us when we are initiated at the Eleusinian mysteries? 1

\* Homer's Odyssey, xii. 63. † See the close of Plato's Gorgias. ‡ The scene which follows is founded upon history. Thucydides tells us, in his sixth book, that about this time Alcibiades was suspected of having assisted at a mock celebration of these famous mysterics. It was the opinion of the vulgar among the Athenians that extraordinary privileges were granted in

CHARICLEA.

And what are those stories?

ALCIBIADES.

Are not you initiated, Chariclea?

CHARICLEA.

No; my mother was a Lydian, a barbarian; and therefore—

#### ALCIBIADES.

I understand. Now the curse of vons on the fools who made so hateful a law! Speusippus, does not your frier d Euripides\* say

"The land where thou art prosperous is thy country"?

Surely we ought to say to every lady
"The land where then art wetty is thy

"The land where thon art pretty is thy country."

Besides, to exclude foreign beauties from the chorus of the initiated in the Elysian fields is less cruel to them than to ourselves. Charielea, you shall be initiated.

CHARICI EA.

When?

ALCIBIADES.

Now.

CHARICLEA.

Where?

ALCIBIADES,

Here.

CHARICLEA.

Delightful!

SPEUSIPPUS.

But there must be an interval of a Speusippus! Fear between the purification and the initiation.

ALCIBIADES.

We will suppose all that.

SPEUSIPPUS.

And nine days of rigid mortification of the senses.

#### ALCIBIADES.

We will suppose that too. I am sure it was supposed, with as little reason, when I was initiated.

\* The right of Euripides to this line is somewhat disputable. See Aristophanes; Plutus, 1152.

SPEUSIPPUS.

But you are sworn to secrecy.

#### ALCIBIADES.

You a sophist, and talk of oaths! You a pupil of Euripides, and forget his maxims!

"My lips have sworn it; but my mind is free."\*

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

But Alcibiades----

#### ALCIRTADES

What! Are you afraid of Ceres and Proserpine?

#### PEUSIPPUS.

No-- but—but—I—that is I—but it is best to be safe—I mean—Suppose there should be something in it.

#### ALCIBIADUS.

Now, by Mercury, I shall die with laughing. O Speusippus, Speusippus! Go back to your old father. Dig vinc-yards, and judge causes, and he a respectable citizen. But never, while you live, again dream of being a philosopher.

SPEUSIPPUS.

Nay, I was only-

#### ALCIBIADES.

A pupil of Gorgias and Melesigenes afraid of Tartarus! In what region of the infernal world do you expect your domicile to be fixed? Shall you roll a stone like Sisyphus? Hard exercise, Spensippus!

#### SPLUSIPPUS.

In the name of all the ads-

#### ALCIBIADES.

Or shall you sit starved and thirsty in the midst of fruit and wine like Tantalus? Poor fellow! I think I see your face as you are springing up to the branches and missing your aim. Oh Bacchus! Oh Mercury!

## speusippus.

Alcibiades!

\* See Euripides; Hippolytus, 608.' For the jesuitical morality of this line Euripides is bitterly attacked by the comic poet.



## ALCIBIADES

Or perhaps you will be food for a the king.\* vulture, like the huge fellow who was rude to Latona.

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

Alcibiades!

#### ALCIBIADES.

Minos will not be so Never fear. cruel. Your eloquence will triumph over all accusations. The Furies will skulk away like disappointed sycophants. Only address the judges of hell in the speech which you were prewented from speaking last assembly. "When I consider" is not that the beginning of it? Come, man, do not be angry. Why do you pace up and down with such long steps? You are not in Tartarus yet. You seem to think that you are already stalking, like poor Achilles,

" With stride Majestic through the plain of Asphodel."\*

#### SPEUSIPPUS.

How can you talk so, when you know that I believe all that foolery as little as you do?

#### ALCIBIADES.

Then march. You shall be the rier. † Callicles, you shall carry the torch. Why do you stare?

#### CALLICLES.

I do not much like the frolic.

#### ALCIDIADES.

Nay, surely you are not taken with a fit of piety. If all be true that is told of you, you have as little reason to think the gods vindictive as any man breath-

If you be not belied, a certain golden goblet which I have seen at your house was once in the temple of who fully descried and exhibited the Ju at Corcyra. And men say that nowwe of his matter than the country. there was a priestess at Tarentum-

#### CALLICIES.

A fig for the gods! I was thinking about the Archons. You will have an accusation loid against you to-morrow.

\* See Homer's Odyssey, xi. 598.

† The crier and torchbearer were important functionaries at the celebration of the Eleusinian mysteries.

It is not very pleasant to be tried before

#### ALCIBIADES.

Never fear: there is not a sycophant in Attica who would dare to breathe a word against me, for the golden† planetree of the great king.

## HIPPOMACHUS.

That plane-tree ---

#### ALCIBIADES.

Never mind the plane-tree. Come, Callicles, you were not so timid when you plundered the merchantman off Cape Malea. Take up the torch and move. Hippomachus, tell one of the slaves to bring a sow. 1

#### CALLICLES.

And what part are you to play?

#### ALCIBIADES.

I shall be hierophant. Herald, to your office. Torchbearer, advance with the lights. Come forward, fair novice. We will celebrate the rite within. (Excunt.)

## CRITICISMS ON THE PRINCIPAL ITALIAN WRITERS.

#### No. I. Dante. (January 1824.)

" Fairest of stars, last in the train of night, If better thou belong not to thedawn, Sure pledge of day, that crown'st the smiling morn

With thy bright circlet."

In a review of Italian literature, Dante has a double claim to precedency. He was the earliest and the greatest writer of his country. He was the first man at Corcyra. And men say that powers of his native dialect. The Latin tongue, which, under the most favourable circumstances, and in the hands of the

> \* The name of king was given in the Athenian democracy to the magistrate who exercised those spiritual functions which in the monarchical times had belonged to the sovereign. His court took cognisance of offences against the religion of the state.

† See Herodoins, viii. 28. A sow was sacrificed to Ceres at the admission to the greater mysteries.

debased by the admixture of rable barbarous words and idioms, was still cultivated with superstitious veneration, and received, in the last sta of corruption, more honours than it had the humorous poet of Modena: deserved in the period of its life and It was the language of the vigour. cabinet, of the university, of the church It was employed by all who aspired to distinction in the higher walks of poetry. In compassion to the ignorance of his mistress, a cavalier might now and then proclaim his passion in Tuscan or Provencal rhymes. The vulgar might occasionally be edified by a pious allegory in the popular jargon. But no write had conceived it possible that the dialect of peasants and market-women should possess sufficient energy and precision for a majestic and durable work. Dante adventured first. detected the rich treasures of thought and diction which still lay latent in their ore. He refined them into purity. He burnished them into splendour. He fitted them for every purpose of use and magnificence. And he has thus acquired the glory, not only of producing the finest narrative poem of modern times, but also of creating a language, distinguished by unrivalled melody, and peculiarly capable of furnishing to lofty and passionate thoughts their appropriate garb of severe and concise expression.

To many this may appear a singular panegyric on the Italian tongue. Indeed the great majority of the young gentlemen and young ladies, who, when they are asked whether they read Italian, answer 'yes,' nevergo beyond the storieat the end of their grammar,-The Pastor Fido.—or an act of Artaserse. They could as soon read a Babylonian brick as a canto of Dante. Hence it is a general opinion, among those who know little or nothing of the abject, that this admirable language is idapted only to the effeminate cant of sonnetteers, musicians, and connoisseurs.

The fact is that Dante and Petrarch have been the Oromasdes and Arimanes talian literature. I wish not to

greatest musters, had still been poor, detract from the merits of Petrarch. feeble, and singularly unpoetical, and No one can doubt that his poems exwhich had, in the age of Dante, been hibit, amidst some imbecility and more affectation, much elegance, ingenuity, and tenderness. They present us with a mixture which can only be compared to the whimsical concert described by

> "S' udian gli usignuoli, al primo albore, E gli asini cantar versi d'amore."\*

I am not, however, at present speaking of the intrinsic excellencies of his writings, which I shall take another opportunity to examine, but of the effect which they produced on the literature The florid and luxurious of Italy. charms of his style entired the poets and the public from the contemplation of nobler and sterner models. In truth, though a rude state of society is that in which great original works are most frequently produced, it is also that in which they are worst appreciated. This may appear paradoxical; but it is proved by experience, and is consistent with reason. To be without any received canons of taste is good for the few who can create, but bad for the many who can only imitate and judge. Great and active minds cannot remain at rest. In a cultivated age they are too often contented to move on in the beaten path. But where no path exists they will make one. Thus the Hiad, the Odyssey, the Divine Comedy, appeared in dark and half barbarous times: and thus of the few original works which have been produced in more polished ages we owe a large proportion to men in low stations and of uninformed minds. I will instance, in our own language, the Pilgrim's Progress and Robinson Crusoe. Of all the prose works of fiction which we possess, these are, I will not say the best, but the most peculiar, the most unprecelented, the most inimitable. Had Bunyan and Defoe been educated gentlemen, they would probably have published translations and imitations of French romances "by a person of quality." I am not sure that we should have had Lear if Shakspeare had been able to read Sophocles.

Tassoni ; Secchia Rapita, canto i. stanza 6.

measure it. One of the French philo- mired nor imitated. But when the camp was them for four centuries. pitched beside it, and the tents apof literature is understood. spiri

for his excellencies. But it is a rehave been utterly unable to appreciate his highest or most peculiar title to apnotice of the poet himself were not likely to attract the attention of the commentators. The fact is, that, while and nature. the public homage was paid to some absurdities with which his works may confined to the graver poets. It inbe justly charged, and to many more fected satire, comedy, burlesque. No which were falsely imputed to them, -while leafurers were paid to expound and eulogise his physics, his metaphy-

\* Sismondi ; Littérature du Midi PEurope.

But these circumstances, while they sics, his theology, all bad of their kind, foster genius, are unfavourable to the --while annotators laboured to detect science of criticism. Men judge by allegorical meanings of which the aucomparison. They are unable to esti- thor never dreamed, the great powers mute the grandeur of an object when of his imagination, and the incomparathere is no standard by which they can ble force of his style, were neither ad-Arimanes had sophers (I beg Gerard's pardon), who prevailed. The Divine Comedy was to accompanied Napoleon to Egypt, tells that age what St. Paul's Cathedral was us that, when he first visited the great to Omai. The poor Otaheitean stared Pyramid, he was surprised to see it so listlessly for a moment at the huge diminutive. It stood alone in a bound- cupola, and ran into a toy-hop to play less plain. There was nothing near it with beads. Italy, too, was charmed from which he could calculate its mag- with literary trinkets, and played with

From the time of Petrarch to the peared like diminutive specks around appearance of Alfieri's tragedies, we its base, he then perceived the im- may trace in almost every page of mensity of this mightiest work of man. Italian liferature the influence of those In the same manner, it is not till a celebrated sonnets which, from the crowd of petty writers has sprung up nature both of their beauties and their that the merit of the great master- faults, were peculiarly unfit to be models for general imitation. Almost We have indeed ample proof that all the poets of that period, however Dante was highly admired in his own different in the degree and quality of and the following age. I wish that we their talents, are characterised by great had equal proof that he was admired exaggeration, and, as a necessary consequence, great coldness of sentiment; markable corroboration of what has by a passion for frivolous and tawdry been said, that this great man seems to ornament; and, above all, by an extreme feebleness and diffuseness of himself. In his treatise De Lulgari style. Tasso, Marino, Guarini, Meta-Eloquentia he talks with satisfaction of stasio, and a crowd of writers of inferior what he has done for Italian literature, merit and celebrity, were spell-bound of the purity and correctness of his in the enchanted gardens of a gaudy style. "Cependant," says a favourite and meretricious Aleina, who concealed writer of mine, " il n'est ni pur, ni cor- debility and deformity beneath the rect, mais il est créateur." Considering deceifful semblance of loveliness and the difficulties with which Dante had health. Ariosto, the great Ariosto to struggle, we may perhaps be more himself, like his own Ruggiero, stooped inclined than the French critic to allow for a time to linger amidst the magic him this praise. Still it is by no means flowers and fountains, and to caress the gay and painted sorceress. But to him, plause. It is scarcely necessary to say as to his own Ruggiero, had been given that those qualities which escaped the the omnipotent ring and the winged courser, which bore him from the para- . dise of deception to the regions of light

The evil of which I speak was not person can admire more than I do the great masterpieces of wit and humour which Italy has produced. Still I cannot but discern and lament a great deficiency, which is common to them.

still wanting. no enthusiasm, no energy, no conden- a still sation, nothing which springs from excite it. Many fine thoughts and fi expressions reward the toil of reading. Still it is a toil. The Secchia Rapita. is painfully diffuse and languid. The the plot, and the liberality of the versification. the work.

It may be thought that I have gone too far in attributing these evils to the It cannot, however, be colour of the embroidery had faded. aders. During the whole life of the

I find in them abundance of But the living charms which were well ingenuity, of droll naïveté, of profound worth all the rest remained in the and just reflection, of happy expression. bloom of eternal youth, and well re-Manners, characters, opinions, are warded the bold adventurer who treated with "a most learned spirit of roused them from their long slumber. human dealing." But \*something is In every line of the Philip and the Saul, We read, and we ad- the greatest poems, I think, of the mire, and we yawn. We look in vain eighteenth century, we may trace the for the bacchanalian fury which in- influence of that mighty genius which spired the comedy of Athens, for the has immortalised the ill-starred love of fierce and withering scorn which Francesca, and the paternal agonies animates the invectives of Juvenal and of Ugolino. Alfieri bequeathed the Dryden, or even for the compact and sovereignty of Italian literature to the pointed diction which adds zest to the author of the Aristodemus—a man of verses of Pope and Boileau. There is genius scarcely inferior to his own, and devoted disciple of the great Florentine. It must be acknowstrong feeling, nothing which tends to ledged that this eminent writer has sometimes pushed too far his idolatry of Dante. To borrow a sprightly illustration from Sir John Denham, he has in some points the best poem of its kind, not only imitated his garb, but borrowed his clothes. He often quotes his Animali Parlanti of Casti is perfectly phrases; and he has, not very judiintolerable. I admire the dexterity of clously as it appears to me, imitated his Nevertheless, he has opinions, I admit that it is impossible displayed many of the higher excellento turn to a page which does not con- cies of his master; and his works may tain something that deserves to be justly inspire us with a hope that the remembered; but it is at least six times Italian language will long flourish as long as it ought to be. And the under a new literary dynasty, or rather garrulous feebleness of the style is a under the legitimate line, which has at still greater fault than the length of length been restored to a throne long occupied by specious usurpers.

The man to whom the literature of s country owes its origin and its influence of the works and the fame of revival was born in times singularly adapted to call forth his extraordinary doubted that they have arisen, in a powers. Religious zeal, chivalrous love great measure, from a neglect of the and honour, democratic liberty, are the style of Dante. This is not more three most powerful principles that proved by the decline of Italian poetry have ever influenced the character of than by its resuscitation. After the large masses of men. Each of them lapse of four hundred and fifty years, singly has often excited the greatest there appeared a man capable of ap- enthusiasm, and produced the most imreciating and imitating the father of portant changes. In the time of Dante Tuscan literature — Vittorio Alfieri, all the three, often in amalgamation, Like the prince in the nursery tale, he generally in conflict, agitated the pubsought and found the Sleeping Beauty lic mind. The preceding generation within the recesses which had so long had witnessed the wrongs and the concealed her from mankind. The revenge of the brave, the accomplished, portal was indeed rusted by time; the unfortunate Emperor Frederic the the dust of ages had accumulated on Second, - a poet in an age of schoolthe hangings;—the furniture was of men,—a philosopher in an age of antique fashion ; -- and the gorgeous monks, -- a statesman in an age of cru-

poet, Italy was experiencing the conseouences of the memorable struggle which he had maintained against the Church. The finest works of imagina tion have always been produced in times of political convulsion, as the richest vineyards and the sweetest flowers always grow on the soil which has been fertilised by the flery delug. of a volcano. To look no further than the literary history of our own country, can we doubt that Shakspeare was in a great measure produced by the Reformation, and Wordsworth by the French Revo-Poets often avoid political transactions; they often affect to despise them. But, whether they perceive it or not, they must be influenced by them. As long as their minds have any point of contact with those of their fellow-men, the electric impulse, at whatever distance it may originate, will be circuitously communicated to

societies, where the division of labour enables many speculative men to observe the face of nature, or to analyse their own minds, at a distance from the seat of political transactions. In the little republic of which Dante was a member the state of things was very different. These small communities are most unmercifully abused by most of our modern professors of the science of government. In such states, they tell us, factions are always most violent: where both parties are cooped up within a narrow space, political difference necessarily produces personal malignity. Every man must be a soldier; every moment may produce a war. No citizen can lie down secure that he shall not be roused by the alarum-bell, to repel or avenge an injury. In such petty quarrels Greece squandered the blood which might have purchased for her the permanent empire of the world, and Italy wasted the energy and the abilities which would have enabled her to defend her independence against the Pontiffs and the Cæsars

All this is true: yet there is still a compensation. Mankind has not derived so much benefit from the em-

pire of Rome as from the city of Athens, nor from the kingdom of France as from the city of Florence. The violence of party feeling may be an evil; but it calls forth that activity of mind which in some states of society it is desirable to produce at any expense. Universal soldiership may be an evil; but where every man is a soldier there will be no standing army. And is it no evil that one man in every fifty should be bred to the trade of slaughter; should live only by destroying and by exposing himself to be lestroved; should fight without enthusiasm and conquer without glory: be sent to a hospital when wounded. and rot on a dunghill when old? Such, over more than two-thirds of Europe. is the fate of soldiers. It was something that the citizen of Milan or Florence fought, not merely in the vague and rhetorical sense in which the

rds are often used, but in sober This will be the case even in large truth, for his parents, his children, his nds, his house, his altars. It was something that he marched forth to battle beneath the Carroccio, which had been the object of his childish reneration; that his aged father looked down from the battlements on his exloits: that his friends and his rivals were the witnesses of his glory. If he fell, he was consigned to no venal or heedless guardians. The same day aw him conveyed within the walls which he had defended. His wounds were dressed by his mother; his confession was whispered to the friendly riest who had heard and absolved the follies of his youth; his last sigh was reathed upon the lips of the lady of his ove. Surely there is no sword like that which is beaten out of a ploughshare. Surely this state of things was not unnixedly bad: its evils were alleviated y enthusiasm and by tenderness; and t will at least be acknowledged that it was well fitted to nurse poetical genius .n an imaginative and observant mind.

Nor did the religious spirit of the age tend less to this result than its political circumstances. Fanaticism is an evil, but it is not the greatest of vils. It is good that a people should e roused by any means from a state

worst superstitions that ever existed: but the Catholic religion, even in the rit. time of its utmost extravagance and atrocity, never wholly lost the spirit of of its martyrs and its saints may vie in the sinful and un ingenuity and interest with the mythopower with which it was connected attracted the admiration of the statesman. At the same time, it never lost sight of attributes of the Deity. the most solemn and tremendous doctrines of Christianity,-the incarnate God, -the judgment, -the retribution. -the eternity of happiness or torment. Thus, while, like the ancient religions, it received inculculable support from policy and ceremony, it never wholly in men of a similar temperament. He became, like those religions, a merely political and ceremonial institution.

The beginning of the thirteenth contury was, as Machiavelli has remarked, the era of a great revival of this extra-

of utter torpor; that their minds ordinary system. The policy of Innoshould be diverted from objects merely cent,—the growth of the Inquisition sensual, to meditations, however erro- and the mendicant orders,-the wars neous, on the mysteries of the moral against the Albigenses, the Pagans of and intellectual world; and from in- the East, and the unfortunate princes terests which are immediately selfish of the house of Swabia, agitated Italy to those which relate to the past, the during the two following generations. future, and the remote. These effects In this point Dante was completely have sometimes been produced by the under the influence of his age. He was a man of a turbid and melancholy spi-In early youth he had entertained a strong and unfortunate passion, which, long after the death of her whom he the Great Teacher, whose precepts loved, continued to haunt him. Dissiform the noblest code, as His conduct pation, ambition, misfortunes had not furnished the purest example, of moral effaced it. He was not only a sincere, excellence. It is of all religions the but a passionate, believer. The crimes most poetical. The ancient supersti- and abuses of the Church of Rome tions furnished the fancy with beauti- were indeed loathsome to him; but to ful images, but took no hold on the all its doctrines and all its rites he adheart. The doctrines of the Reformed hered with enthusiastic fondness and Churches have most powerfully influ-veneration; and, at length, driven from enced the feelings and the conduct of his native country, reduced to a situation men, but have not presented them with the most painful to a man of his dispovisions of sensible beauty and grandeur. sition, condemned to learn by experi-The Roman Catholic Church has united | ence that no\* food is so bitter as the to the awful doctrines of the one what bread of dependence, and no ascent so Mr. Coleridge calls the "fair humani- painful as the staircase of a patron,ties" of the other. It has enriched his wounded spirit took refuge in visculpture and painting with the loveli- sionary devotion. Beatrice, the unforest and most majestic forms. To the gotten object of his early tenderness, Phidian Jupiter it can oppose the was invested by his imagination with Moses of Michael Angelo; and to the glorious and mysterious attributes; she voluptuous beauty of the Queen of was enthroned among the highest of Cyprus, the serene and pensive leveli- the celestial hierarchy: Almighty Wisness of the Virgin Mother. The legends dom had assigned to her the care of wanderer who had loved her with such a perfect love. logical fables of Greece; its ceremonies By a confusion, like that which often and processions were the delight of the takes place in dreams, he has sometimes vulgar; the huge fabric of secular lost sight of her human nature, and even of her personal existence, and seems to consider her as one of the

But those religious hopes which had released the mind of the sublime enthusiast from the terrors of death had not rendered his speculations on human life more cheerful. This is an inconsistency which may often be observed

"Tu proverai si come sa di sale Lo pane altrui, e come é daro case Lo cendere e'l salir per l'altrui scale." Paradiso, canto xvii. t," L'amico mio, e non della ventura." Inferno, canto ii. and radiant spirits with that scowl of had he told us ofunutterable misery on his brow, and "An universe of death, which God by curse that curl of bitter disdain on his lips, which all his portraits have preserved, and which might furnish Chantrey with hints for the head of his projected Satan.

There is no poet whose intellectual and moral character are so closely connected. The great source, as it appears vision, and to subject them to the power of awful and indefinite wonder. true that Dante has never shrunk from embodying his conceptions in deternal- canto iv.

hold for happiness beyond the grave: nate words, that he has even given but he felt none on earth. It is from measures and numbers, where Milton this cause, more than from any other, would have left his images to float unthat his description of Heaven is defined in a gorgeous haze of language. so far inferior to the Hell or the Both were right. Milton did not pro-Purgatory. With the passions and fess to have been in heaven or hell. miseries of the suffering spirits he feels. He might therefore reasonably confine a strong sympathy. But among the himself to magnificent generalities. beatified he appears as one who has Far different was the office of the nothing in common with them, -as lonely traveller, who had wandered one who is incapable of comprehending, through the nations of the dead. Had not only the degree, but the nature he described the abode of the rejected of their enjoyment. We think that we spirits in language resembling the see him standing amidst those smiling splendid lines of the English Poet, -

> Created evil, for evil only good, Where all life dies, death lives, and Nature breeds

Perverse all monstrous, all prodigious things, Abominable, unutterable, and worse Than fables yet have teigned, or fear concoived, Gorgons, and hydras, and chingras dire"-

to me, of the power of the Divine this would doubtless have been noble Comedy is the strong belief with which writing. But where would have been the story seems to be told. In this that strong impression of reality, respect, the only books which approach which, in accordance with his plan, it to its excellence are Gulliver's Travels should have been his great object to and Robinson Crusoe. The solemnity produce? It was absolutely necessary of his asseverations, the consistency for him to delineate accurately "all and minuteness of his details, the ear- monstrous, all prodigious things,"-to nestness with which he labours to make utter what might to others appear the reader understand the exact shape "unutterable,"-to relate with the air and size of everything that he describes, of truth what fables had nover feigned, give an air of reality to his wildest -to embody what fear had never confictions. I should only weaken this crived. And I will frankly confess statement by quoting instances of a that the vague sublimity of Milton feeling which pervades the whole work, affects me less than these reviled doand to which it owes much of its fasci- tails of Dante. We read Milton; and This is the real justification of we know that we are reading a great the many passages in his poem which poet. When we read Dante, the poet bad critics have condemned as gro-vanishes. We are listening to the man tesque. I am concerned to see that who has returned from "the valley of Mr. Cary, to whom Dante owes more the dolorous abyss;" \*-we seem to than ever poet owed to translator, has see the dilated eye of horror, to hear sanctioned an accusation utterly un- the shuddering accents with which he worthy of his abilities. "His solici- tells his fearful tale. Considered in tude," says that gentleman, "to define this light, the narratives are exactly all his images in such a manner as to what they should be,-definite in thembring them within the circle of our sclves, but suggesting to the mind ideas of the pencil, renders him little better are made up of the images of the earth: than grotesque, where Milton has since -they are told in the language of the taught us to expect sublimity." It is earth. -Yet the whole effect is, beyond \* "La valle d'abisso doloroso."--Inferno,

The . expression, wild and unearthly. fact is, that supernatural beings, as Dante harmonise admirably with that long as they are considered merely with air of strong reality of which I have reference to their own nature, excite spoken. They have a very peculiar our feelings very feelily. It is when character. He is perhaps the only poet the great gulf which separates them whose writings would become much less from us is passed, when we suspect intelligible if all illustrations of this some strange and undefinable relation sort were expunged. His similes are between the laws of the visible and frequently rather those of a traveller the invisible world, that they rouse, than of a poet. He employs them not perhaps, the strongest emotions of to display his ingenuity by funciful which our nature is capable. How analogies, -- not to delight the reader by many children, and how many men, affording him a distant and passing are afraid of ghosts, who are not afraid glimpse of beautiful images remote they entertain a much stronger convicing,—but to give an exact idea of the tion of the existence of a Deity than of objects which he is describing, by comthe reality of apparitions, they have no paring them with others generally apprehension that he will manifest known. The boiling pitch in Malehimself to them in any sensible nun- bolge was like that in the Venetian arner. While this is the case, to describe senal:—the mound on which he trasuperhuman beings in the language, velled along the banks of Phlegethon and to attribute to them the actions, was like that between Ghent and of humanity may be grotesque, unphi- Bruges, but not so large: - the cavities losophical, inconsistent; but it will be where the Simoniacal prelates are conthe only mode of working upon the fined resemble the fonts in the Church feelings of men, and, therefore, the only of John at Florence. Every reader of mode suited for poetry. Shakspeare Dante will recall many other illustraunderstood this well, as he understood tions of this description, which add to everything that belonged to his art, the appearance of sincerity and carnest-Who does not sympathise with the ness from which the narrative derives rapture of Ariel, flying after sunset on so much of its interest. the wings of the bat, or sucking in the cups of flowers with the bee? Who intended to give an exact idea of his does not shudder at the caldron of feelings under particular circumstances. Macbeth? Where is the philosopher The delicate shades of grief, of fear, who is not moved when he thinks of anger, are rarely discriminated with of the strange connection between sufficient accuracy in the language of · blood that hath eaten her nine far- lect never abounds in nice distinctions representing supernatural beings to our the most accurate and infinitely the minds, in a manner which shall be most poetical mode of marking the neither unintelligible to our intellects precise state of his mind. Every pernor wholly inconsistent with our ideas son who has experienced the bewilderof their nature, has never been so well ing effect of sudden bad tidings, --- the performed as by Dante. I will refer to stupefaction,-the vague doubt of the three instances, which the most striking :- the description of they produce, -will understand the folthe transformations of the serpents and lowing simile :- " I was as he is who the robbers, in the twenty-fifth canto dreameth his own harm,-who, dreamof the Inferno, -- the passage concern- ing, wishes that it may be all a dream, in Nimrod, in the thirty-first canto of so that he desires that which is as the same part, -and the magnificent though it were not." This is only one procession in the twenty-ninth canto out of a hundred equally striking and the Purgatorio.

The metaphors and comparisons of And this, because, though from the path in which he is proceed-

Many of his comparisons, again, are the infernal spirits and "the sow's the most refined nations. A rude dia-But this difficult task of of this kind. Dante therefore employs perhaps, truth of our own perceptions which expressive similitudes: The comparisons of Homer and Milton are magni- have been the favourite themes of our ficent digressions. It scarcely injures most eminent poets. The herd of bluetheir effect to detach them from the stocking ladies and sonneteering genwork. Those of Dante are very diffethe context, and reflect beauty upon it. the glory of the flower," as an ingre-His embroidery cannot be taken out dient absolutely indispensable in the without spoiling the whole web. I can-formation of a poetical mind. They not dismiss this part of the subject treat with contempt all writers who are without advising every person who can unfortunately muster sufficient Italian to read the simile of the sheep, in the third canto of the Purgatorio. I think it the most perfect passage of the kind in the world, the most imaginative, the most picturesque, and the most sweetly expressed.

No person can have attended to the Divine Comedy without observing how little impression the forms of the external world appear to have made on the mind of Dante. His temper and his situation had led him to fix his observation almost exclusively on human The exquisite opening of nature. the eighth \* canto of the Purgatorio affords a strong instance of this. He leaves to others the earth, the ocean, and the sky. His business is To other writers, evening with man.

the season of dews and stars and radiant clouds. To Dante it is the hour of fond recollection and passionate devotion, the hour which melts the heart of the mariner and kindles the love of the pilgrim, -- the hour when the toll of the bell seems to mourn for another day which is gone and will rcturn no more,

The feeling of the present age has taken a direction diametrically opposite. The magnificence of the physical world, and its influence upon the human mind,

\* I cannot help observing that Gray's imitation of that noble line

"Che paia 'l giorno pianger che si muore,"is one of the most striking instances of injudicious plagiarism with which I am acquainted. Dante did not put this strong personification at the beginning of his de-cription. The imagination of the reader is so well prepared for it by the previous lines, that it appears perfectly natural and pathetic. Placed as Gray has placed it, neither preceded nor followed by any thing that harmonises with it, it becomes a frigid conceit. We to the unskilful rid horses of Achilles!

οί δ' άλεγεινοί άνδράσι γε θνητοίσι δαμήμεναι ήδ' όχεεσθαι, άλλωγ' ή ' λχιλήϊ ζον άθανάτη τέκε μήτηρ.

tlemen seem to consider a strong sensi-They derive their beauty from bility to the "splendour of the grass,

> nec ponere lucum Artifices, nec rus saturum landare,

The orthodox poetical creed is more Catholic. The noblest earthly object of the contemplation of man is man himself. The universe, and all its fair and glorious forms, are indeed included in the wide empire of the imagination; but she has placed her home and her sanctuary amidst the inexhaustible varieties and the impenetrable mysteries of the mind.

In tutte parti impera, e quivi regge : Quivi è la sua cittade, e l' alto seggio.

Othello is perhaps the greatest work in the world. From what does it derive its power? From the clouds? From the ocean? From the mountains? Or from love strong as death, and jealousy cruel as the grave? What is it that we go forth to see in Hamlet? Is it a reed shaken with the wind? A small celandine? A bed of daffodils? is it to contemplate a mighty and wayward mind laid bare before us to the inmost recesses? It may perhaps be doubted whether the lakes and the hills are better fitted for the education of a poet than the dusky streets of a huge capital. Indeed who is not tired to death with pure description of scenery? Is it not the fact, that external objects never strongly excite our feelings but when they are contemplated in reference to man, as illustrating his destiny, or as influencing his character? The most beautiful object in the world, it will be allowed, is a beautiful woman. But who that can analyse his feelings is not sensible that she owes her fascination less to grace of outline and delicacy of colour, than to a thousand associations which, often unperceived by ourselves. connect those qualities with the source

\* Inferno, canto i.

of our existence, with the nourishmen of our infancy, with the passions of our youth, with the hopes of our age-with elegance, with vivacity, with tenderness, with the strongest of natural instincts, with the dearest of social their opinions, took the colour of the ties?

To those who think thus, the insensibility of the Florentine poet to the beauties of nature will not appear ar unpardonable deficiency. On mankind no writer, with the exception of Shakspeare, has looked with a more penetrating eye. I have said that his poetical character had derived a tinge from and darker passions that he delights to dwell. All love, excepting the half-mystic passion which he still felt for his buried Beatrice, had palled on the fierce and restless exile. The sad story of Rimini is almost a single exception. I know not whether it has been remarked, that, in one point, misanthropy seems to have affected his mind as it did that of Swift. Nauscous and revolting images seem to have had a fascination for his mind; and he repeatedly places before his readers, with all the energy of his incomparable style, the most loathsome objects of the sewer and the dissectingroom.

There is another peculiarity in the poem of Dante, which, I think, deserves notice. Ancient mythology has hardly ever been successfully interwoven with modern poetry. One class of writers have introduced the fabulous deities love, wine, or wisdom. This necessarily renders their works tame and cold. We may sometimes admire their ingenuity; but with what interest can we read of beings of whose personal existence the writer does not suffer us to entertain, for a moment, even a conventional belief? Even Spenser's allegory is scarcely tolerable, till we contrive to forget that Una signifies unocence, and consider her merely as an oppressed lady under the protection of a generous knight.

Those evriters who have, more judiciously, attempted to preserve the per-

have been imitators, and imitators at a disadvantage. Euripides and Cutullus believed in Bacchus and Cybele as little as we do. But they lived among men who did. Their imaginations, if not Hence the glorious inspiration age. of the Bacchæ and the Atys. minds are formed by circumstances: and I do not believe that it would be in the power of the greatest modern poet to lash himself up to a degree of enthusiasm adequate to the production of such works.

Dante alone, among the poets of his peculiar temper. It is on the sterner later times, has been, in this respect, neither an allegorist nor an imitator; and, consequently, he alone has introduced the ancient fictions with effect. His Minos, his Charon, his Pluto, are absolutely terrific. Nothing can be more beautiful or original than the use which he has made of the River of Lethe. He has never assigned to his mythological characters any functions inconsistent with creed of the Catholic Church. has related nothing concerning them which a good Christian of that age might not believe possible. On this account, there is nothing in these passages that appears puerile or pedantic. On the contrary, this singular use of classical names suggests to the mind a vague and awful idea of some mysterious revelation, anterior to all recorded history, of which the dispersed fragments might

re been retained amidst the imposmerely as allegorical representatives of tures and superstitions of later religions. Indeed the mythology of the Divine Comedy is of the clder and more colossal mould. It breathes the spirit of Homer and Æschylus, not of Ovid and Claudian.

This is the more extraordinary, since Dante seems to have been utterly ignorant of the Greek language; and his favourite Latin models could only have served to mislead him. Indeed, it is impossible not to remark his admiration of writers far inferior to himself; and, in pasticular, his idolatry of Virgil, who, elegant and splendid as he is, has no pretensions to the depth and origisonality of the classical divinities have nality of mind which characterise his failed from a different cause. They Tuscan worshipper. In truth, it may

he laid down as an almost universal rule that good poets are bad critics. Their minds are under the tyranny o to others. The worst writer may easily happen to touch a spring which is connected in their minds with a long succession of beautiful images. They are like the gigantic slaves of Aladdin gifted with matchless power, but bound by spells so mighty that when a child whom they could have crushed touched a talisman, of whose secret he was ignorant, they immediately became his vassals. It has more than once happened to me to see minds, graceful and majestic as the Titania of Shakspeare, he bestowing on it the fondest caresses, and crowning it with the sweetest flowers. I need only mention the poems attributed to Ossian. ntterly worthless, except as an edifying instance of the success of a story without evidence, and of a book without merit. They are a chaos of words which present no image, of images which have no archetype:-they are without form and void; and darkness is upon the face of them. Yet how many men of genius have panegyrised and imitated them!

The style of Dante is, if not h highest, perhaps his most peculiar excellence. I know nothing with which it can be compared. The noblest models of Greek composition must yield to it. His words are the fewest and the best which it is possible to use. The first expression in which he clothes his thoughts is always so energetic and comprehensive that amplification would only injure the effect. There is probably no writer in any language who has presented so many strong pictures to the mind. Yet there is probably no writer equally concise. This perfection of style is the principal merit of the Paradiso, which, as I have already remarked, is by no means equal in other respects to the two preceding parts of the poem. The force and felicity of the diction, however, irresistibly attract the reader through the theological lectures and the sketches of ecclesiastical biography,

with which this division of the work too much abounds. It may seem almost absurd to quote particular speciten thousand associations imperceptible mens of an excellence which is diffused over all his hundred cantos. I will, however, instance the third canto of the Inferno, and the sixth of the Purgatorio, as passages incomparable in their kind. The merit of the latter is, perhaps, rather oratorical than poetical; nor can I recollect anything in the great Athenian speeches which equals it in force of invective and bitterness of sarcasm. I have heard the most eloquent statesman of the age remark that, next to Demosthenes. Dante is the writer who ought to be be witched by the charms of an ass's most attentively studied by every man who desires to attain oratorical emi-

> But it is time to close this feeble They are and rambling critique. I cannot rein, however, from saving a few words upon the translations of the Divine Comedy. Boyd's is as tedious and languid as the original is rapid and forcible. The strange measure which he has chosen, and, for aught I know, invented, is most unfit for such a work. Translations ought never to be written in a verse which requires much comnand of rhyme. The stanza becomes a bed of Procrustes; and the thoughts of the unfortunate author are alternately racked and curtailed to fit their new receptacle. The abrupt and yet consecutive style of Dante suffers more han that of any other poet by a version diffuse in style, and divided into paragraphs, for they deserve no other name, of equal length.

Nothing can be said in favour of Hayley's attempt, but that it is better han Boyd's. His mind was a toleraable specimen of filigree work,-rather legant, and very feeble. All that can be said for his best works is that they

neat. All that can be said against his worst is that they are stupid. He might have translated Motastasio toerably. But he was utterly unable to lo justice to the

" rime e aspre e chiosce, "Come si convergebbe al tristo buco."\*

I turn with pleasure from these \* Interno, canto xxxii.

translation. It is a work which well putation. deserves a separate discussion, and on self a man of poetical genius. original merits: and I believe that fatigue. praise for his intimacy with the lanmastery over his own.

## CRITICISMS ON THE PRINCIPAL ITALIAN WRITERS.

No. II. Petrarch. (April 1824.)

Et vos, o lauri, carpam, et te, proxima myrte, Sic positie quoniam suaves miscetis odores.

IT would not be easy to name a writer and admiration. Yet still the inhabitants of every needless to multiply instances. Even nation throughout the western world now all the walks of literature are inhis adventures as with the most illus- attempt to excite our interest by exhitrious names, and the most recent biting all the distortions of their inanecdetes, of their own literary history. tellects, and stripping the covering This is indeed a rare distinction. His from all the putrid sores of their feelings. detractors must acknowledge that it Nor are there wanting many who push could not have been acquired by a their imitation of the beggars whom poet destitute of merit. His admirers they resemble a step further, and who will scarcely maintain that the unas- find it easier to extort a pittance from sisted merit of Petrarch could have the spectator, by simulating deformity raised him to that eminence which has and debility from which they are exnot yet been attained by Shakspeare, empt, than by such honest labour as Milton, or Dante,—that eminence, of their health and strength enable them which perhaps no modern writer, ex- to perform. In the meantime the cepting himself and Cervantes, has long credulous public pities and pumpers a

wretched performances to Mr. Cary's retained possession, -- an European re-

It is not difficult to discover some of which, if this article were not already the causes to which this great man has too long, I could dwell with great owed a celebrity, which I cannot but pleasure. At present I will only say think disproportioned to his real claims that there is no other version in the on the admiration of mankind. In the world, as far as I know, so faithful, yet first place, he is an egotist. Egotism that there is no other version which so in conversation is universally abhorred. fully proves that the translator is him- Lovers, and, I believe, lovers alone, Those pardon it in each other. No services, who are ignorant of the Italian lan- no talents, no powers of pleasing, renguage should read it to become der it endurable. Gratifude, admiraacquainted with the Divine Comedy. tion, interest, fear, scarcely prevent Those who are most intimate with those who are condemned to listen to Italian literature should read it for its it from indicating their disgust and The childless uncle, the they will find it difficult to determine powerful patron, can scarcely extort whether the author deserves most this compliance. We leave the inside of the mail in a storm, and mount the guage of Dante, or for his extraordinary box, rather than hear the history of our companion. The chaplain bites his lips in the presence of the archbishop. The midshipman yawns at the table of the First Lord. Yet, from whatever cause, this practice, the pest of conversation, gives to writing a zest which nothing clse can impart. Rousseau made the boldest experiment of this kind; and it fully succeeded. In our own time Lord Byron, by a series of attempts of the same nature, made himself the object of general interest Wordsworth wrote whose celebrity, when both its extent with egotism more intense, but less and its duration are taken into the ac- obvious; and he has been rewarded count, can be considered as equal to with a sect of worshippers, comparathat of Petrarch. Four centuries and tively small in number, but far more a half have clapsed since his death. enthusiastic in their devotion. It is are as familiar with his character and fested with mendicants for fame, who

treadmill and the whip. This art, often successful when employed by works which possess intrinsic merit. We are always desirous to know something of the character and situation of those whose writings we have perused The passages in which with pleasure. Milton has alluded to his own circumstances are perhaps read more frequently, and with more interest, than any other lines in his poems. It is amusing to observe with what labour critics have attempted to glean from the poems of Homer some hints as to his situation and feelings. According to one hypothesis, he intended to describe himself under the name of Demodocus. Others maintain that he was the identical Phemius whose life Ulysses spared. This propensity of the human mind explains, I think, in a great degree, the extensive popularity of a poet whose works are little else than the expression of his personal feelings.

In the second place, Petrarch was not only an egotist, but an amatory egotist. The hopes and fears, the joys and sorrows, which he described, were derived from the passion which of all passions exerts the widest influence. and which of all passions borrows most from the imagination. He had also another immense advantage. He was the first eminent amatory poet who appeared after the great convulsion which had changed, not only the political, but the moral, state of the world. The Greeks, who, in their public institutions and their literary tastes, were diametrically opposed to the oriental nations, bore a considerable resemblance to those nations their in domestic habits. Like them, they despised the intellects and immured the persons of their women; and it was among the least of the frightful evils to which this pernicious system gave birth, that all the accomplishments of mind, and all the fascinations of manner, which, in a highly-cultivated age, men to their female associates, were them, from their forests and marshes, monopolised by the Phrynes and the those qualities without which humanity Lamias. The indispensable ingredients is a weakness and knowledge a curse,

nuisance which requires only the of honourable and chivalrous love were nowhere to be found united. The matrons and their daughters, confined dunces, gives irresistible fascination to in the harem, -insipid, uneducated, ignorant of all but the mechanical arts. scarcely seen till they were married, could rarely excite interest; while their brilliant rivals, half graces, half harpies, elegant and informed, but fickle and rapacious, could never inspire respect.

> The state of society in Rome was, in this point, far happier; and the Latin literature partook of the superiority. The Roman poets have decidedly surpassed those of Greece in the delineation of the passion of love. There is no subject which they have treated with so much success. Ovid, Catullus, Tibullus, Horacc, and Propertius, in spite of all their faults, must be allowed to rank high in this department of the art. To these I would add

took his plots from Greece, found, I suspect, the originals of his enchanting

my favourite Plautus; who, though he

female characters at Rome.

Still many evils remained: and, in the decline of the great empire, all that was pernicious in its domestic institutions appeared more strongly. the influence of governments at once dependent and tyrannical, which purchased, by cringing to their enemies, the power of trampling on their subjects, the Romans sunk into the lowest state of effeminacy and debasement. Falsehood, cowardice, sloth, conscious and unrepining degradation, formed the national character. Such a character is totally incompatible with the stronger passions. Love, in particular, which, in the modern sense of the word, implies protection and devotion on the one side, confidence on the other, respect and fidelity on both, could not exist among the sluggish and heartless slaves who cringed around the thrones of Honorius and Augustulus. At this period the great renovation commenced. The warriors of the north, destitute as they were of will generally be necessary to attach knowledge and humanity, brought with

shame—the contempt of danger. It. would be most interesting to examine But they wrote in an age which could the manner in which the admixture of not appreciate their merits; and their the savage conquerors and the effeminate slaves, after many generations of darkness and agitation, produced the modern European character; -to trace back, from the first conflict to the final | Valentine is in love. The public preamalgamation, the operation of that mysterious alchemy which, from hostile and worthless elements, extracted the pure gold of human natur :-- to analyse the mass, and to determine the proportion in which the parison with his immediate successors ingredients are mingled. But I will than with those who had preceded him. confine myself to the subject to which Till more than a century after his death I nave more particularly referred. The Italy produced no poet who could be nature of the passion of love had under-compared to him. This decay of genius gone a complete change. It still re- is doubtless to be ascribed, in a great tained, indeed, the fanciful and volup- measure, to the influence which his own tuous charactemwhich it had possessed works had exercised upon the literature among the southern nations of anti- of his country. Yet it has conduced quity. But it was tinged with the much to his fame. Nothing is more superstitious veneration with which the favourable to the reputation of a writer northern warriors had been accustomed than to be succeeded by a race inferior to regard women. Devotion and war to himself; and it is an advantage, had imparted to it their most selemn from obvious causes, much more freand animating feelings. It was same quently enjoyed by those who corrupt tified by the blessings of the Church, the national taste than by those who and decorated with the wreaths of the improve it. Venus, as in the ancient | tournament. fable, was again rising above the dark | those which I have mentioned to spread and tempestuous waves which had so the renown of Petrarch. I mean the long covered her beauty. But she rose interest which is inspired by the events not now, as of old, in exposed and of his life-an interest which must luxurious leveliness. She still were bave been strongly felt by his contempothe cestus of her ancient witchcraft; but the diadem of Juno was on her hand. Love might, in fact, be called a great men to whom we owe the resuscinew passion; and it is not astonishing that the first poet of eminence who most place; and his enthusiastic attheme should have exerted an extraordinary sensation. He may be compared to an adventurer who accidentally lands in a rich and unknown island; and who, though he may only an almost fanatical devotion. He was set up an ill-shaped cross upon the the missionary, who proclaimed its disshore, acquires possession of its treasufes, and gives it his name. The claim of Petrarch was indeed somewhat like that of Amerigo Vespucei to retired to seclusion to meditate on its the continent which should have beauties—the champion, who fought its derived its appellation from Columb s. Lattles—the conqueror, who, in more

-energy-independence-the dread of The Provencel poets were unquestion ably the masters of the Florentine. imitator lived at the very period when composition in the vernacular language began to attract general attention. Petrarch was in literature what a ferred him, not because his merits were of a transcendent order, but because he was the first person whom they saw after they awoke from their long sleep,

Nor did Petrarch gain less by com-

Another cause has co-operated with raries, since, after an interval of five hundred years, no critic can be wholly brow, and the agis of Pallas in her exempt from its influence. Among the tation of science he deserves the forewholly devoted his genius to this tachment to this great cause constitutes his most just and splendid title to the gratitude of posterity. He was the votary of literature. He loved it with a perfect love. He worshipped it with coveries to distant countries -- the pilgrim, who travelled far and wide to collect its reliques—the hermit, who

barism and ignorance in triumph, and received in the capitol the laurel which his magnificent victory had earned.

Nothing can be conceived more noble or affecting than that ceremony. The superb palaces and porticoes, by which had rolled the ivory chariots of Marius and Casar, had long mouldered The laurelled fasces—the into dust. golden eagles—the shouting legions the captives and the pictured citieswere indeed wanting to his victorious The sceptre had passed procession. away from Rome. But she still retained the mightier influence of an intellectual empire, and was now to confer the prouder reward of an intellectual To the man who had extriumph. tended the dominion of her ancient language —who had creeted the trophics of philosophy and imagination in the haunts of ignorance and ferocitywhose captives were the hearts of admiring nations enchained by the influence of his song -whose spoils were the treasures of ancient genius rescued from obscurity and decay-the Eternal City offered the just and glorious tribute of her gratitude. Amidst the ruined monuments of ancient and the infant erections of modern art he who had restored the broken link between the two ages of human civilisation was crowned with the wreath which he had deserved from the moderns who owed to him their refinement-from the ancients who owed to him their fame. Never was a coronation so august witnessed by Westminster or by Rheims.

When we turn from this glorious spectacle to the private chamber of the poet,-when we contemplate the struggle of passion and virtue,-the eye dimmed, the check furrowed, by the tears of sinful and hopeless desire,when we reflect on the whole history of his attachment, from the gay fantasy of his youth to the lingering despair of his age, pity and affection mingle with our admiration. Even after death had placed the last seal on his nisery, we see him devoting to the cause of the human mind all the strength and energy which love and sorrow had buted to the circumstance, that painting spared. He lived the apostle of litera- and sculpture had attained a high de-

than a metaphorical sense, led bar-|ture;-he fell its martyr:-he was found dead with his head reclined on a book.

Those who have studied the life and writings of Petrarch with attention, will perhaps be inclined to make some deductions from this panegyric. It cannot be denied that his merits were disfigured by a most unpleasant affectation. His zeal for literature communicated a tinge of pedantry to all his feelings and opinions. His love was the love of a sonnetteer :- his patriotism was the patriotism of an antiquarian. The interest with which we contemplate the works, and study the history, of those who, in former ages, have occupied our country, arises from the associations which connect them with the community in which are comprised all the objects of our affection and our hope. In the mind of Petrarch these feelings were reversed. He loved Italy, because it abounded with the monuments of the ancient masters of the world. His native city-the fair and glorious Florence—the modern Athens, then in all the bloom and strength of its youth, could not obtain, from the most distinguished of its citizens, any portion of that passionate homage which he paid to the decrepitude of Rome. These and many other blemishes, though they must in candour be acknowledged, can but in a very slight degree diminish the glory of his career. For my own part, I look upon it with so much fondness and pleasure that I feel reluctant to turn from it to the consideration of his works, which I by no means contemplate with equal admiration.

Nevertheless, I think highly of the poetical powers of Petrarch. He did not possess, indeed, the art of strongly presenting sensible objects to the imagination :—and this is the more remarkable, because the talent of which I speak is that which peculiarly distinguishes the Italian poets. In the Divine Comedy it is displayed in its It characterises highest perfection. almost every celebrated poem in the language. Perhaps this is to be attri-

gree of excellence in Italy before poetry | tions, which so much astonish the unhad been extensively cultivated. Men | learned. The French cook, who boastwere debarred from books, but accustomed from childhood to contemplate the admirable works of art, which, even in the thirteenth century, Italy began to produce. Hence their imaginations received so strong a bias that, even in their writings, a taste for graphic de-The progress lineation is discernible. of things in England has been in all respects different. The consequence is, that English historical pictures are poems on canvass; while Italian poems are pictures painted to the mind by means of words. Of this national characteristic the writings of Petrarch are almost totally destitute. His sonnets indeed, from their subject and nature, and his Latin poems, from the restraints which always shackle one who writes in a dead language, cannot fairly be received in evidence. But his Triumphs absolutely required the exercise of this talent, and exhibit no indications of it.

Genius, however, he certainly possessed, and genius of a high order. His ardent, tender, and magnificent turn of thought, his brilliant fancy, his command of expression, at once forcible and elegant, must be acknowledged. Nature meant him for the prince of lyric writers. But by one fatal present she deprived her other gifts of half their value. He would have been a much greater poet had he been a less clever man. His ingenuity was the bane of his mind. He abandoned the noble and natural style, in which he might have excelled, for the conceits which he produced with a facility at once admirable and disgusting. His muse, like the Roman lady in Livy, was tempted by gaudy ornaments to betray the fastnesses of her strength, and, like her, was crushed beneath the glittering bribes which had seduced her.

The paucity of his the aghts is very remarkable. It is impossible to look without amazement on a mind so fertile in combinations, yet so barren of images. . His amatory poetry is wholly made up of a very few topics, disposed in so many orders, and exhibited in so many lights, that it reminds us of those arithmetical problems about permuta-

ed that he could make fifteen different dishes out of a nettle-top, was not a greater master of his art. The mind of Petrarch was a kaleidoscope. every turn it presents us with new forms, always fantastic, occasionally beautiful; and we can scarcely believe that all these varieties have been produced by the same worthless fragments The sameness of his images of glass. is, indeed, in some degree, to be attributed to the sameness of his subject. It would be unreasonable to expect perpetual variety from so many hundred compositions, all of the same length, all in the same measure, and all addressed to the same insipid and heartless coquette. I cannot but suspect also that the perverted taste, which is the blemish of his amatory verses, was to be attributed to the influence of Laura, who, probably, like most critics of her sex, preferred a gaudy to a majestic style. Be this as it may, he no sooner changes his subject than he changes his manner. When he speaks of the wrongs and degradation of Italy, devastated by foreign invaders, and but feebly defended by her pusillanimous children, the effeminate lisp of the sonnetteer is exchanged for a cry, wild, and solemn, and piercing as that which proclaimed "Sleep no more" to the bloody house of Cawdor. seems not to feel her sufferings," exclaims her impassioned poet; "decrepit, sluggish, and languid, will she sleep for ever? Will there be none to awake her? Oh that I had my hands twisted in her hair!" \*

Nor is it with less energy that he denounces against the Mahometan Babylon the vengeance of Europe and of Christ. His magnificent enumeration of the ancient exploits of the Greeks must alway excite admiration. and cannot be perused without the deepest interest, at a time when the wise and good, bitterly disappointed in so many other countries, are looking

<sup>\* &</sup>quot; Che suoi guai non par che senta :

Vecchia, oziosa, e lenta. Dormira sempre, e non fla chi la svegli? Le man l'avess' io avvolte entro e capegli." Canzone xi.

with breathless anxiety towards the we recognise Napolcon in the pictures

deserve the highest commendation. At generally impossible to say what the head of these must be placed the thought is meant to be prominent. Ode to the Virgin. It is, perhaps, the All is equally elaborate. finest hymn in the world. His devout wears the same gorgeous and degrading veneration receives an exquisitely poeti- livery with his refinue, and obtains cal character from the delicate percep- only his share of the indifferent stare tion of the sex and the loveliness of which we bestow upon them in comhis idol, which we may easily trace mon. throughout the whole composition.

and similar parts of the writings of nated figures in an oriental manu-Petrarch; but I must return to his amatory poetry: to that he entrusted his fame; and to that he has princi-

nally owed it.

The prevailing defect of his best compositions on this subject is the unimore the case than with that of love. of Gold. Still there is they should be neither muffled nor they can be tawdry. exposed. The drapery should be poses of modest concealment and judi- forced antitheses, bad puns, and .... cious display. The decorations should subsidiary. the contrary, arrays itself like a foppish tempt at wit, in the world. savage, whose nose is bored with a is a rule, without any exception, in from joy to despair:--yet they are cipal idea, the predominant feeling, observation have gone, with exactly should never be confounded with the the same feeling. The fact is, that in accompanying decorations. It should none of them are the passion and the generally be distinguished from them ingenuity mixed in just proportions.

" Maratona, e le mortali stretto

natal land of liberty,—the field of of his battles, amidst a crowd of em-Marathon,-and the deadly pass where broidered coats and plumes, by his the Lion of Lacedamon turned to bay.\* grey cloak and his hat without a fea-His poems on religious subjects also ther. In the verses of Petrarch it is The poems have no strong lights and shades, no background, no I could dwell with pleasure on these foreground; - they are like the illumiscript,—plenty of rich tints and no perspective. Such are the faults of the most celebrated of these compositions. Of those which are universally acknowledged to be bad it is scarcely possible to speak with patience. Yet they have versal brilliancy with which they are much in common with their splendid The natural language of companions. They differ from them. the passions is, indeed, often figurative as a May-day procession of chimneyand fantastic and with none is this sweepers differs from the Field of Cloth They have the gaudiness limit. The feelings but not the wealth. His muse belongs should, indeed, have their ornamental to that numerous class of females who garb; but, lik an elegant woman, have no objection to be dirty, while When his brilliant conceits are exhausted, he supplies arranged, as at once to answer the pur-their place with metaphysical quibbles, rable charades. In his fifth -onnet sometimes be employed to hide a de- he may, I think, be said to have fect, and sometimes to heighten a beauty; sounded the lowest chasm of the Babut never to conceal, much less to dis- thos. Upon the whole, that piece may tort, the charms to which they are be safely pronounced to be the worst The love of Petrarch, on attempt at poetry, and the worst at-

A strong proof of the truth of these golden ring, whose skin is painted with criticisms is, that almost all the songrotesque forms and dazzling colours, nets produce exactly the same effect on and whose cars are drawn down his the mind of the reader. They relate shoulders by the weight of jewels. It to all the various moods of a lover, all kinds of composition, that the prin- perused, as far as my experience and by greater simplicity of expression; as There is not enough sentiment to dilute the condiments which are employed to season it. The repast which he sets (The diffese il LEON con poca gente." Season it. The repast which ho sets before us resembles the Spanish entertainment in Dryden's Mock Astrologer at which the relish of all the dishes and sauces was overpowered by the common flavour of spice. Fish, -flesh.

nothing but red pepper.

The writings of Petrarch may indeed of seven? which I must allude. His imitators Italy and of Europe to the favourite topics of amorous flattery and lamentation, that we can scarcely think them origistandings have convinced us that they down the old regime of criticism. writers. It is melancholy to trace a noble thought from stage to stage of its profanation; to see it transferred from the first illustrious wearer to his lacqueys, turned, and turned again, and at last hung on a scarecrow. Petrarch has really suffered much from this cause. Yet that he should have so suffered is a sufficient proof that his excellences were not of the highest order. A line may be stolen; but the pervading spirit of a great poet is not to be surreptitiously obtained by a plagiarist. The continued imitation of twenty-five centuries has left Homer as it found him. If every simile and every turn of Dante had been copied ten thousand times, the Divine Comedy would have retained all its freshness. It was easy for the porter in Farquhar to pass for Beau Clincher, by borrowing his lace and his pulvilio. It would have been more difficult to enact Sir Harry Wildair.

Before I quit this subject I must defend Petrarch from one accusation which against him. His sonnets are protod on the unities of the drama. I am ence. And it must be owned that,

without doubt he shall be called a blockhead. I cannot, however, refrain from asking what is the particular virtue which belongs to fourteen as dis--fowl,-everything at table tasted of tinguished from all other numbers. Does it arise from its being a multiple Has this principle any suffer undeservedly from one cause to reference to the sabbatical ordinance? Or is it to the order of rhymes that have so much familiarised the ear of these singular properties are attached? Unhappily the sonnets of Shakspeare differ as much in this respect from those of Petrarch, as from a Spenserian or an nal when we find them in the first octave stanza. Away with this unauthor; and, even when our under- meaning jargon! We have pulled were new to him, they are still old to trust that we shall never tolerate the This has been the fate of many of equally pedantic and irrational despotthe finest passages of the most eminent ism, which some of the revolutionary leaders would erect upon its ruins. We have not dethroned Aristotle and Bossu for this.

> These sonnet-funciors would do well o reflect that, though the style of Petrarch may not suit the standard of perfection which they have chosen, hey lie under great obligations to these very poems,-that, but for Petrarch. he measure, concerning which they egislate so judiciously, would probably ever have attracted notice ;- and that o him they owe the pleasure of admiing, and the glory of composing, pieces, which seem to have been produced by Master Slender, with the assistance of

his man Simple.

I cannot conclude these remarks without making a few observations on the Latin writings of Petrarch. appears that, both by himself and by his contemporaries, these were far more highly valued than his compositions in the vernacular language. Posterity, the supreme court of literary is in the present day frequently brought appeal, has not only reversed the judgment, but, according to its general nounced by a large sect of critics not practice, reversed it with costs, and to possess certain qualities which they condemned the unfortunate works to maintain to be indispensable to sonnets, pay, not only for their own inferiority, with as much confidence, and as much but also for the injustice of those who reason, as their prototypes of old insis- had given them an unmerited preferan exoteric-utterly unable to explain without making large allowances for the mysteries of this new poetical faith, the circumstances under which they I only know that it is a faith, which ex- were produced, we cannot pronounce sept a man do keep pure and undefiled, a very favourable judgment.

wanting, and only illuminates with ing. never have surpassed his beauties if certainly very amusing. they had not inherited them.

with what success those who have read causes of the paleness of physicians. it may easily determine. It consists of ing it, in almost the same words, at the the literature, the manners, and the

must be considered as exotics, trans- end of every argument of his antagoplanted to a foreign climate, and reared nist. In this manner Petrarch solves in an unfavourable situation; and it an immense variety of cases. Indeed. would be unreasonable to expect from I doubt whether it would be possible them the health and the vigour which to name any pleasure or any calamity we find in the indigenous plants which does not find a place in this around them, or which they might dissertation. He gives excellent advice themselves have possessed in their na- to a man who is in expectation of distive soil. He has but very imperfectly covering the philosopher's stone :--to imitated the style of the Latin authors, another, who has formed a fine aviary; and has not compensated for the defi- -to a third, who is delighted with the ciency by enriching the ancient lan- tricks of a favourite monkey. His lecguage with the graces of modern poetry. tures to the unfortunate are equally The splendour and ingenuity, which singular. He seems to imagine that a we admire, even when we condemn it, precedent in point is a sufficient conin his Italian works, is almost totally solation for every form of suffer-"Our town is taken," says rare and occasional glimpses the dreary one complainant; "So was Troy," reobscurity of the Africa. The ecloques plies his comforter. "My wife has have more animation; but they can eloped," says another; "If it has haponly be called poems by courtesy, pened to you once, it happened to They have nothing in common with his Menclaus twice." One poor fellow is writings in his native language, except in great distress at having discovered the eternal pun about Laura and that his wife's son is none of his. "It Daphne. None of these works would is hard," says he, "that I should have have placed him on a level with Vida had the expense of bringing up one or Buchanan. Yet, when we compare who is indifferent to me." "You are him with those who preceded him, a man," returns his monitor, quoting when we consider that he went on the the famous line of Terence; "and noforlorn hope of literature, that he was thing that belongs to any other man the first who perceived, and the first ought to be indifferent to you." The who attempted to revive, the finer ele-physical calamities of life are not omitgancies of the ancient language of the fed; and there is in particular a disworld, we shall perhaps think more quisition on the advantages of having highly of him than of those who could the itch, which, if not convincing, is

The invectives on an unfortunate phy-He has aspired to emulate the phi- sician, or rather upon the medical science, losophical eloquence of Cicero, as well have more spirit. Petrarch was thoroughas the poetical majesty of Virgil. His ly in earnest on this subject. And the essay on the Remedies of Good and bitterness of his feelings occasionally Evil Fortune is a singular work in a produces, in the midst of his classical and colloquial form, and a most scholastic scholastic pedantry, a sentence worthy style. It seems to be framed upon the of the second Philippic. Swift himself model of the Tusculan Questions,-- might have envied the chapter on the

Of his Latin works the Epistles are a series of dialogues: in each of these the most generally known and admired. a person is introduced who has expe- As compositions they are certainly surienced some happy or some adverse perior to his cssays. But their excelevent: he gravely states his case; and lence is only comparative. From so a reasoner, or rather Reason personi- large a collection of letters, written by fled, confutes him; a task not very so eminent a man, during so varied and difficult, since the disciple defends his eventful a life, we should have exposition only by pertinaciously repeat- pected a complete and spirited view of

A traveller—a politics of the age. poet—a scholar -a lover—a courtier— SOME ACCOUNT OF THE GREAT a recluse—he might have perpetuated, in an imperishable record, the form and pressure of the age and body of the time. Those who read his correspondence, in the hope of linding such information as this, will be utterly disappointed. It contains nothing characteristic of the period or of the individual. It is a series, not of letters. but of themes; and, as it is not gene-Doge, or send advice and consolation at the races and the sessions. to a private friend, every line is crowded the least affected are those which are of all his Latin works the preference checked in any excess. more pleasing memorial of himself.

ble to the extension of his fame.

LAWSUIT BETWEEN PARISHES OF ST. DENNIS AND ST. GEORGE IN THE WATER. (APRIL 1824.)

## Part I.

The parish of St. Dennis is one of the most pleasant parts of the county in which it is situated. It is fertile, well wooded, well watered, and of an excelrally known, might be very safely em- lent air. For many generations the ployed at public schools as a magazine manor had been holden in tail-male by of common-places. Whether he write a worshipful family, who have always on politics to the Emperor and the taken precedence of their neighbours

In ancient times the affairs of this with examples and quotations, and parish were administered by a Courtsounds big with Anaxagoras and Scipio. Baron, in which the freeholders were Such was the interest excited by the judges; and the rates were levied by character of Petrarch, and such the select vestries of the inhabitant houseadmiration which was felt for his epis- holders. But at length these good tolary style, that it was with difficulty customs fell into disuse. The Lords that his letters reached the place of of the Manor, indeed, still held courts their destination. The poet describes, for form's sake; but they or their with pretended regret and real compla- stewards had the whole management cency, the importunity of the curious, of affairs. They demanded services, who often opened, and sometimes stole, duties, and customs to which they had these favourite compositions. It is a no just title. Nay, they would often remarkable fact that, of all his epistles, bring actions against their neighbours for their own private advantage, and addressed to the dead and the unborn, then send in the bill to the parish. Nothing can be more absurd than his No objection was made, during many whim of composing grave letters of years, to these proceedings, so that the expostulation and commendation to ates became heavier and heavier: nor Cicero and Seneca; yet these strange was any person exempted from these performances are written in a far more demands, except the footmen and gamenatural manner than his communica- keepers of the squire and the rector of tions to his living correspondents. But the parish. They indeed were never They would must be given to the Epistle to Poste- come to an honest labourer's cottage. rity; a simple, noble, and pathetic eat his paneakes, tuck his fowls into composition, most honourable both to their pockets, and cane the poor man his taste and his heart. If we can himself. If he went up to the great make allowance for some of the affected house to complain, it was hard to get humility of an author, we shall perhaps the speech of Sir Lewis; and, indeed, think that no literary man has left a his only chance of being righted was to coax the squire's pretty housekeeper, In conclusion, we may pronounce who could do what she pleased with that the works of Petrarch were below her master. If he ventured to intrude both his genius and his celebrity; and upon the Lord of the Manor without that the circumstances under which he this precaution, he gained nothing by wrote were as adverse to the develop- his pains. Sir Lewis, indeed, would at ment of his powers as they were favour- first receive him with a civil face; for, to give him his due, he could be a fine

gentleman when he pleased. Good | situation have you in my family?' "Bless your honour!" says the poor refused to lend a farthing. fellow, "I am not one of your honour's servants; I rent a small piece of ground the squire, "what do you mean by coming here? Has a gentleman no ance. thing to do but to hear the complaints of clowns? Here! Philip, James, Dick, toss this fellow in a blanket; or duck him, and set him in the stocks to dry."

One of these precious Lords of the Manor enclosed a deer-park; and, in order to stock it, he seized all the pretty pet fawns that his tenants had brought up, without paying them a farthing, or asking their leave. It was a sad day for the parish of St. Dennis. Indeed, I do not believe that all his oppressive exactions and long bills enraged the poor tenants so much as this

cruel measure.

Yet for a long time, in spite of all these inconveniences, St. Dennis's was a very pleasant place. The people could not refrain from capering if they heard the sound of a fiddle. And, if they were inclined to be riotous, Sir Lewis had only to send for Punch, or the dancing dogs, and all was quiet But this could not last for ever; they began to think more and more of their condition; and, at last, a clab of foul-mouthed, good-for-nothing rascals was held at the sign of the Devil, for the purpose of abusing the squire and the parson. The doctor, to own the truth, was old and indolent, extremely fat and greedy. He had not preached a tolerable sermon for a long The squire was still worse: so time. that, partly by truth and partly by falsehood, the club set the whole parish against their superiors. The boys scrawled caricatures of the clergyman upon the church-door, and shot at the landford with pop-guns as he rode a hunting. It was even whispered about that the Lord of the Manor had no right to his estate, and that, if he were compelled to produce the original titledeeds it would be found that he only held the estate in trust for the inhabitants of the parish.

In the mean time the squire was day, my friend," he would say, "what pressed more and more for money. The parish could pay no more. The rector The Jews were clamorous for their money; and the landlord had no other resource your honour." "Then, you dog," quoth than to call together the inhabitants of the parish, and to request their assist-They now attacked him furiously about their grievances, and insisted that he should relinquish his oppressive powers. They insisted that his footmen should be kept in order, that the parson should pay his share of the rates, that the children of the parish should be allowed to fish in the trout-stream, and to gather blackberries in the hedges. They at last went so far as to demand that he should acknowledge that he held his estate only in trust for them. His distress compelled him to submit. They, in return, agreed to set him free from his pecuniary difficulties, and to suffer him to inhabit the manor-house; and only annoyed him from time to time by singing impudent ballads under his window.

The neighbouring gentlefolks did not look on these proceedings with much complacency. It is true that Sir Lewis and his ancestors had plagued them with law-suits, and affronted them at county-meetings. Still they preferred he insolence of a gentleman to that of the rabble, and felt some uneasiness lest the example should infect their

own tenants.

A large party of them met at the house of Lord Cæsar Germain. Cresar was the proudest man in the ounty. His family was very ancient and illustrious, though not particularly opulent. He had invited most of his wealthy neighbours. There was Mrs. Kitty North, the relict of poor Squire Peter, respecting whom the coroner's ury had found a verdict of accidental leath, but whose fate had nevertheless excited strange whispers in the neighourhood. There was Squire Don, the owner of the great West Indian property, who was not so rich as he had formerly been, but still retained his pride, and kept up his customary pomp; to that he had plenty of plate but no

Blunderbussen, who had succeeded to squire, hooted at him, pelted him, the estates of his uncle, old Colonel ducked him, and carried him to the Frederic Von Blunderbussen, of the The colonel was a very singular old fellow; he used to learn page of Chambaud's grammar, and to translate Télémaque, every morning. and he kept six French masters to teach him to parleyvoo. Nevertheless, he was a shrewd clever man, and improved his estate with so much care, sometimes by honest and sometimes by tunes. Instead of being decently asked dishonest means, that he left a very in church, they were married over a pretty property to his nephew.

Tokay for Mrs. Kitty. "Your health, my dear madam, I never saw you look more charming. Pray, what think you pletely new principle. of these doings at St. Dennis's?"

had some of them up to the halberts. If things go on in this way, a gentleimpudent farmer, or to say a civil word to a milk-maid."

Mrs. Kitty; "their insolence is intolerable. dead! I loved him; -so I did; and, tained for the old family, that a few a walk with a tall grenadier behind me, just to protect me from audacious their good nature. vagabonds, but they must have their nauseous suspicions; — odious creatures!"---

"This must be stopped," replied Lord Cæsar. "We ought to contribute Squire Guelf on this subject by this night's post. His name is always at the head of our county subscriptions."

angry before, they were well-nigh mad people had long borne a grudge against when they heard of this conversation. their neighbours on the other side of The whole parish ran to the manor-'the stream; and some mutual treshouse. Sir Lewis's Swiss porter shut passes had lately occurred which inthe door against them; but they broke creased their hostility. and knocked him on the head for There was an honest Irishman, a

There was Squire Von his impudence. They then seized the watch-house. They turned the rector into the street, burnt his wig and band, and sold the church-plate by auction. They put up a painted Jezebel in the pulpit to preach. They scratched out the texts which were written round the church, and scribbled profane scraps of songs and plays in their place. They set the organ playing to pot-house broomstick. But, of all their whims, Lord Cæsar poured out a glass of the use of the new patent steel-traps was the most remarkable.

This trap was constructed on a com-It consisted of cleaver hung in a frame like a win-"Fine doings! indeed?" interrupted dow; when any poor wretch got in, Von Blunderbussen: "I wish that we down it came with a tremendous din. had my old uncle alive, he would have and took off his head in a twinkling. They got the squire into one of these He knew how to use a cat-o'-nine-tails. machines. In order to prevent any of his partisans from getting footing in man will not be able to horsewhip an the parish, they placed traps at every corner. It was impossible to walk through the highway at broad noon "Indeed, it's very true, Sir," said without tumbling into one or other of them. No man could go about his bu-Look at me, for instance :- siness in security. Yet so great was a poor lone woman !- My dear Peter the hatred which the inhabitants enterwhen he died, I was so hysterical you decent honest people, who begged cannot think. And now I cannot lean them to take down the steel-traps, and on the arm of a decent footman, or take to put up humane man-traps in their room, were very roughly handled for

> In the mean time the neighbouring gentry undertook a suit against the parish on the behalf of Sir Lewis's heir, and applied to Squire Guelf for his assistance.

Everybody knows that Squire Guelf to support my poor brother-in-law Everybody knows that Squire Guelf against these rascals. I will write to is more closely tied up than any gentleman in the shire. He could, therefore, lend them no help; but he referred them to the Vestry of the Parish of If the people of St. Dennis's had been St. George in the Water. These good

and to exhibit a magic lantern to the hood. children on winter evenings. He had He was a very fair-spoken man, very pay the whole bill." attentive to the main chance, and the Nevertheless the people of idol of the old women, because he ne- George's were resolved on law. The the girls; and, indeed, never took any ever! Sweet William for ever! the Scotch pedlar. the Bottomless Pit.

on the head many years before, in a quietsquabble between the parish and a former landlord. There was Dick, the many years an old gentleman, named merry-andrew, rather light-fingered Sir Habeas Corpus. He was said by and riotous, but a clever droll fellow. some to be of Saxon, by some of Nor-Above all, there was Charley, the pub- man, extraction. Some maintain that lican, & jolly, fat, honest lad, a great he was not born till after the time of favourite with the women, who, if he Sir Charles, to whom we have before

great-favourite among them, who used and chuck-farthing, would have been to entertain them with raree-shows, the best fellow in the neighbour-

"My boys," said Charley, "this is gone quite mad upon this subject. exceedingly well for Madam North;— Sometimes he would call out in the not that I would speak uncivilly of middle of the street-"Take care of her; she put up my picture in her best that corner, neighbours; for the love room, bless her for it! But, I say, of Heaven, keep clear of that post, this is very well for her, and for Lord there is a patent steel-trap concealed Cæsar, and Squire Don, and Colonel thereabouts." Sometimes he would be Von ;-but what affair is it of yours or disturbed by frightful dreams; then he mine? It is not to be wondered at. would get up at dead of night, open that gentlemen should wish to keep his window and cry "fire," till the papoor people out of their own. But it rish was roused, and the engines sent is strange, indeed, that they should for. The pulpit of the Parish of St. expect the poor themselves to combine George seemed likely to fall; I believe against their own interests. If the that the only reason was that the par- folks at St. Dennis's should attack us we son had grown too fat and heavy; but have the law and our cudgels to protect nothing would persuade this honest us. But why, in the name of wonder, man but that it was a scheme of the are we to attack them? When old Sir people at St. Dennis's, and that they Charles, who was Lord of the Manor had sawed through the pillars in order formerly, and the parson, who was to break the rector's neck. Once he presented by him to the living, tried went about with a knife in his pocket, to bully the vestry, did not we knock and told all the persons whom he met their heads together, and go to meeting that it had been sharpened by the to hear Jeremiah Ringletub preach? knife-grinder of the next parish to cut And did the Squire Don, or the great These extravagancies Sir Lewis, that lived at that time, or had a great effect on the people; and the Germains, say a word against us the more so because they were espoused for it? Mind your own business, my by Squire Guelf's steward, who was the lads: law is not to be had for nothing; most influential person in the parish, and we, you may be sure, shall have to

They ver played at skittles or danced with cried out most lustily, "Squire Guelf for recreation but that of drinking on steel traps!" Squire Guelf took all Saturday nights with his friend Harry, the rascally footmen who had worn old His supporters Sir Lewis's livery into his service. called him Sweet William; his enemies They were fed in the kitchen on the very best of everything, though they The people of St. Dennis's, however, had no settlement. Many people, and had their advocates. There was Frank, the paupers in particular, grumbled at the richest farmer in the parish, whose these proceedings. The steward, howgreat grandfather had been knocked over, devised a way to keep them

There had fived in this parish for had not been rather too fond of ale alluded. Others are of opinion that

he was a legitimate son of old Lady now set up for a gentleman; took pos-Magna Charta, although he was long session of the old manor-hous concealed and kept out of his birthhim was out of the question.

The steward, accordingly, brought a able order. dozen physicians to examine Sir Haought not, on any account, to be allowed to stir out for several months. Fortified with this authority, the parish officers put him to bed, closed his windows, and barred his doors They paid him every attention, and from time to time issued bulletins of his health. him without declaring that he was cellent care was taken that he should

never stir out of doors.

When this obstacle was removed, man, sent that man to the stocks, and law against them. pushed forward the law-suit with a noble disregard of expense. were, however, wanting either in skill or in fortune. And everything went against them after their antagonists had begun to employ Solicitor Nap.

Who does not know the name of Solicitor Nap? At what alchouse is not his behaviour discussed? In what print-shop is not his picture seen? about him! Some people hold that he used to give laudanum by pints to his sick clerks for his amusement. Others, whose number has very much increased since he was killed by the gaol distemper, conceive that he was the very model of honour and good-nature. I Nap himself. shall try to tell the truth about him,

He was assuredly an excellent solicitor. In his way he never was surpassed. As soon as the parish began refusal they were stoutly supported by to employ him, their cause took a turn. the people in St. George's. In a very little time they were successful; and Nap became rich. He cate his head to match with quality,

nto the commission of the peace, and Certain it is that he was a very affected to be on a par with the best of benevolent person. Whenever any the county. He governed the vestries poor fellow was taken up on grounds as absolutely as the old family had which he thought insufficient, he used done. Yet, to give him his due, he to attend on his behalf and bail him; managed things with far more discreand thus he had become so popular, tion than either Sir Lewis or the rioters that to take direct measures against who had pulled the Lords of the Manor down. He kept his servants in toler-He removed the steel traps from the highways and the corbeas. After consultation, they reported ners of the streets. He still left a few that he was in a very bad way, and indeed in the more exposed parts of his premises; and set up a board announcing that traps and spring guns were set in his grounds. He brought the poor parson back to the parish; and, though he did not enable him to keep a fine house and a coach as formerly, he settled him in a snug little cottage, The steward never spoke of and allowed him a pleasant pad-nag. He whitewashed the church again; and best gentleman in the world; but ex- put the stocks, which had been much wanted of late, into good repair.

With the neighbouring gentry, however, he was no favourite. He was the Squire and the steward kept the crafty and litigious. He cared nothing parish in excellent order; flogged this for right, if he could ruise a point of He pounded their attle, broke their hedges, and seduced They their tenants from them. He almost ruined Lord Cresar with actions, in every one of which he was successful. Von Blunderbussen went to law with him for an alleged trespass, but was ast, and almost ruined by the costs of suit. He next took a fancy to the seat of Squire Don, who was, to say the truth, little better than an idiot. Yet how little truth has been said asked the poor dupe to dinner, and then threatened to have him tossed in a blanket unless he would make over his estates to him. The poor Squire signed and sealed a deed by which the propery was assigned to Joe, a brother of Nap's, in trust for and to the use of The tenants, however, tood out. They maintained that the estate was entailed, and refused to pay

About the same time Nap took it

rents to the new landlord; and in this

and nothing would serve him but one more harm than his parts could do him executions in his principal residence and had refused to discharge the latter of the two, till he had extorted a bond from his Lordship, which compelled him to comply.

THE END OF THE FIRST PART.

CONVERSATION BETWEEN MR. ABRAHAM COWLEY AND MR. JOHN MILTON, TOUCHING THE GREAT CIVIL WAR.

SET DOWN BY A GENTLEMAN OF THE MIDDLE TEMPLE. (AUGUST 1824.)

" Referre sermones Deorum et Magna modis tenuare parvis."-- HORACE.

I have thought it good to set down in writing a memorable debate, wherein I was a listener, and two men of pregnant parts and great reputation discoursers; Cowley, who had lately left Barnelms. suit to his Grace of Buckingham touching certain lands of her Majosty's, whereof he requested a lease. I had the honour to be familiarly acquainted with that worthy gentleman and most excellent poet, whose death hath been de-Powers that delight in the woods, or in city!" verse, or in love, as was of old that of Daphnis or of Gallus.

material to set down at large, concerning his suit and his vexations at the where all eyes which are not closed in court, where indeed his honesty did him blindness ought to become fountains of

of the Miss Germains. Lord Cæsar good, I entreated him to dine with me swore like a trooper; but there was at my lodging in the Temple, which he no help for it. Nap had twice put most courteously promised. And, that so eminent a guest might not lack a better entertainment than cooks or vintuers can provide I sent to the house of Mr. John Milton, in the Artillery-Walk, to beg that he would also be my guest. For, though he had been secretary, first to the Council of State, and, after that, to the Protector, and Mr. Cowley had held the same post under the Lord St. Albans in his banishment, I hoped, notwithstanding. that they would think themselves rather united by their common art than divided by their different factions. And so indeed it proved. For, while we sat at table, they talked freely of many men and things, as well ancient as modern, with much civility. Nay, Mr. Milton, who seldom tasted wine, both because of his singular temperance and because of his gout, did more than once pledge Mr. Cowley, who was indeed no hermit hoping that my friends will not be in diet. At last, being heated, Mr. displeased to have a record both of the Milton begged that I would open the strange times through which I have windows. "Nay," said I, "if you lived, and of the famous men with whom desire fresh air and coolness, what I have conversed. It chanced, in the should hinder us, as the evening is fair, warm and beautiful spring of the year from sailing for an hour on the river?" 1665, a little before the saddest summer To this they both cheerfully consented; that ever London saw, that I went to the and forth we walked, Mr. Cowley and I Bowling-Green at Piccadilly, whither, leading Mr. Milton between us, to the at that time, the best gentry made Temple Stairs. There we took a boat; continual resorts. There I met Mr. and thence we were rowed up the river.

The wind was pleasant; the evening There was then a house preparing for fine; the sky, the earth, and the water him at Chertsey; and, till it should be beautiful to look upon. But Mr. Cowley finished, he had come up for a short and I held our peace, and said nothing time to London, that he might urge a of the gay sights around us, lest we should too feelingly remind Mr. Milton of his calamity; whereof, however, he needed no monitor: for soon he said sadly, "Ah, Mr. Cowley, you are a happy man. What would I now give but for one more look at the sun, and plored with as general a consent of all the waters, and the gardens of this fair

I know not," said Mr. Cowley, " whether we ought not rather to envy After some talk, which it is not you for that which makes you to envy others: and that specially in this place,

delicate feet. thoughts of other things, which even to the lawless and terrible voice, which future. justice and privilege! heard it shake with ficree and proud garden, the nurture of all living things. words, which made the hearts of the A few days more, and his head is fixed yet do I decline."
to rot on the pinnacles of that very hall Mr. Cowley w the hath not the old gravity, nor God hath reserved to himself the

What can we look upon which our people the old lovalty. These evil is not a memorial of change and sorrow, times, like the great deluge, have overof fair things vanished, and evil things whelmed and confused all earthly done? When I see the gate of White-things. And, even as those waters, hall, and the stately pillars of the Ban- though at last they abated, yet, as the queting House, I cannot choose but learned write, destroyed all trace of think of what I have there seen in the garden of Eden, so that its place former days, masques, and pageants, and hath never since been found, so hath dances, and smiles, and the waving of this opening of all the flood-gates of graceful heads, and the bounding of political evil effaced all marks of the And then I turn to ancient political paradise."

"Sir. by your favour," said Mr. remember makes me to blush and Milton, "though, from many circum-weep; of the great black scaffold, stances both of body and of fortune, I and the axe and block, which were might plead fairer excuses for deplaced before those very windows; and spondency than yourself, I yet look not the voice seems to sound in mine ears, so sadly either on the past or on the That a deluge hath passed cried out that the head of a king was over this our nation, I deny not. But the head of a traitor. There stands I hold it not to be such a deluge as that Westminster Hall, which who can look of which you speak; but rather a upon, and not tremble to think how blessed flood, like those of the Nile, time, and change, and death confound which in its overflow doth indeed wash the councils of the wise, and beat down away ancient landmarks, and confound the weapons of the mighty? How have boundaries, and sweep away dwellings, I seen it surrounded with tens of yea, doth give birth to many foul and thousands of petitioners crying for dangerous reptiles. Yet hence is the How have I fullness of the granary, the beauty of the

"I remember well, Mr. Cowley, what people burn within them! Then it is you have said concerning these things blockaded by dragoons, and cleared by in your Discourse of the Government pikemen. And they who have conquered of Oliver Cromwell, which my friend their master go forth trembling at the Elwood read to me last year. Truly, word of their servant. And yet a little for elegance and rhetoric, that essay is while, and the usurper comes forthfrom to be compared with the finest tractates it, in his robe of ermine, with the golden of Isocrates and Cicero. But neither staff in one hand and the Bible in the that nor any other book, nor any events. other, amidst the roaring of the guns which with most men have, more than and the shouting of the people. And any book, weight and authority, have yet again a little while, and the doors altered my opinion, that, of all assemare thronged with multitudes in black, blies that ever were in this world, the and the hearse and the plumes come best and the most useful was our Long forth; and the tyrant is borne, in more Parliament. I speak not this as wishthan royal pomp, to a royal sepulchre. ing to provoke debate; which neither

to rot on the pinnacles of that very hall Mr. Cowley was, as I could see, a where he sat on a throne in his life, little nettled. Yet, as he was a man of and lay in state after his death. When a kind disposition and a most refined I think on all these things, to look courtesy, he put a force upon himself, round me-makes me sad at heart. True it and answered with more vehemence is that God hath restored to us our old and quickness indeed than was his laws, and the rightful line of our kings. wont, yet not uncivilly. "Surely, Mr. Yet, how I know not, but it seems to Milton, you speak not as you think. I me that something is wanting-that am indeed one of those who believe that

can I casily find excuse for the violence for these men? Which of their just demands was not granted? Which even of tions, so as it were not inconsistent with all law and order, was refused? Had they not sent Strafford to the block and Laud to the Tower? Had they not destroyed the Courts of the High Commission and the Star Chamber? Had they not reversed the proceedings confirmed by the voices of the judges of England, in the matter of ship-money? Had they not taken from the king his ancient and most lawful power touching the order of knighthood? Had they not provided that, after their dissolution, triennial parliaments should be holden, and that their own power should continue till of their great condescension they should be pleased to resign it themselves? What more could they had taken from their king all his oppressive powers, and many that were most salutary? Was it not enough that they had filled his council-board with his cuemies, and his prisons with Was it not enough his adherents? that they had raised a furious multitude, to shout and swagger daily under the very windows of his royal palace? of princely mercy; that, complaining removed? of intolerance themselves, they had de- desire?" nied all toleration to others; that they his friends?

any well ordered republic, that it was therefore, which in themselves were committed to the debates of a large and peradventure hurtful, was this Parliaunsettled assembly. For their other ment constrained to ask, lest otherwise

censure of kings, and that their crimes their vengeance all who had defended and oppressions are not to be resisted the rights of his crown, his honour by the hands of their subjects. Yet must have been ruined if he had complied. Is it not therefore plain that of such as are stung to madness by they desired these things only in order grievous tyranny. But what shall we say that, by refusing, his Majesty might give them a pretence for war?

"Men have often risen up against their cruel and unreasonable requisi- fraud, against cruelty, against rapine. But when before was it known that concessions were met with importunities, graciousness with insults, the open palm of bounty with the clenched fist of malice? Was it like trusty delegates of the Commons of England. and faithful stewards of their liberty and their wealth, to engage them for such causes in civil war, which both to liberty and to wealth is of all things the most hostile. Evil indeed must be the disease which is not more tolerable than such a medicine. Those who, even to save a nation from tyrants, excite it to civil war do in general but minister to it the same miserable kind of relief wherewith the wizards of Pharaoh mocked the Egyptian. We Was it not enough that they read that, when Moses had turned their waters into blood, those impious magiians, intending, not benefit to the hirsting people, but vain and emulous stentation of their own art, did themwives also change into blood the water which the plague had spared. Such ad comfort do those who stir up war inister to the oppressed. But here where was the oppression? What was Was it not enough that they had taken the favour which had not been granted? from him the most blessed prerogative. What was the evil which had not been What further could they

"These questions," said Mr. Milton, had urged, against forms, scruples austerely, "have indeed often deceived childish as those of any formalist; that the ignorant; but that Mr. Cowley they had persecuted the least remnant should have been so beguiled, I marvel. of the popularities with the fiercest bit- You ask what more the Parliament terness of the popular spirit? Must could desire? I will answer you in they besides all this have full power to one word, security. What are votes. command his armies, and to massacre and statutes, and resolutions? They have no eyes to see, no hands to strike "For military command, it was and avenge. They must have some known in any monarchy, nay, in safeguard from without. Many things, requisition, that he should give up to good laws and precious rights should

be without defence. Nor did they purses of his Commons to support the want a great and signal example of revels of Buckingham or the processions this danger. I need not remind you of Laud, he had assured them that, that, many years before, the two Houses as he was a gentleman and a king, he had presented to the king the Petition would sacredly preserve their rights. of Right, wherein were set down all He had pawned those solemn pledges, law? Was it not as fully enacted as word,'- 'Upon the honour of a prince,' Parliament concerning which you dwelt so short a time on his mind, that spoke? And were those privileges they were as little to be trusted as the therefore enjoyed more fully by the 'By these hilts' of an Alsatian dicer. No: the king did from that

been compelled to renounce the sacked, our bodies imprisoned. souls. ourselves.

the most valuable privileges of the and pawned them again and again; people of this realm. Did not Charles but when had he redeemed them? accept it? Did he not declare it to be 'Upon my faith,'--'Upon my sacred ever were any of those bills of the Long -came so easily from his lips, and

'Therefore it is that I praise this time redouble his oppressions as if to Parliament for what else I might have renge himself for the shame of having condemned. If what he had granted had been granted graciously and rea-Then were our estates laid under dily, if what he had before promised shameful impositions, our houses run- had been faithfully observed, they Then could not be defended. It was because was the steel of the hangman blunted he had never yielded the worst abuse with mangling the ears of harmless without a long struggle, and seldom Then our very minds were fet- without a large bribe; it was because tered, and the iron entered into our he had no sooner disentangled himself Then we were compelled to from his troubles than he forgot his hide our hatred, our sorrow, and our promises; and, more like a villamous scorn, to laugh with hidden faces at huckster than a great king, kept both the mummery of Laud, to curse under the prerogative and the large price our breath the tyranny of Wentworth, which had been paid to him to forego Of old time it was well and nobly said, it; it was because of these things that by one of our kings, that an Englishman it was necessary and just to bind with ought to be free as his thoughts. Our forcible restraints one who could be prince reversed the maxim; he strove bound neither by law nor honour. to make our thoughts as much slaves as Nay, even while he was making those To sneer at a Romish pa- very concessions of which you speak, geant, to miscall a lord's crest, were he betrayed his deadly hatred against crimes for which there was no mercy. the people and their friends. Not only These were all the fruits which we did he, contrary to all that ever was gathered from those excellent laws of deemed lawful in England, order that the former Parliament, from these members of the Commons House of solemn promises of the king. Were Parliament should be impeached of to be deceived again? Were we high treason at the bar of the Lords; again to give subsidies, and receive no- thereby violating both the trial by thing but promises? Were we again jury and the privileges of the House; to make wholesome statutes, and then but, not content with breaking the leave them to be broken daily and law by his ministers, he went himself hourly, until the oppressor should have armed to assail it. In the birth-place guandered another supply, and should and sanctuary of freedom, in the House ready for another perjury? You itself, nay, in the very chair of the k what they could desire which he speaker, placed for the protection of had not already granted. Let me ask free speech and privilege, he sat, rolling of you another question. What pledge his eyes round the benches, searching could he give which he had not already for those whose blood he desired, and violated? From the first year of his singling out his opposers to the reign, whenever he had need of the slaughter. This most foul outrage

fails. Then again for the old arts. | thus of that good king. Most un-Then come gracious messages. Then come courteous speeches. Then is again mortgaged his often forfeited honour. He will never again violate the laws. He will respect their rights as if they were his own. He pledges the dignity of his crown; that crown which had been committed to him for the weal of his people, and which he never named, but that he might the more easily delude and oppress them.

"The power of the sword, I grant you, was not one to be permanently possessed by parliament. Neither did that parliament demand it as a permanent possession. They asked it only for temporary security. Nor can I see on what conditions they could safely make peace with that false and wicked king, save such as would deprive him

of all power to injure.

"For civil war, that it is an evil I dispute not. But that it is the greatest of evils, that I stoutly deny. It doth indeed appear to the misjudging to be a worse calamity than bad government, because its miseries are collected together within a short space and time, and may easily at one view be taken in and perceived. But the misfortunes of nations ruled by tyrants, being distributed over many centuries and many places, as they are of greater weight | in death most Christian and forand number, so are they of less display. When the Devil of tyranny hath gone into the body politic he departs not but with struggles, and foaming, and great convulsions. Shall he, therefore, vex it for ever, lest, in going out, he for a moment tear and rendit? Truly this argument touching the evils of war would better become my friend Elwood, or some other of the people called Quakers, than a courtier and a cavalier. It applies no more to this war than to all others, as well foreign as domestic, and, in this war, no more to the Houses than to the king; nay not so much, since he by a little sincerity and moderation might have rendered that needless which their duty to God and man then enforced them to destruction. Let not his fame be

"Pardon me, Mr. Milton," said Mr.

happy indeed he was, in that he reigned at a time when the spirit of the then living generation was for freedom, and the precedents of former ages for prenogative. His case was like to that of Christopher Columbus, when he sailed forth on an unknown ocean, and found that the compass, whereby he shaped his course, had shifted from the north pole whereto before it had constantly pointed. So it was with Charles. His compass varied; and therefore he could not tack aright. If he had been an absolute king he would doubtless, like Titus Vespasian, have been called the delight of the human race. If he had been a Doge of Venice, or a Stadtholder of Holland, he would never have outstepped the laws. But he lived when our government had neither clear definitions nor strong sanctions. Let, therefore, his faults be ascribed to the time. Of his virtues the praise is his

"Never was there a more gracious prince, or a more proper gentleman. In every pleasure he was temperate, in conversation mild and grave, in friendship constant, to his servants liberal, to his queen faithful and loving, in battle brave, in sorrow and captivity resolved,

giving.

"For his oppressions, let us look at the former history of this realm. James was never accounted a tyrant. Elizabeth is esteemed to have been the mother of her people. Were they less arbitrary? Did they never lay hands on the purses of their subjects but by Act of Parliament? Did they never confine insolent and disobedient men but in due course of law? Was the court of Star Chamber less active? Were the ears of libbiliers more safe? I pray you, let not king Charles be thus dealt with. It was enough that in his life he was tried for an alleged breach of laws which none ever heard named till they were discovered for his treated as was his sacred and anointed body. Let not his memory be tried by Cowley "I grieve to hear you speak principles found out ex post fucto. Let

us not judge by the spirit of one genera- closet? There is no honest man that tion a man whose disposition had shall come in my closet.' Even so say been formed by the temper and fashion I. There is no good man who shall

of another."

"Nay, but conceive me, Mr. Cowley," said Mr. Milton; "inasmuch as, at the beginning of his reign, he imiabridge their prerogative, were argument of but slender wisdom. Whatever, therefore, lawless, unjust, or cruel, he either did or permitted during the first years of his reign, I pass by. But martyr? for what was done after that he had solemnly given his consent to the had so much semblance of virtues as Petition of Right, where shall we find defence? Let it be supposed, which yet I concede not, that the tyranny of English model. The second Richard. his father and of Queen Elizabeth had the second and fourth Edwards, and been no less rigorous than was his. But had his father, had that queen, gay, boisterous; lovers of women and sworn, like him, to abstain from those of wine, of no outward sanctity or rigours? Had they, like him, for good and valuable consideration, aliened their hurtful prerogatives? not: from whatever excuse you can constant at prayers as a priest, as heedplead for him he had wholly excluded less of oaths as an atheist." The borders of countries, same with the undefined frontiers. other, inroads were often made. · But, murder. when treaties have been concluded, spaces measured, lines drawn, land- been of a hard and strange nature, if marks set up, that which before might the vehemence which was imputed to pass for innocent error or just reprisal me in my younger days had not been becomes robbery, perjury, deadly sin. diminished by the afflictions wherewith He knew not, you say, which of his it hath pleased Almighty God to powers were founded on ancient law, chasten my age. I will not now defend and which only on vicious example. all that I may heretofore have written. But had he not read the Petition But this I say, that I perceive not of Right? Had not proclamation wherefore a king should be exempted been made from his threne. Soit fait from all punishment. Is it just that comme il est desiré?

beside the question. Remember you is the greatest power to injure there not," and Mr. Milton smiled, but some-should be no danger to restrain? But, what sternly, "what Dr. Caius saith in you will say, there is no such law. the Morry Wives of Shakspeare? Such a law there is. There is the law hat shall the honest man do in my of self-preservation written by God

make us his slaves. If he break his word to his people, is it a sufficient defence that he keeps it to his companions? If he oppress and extort all tated those who had governed before day, shall he be held blameless because him, I blame him not. To expect that he prayeth at night and morning? If kings will, of their own free choice, he be insatiable in plunder and revenge, shall we pass it by because in meat and drink he is temperate? If he have lived like a tyrant, shall all be forgotten because he hath died like a

> "He was a man, as I think, who might make his vices most dangerous. He was not a tyrant after our wonted the eighth Harry, were men profuse, gravity. Charles was a ruler after the Italian fashion; grave, demure, of a Surely solemn carriage, and a sober diet; as

Mr. Cowley answered somewhat we know, are mostly the seats of per-sharply: "I am sorry, Sir, to hear you petual wars and tumults. It was the speak thus. I had hoped that the vehemence of spirit which was caused which of old separated privilege and by these violent times had now abated. prerogative. They were the debatable Yet, sure, Mr. Milton, whatever you and of our polity. It was no mar- may think of the character of King vel if, both on the one side and on the Charles, you will not still justify his

Sir," said Mr. Milton, "I must have where most is given least should be "For his private virtues they are required? Or politic that where there by men when of old they came together; control of the chief officers. but implied in the very act that they so came together, pre-supposed in all subsequent law, not to be repealed by any authority, not invalidated by being omitted in any code; inasmuch as from thence are all codes and all authority.

"Neither do I well see wherefore you cavaliers, and, indeed, r any of us whom you merrily call Roundheads, distin guish between those who fought against King Charles, and specially after the second commi sion given to Sir Thomas Fairfax, and those who condemned him to death. Sure, if his person were inviolable, it was as wicked to lift the sword against it at Naseby as the axe at Whitehall. might justly be taken, why not in course of ' ... I as well as by right of war?

And, from all that I know, I thus that the death of King Charles not fail to find for it task after task hath more hindered than advanced the of blood and rapine. Let them not

liberties of England.

"First, he left an heir. captivity. The heir was in freedom. He was odious to the Scots. The heir To kill the was favoured by them. captive therefore, whereby the heir, in the apprehension of all royalists, became forthwith king-what was it, in truth, but to set their captive free, and to give him besides other great advantages?

"Next, it was a deed most odious to the people, and not only to your party, but to many among ourselves; and, as it is perilous for any government to outrage he public opinion, so most was it perilous for a government which its nurture, and its defence.

himself on our hearts. There is the nowned parliament. For, as you know, primal compact and bond of society, the high court of justice was not estab-not graven on stone, nor scaled with lished until the house had been purged wax, nor but down on parchment, nor of such members as were adverse to the set forth in any express form of words army, and brought wholly under the

"And who," said Mr. Cowley, levied that army? Who commissioned those officers? Was not the fate of the Commons as justly deserved as was that of Diomedes, who was devoured by those horses whom he had himself taught to feed on the flesh and blood of men? How could they hope that others would respect laws which they had themselves insulted: that swords which had been drawn against the prerogatives of the king would be put up at an ordinance of the Commons? It was believed, of old, that there were some devils easily raised but never to be laid; insomuch If his life that, if a magician called them up, he should be forced to find them always some employment; for, though they hus much in general as touching would do all his bidding, yet, if he left the right. But, for the execution of them but for one moment without some King Charles in particular, I will not work of evil to perform, they would now undertake to defend it. Death is turn their claws against himself. Such i 'et.d. not that the culprit may die, a fiend is an army. They who evoke int that the state may be thereby advantit cannot dismiss it. They are at once ts masters and its slaves. Let them leave it for a moment in repose, lest it He was in tear them in pieces.

'Thus was it with that famous assembly. They formed a force which they ould neither govern nor resist. Thev nade it powerful. They made it As if military insolence fanatical. were not of itself sufficiently dangerous. they heightened it with spiritual pride. -they encouraged their soldiers to rave from the tops of tubs against the nen of Belial, till every trooper thought himself a prophet. They taught them to abuse popery, till every drummer fancied that he was as infallible as a

"Then it was that religion changed had from that opinion alone its birth, her nature. She was no longer the parent of arts and letters, of whole-"Yet doth not this properly belong some knowledge, of innocent pleasures, to our dispute; nor can these faults be of blessed household smiles. In their justly charged upon that most re place came sour faces, whining voices,

Then men preached against painted my sense of these matters. faces, who felt no remorse for their own and rivers and changed them into wormwood; for even so did it descend from its high and celestial dwellingplace to plague this earth, and to turn into poison all that was nourishing.

had closed the barriers of London against the king could not defend them members, durst not wag their fingers when Oliver filled their hall with soldiers, gave their mace to a corporal, put their keys in his pocket, and drove them forth with base terms, borrowed from the ale-house. Then were we, like the trees of the forest in holy writ. given over to the rule of the bramble; then from the basest of the shrubs came forth the fire which devoured the cedars of Lebanon. We bowed down before a man of mean birth, of ungracenotorious hypocrisy. Our laws were in the west. that parliament: for never, unless they it may succeed again. the top."

the chattering of fools, the yells of great a number of subjects, that it madmen. Then men fasted from meat would require, not an evening's sail on and drink, who fasted not from bribes the Thames, but rather a voyage to the and blood. Then men frowned at Indies, accurately to treat of all: yet, stage-plays, who smiled at massacres. in as few words as I may, I will explain

"First, as to the army. An army, most painted lives. Religion had been as you have well set forth, is always a a pole-star to light and to guide. It weapon dangerous to those who use it; was now more like to that ominous star yet he who falls among thieves spares in the book of the Apocalypse, which not to fire his musquetoon, because he fell from heaven upon the fountains may be slain if it burst in his hand. Nor must states refrain from defending themselves, lest their defenders should at last turn against them. less, against this danger statesmen into bitterness all that was sweet, and should carefully provide; and, that that they may do so, they should take "Therefore it was not strange that especial care that neither the officers such things should follow. They who nor the soldiers do forget that they are also citizens. I do believe that the English army would have continued to against their own creatures. They who obey the parliament with all duty, but had so stoutly cried for privilege, when for one act, which, as it was in intenthat prince, most unadvisedly no doubt, tion, in seeming, and in immediate came among them to demand their effect, worthy to be compared with the most famous in history, so was it, in its final consequence, most injurious. I speak of that ordinance called the self-denying, and of the new model of the army. By those measures the half from the conventicle and half Commons gave up the command of their forces into the hands of men who were not of themselves. Hence, doubtless, derived no small honour to that noble assembly, which sacrificed to the hope of public good the assurance of private advantage. And, as to the conduct of the war, the scheme prosful demeanour, of stammering and most pered. Witness the battle of Naseby, vulgar utterance, of scandalous and and the memorable exploits of Fairfax But thereby the Parliamade and unmade at his pleasure; the ment lost that hold on the soldiers and constitution of our parliaments changed that power to control them, which they by his writ and proclamation; our per- retained while every regiment was sons imprisoned; our property plun- commanded by their own members. dered; our lands and houses overrun Politicians there be, who would wholly with soldiers; and the great charter divide the legislative from the execuitself was but argument for a scurrilous tive power. In the golden age this jest and for all this we may thank may have succeeded; in the millennium But, where had so violently shaken the vessel, great armies and great taxes are rebould such foul dregs have risen to quired, there the executive government must always hold a great authority, Then answered Mr. Milton: 'What which authority, that it may not ophave now said comprehends so press and destroy the legislature, must

armies, deprived of the civil privileges Cheapside. Thus was he enforced to of other men, are as much to be feared. do many things which jumped not This was the great error of that Par- with his inclination nor made for his liament: and, though an error it were, honour; because the army, on which it was an error generous, virtuous, and alone he could depend for power and more to be deplored than censured.

most famous leader, whom both in our conversation to-day, and in that discourse whereon I before touched, you roughly handled. Wherefore you speak contemptibly of his parts I know not; but I suspect that you are not free from speculative men. an ungraceful orator, and never said, cither in public or private, anything memorable, you will have it that he was of a mean capacity. unjust. Many men have there been condemn him. ignorant of letters, without wit, withtion in all adversity. The hearts of men are their books; events are their merciful and generous conqueror.

constrained to follow. will govern them, must, in many things him to govern by the law. obey them. They who will yield to no such conditions may be hermits, but was ever more princely in pardoning

be in some manner blended with it cannot be generals and statesmen. If The leaders of foreign mercenaries a man will walk straight forward withhave always been most dangereous to out turning to the right or the left, he a country. The officers of native must walk in a desert, and not in life, might not otherwise be contented. "Hence came the power of the army And I, for mine own part, marvel less and its leaders, and especially of that that he sometimes was fain to include their violence than that he could so often restrain it. -

"In that he dissolved the Parliahave, in my poor opinion, far too ment, I praise him. It then was so diminished in numbers, as well by the death as by the exclusion of members, that it was no longer the same assemthe error common to studious and bly; and, if at that time it had made Because Oliver was itself perpetual, we should have been governed, not by an English House of Commons, but by a Venetian Council.

"If in his following rule he over-Sure this is stepped the laws, I pity rather than He may be compared to that Mæandrius of Samos, of whom out eloquence, who yet had the wisdom Herodotus saith, in his Thalia, that, to devise, and the courage to perform, wishing to be of all men the most just, that which they lacked language to he was not able; for after the death of explain. Such men often, in troubled Polycrates he offered freedom to the times, have worked out the deliverance people; and not till certain of them of nations and their own greatness, threatened to call him to a reckoning not by logic, not by rhetoric, but by for what he had formerly done, did he wariness in success, by calmness in change his purpose, and make himself danger, by fierce and stubborn resolutia tyrant, lest he should be treated as a

inal. "Such was the case of Oliver. Hegave tutors; great actions are their clo- to his country a form of government so quence: and such an one, in my judg- free and admirable that, in near six ment, was his late Highness, who, if thousand years, human wisdom hath none were to treat his name scornfully never devised any more excellent connow who shook not at the sound of it trivance for human happiness. To himwhile he lived would, by very few, be self he reserved so little power that it mentioned otherwise than with reve- would scarcely have sufficed for his rence. His own deeds shall avouch safety, and it is a marvel that it could him for a great statesman, a great suffice for his ambition. When, after soldier, a true lover of his country, a that, he found that the members of his parliament disputed his right even to "For his faults; let us reflect that they that small authority which he had kept, who seem to lead are oftentimes most when he might have kept all, then in-They who will deed I own that he began to govern by mix with men, and specially they who the sword those who would not suffer

"But for the rest, what sovereign

injuries, in conquering enemies, in extending the dominions and the renown of his people? What sea, what shor did he not mark with imperishable me morials of his friendship or his vengeance? The gold of Spain, the stee of Sweden, the ten thousand sails of Holland, availed nothing against him While overy foreign state trembled at our arms, we sat secure from all assault. War, which often so strangely trouble both husbandry and commerce, never silenced the song of our renpers, or the sound of our looms. Justice was equally administered; God was freely wor

shipped.

"Now look at that which we have taken in exchange. With the restored king have come over to us vices of every sort, and most the basest and most shameful,-lust without love-servitude without loyalty --- foulness of speech-dishonesty of dealing-grinning contempt of all things good and generous. The throne is surrounded by men whom the former Charles would have spurned from his footstool. The altar is served by slaves whose knees are supple to every being but God. Rhymers, whose books the hangman should burn, pandars, actors, and buffoons, these drink a health and throw a main with the King; these have stars on their breasts and gold sticks in their hands; these shut out from his presence the best and bravest of those who bled for his house. Even so doth God visit those who know not how to value freedom. He gives them over to the tyranny which they have desired, "Ινα πάντες ἐπαύρωνται βαπιλῆος."

"I will not," said Mr. Cowley, "dispute with you on this argument. But, if it be as you say, how can you maintain that England hath been so greatly advantaged by the rebellion?"

"Understand me rightly, Sir," said Mr. Milton. "This nation is not given over to slavery and vice. We tasted indeed the fruits of liberty before they had well ripened. Their flavour was harsh and bitter; and we turned from them with leathing to the sweeter poisons of servitude. This is but for a time. England is sleeping on the lap of Dalilah, traitorously chained, but

not yet shorn of strength. Let the cry be once heard—the Philistines be upon thee; and at once that sleep will be broken, and those chains will be as flax in the fire. The great parliament bath left behind it in our hearts and minds a hatred of tyrants, a just knowledge of our rights, a scorn of vain and deluding names; and that the revellers of Whitehall shall surely find. The sun is darkened; but it is only for a moment: it is but an eclipse; though all birds of evil omen have begun to scream, and all ravenous beasts have gone forth to prey, thinking it to be Woe to them if they be midnight. abroad when the rays again shine forth!

The king hath judged ill. Had he been wise he would have romembered that he owed his restoration only to confusions which had wearied us out, and made us eager for repose. He would have known that the folly and perfidy of a prince would restore to the jood old cause many hearts which had been alienated thence by the turbulence of factions; for, if I know aught of history, or of the heart of man, he will soon learn that the last champion of the people was not destroyed when he nurdered Vane, nor seduced when he peguiled Fairfax."

Mr: Cowley seemed to me not to ake much amiss what Mr. Milton had aid touching that thankless court, which had indeed but poorly required his own good service. He only said, therefore, "Another rebellion! Alas! alas! Mr. Milton! If there be no shoice but between despotism and

anarchy, I prefer despotism.

"Many men," said Mr. Milton, have floridly and ingeniously compared anarchy and despotism; but they who so amuse themselves do but look to separate parts of that which is truly me great whole. Each is the cause and the effect of the other; the cyils of ather are the evils of both. Thus do tates move on in the same eternal cycle, which, from the remotest point, prings them back again to the same ad starting-post: and, till both those who govern and those who obey shall learn and mark this great truth, men are expect little through the future, as

they have known little through the past, save vicesitudes of extreme evils, alternately producing and produced.

"When will rulers learn that, where liberty is not, security and order can never be? We talk of absolute power but all power hath limits, which, if no fixed by the moderation of the governors, will be fixed by the force of the Sovereigns may send thei governed. opposers to dungeons; they may clear out a senate-house with soldiers; they may enlist armies of spies; they may hang scores of the disaffected in chains at every cross road; but what power shall stand in that frightful time when rebellion hath become a less evil than endurance? Who shall dissolve that terrible tribunal, which, in the hearts of the oppressed, denounces against the oppressor the doom of its wild justice? Who shall repeal the law of self-defence? What arms or discipline shall resist the strength of famine and despair? How often were the ancient Cæsars dragged from their golden palaces, stripped of their purplrobes, mangled, stoned, defiled with filth, pierced with hooks, hurled into Tiber? How often have the Eastern Sultans perished by the sabres of their own janissaries, or the bow-strings of their own mutes! For no power which is not limited by laws can ever be protected by them. Small, therefore, is the wisdom of those who would fly to outlet provided, lest they make one.

move, and how far; and they know, weakened their sight is necessary to.

moreover, that, though it may work some little damage, it will soon cover the fields over which it hath passed with rich vineyards and sweet flowers. But, when the flames are pent up in the mountain, then it is that they have reason to fear! then it is that the earth sinks and the sea swells; then cities are swallowed up; and their place knoweth them no more. So it is in politics: where the people is most closely restrained, there it gives the greatest shocks to peace and order; therefore would I say to all kings, let your demagogues lead crowds, lest they lead armies; let them bluster, lest they massacre; a little turbulence is, as it were, the rainbow of the state: it shows indeed that there is a passing shower: but it is a pledge that there shall be no deluge."

"This is true," said Mr. Cowley; "yet these admonitions are not less needful to subjects than to sovereigns."

"Surely," said Mr. Milton; "and, that I may end this long debate with a few words in which we shall both agree, I hold that, as freedom is the only safeguard of governments, so are order and moderation generally necessary to preserve freedom. Even the vainest opinions of men are not to be outraged by those who propose to themselves the happiness of men for their end, and who must work with the passions of men for their means. servitude as if it were a refuge from blind reverence for things ancient is commotion; for anarchy is the sure indeed so foolish that it might make a consequence of tyranny. That govern-wise man laugh, if it were not also somements may be safe, nations must be times so mischievous that it would Their passions must have an rather make a good man weep. Yet, since it may not be wholly cured, it "When I was at Naples, I went with must be discreetly indulged; and there-Signor Manso, a gentleman of excellent fore those who would amend evil laws parts and breeding, who had been the should consider rather how much it familiar friend of that famous poet may be safe to spare, than how much Torquato Tasso, to see the burning it may be possible to change. Have mountain Vesuvius. I wondered how you not heard that men who have been the peasants could venture to dwell so shut up for many years in dungeous fearlessly and cheerfully on its sides, shrink if they see the light, and fall when the lava was flowing from its down if their irons be struck off? And summit; but Manso smiled, and told so, when nations have long been in the me that when the fire descends freely house of bondage, the chains which they retreat before it without haste or have crippled them are necessary to fear. They can tell how fast it will support them, the darkness which hath

preserve it. not too rashly, lest they curse their are considered, like the equerties and freedom and pine for their prison.

"I think indeed that the renowned Parliament, of which we have talked so much, did show, until it became subject to the soldiers, a singular and admirable moderation, in such times scarcely to be hoped, and most worthy to be an principles of criticism. example to all that shall come after. But on this argument I have said us but little assistance. When they enough: and I will therefore only pray to Almighty God that those who shall, in future times, stand forth in defence of our liberties, as well civil as religious, may adorn the good cause by mercy, prudence, and soberness, to the glory of his name and the happiness and bonour of the English people."

And so ended that discourse; and not long after we were set on shore again at the Temple-gardens, and there parted company: and the same evening I took notes of what had been said, which I have here more fully set down, from regard both to the fame of the men, and the importance of the subject-

matter.

## ON THE ATHENIAN ORATORS.

(August 1824.)

" To the famous orators repair, Those ancient, whose resistless eloquence Wielded at will that flerce democratie, Shook the arsenal, and fulmined over Greece

To Macedon and Artaxerxes' throne." MILTON.

THE celebrity of the great classical writers is confined within no limits, except those which separate civilised from savage man. Their works are into a science. the common property of every polished nation. They have furnished subjects for the painter, and models for the poet. In the minds of the educated classes throughout Europe, their names mee indissolubly associated with the endearing recollections of childhood, the old school room,-the dog-eared grammar,—the first prize,—the tears understanding, seems not to have posso often shed and so quickly dried. sessed much of sensibility or imagina-So great is the veneration with which ion. Partly, also, it may be attributed they are regarded, that even the editors of the deficiency of materials.

Therefore release them lowest menial offices to their memory, chamberlains of sovereign princes, as entitled to a high rank in the table of literary precedence. It is, therefore, somewhat singular that their productions should so rarely have been examined on just and philosophical

> The ancient writers themselves afford particularise, they are commonly trivial: when they would generalise, they become indistinct. An exception must, indeed, be made in favour of Aristotle. Both in analysis and in combination, that great man was without a rival. No philosopher has ever possessed, in an equal degree, the talent either of separating established systems into their primary elements, or of connecting detached phenomena in harmonious systems. He was the great fashioner of the intellectual chaos; he changed its darkness into light, and its discord into order. He brought to literary researches the same vigour and amplitude of mind to which both physical and metaphysical science are so greatly indebted. His fundamental principles of criticism are excellent. To cite only a single instance :—the doctrine which he established, that poetry is an imitative art, when justly understood, is to the critic what the compass is to the navigator. With it he may venture upon the most extensive excursions. Without it he must creep cautiously along the coast, or lose himself in a trackless expanse, and trust, at best, to the guidance of an occasional star. It is a discovery which changes a caprice

The general propositions of Aristotle are valuable. But the merit of the superstructure bears no proportion to that of the foundation. This is partly to be ascribed to the character of the philosopher, who, though qualified to do all that could be done by the re-solving and combining powers of the and commentators who perform the great works of genius which then

numerous or sufficiently varied enable any man to form a perfect code of literature. To require that critic should conceive classes of composition which had never existed, and then investigate their principles, would be as unreasonable as the demand of has too often given the sanction, both Nebuchaduezzar, who expected his magicians first to tell him his dream and then to interpret it.

With all his deficiencies, Aristotle was the most enlightened and profound critic of antiquity. Dionysius was far from possessing the same exquisite subtilty, or the same vast comprehenhad devoted himself, as it appears, more exclusively to the study of elegant literature. His peculiar judgments are of more value than his general principles. He is only the historian of Aristotle is its philosopher.

Quintilian applied to general literature the same principles by which he had been accustomed to judge of the declamations of his pupils. He looks for nothing but rhetoric, and rhetoric not of the highest order. He speaks coldly of the incomparable works of Æschylus. He admires, beyond expression, those inexhaustible mines of common-places, the plays of Euripides. He bestows a few vague words on the poetical character of Homer. He then proceeds to consider him merely as an orator. An orator Homer doubtless was, and a great orator. But surely nothing is more remarkable, in his admirable works, than the art with which his oratorical powers are made subservient to the purposes of poetry. Nor can I think Quintilian a great critic in his own province. Just as are many of his remarks, beautiful as are many of his travelled nobles and the blue-stocking matrons of Rome. It is, therefore, with

existed, were not either sufficiently him, rather a sport than a war; it is a contest of foils, not of swords. He appears to think more of the grace of the attitude than of the direction and vigour of the thrust. It must be acknowledged, in justice to Quintilian, that this is an error to which Cicero of his precept and of his example.

Longinus seems to have had great sensibility, but little discrimination. He gives us eloquent sentences, but no principles. It was happily said that Montesquieu ought to have changed the name of his book from L'Esprit des Lois to L'Esprit sur les Lois. In the sion. But he had access to a much same manner the philosopher of Palgreater number of specimens; and he myra ought to have entitled his famous work, not "Longinus on the Sublime," but "The Sublimities of Longinus." The origin of the sublime is one of the most curious and interesting subjects of inquiry that can occupy the attention of a critic. In our own country it has been discussed, with great ability, and, I think, with very little success. by Burke and Dugald Stuart. Longinus dispenses himself from all investigaions of this nature, by telling his friend Terentianus that he already knows everything that can be said upon the uestion. It is to be regretted that Terentianus did not impart some of his knowledge to his instructor; for from Longinus we learn only that sublimity means height -- or elevation. \* This name, so commodiously vague, is apolicd indifferently to the noble prayer of Ajax in the Iliad, and to a passage of Plato about the human body, as full of conceits as an ode of Cowley. Havng no fixed standard, Longinus is right only by accident. He is rather a fancier han a critic.

Modern writers have been prevented by many causes from supplying the illustrations, we can perpetually detect deficiencies of their classical predecesin his thoughts that flavour which the sors. At the time of the revival of soil of despotism generally communi- iterature, no man could, without great cates to all the fruits of genius. Elo- und painful labour, acquire an accuquence was, in his time, little more rate and elegant knowledge of the anthan a condiment which served to sti- cient languages. And, unfortunately, mulate in a despot the juded appetite those grammatical and philological stufor panegyric, an amusement for the dies, without which it was impossible

<sup>\* \*</sup> Ακρότης καὶ ἐξοχή τις λόγων ἐστὶ τὰ ৠψη.

to understand the great works of Athe- were "fools called into a circle by Greek had much practice in drilling syllables and particles.

I remember to have observed among the French Anas a ludicrous instance of this. A scholar, doubtless of great learning, recommends the study of some forget the name, on the religion, manearly Greeks. "For there," says he, "you will learn every thing of importance that is contained in the Iliad and

Of those scholars who have disdained influence on their faculties. They Ganius is subject to the same laws

nian and Roman genius, have a ten- invocations." The Iliad and Eneid dency to contract the views and deaden were to them not books, but curiosities, the sensibility of those who follow them or rather reliques. They no more adwith extreme assiduity. A powerful mired those works for their merits than mind, which has been long employed a good Catholic venerates the house of in such studies, may be compared to the Virgin at Loretto for its architecthe gigantic spirit in the Arabian tale, ture. Whatever was classical was good. who was persuaded to contract himself Homer was a great poet, and so was to small dimensions in order to enter Callimachus. The epistles of Cicero within the enchanted vessel, and, when were fine, and so were those of Phalaris. his prison had been closed upon him, Even with respect to questions of evifound himself unable to escape from dence they fell into the same error. the narrow boundaries to the measure The authority of all narrations, written of which he had reduced his stature. in Greek or Latin, was the same with When the means have long been the them. It never crossed their minds objects of application, they are natu- that the lapse of five hundred years, rally substituted for the end. It was or the distance of five hundred leagues, said, by Eugene of Savoy, that the could affect the accuracy of a narration; greatest generals have commonly been -that Livy could be a less veracious those who have been at once raised to historian than Polybius; -or that Plucommand, and introduced to the great tarch could know less about the friends operations of war, without being em- of Xenophon than Xenophon himself. ployed in the petty calculations and Deceived by the distance of time, they manœuvres which employ the time of seem to consider all the Classics as an inferior officer. In literature the contemporaries; just as I have known principle is equally sound. The great people in England, deceived by the tactics of criticism will, in general, be distance of place, take it for granted best understood by those who have not that all persons who live in India are neighbours, and ask an inhabitant of Bombay about the health of an acquaintance at Calcutta. It is to be hoped that no barbarian deluge will ever again pass over Europe. But, should such a calamity happen, it seems long Latin treatise, of which I now not improbable that some future Rollin or Gillies will compile a history of ners, government, and language of the England from Miss Porter's Scottish Chiefs. Miss Lee's Recess, and Sir Nathaniel Wraxall's Memoirs.

It is surely time that ancient litera-Odyssey, without the trouble of reading ture should be examined in a different two such tedious books." Alas! it had manner, without pedantical prepossesnot occurred to the poor gentleman that sions, but with a just allowance, at the all the knowledge to which he attached same time, for the difference of circumso much value was useful only as it stances and manners. I am far from illustrated the great poems which he pretending to the knowledge or ability despised, and would be as worthless which such a task would require. All for any other purpose as the mythology that I mean to offer is a collection of of Caffraria, or the vocabulary of Ota- desultory remarks upon a most interesting portion of Greek literature.

It may be doubted whether any comto confine themselves to verbal criti- positions which have ever been produced cism few have been successful. The in the world are equally perfect in their ancient languages have, generally, a ma- kind with the great Athenian orations.

which regulate the production of cottor depend the fate of the wealthiest tribuand molasses. The supply adjusts it- tary state, of the most eminent public self to the demand. The quantity may man. The lowest offices, both of agribe diminished by restrictions, and mul- culture and of trade, were, in common. tiplied by bounties. The singular ex- performed by slaves. The commoncellence to which eloquence attained at wealth supplied its meanest members Athens is to be mainly attributed to with the support of life, the opportunity the influence which it exerted there, of leisure, and the means of amuse-In turbulent times, under a constitution ment. purely democratic, among a people edu- they were excellent; and they were cated exactly to that point at which accurately known. It is not by turnmen are most susceptible of strong and ing over libraries, but by repeatedly sudden impressions, acute, but not sound perusing and intently contemplating a reasoners, warm in their feelings, un- few great models, that the mind is fixed in their principles, and passionate best disciplined. A man of letters must admirers of fine composition, oratory now read much that he soon forgets. received such encouragement as it has and much from which he learns nothing never since obtained.

Greek literature beyond the common spite of his great abilities and virtues, matter of necessity. son was a keen but a very narrow- scheme for feeding his soldiers at a minded observer of mankind. He per- much cheaper rate than formerly. His petually confounded their general na- lan was simply to compel them to ture with their particular circumstances. masticate their food thoroughly. He knew Loudon intimately. The samust have been as uninformed as one than to devour a volume. of Mr. Thrale's draymen.

must be considered, that to be a citizen lory. A crowd is assembled round a was to be a legislator,—a soldier,—a portico. All are gazing with delight judge,—one upon, whose voice mights at the entablature; for Phidias is put-

Books were indeed few: but worthy to be remembered. The best The taste and knowledge of the works employ, in general, but a small Athenian people was a favourite object portion of his time. Demosthenes is of the contemptuous derision of Samuel said to have transcribed six times the Johnson; a man who knew nothing of history of Thucydides. If he had been young politician of the present age, school-books, and who seems to have he might in the same space of time brought to what he had read scarcely have skimmed innumerable newspamore than the discernment of a compers and pamphlets. I do not condemn mon school-boy. He used to assert, that desultory mode of study which the with that arrogant absurdity which, in state of things, in our day, renders a But I may be renders him, perhaps the most ridicu- allowed to doubt whether the changes lous character in literary history, that on which the admirers of modern in-Demosthenes spoke to a people of stitutions delight to dwell have imbrutes ;-to a barbarous people :- that proved our condition so much in reality there could have been no civilisation as in appearance. Rumford, it is said, before the invention of printing. John- proposed to the elector of Bavaria a

nall quantity, thus caten, would, acgacity of his remarks on its society is cording to that famous projector, afford perfectly astonishing. But Fleet-street more sustenance than a large meal was the world to him. He saw that hastily devoured. I do not know how Londoners who did not read were pro- Rumford's proposition was received; foundly ignorant; and he inferred that but to the mind, I believe, it will be a Greek, who had few or no books, found more nutritious to digest a page

Books, however, were the least part There seems to be, on the contrary, of the education of an Athenian citizen. every reason to believe, that, in general Let us, for a moment, transport ourintelligence, the Athenian populace selves in thought, to that glorious city. far surpassed the lower orders of any Let us imagine that we are entering its community that has ever existed. It gates, in the time of its power and ting up the frieze. We turn into another street; a rhapsodist is reciting there: men, women, children are thronging round him: the tears are running down their cheeks: their eves are fixed: their very breath still: for he is telling how Priam fell at the feet of Achilles, and kissed those hands,—the terrible,—the murderous, -which had slain so many of his sons.\* We enter the public place; there is a sparkling eyes, and gestures of expec-But we are interrupted. The herald is crying-" Room for the Prytanes." meet. Proclamation is made every side. shout, and a clapping of hands: Peria play of Sophocles; and away to sup with Aspasia. I know of no modern university which has so excellent a system of education.

Knowledge thus acquired and opinions thus formed were, indeed, likely to be, in some respects, defective. Propositions which are advanced in discourse generally result from a partial view of the question, and cannot be kept under examination long enough to be corrected. Men of great conversational powers almost universally practise a sort of lively sophistry and exaggeration, which deceives, for the moment, both themselves and their audi-Thus we see doctrines, which cannot bear a close inspection, triumph perpetually in drawing-rooms, in debating societies, and even in legislative or judicial assemblies. To the conversational education of the Athenians I am inclined to attribute the great looseness of reasoning which is remarkable in most of their scientific writings. Even the most illogical of modern writers would stand perfectly aghast at the puerile fallacies which seem to have deluded some of the greatest men of antiquity.

-και κύσε χειρας, δεινάς, ανδροφόνους, αι οι πολέας κτάνον vlas.

Sir Thomas Lethbridge would stare at the political economy of Xenophon; and the author of Soires de Pétersbourg would be ashamed of some of the metaphysical arguments of Plato. the very circumstances which retarded the growth of science were peculiarly favourable to the cultivation of cloquence. From the early habit of taking a share in animated discussion the intelligent student would derive that ring of youths, all leaning forward, with readiness of resource, that copiousness of language, and that knowledge of the tation. Socrates is pitted against the temper and understanding of an audfamous atheist, from Ionia, and has ience, which are far more valuable to just brought him to a contradiction in an orator than the greatest logical powers.

Horace has prettily compared poems The general assembly is to to those paintings of which the effect The people are swarming in on varies as the spectator changes his stand. The same remark applies with "Who wishes to speak." There is a at least equal justice to speeches. They must be read with the temper of those cles is mounting the stand. Then for to whom they were addressed, or they must necessarily appear to offend against the laws of taste and reason; as the finest picture, seen in a light different from that for which it was designed, will appear fit only for a sign. This is perpetually forgotten by those who criticise oratory. Because they are reading at leisure, pausing at every line, reconsidering every argument, they forget that the hearers were hurried from point to point too rapidly to detect the fallacies through which they were conducted; that they had no time to disentangle sophisms, or to notice slight inaccuracies of expression; that elaborate excellence, either of reasoning or of language, would have been absolutely thrown away. To recurto the analogy of the sister art, these connoisseurs examine a panerama through a microscope, and quarrel with a scenepainter because he does not give to his work the exquisite finish of Gerard Dow.

> Oratory is to be estimated on principles different from those which are applied to other productions. Truth is the object of philosophy and history. Truth is the object even of those works which are peculiarly called works of fiction, but which, in fact, bear the same relation to history which algebra

bears to arithmetic. poetry, in its wildest forms, still con- dwell maliciously on arguments or sists in its truth,—truth conveyed to phrases, but acquiesce in his first imthe understanding, not directly by the pressions. It requires repeated perusal words, but circuitously by means of and reflection to decide rightly on any imaginative associations, which serve other portion of literature. as its conductors. The object of oratory respect to works of which the merit alone is not truth, but persuasion. The depends on their instantaneous effect admiration of the multitude does not the most hasty judgment is likely to make Moore a greater poet than be best. Coleridge, or Beattie a greater phicriterion of cloquence is different. speaker who exhausts the whole phi- there. taken aim too high or too low.

what I call Oratory Proper. occasions even our advocates, address it. justly appreciate the merit of the Grecian orators should place himself, as ties,—the furious really proofs of the prudence and ad- same tendency. The natural and per-

The merit of dress of the speakers. He must not But with

The history of eloquence at Athens losopher than Berkeley. But the is remarkable. From a very early period great speakers had flourished Pisistratus and Themistocles losophy of a question, who displays are said to have owed much of their every grace of style, yet produces no influence to their talents for debate. effect on his audience, may be a great We learn, with more certainty, that essayist, a great statesman, a great Pericles was distinguished by extraormaster of composition; but he is not dinary oratorical powers. The suban orator. If he miss the mark, it stance of some of his speeches is makes no difference whether he have transmitted to us by Thucydides; and that excellent writer has doubtless The effect of the great freedom of faithfully reported the general line of the press in England has been, in a his arguments. But the manner, which great measure, to destroy this distine- in oratory is of at least as much consetion, and to leave among us little of quence as the matter, was of no impor-Our tance to his narration. It is evident legislators, our candidates, on great that he has not attempted to preserve Throughout his work, every speech themselves less to the audience than to on every subject, whatever may have the reporters. They think less of the been the character or the dialect of the few hearers than of the innumerable speaker, is in exactly the same form. At Athens the case was The grave King of Sparta, the furious different; there the only object of the demagogue of Athens, the general enspeaker was immediate conviction and couraging his army, the captive suppersuasion. He, therefore, who would plicating for his life, all are represented as speakers in one unvaried style, -a style moreover wholly unfit for oratorinearly as possible, in the situation of cal purposes. His mode of reasoning their auditors: he should divest him- is singularly elliptical, -in reality most self of his modern feelings and acquire- consecutive, -yet in appearance often ments, and make the prejudices and incoherent. His meaning, in itself interests of the Athenian citizen his sufficiently perplexing, is compressed He who studies their works in into the fewest possible words. His this spirit will find that many of those great fondness for antithetical expresthings which, to an English reader, sion has not a little conduced to this appear to be blemishes, -- the frequent effect. Every one must have observed violation of those excellent rules of how much more the sense is condensed evidence by which our courts of law in the verses of Rope and his imitators. are regulated,- the introduction of ex- who never ventured to continue the traneous matter, -the reference to con- same clause from couplet to couplet, siderations of political expediency in than in those of poets who allow themjudicial investigations,—the assertions, selves that license. Every artificial without proof,-the passionate entreadivision, which is strongly marked, invectives,-are and which frequently recurs, has the

spicuous expression which spontane- verers of Greece became its plunderers generally the choice of an able man, peopled whole islands in a day. cydides.

Athenian auditor than to a modern Their obscurity was acknow- laws. reader lies, not in the words, but in head and a close attention to the con- world has ever known. text. They are valuable to the scholar as displaying, beyond almost any other not, I think, difficult to assign. orators.

period during which eloquence most The superiority in technical skill quence was in its infancy. The deli- appeared; but the breed of statesmen

ously rises to the mind will often refuse and oppressors. Unmeasured quaction, to accommodate itself to such a form. atrocious vengeance, the madness of It is necessary either to expand it into the multitude, the tyranny of the great, weakness, or to compress it into almost filled the Cyclades with tears, and impenetrable density. The latter is blood, and mourning. The sword unand was assuredly the choice of Thu- plough passed over the ruins of famous The imperial republic sent cities. It is scarcely necessary to say that forth her children by thousands to such speeches could never have been pine in the quarries of Syracuse, or to delivered. They are perhaps among feed the vultures of Ægospotami. Sh the most difficult passages in the Greek | was at length reduced by famine and language, and would probably have slaughter to humble herself before her been scarcely more intelligible to an enemies, and to purchase existence by the sacrifice of her empire and her During these disastrous and ledged by Cicero, who was as intimate gloomy years, oratory was advancing with the literature and language of towards its highest excellence. And it Greece as the most accomplished of its was when the moral, the political, and natives, and who seems to have held a the military character of the people respectable rank among the Greek was most utterly degraded, it was authors. Their difficulty to a modern when the viceroy of a Macedonian sovereign gave law to Greece, that the the reasoning. A dictionary is of far courts of Athens witnessed the most less use in studying them than a clear splendid contest of eloquence that the

The causes of this phenomenon it is compositions, the powers of the finest division of labour operates on the proof languages: they are valuable to the ductions of the orator as it does on philosopher as illustrating the morals those of the mechanic. It was reand manners of a most interesting age: marked by the ancients that the Penthey abound in just thought and ener- tathlete, who divided his attention getic expression. But they do not between several exercises, though he enable us to form any accurate opi- could not vie with a boxer in the use nion on the merits of the early Greek of the cestus, or with one who had confined his attention to running in the Though it cannot be doubted that, contest of the stadium, yet enjoyed far before the Persian wars, Athens had greater general vigour and health than produced eminent speakers, yet the either. It is the same with the mind. flourished among her citizens was by often more than compensated by the no means that of her greatest power inferiority in general intelligence. And and glory. It commenced at the close this is peculiarly the case in politics. of the Peloponnesian war. In fact, the States have always been best governed steps by which Athenian oratory ap- by men who have taken a wide view of proached to its finished excellence public affairs, and who have rather seem to have been almost contempora- a general acquaintance with many neous with those by which the Athenian sciences than a perfect mastery of one. character and the Athenian empire The union of the political and military sunk to degradation. At the time when departments in Greece contributed not the little commonwealth achieved those a little to the splendour of its early victorics which twenty-five eventful history. After their separation more centuries have left unequalled, elo-skilful generals and greater speakers

dwindled and became almost extinct. Themistocles or Pericles would have been no match for Demosthenes in the assembly, or for Iphicrates in the field. But surely they were incomparably better fitted than either for the supreme direction of affairs,

There is indeed a remarkable coincidence between the progress of the art of war, and that of the art of oratory, among the Greeks. They both advanced to perfection by contemporaneous steps, and from similar causes. The early speakers, like the early warriors of Greece, were merely a militia. It was found that in both employments practice and discipline gave superiority.\* Each pursuit therefore became first an art, and then a trade. In proportion as the professors of each became more expert in their particular craft, they became less respectable in Their skill their general character. had been obtained at too great expense to be employed only from disinterested

\* It has often occurred to me, that to the circumstances mentioned in the text is to be referred one of the most remarkable events in Grecian history; I mean the slient but rapid downfall of the Lacedamonian power. Soon after the termination of the Peloponnesian war, the strength of Lacedæmon began to decline. Its military discipline, its social institutions, were the same. Agealaus, during whose reign the change took place, was the ablest of its kings. Yet the Spartan armile were frequently defeated in pitched battles, an occurrence considered impossible in the earlier ages of Greece. They are allowed to have fought most bravely; yet they were no longer attended by the success to which they had formerly been accustomed. No solution of these circumstances is offered, as far as I know, by any ancient author. Thereal cause, I conceive, was this. The Lacedsemonians, alone among the Greeks, formed a permanent standing army. While the citizens of other commonwealths were engaged in agriculture and trade, they had no employment whatever but the study of military discipline. Hence, during the Persian and Peloponnesian wars, they had that advantage over their neighbours which regular troops always possess over militia. This advantage they lost, when other states began, at a later period, to employ mercenary forces, who were probably as superior to them in the art of yar as they had hitherto been to their antagonists.

views. Thus, the soldiers forgot that they were citizens, and the orators that they were statesmen. I know not to what Demosthenes and his famous contemporaries can be so justly compared as to those mercenary troops who, in their time, overran Greece; or those who, from similar causes, were some centuries ago the scourge of the Italian republics, -perfectly acquainted with every part of their profession, irresistible in the field, powerful to defend or to destroy, but defending without love, and destroying without hatred. We may despise the characters of these political Condottieri; but it is impossible to examine the system of their tactics without being amazed at its perfection.

I had intended to proceed to this examination, and to consider separately the remains of Lysias, of Æschines, of Demosthenes, and of Isocrates, who, though strictly speaking he was rather a pamphleteer than an orator, deserves, on many accounts, a place in such a disquisition. The length of my prolegomena and digressions compels me to postpone this part of the subject to A Magazine is ceranother occasion. tainly a delightful invention for a very idle or a very busy man. He is not compelled to complete his plan or to . adhere to his subject. He may ramble as far as he is inclined, and stop as soon as he is tired. No one takes the trouble to recollect his contradictory opinions or his unredeemed pledges. He may be as superficial, as inconsistent. and as careless as he chooses. zines resemble those little angels, who, according to the pretty Rabbinical tradition, are generated every morning by the brook which rolls over the flowers of Paradise,—whose life is a song, who warble till sunset, and then sink back without regret into nothingness. Such spirits have nothing to do with the detecting spear of Ithuriel or the victorious sword of Michael. It is enough for them to please and be forgotten.

A PROPHETIC ACCOUNT OF A GRAND NATIONAL EPIC POEM, TO BE ENTITLED "THE WELLINGTONIAD," AND TO BE PUBLISHED A.D. 2824 (NOVEMBER, 1824.) •

How I became a prophet it is not very · important to the reader to know Nevertheless I feel all the anxiety which, under similar circumstances troubled the sensitive mind of Sidro phel; and, like him, am eager to vindicate myself from the suspicion of having practised forbidden arts, or held intercourse with beings of anothe world. I solemnly declare, therefore that I never saw a ghost, like Lord Lyttleton; consulted a gipsy, like Josephine; or heard my name pronounced by an absent person, like Dr. Johnson. Though it is now almost as usual for gentlemen to appear at the moment of their death to their friends as to call on them during their life, none of my acquaintance have been so polite as to pay me that customary attention. I have derived my knowledge neither from the dead nor from the living; neither from the lines of a hand, nor from the grounds of a tea-cup; neither from the stars of the firmament, nor from the fiends of the abyss. I have never, like the Wesley family, heard "that mighty leading angel," who "drew after him the third part of heaven's sons," scratching in my cupboard. I have nover been enticed to sign any of those delusive bonds which have been the ruin of so many poor creatures; and, having always been an indifferent horseman, I have been careful not to venture myself on a broomstick.

My insight into futurity, like that of George Fox the quaker, and that of our great and philosophic poet, Lord Byron, is derived from simple presentiment. This is a far less artificial process than those which are employed by some others. Yet my predictions that believe, be found more correct that theirs, or, at all events, as Sir Benjamin Backbite says in the play, "more circumstantial."

I prophesy, then, that, in the year 2824, according to our present reckon-

ing, a grand national Epic Poem, worthy to be compared with the Iliad, the Æncid, or the Jerusalem, will be published in London.

Men naturally take an interest in the adventures of every eminent writer. I will, therefore, gratify the laudable curiosity, which, on this occasion, will doubtless be universal, by prefixing to my account of the poem a concise memoir of the poet.

Richard Quongti will be born at Westminster on the 1st of July, 2786. He will be the younger son of the younger branch of one of the most respectable families in England. He will be lineally descended from Quongti, the famous Chinese liberal, who, after the failure of the heroic attempt of his party to obtain a constitution from the Emperor Fim Fam, will take refuge in England, in the twenty-third century. Here his descendants will obtain considerable note; and one branch of the family will be raised to the peerage.

Richard, however, though destined o exalt his family to distinction far nobler than any which wealth or titles an bestow, will be born to a very scanty fortune. He will display in his arly youth such striking talents as will attract the notice of Viscount Quongti. his third cousin, then ecretary of state for the Steam Department. At the expense of this eminent nobleman, he will be sent to prosecute is studies at the university of Tomouctoo. To that illustrious seat of the muses all the ingenuous youth of every ountry will then be attracted by the nigh scientific character of Professor Quashaboo, and the eminent literary ttainments of Professor Kissey Kickey. n spite of this formidable competition, lowever. Quongti will acquire the ighest honours in every department of nowledge, and will obtain the esteem f his associates by his amiable and naffected manners. The guardians of he young Duke of Carrington, premier er of England, and the last remaing scion of the ancient and illustrious ouse of Smith, will be desirous to cure so able an instructor for their With the Duke, Quongti will erform the grand tour, and visit the

polished courts of Sydney and Cape- over an imaginative mind. travel with him to the United States of possessed the comprehension, America. But that tremendous war judgment, and the fertility of mind which will be fatal to American liberty indispensable to the spic poet. will, at that time, be raging through They will not choose to proceed in a miracula of supernatural agency. journey which would expose them to whose cruelty and rapacity will have buctoo. country.

2810, the death of the Duke will comepic poem.

The celebrated work will become, with unexampled rapidity, a popular favourite. The sale will be so benefiup his balloon.

poet's old preceptor, Professor Kissey Kickey.

on the Ornithorhynchus Paradoxus all Papist." these qualities are displayed in their greatest perfection. How exquisitely think that Quongti is by no means endoes that work arrest and embody the titled to the compliments which his undefined and vague shadows which flix Negro critic pays him on his adherence

The cold town. After prevailing on his pupil, worldling may not comprehend it; but with great difficulty, to subdue a vio- it will find a response in the bosom lent and imprudent passion which he of every youthful poet, of every enthufiad conceived for a Hottentot lady, of siastic lover, who has seen an Ornigreat beauty and accomplishments in- thorhynchus Paradoxus by moonlight. deed, but of dubious character, he will But we were yet to learn that he

"It is difficult to conceive a plot the whole federation. At New York more perfect than that of the 'Wellingthe travellers will hear of the final de- toniad.' It is most faithful to the feat and death of the illustrious cham- manners of the age to which it relates. pion of freedom, Jonathan Higginbot- It preserves exactly all the historical tom, and of the elevation of Ebenezer circumstances, and interweaves them-Hogsflesh to the perpetual Presidency. most artfully with all the speciosar

Thus far the learned Professor of the insults of that brutal soldiery, Humanity in the university of Tom-I fear that the critics of our devastated Mexico and Colombia, and time will form an opinion diametrically now, at length, enslaved their own opposite as to these very points. Some  $\cdot$ will, I fear, be disgusted by the On their return to England, A.D. machinery, which is derived from the mythology of ancient Greece. I can. pel his preceptor to seek for a subsis- only say that, in the twenty-ninth centence by literary labours. His fame tury, that machinery will be univerwill be raised by many small productions of considerable merit; and he will Quongti will use it, partly in conformations of considerable merit; and he will Quongti will use it, partly in conformations. at last obtain a permanent place in the mity with the general practice, and highest class of writers by his great partly from a veneration, perhaps excessive, for the great remains of lassical antiquity, which will then, as now, be assiduously read by every man of education; though Tom Moore's. cial to the author that, instead of songs will be forgotten, and only three going about the dirty streets on his copies of Lord Byron's works will velocipede, he will be enabled to set exist: one in the possession of King George the Nineteenth, one in the The character of this noble poem Duke of Carrington's collection, and one will be so finely and justly given in the in the library of the British Museum. Tombuctoo Review for April, 2825, Finally, should any good people bethat I cannot refrain from translating concerned to hear that Pagan fictions the passage. The author will be our will so long retain their influence over iterature, let them reflect that, as tho Bishop of St. David's says, in his "In pathos, in splendour of lan- 'Proofs of the Inspiration of the Sibvline Verses," read at the last guage, in sweetness of versification, Sibylline Verses," read at the last Mr. Quongti has long been considered meeting of the Royal Society of Literaas unrivalled. In his exquisite poem ture, "at all events, a Pagan is not a

Some readers of the present day may

time in which he has chosen his subject: that, where he introduces any place, and that he confounds the customs of our age with those of much more remote periods. I can only say not suffer his opinions to depend affect the truth or falsehood of the rethe other? Of this, at least, I am ceradmiring the plots of those famous poems our children will have for extolling that of the "Wellingtoniad."

the narrative. The subject is 'The

Reign of the Hundred Days."

THE poem commences, in form, with a our. hitherto eluded all the research of poliincitement it was that the emperor to him since the battle of Leipsic.

to the historical circumstances of the with his devoted companions was now on the sea, returning to his ancient dominions. The gods were at present, trait of our manners, it is in the wrong fortunately for the adventurer, feasting with the Ethiopians, whose entertainments, according to the ancient custom described by Homer, they annually that the charge is infinitely more appartended, with the same sort of condeplicable to Homer, Virgil, and Tasso. scending gluttony which now carries If, therefore, the reader should detect, the cabinet to Guildhall on the 9th of in the following abstract of the plot, November. Neptune was, in conseany little deviation from strict histori- quence, absent, and unable to prevent cal accuracy, let him reflect, for a the enemy of his favourite island from moment, whether Agamemnon would crossing his element. Boreas, hownot have found as much to censure in ever, who had his abode on the banks the Iliad,—Dido in the Æneid,—or of the Russian ocean, and who, like Godfrey in the Jerusalem. Let him Thetis in the Iliad, was not of sufficient quality to have an invitation to Ethiocircumstances which cannot possibly pia, resolves to destroy the armament which brings war and danger to his presentation. If it be impossible for a beloved Alexander. He accordingly single man to kill hundreds in battle, raises a storm which is most powerfully the impossibility is not diminished by described. Napoleon bewails the indistance of time. If it be as certain glorious fate for which he seems to be that Rinaldo never disenchanted a forest reserved. "Oh! thrice happy," says in Palestine as it is that the Duke of he, "those who were frozen to death at Wellington never disenchanted the Krasnoi, or slaughtered at Leipsic. Oh, forest of Soignies, can we, as rational Kutusoff, bravest of the Russians, men, tolerate the one story and ridicule wherefore was I not permitted to fall by thy victorious sword?" He then tain, that whatever excuse we have for offers a prayer to Æolus, and yows to him a sacrifice of a black ram. In consequence, the god recalls his turbulent subject; the sea is calmed; and the I shall proceed to give a sketch of ship anchors in the port of Frejus. Napoleon and Bertrand, who is always called the faithful Bertrand, land to explore the country; Mars meets them disguised as a lancer of the guard, wearing the cross of the legion of hon-He advises them to apply for solemn proposition of the subject, necessaries of all kinds to the governor, Then the muse is invoked to give the shows them the way, and disappears poet accurate information as to the with a strong smell of gunpowder. causes of so terrible a commotion. The Napoleon makes a pathetic speech, and answer to this question, being, it is to enters the governor's house. Here he be supposed, the joint production of sees hanging up a fine print of the the poet and the muse, ascribes the battle of Austerlitz, himself in the foreevent to circumstances which have ground giving his orders. This puts him in high spirits; he advances and tical writers, namely, the influence of salutes the governor, who receives him the god Mars, who, we are told, had most loyally, gives him an entertainsome forty years before usurped the ment, and, according to the usage of conjugal rights of old Carlo Buonaparte, all epic hosts, insists after dinner on a and given birth to Napoleon. By his full narration of all that has happened

#### BOOK II.

NAPOLEON carries his narrative from the battle of Leipsic to his abdication But, as we shall have a great quantity of fighting on our hands, I think it best to omit the details.

Napoleon describes his sojourn a Elba, and his return; how he was driven by stress of weather to Sardinia and fought with the harpies there; how he was then carried southward to Sicily, where he generously took on board an English sailor, whom a man of war had unhappily left there, and who was in imminent danger of being devoured by the Cyclops; how he landed in the bay of Naples, saw the Sibyl, and descended to Tartarus; how he held a long and pathetic conversation with Poniatowski, whom he found wandering unburied on the banks of Styx; how he swore to give him a splendid funeral; how he had also an affectionate interview with Desaix; how Moreau and Sir Ralph Abercrombie fled at the sight of him. He relates that he then re-embarked, and met with nothing of importance till the commencement of the storm with which the poem opens.

#### BOOK IV.

THE scene changes to Paris. Fame, in the garb of an express, brings intelligence of the landing of Napoleon. The king performs a sacrifice: but the entrails are unfavourable; and the victim is without a heart. He prepares to encounter the invader. A young captain of the guard,—the son of Maria Antoinette by Apollo, -in the shape of a fiddler, rushes in to tell him that Napoleon is approaching with a vast army. The royal forces are drawn out for battle. Full catalogues are given of the regiments on both sides; their colonels, lieutenant-colonels, and uniform.

### BOOK V.

The king comes forward and defies Napoleon to single combat. Napoleon accepts it. Sacrifices are offered. The ground is measured by Ney and Macdonald. The combatants advance.

Louis snaps his pistol in vain. The bullet of Napoleon, on the contrary, carries off the tip of the king's car. Napoleon then rushes on him sword in hand. But Louis snatches up a stone. such as ten men of those degenerate days will be unable to move, and hurls it at his antagonist. Mars averts it. Napoleon then seizes Louis, and is about to strike a fatal blow, when Bacchus intervenes, like Venus in the third book of the Iliad, bears off the king in a thick cloud, and seats him in an hotel at Lille, with a bottle of Maraschino and a basin of soup before him. Both armies instantly proclaim Napoleon emperor.

NEPTUNE, returned from his Ethiopian revels, sees with rage the events which lave taken place in Europe. He flies to the cave of Alecto, and drags out the fiend, commanding her to excite universal hostility against Napoleon. The Fury repairs to Lord Castlereagh; and, as, when she visited Turnus, she assumed the form of an old woman, she here appears in the kindred shape of Mr. Vansittart, and in an impassioned address exhorts his lordship to war. His lordship, like Turnus, treats his unwonted monitor with great disrespect, tells him that he is an old doting fool, and advises him to look fter the ways and means, and leave. questions of peace and war to his beters. The Fury then displays all her errors. The neat nowdered hair bristles up into snakes; the black stockings appear clotted with blood; and, brandishng a torch, she announces her name and mission. Lord Castlereagh, seized with fury, flies instantly to the Pariament, and recommends war with a orrent of eloquent invective. All the embers instantly clamour for vengeance, seize their arms which are anging round the walls of the house, nd rush forth to prepare for instant ostilities.

n this book intelligence arrives at London of the flight of the Duchess .'Angoulême from France. It is stated that this heroine, armed from head to foot, defended Bordeaux against the adherents of Napoleon, and that she fought hand to hand with Clausel, and beat him down with an enormous stone. Deserted by her followers, she at last like Turnus, plunged, armed as she was into the Garonne, and swam to an English ship which lay off the coast. This intelligence yet more inflames the English to war.

A yet bolder flight than any which has been mentioned follows. The Duke of Wellington goes to take leave of the duchess; and a scene passes quite equa to the famous interview of Hector and Andromache. Lord Douro is frightened at his father's feather, but begs for his cpaulette.

#### BOOK VIII.

NEPTUNE, trembling for the event of the war, implores Venus, who, as the offspring of his element, naturally venerates him, to procure from Vulcan a deadly sword and a pair of unerring pistols for the Duke. They are accordingly made, and superbly decorated. The sheath of the sword, like the shield of Achilles, is carved, in exquisitely fine miniature, with scenes from the common life of the period; a dance at Almack's, a boxing match at the Fives-court, a lord mayor's procession, and a man hanging. All these are fully and ele gantly described. The Duke thus armed hastens to Brussels.

THE Duke is received at Brussels by the King of the Netherlands with great magnificence. He is informed of the approach of the armies of all the con-The poet, however, federate kings. with a laudable zeal for the glory of his country, completely passes over the exploits of the Austrians in Italy, and the discussions of the congress. England and France; Wellington and Napoleon, almost exclusively occupy his Several days are spent at attention. Brussels in revelry. The English heroes astonish their allies by exhibiting splendid games, similar to those which draw the flower of the British aristocracy to

Newmarket and Moulsey Hurst, and which will be considered by our descendants with as much veneration as the Olympian and Isthmian contests by classical students of the present time. In the combat of the cestus, Shaw, the life-guardsman, vanquishes the Prince of Orange, and obtains a bull as a prize. In the horse-race, the Duke of Wellington and Lord Uxbridge ride against each other; the Duke is victorious, and is rewarded with twelve opera-girls. On the last day of the festivities, a splendid dance takes place, at which all the heroes attend.

Mars, seeing the English army thus inactive, hastens to rouse Napoleon, who, conducted by Night and Silence, unexpectedly attacks the Prussians. The slaughter is immense. Napoleon kills many whose histories and families are happily particularised. He slays Herman, the craniologist, who dwelt by the linden-shadowed Elbe, and measured with his eye the skulls of all who walked through the streets of Ber-Alas! his own skull is now cleft by the Corsican sword. Four pupils of the University of Jena advance together to encounter the Emperor: at four blows he destroys them all. Blucher rushes to arrest the devastation; Napoleon strikes him to the ground, and is on the point of killing him, but Gneisenau, Ziethen, Bulow, and all the ther heroes of the Prussian army, gather round him, and bear the venerble chief to a distance from the field. The slaughter is continued till night. In the meantime Neptune has despatched Fame to bear the intelligence the Duke, who is dancing at Brussels. The whole army is put in motion. The Duke of Brunswick's horse speaks to admonish him of his danger, but in

TOTON, the Duke of Brunswick, and he Prince of Orange, engage Ney at Quatre Bras. Ney kills the Duke of Brunswick, and strips him, sending his it to Napoleon. The English fall

Mars and Neptune make very eloquent Duke of Brunswick. speeches. commences. flies to check the carnage. only saved by the assistance of Lord Hill. In the meantime the Duke makes a tremendous carnage among the French. He encounters General ON MITFORD'S HISTORY OF Duhesme and vanquishes him, but spares his life. He kills Toubert, wh live.

primed by the Cyclops, wounds the Emperor in the thigh. He flies, and takes refuge among his troops. The flight becomes promiscuous. The ar- Glendoveerrival of the Prussians, from a motive of patriotism, the poet completely passes over.

#### BOOK XII.

THINGS are now hastening to the Forward he sprung to tempt the unequal catastrophe. Nanoleon flies to London fray." catastrophe. Napoleon flies to London, and, seating himself on the hearth of In plain words, I shall offer a few conthe Regent, embraces the household siderations, which may tend to reduce gods and conjures him, by the vener- an overpraised writer to his proper level. able age of George III., and by the The principal characteristic of this opening perfections of the Princess historian, the origin of his excellencies

back on Waterloo. Jupiter calls - Charlotte, to spare him. The Prince is council of the gods, and commands that inclined to do so; when, looking on his none shall interfere on either side breast, he sees there the belt of the He instantly The battle of Waterloo draws his sword, and is about to stab Napoleon kills Picton the destroyer of his kinsman. Piety and Delancy. Ney engages Ponsonby and hospitality, however, restrain his and kills him. The Prince of Orange hand. He takes a middle course, and is wounded by Soult. Lord Uxbridge condemus Napoleon to be exposed on a He is desert island. The King of France reseverely wounded by Napoleon, and enters Paris; and the poem concludes.

# GREECE. (November 1824.)

kept the gaming-house in the Palais This is a book which enjoys a great Royal, and Maronet, who loved to spend and increasing popularity: but, while whole nights in drinking champagne, it has attracted a considerable share of Clerval, who had been hooted from the the public attention, it has been little stage, and had then become a captain noticed by the critics. Mr. Mitford in the Imperial Guard, wished that he has almost succeeded in mounting. had still continued to face the more unperceived by those whose office it is harmless enmity of the Parisian pit, to watch such aspirants, to a high place But Larrey, the son of Esculapius, among historians. He has taken a whom his father had astructed in all seat on the dais without being chalthe secrets of his art, and who was lenged by a single seneschal. To opsurgeon-general of the French army, pose the progress of his fame is now embraced the knees of the destroyer, almost a hopeless enterprise. Had he and conjured him not to give death to been reviewed with candid severity, one whose office it was to give life, when he had published only his first The Duke raised him, and bade him volume, his work would either have deserved its reputation, or would never But we must hasten to the close, have obtained it. "Then," as Indra Napoleon rushes to encounter Welling- says of Kehama, "then was the time ton. Both armies stand in mute amaze. to strike." The time was neglected! The heroes fire their pistols; that of and the consequence is that Mr. Mit-Napoleon misses, but that of Welling- ford, like Kehama, has laid his victoton, formed by the hand of Vulcan, and jous hand on the literary Amreeta, and seems about to taste the precious elixir of immortality. I shall venture o emulate the courage of the honest

"When now He saw the Amreeta in Kehama's hand, An impulse that defied all self-command, In that extremity,

Stung him, and he resolved to seize the cup, And dare the Rejah's force in Seeva's sight.

The principal characteristic of this

and his defects, is a love of singularity quent obscurity, and, above all, by a is to them utterly inconceivable. we call a foreigner by the name which he bears in his own language, or by or Lawrence de Medici, Jean Chauvin, or John Calvin. In such cases established usage is considered as law by all be excused for sometimes disagreeing white with his neighbours; but he proceeds drama of John James.

history of any other country than raving crueity, excited by no provo-Greece, this propensity would have cation, repeatedly changing its object, rendered his work useless and absurd. and constant in nothing but in its in-His occasional remarks on the affairs extinguishable thirst for blood. of ancient Rome and of modern In history this error is far more Europe are full of errors: but he disgraceful. Indeed, there is no fault writes of times with respect to which which so completely ruins a narrative almost every other writer has been in in the opinion of a judicious reader. the wrong; and, therefore, by reso- We know that the line of demarcation lytely deviating from his predecessors, between good and bad men is so Le is often in the right.

Almost all the modern historians of He has no notion of going with a mul- Greece have shown the grossest ignotitude to do either good or evil. An rance of the most obvious phenomena exploded opinion, or an unpopular of human nature. In their represenperson, has an irresistible charm for tations the generals and statesmen of him. The same perverseness may be antiquity are absolutely divested of all traced in his diction. His style would individuality. They are personificanever have been elegant; but it might tions; they are passions, talents, opiat least have been manly and perspinions, virtues, vices, but not mentious; and nothing but the most Inconsistency is a thing of which claborate care could possibly have these writers have no notion. That a made it so bad as it is. It is dis- man may have been liberal in his tinguished by harsh phrases, strange youth and avaricious in his age, cruel collocations, occasional solecisms, fre- to one enemy and merciful to another, peculiar oddity, which can no more be the facts be undeniable, they suppose described than it can be overlooked, some strange and deep design, in order Nor is this all. Mr. Mitford piques to explain what, as every one who has himself on spelling better than any of observed his own mind knows, needs his neighbours; and this not only in no explanation at all. This is a mode ancient names, which he mangles in f writing very acceptable to the muldefiance both of custom and of reason, titude who have always been accusbut in the most ordinary words of the found to make gods and damons out English language. It is, in itself, a of men very little better or worse matter perfectly indifferent whether than themselves; but it appears conemptible to all who have watched he changes of human character-to that which corresponds to it in ours; all who have observed the influence of whether we say Lorenzo de Medici, time, of circumstances, and of associates, on mankind—to all who have seen a hero in the gout, a democrat in the church, a pedant in love, or a writers except Mr. Mitford. If he were philosopher in liquor. This practice always consistent with himself, he might of painting in nothing but black and uppardonable even in the It is the great fault of Alon no principle but that of being un-fieri; and how much it injures the like the rest of the world. Every child effect of his compositions will be obhas heard of Linnieus; therefore Mr. vious to every one who will compare Mitford calls him Linné: Rousscau is his Rosmunda with the Lady Macbeth known all over Europe as Jean of Shakspeare. The one is a wicked Jacques; therefore Mr. Mitford be- woman; the other is a fiend. Her stows on him the strange appellation only feeling is hatred; all her words are curses. We are at once shocked Had Mr. Mitford undertaken a and fatigued by the spectacle of such

faircly marked as often to elude the

Marlborough, Burnet, Walpole, upon the great characters of antiquity, The modern historians of Greece have rives its value. forgotten this. Their heroes and vildoor of the house Beautiful.

Greece have generally turned with the characters. contempt from the simple and natural servation on an empire which covered to the other. half the known world. Of liberty joyment. They ranted about liberty Here the advantage lies, for the most

most careful investigation of those and patriotism, from the same cause who have the best opportunities for which leads monks to talk more judging. Public men, above all, are ardently than other men about love surrounded with so many temptations and women. A wise man values and difficulties that some doubt must political liberty, because it secures the almost always hang over their real persons and the possessions of citizens; dispositions and intentions. The lives because it tends to prevent the extraof Pym, Cromwell, Monk, Clarendon, vagance of rulers, and the corruption of judges; because it gives birth to useful well known to us. We are acquainted sciences and elegant arts; because it with their actions, their speeches, their excites the industry and increases the writings; we have abundance of letters comforts of all classes of society. and well-authenticated anecdotes re- These theorists imagined that it poslating to them: yet what candid man sessed something eternally and intrinwill venture very positively to say sically good, distinct from the blessings which of them were honest and which which it generally produced. They of them were dishonest men? It ap- considered it not as a means but as an pears easier to pronounce decidedly end; an end to be attained at any cost. Their favourite heroes are those who not because we have greater means of have sacrificed, for the mere name of discovering truth, but simply because freedom, the prosperity—the security we have less means of detecting error, the justice-from which freedom de-

There is another remarkable chalains are as consistent in all their racteristic of these writers, in which sayings and doings as the cardinal their modern worshippers have carefully virtues and the deadly sins in an imitated them-a great fondness for allegory. We should as soon expect a good stories. The most established facts, good action from giant Slay-good in dates, and characters are never suffered Bunyan as from Dionysius; and a o come into competition with a splendid crime of Epaminondas would seem as saying, or a romantic exploit. The early incongruous as a faux-pas of the historians have left us natural and grave and comely damsel called Dis- simple descriptions of the great events cretion, who answered the bell at the which they witnessed, and the great door of the house Beautiful.

en with whom they associated. When This error was partly the cause and we read the account which Plutarch and partly the effect of the high estima- Rollin have given of the same period. tion in which the later ancient writers we scarcely know our old acquaintance have been held by modern scholars, again; we are utterly confounded by Those French and English authors the melo-dramatic effect of the narrawho have treated of the affairs of tion, and the sublime coxcombry of

These are the principal errors into narrations of Thucydides and Xeno- which the predecessors of Mr. Mitford phon to the extravagant representations have fallen; and from most of these he of Plutarch, Diodorus, Curtius, and is free. His faults are of a completely other romancers of the same class,- different description. It is to be hoped men who described military operations that the students of history may now without ever having handled a sword, be saved, like Dorax in Dryden's play, and applied to the seditions of little by swallowing two conflicting poisons, republics speculations formed by ob- each of which may serve as an antidote

The first and most important differthey knew nothing. It was to them ence between Mr. Mittord and those who a great mystery—a superhuman en-lave preceded him is in his narration.

part, on his side. His principle is to follow the contemporary historians, to dook with doubt on all statements which are not in some degree confirmed by them, and absolutely to reject all which are contradicted by them. While he retains the guidance of some writer in whom he can place confidence, he goes on excellently. When he loses it, he falls to the level, or perhaps below the level, of the writers whom he so much despises: he is as absurd as they, and very much duller. It is really amusing to observe how he proceeds with his narration when he has no better authority than poor Diodorus. He is compelled to relate something; yet he believes nothing. He accompanies every fact with a long statement of objections. His account of the administration of Dionysius is in no sense a history. It ought to be entitled-"Historic doubts as to certain events, alleged to have taken place in Ficily.

This scepticism, however, like that of some great legal characters almost as sceptical as himself, vanishes whenever his political partialities interfere. He is a vehement admirer of tyranny and oligarchy, and considers no evidence as feeble which can be brought forward in favour of those forms of government. Democracy he hates with a perfect hatred, a hatred which, in the first volume of his history, appears only in his episodes and reflections, but which, in those parts where he has less reverence for his guides, and can venture to take his own way, completely distorts even his narration.

In taking up these opinions, I have no doubt that Mr. Mitford was influenced by the same love of singularity which led him to spell island without an s, and to place two dots over the last letter of idea. In truth, preceding historians have erred so monstrously on the other side that even the worst parts of Mr. Mitford's book may be useful as a corrective. For a young gentleman who talks much about his country, tyrannicide, and Epaminondas, | becomes less and less tempting. But this work, diluted in a sufficient quan- the interests of the subjects and the tity of Rollin and Barthelemi, may be a very useful remedy.

The errors of both parties arise from an ignorance or a neglect of the fundamental principles of political science. The writers on one side imagine popular government to be always a blessing; Mr. Mitford omits no opportunity of assuring us that it is always a curse. The fact is, that a good government, like a good coat, is that which fits the body for which it is designed. A man who, upon abstract principles, pronounces a constitution to be good. without an exact knowledge of the people who are to be governed by it. judges as absurdly as a tailor who should measure the Belvidere Apollo for the clothes of all his customers. The demagogues who wished to see Portugal a republic, and the wise critics who revile the Virginians for not having instituted a peerage, appear equally ridiculous to all men of senso and candour.

That is the best government which desires to make the people happy, and knows how to make them happy. Neither the inclination nor the knowledge will suffice alone; and it is difficult to find them together.

Pure democracy, and pure democracy alone, satisfies the former condition of this great problem. That the governors may be solicitous only for the interests of the governed, it is necessary that the interests of the governors and the governed should be the same. This cannot be often the case where power is intrusted to one or to a few. privileged part of the community will doubtless derive a certain degree of advantage from the general prosperity of the state; but they will derive a greater from oppression and exaction. The king will desire an useless war for his glory, or a parc-aux-cerfs for his pleasure. The nobles will demand monopolies and lettres-de-câchet. In proportion as the number of governors is increased the evil is diminished. There are fewer to contribute, and more to receive. The dividend which each can Obtain of the public plunder rulers never absolutely coincide till the subjects themselves become the rulers.

that is, till the government be either immediately or mediately democratical.

But this is not enough. "Will without power," said the sagacious Casimir to Milor Beefington, "is like children there is scarcely a form of government playing at soldiers." The people will which might not, at least in some always be desirous to promote their hypothetical case, be the best possible. own interests; but it may be doubted, whether, in any community, they were ever sufficiently educated to understand them. Even in this island, where the multitude have long been better informed than in any other part of Europe, the rights of the many have generally been asserted against themselves by the patriotism of the few. Free trade, one of the greatest blessings which a government can confer on a people, is in almost every country unpopular. It may be well doubted, whether a liberal policy with regard to our commercial relations would find any support from a parliament elected by universal suffrage. The republicans on the other side of the Atlantic have recently adopted regulations of which the consequences will, before long, show us,

w nations sink, by darling schemes oppressed. When vengeance listens to the fool's request.

The people are to be governed for their own good; and, that they may be governed for their own good, they must not be governed by their own ignorance. There are countries in which it would be as absurd to establish popular government as to abolish all the restraints in a school, or to untie all the strait-waistcoats in a madhouse.

Hence it may be concluded that the happiest state of society is that in which supreme power resides in the whole body of a well-informed people. This is an imaginary, perhaps an un-Yet, in attainable, state of things. some measure, we may approximate to it; and he alone deserves the name of a great statesman, whose principle it is to extend the power of the people in proportion to the extent of their knowledge, and to give them every facility | distinction within her jurisdiction. It for obtaining such a degree of know- was only when they escaped from the ledge as may render it safe to trust region within which the influence of them with absolute power. In the aristocracy withered everything good

mean time, it is dangerous to praise or condemn constitutions in the abstract; since, from the despotism of St. Petersburg to the democracy of Washington,

If, however, there be any form of government which in all ages and all nations has always been, and must always be, pernicious, it is certainly that which Mr. Mitford, on his usual principle of being wiser than all the rest of the world, has taken under his especial patronage - pure oligarchy. This is closely, and indeed inseparably. connected with another of his eccentric tastes, a marked partiality for Lacedæmon, and a dislike of Athens. Mr. Mitford's book has, I suspect, rendered these sentiments in some degree popular; and I shall, therefore, examine them at some length.

The shades in the Athenian character strike the eye more rapidly than those in the Lacedamonian: not because they are darker, but because they are on a brighter ground. The law of ostracism is an instance of this. Nothing can be conceived more odious than the practice of punishing a citizen, simply and professedly, for his eminence;-and nothing in the institutions of Athens is more frequently or more justly censured. Lacedæmon And why? Lacewas free from this. dæmon did not need it. Oligarchy is an ostracism of itself,-an ostracism not occasional, but permanent,-not dubious, but certain. Her laws prevented the development of merit, instead of attacking its maturity. They did not cut down the plant in its high and palmy state, but cursed the soil with eternal sterility. In spite of the law of ostrucism, Athens produced, within a hundred and fifty years, the greatest public men that ever existed. Whom had Sparta to ostracise? She produced, at most, four emineut men, Brasidas, Gylippus, Lysander, and Agesilaus. Of these, not one rose to

became great men. Brasidas, amor the cities of Thrace, was strictly a of Lyeurgus. tile in really great men appeared.

stance: in her history we see nothing their allies; they gave up to the sword but the state; aristocracy had de- whole cities which had placed themstroyed every seed of genius and virtue. selves under their protection; they Her dominion was like herself, lofty partered, for advantages confined to and magnificent, but founded on filth | hemselves, the interest, the freedom, and weeds. should ever again exist a powerful and civilised state, which, after existing equal complacency, and equal infamy, through thirteen hundred eventful the stripes of Elis and the bribes of years, shall not bequeath to mankind Persia; they never showed either rethe memory of one great name or one sentment or gratitude; they abstained generous action.

among the number, have admired the zen who served them well as their deadstability of the Spartan institutions; liest enemy. These are the arts which in fact, there is little to admire, and less protract the existence of government. to approve. Oligarchy is the weakest and the most stable of governments; and of Lacedæmon less hateful or less conit is stable because it is weak. It has temptible than her foreign policy. A a sort of valetudinarian longevity; it perpetual interference with every part lives in the balance of Sanctorius; it of the system of human life, a contakes no exercise; it exposes itself to stant struggle against nature and reano accident; it is seized with an hypo- son, characterised all her laws. chondriac alarm at every new sensa- violate even projudices which have tion; it trembles at every breath; it taken deep root in the minds of a lets blood for every inflammation: and coople is scarcely expedient; to think

and noble, it was only when they thus, without ever enjoying a day of ceased to be Lacedamonians, that they health or pleasure, drags on its existence to a doting and debilitated old age.

The Spartans purchased for their democratical leader, the favourite government a prolongation of its minister and general of the people, existence by the sacrifice of happiness The same may be said of Gylippus, at home and dignity abroad. They at Syracuse. Lysander, in the Hel- cringed to the powerful; they tramlespont, and Agesilaus, in Asia, were pled on the weak; they massacred liberated for a time from the hateful their helots; they betrayed their alrestraints imposed by the constitution lies; they contrived to be a day too Both acquired fame late for the battle of Marathon : they abroad; and both returned to be attempted to avoid the battle of Sawatched and depressed at home. This lamis; they suffered the Athenians, is not peculiar to Sparta. Oligarchy, to whom they owed their lives and wherever it has existed, has always liberties, to be a second time driven stunted the growth of genius. Thus from their country by the Persians, it was at Rome, till about a century that they might finish their own fortibefore the Christian era: we read of fications on the Isthmus; they atabundance of consuls and dictators who tempted to take advantage of the diswon battles, and enjoyed triumphs, tress to which exertions in their cause but we look in vain for a single man had reduced their preservers, in order of the first order of intellect,—for a to make them their slaves; they strove Pericles, a Demosthenes, or a Hannibal, to prevent those who had abandoned The Gracchi formed a strong demo- their walls to defend them, from recratical party; Marius revived it; the building them to defend themselves; foundations of the old aristocracy they commenced the Peloponnesian were shaken; and two generations fer- war in violation of their engagements ith Athens; they abandoned it in Venice is a still more remarkable in- violation of their engagements with God forbid that there and the lives of those who had served hem most faithfully; they took with from no injury; and they revenged Many writers, and Mr. Mitford nones. Above all, they looked on a citi-

Nor were the domestic institutions

its victim. Thus it is in convents— blement instituées pour être chaussées. arose that madness, or violence ap- nous mangeons du porc toute l'année." proaching to madness, which, in spite his cruelty. he distorted the minds of the people than Spartan insolence. to suit the constitution, title to admiration.

of extirpating natural appetites and ferula of Dr. Pangloss; for his metapassions is frantic: the external symp- physics are clearly those of the castle toms may be occasionally repressed; of Thunder-ten-tronckh: "Remarquez but the feeling still exists, and, de- bien que les nez ont été faits pour barred from its natural objects, preys porter des lunettes, aussi avons nous on the disordered mind and body of des luncttes. Les jambes sont visithus it is among ascetic sects-thus it et nous avons des chausses. Les cowas among the Lacedemonians. Hence chons étant faits pour être mangés,

At Athens the laws did not conof every external restraint, often ap- stantly interfere with the tastes of the peared among the most distinguished people. The children were not taken citizens of Sparta. Cleomenes termi- from their parents by that universal nated his career of raving cruelty by step-mother, the state. They were not cutting himself to pieces. Pausanias starved into thieves, or tortured into seems to have been absolutely insane; bullies; there was no established table he formed a hopeless and profligate at which everyone must dine, no estabscheme; he betrayed it by the osten- lished style in which everyone must tation of his behavour, and the impru-converse. An Athenian might cat dence of his measures; and he alien- whatever he could afford to buy, and ated, by his insolence, all who might talk as long as he could find people to have served or protected him. Xeno- listen. The government did not tell phon, a warm admirer of Lacedæmon, the people what opinions they were to furnishes us with the strongest evidence hold, or what songs they were to sing. to this effect. It is impossible not to Freedom produced excellence. Thus observe the brutal and senseless fury philosophy took its origin. Thus were which characterises almost every Spar- produced those models of poetry, of an with whom he was connected, oratory, and of the arts, which scarcely Clearchus nearly lost his life by fall snort of the standard of ideal ex-Chirisophus deprived ellence. Nothing is more conducive his army of the services of a faith- to happiness than the free exercise of ful guide by his unreasonable and the mind in pursuits congenial to it. ferocious severity. But it is needless This happiness, assuredly, was enjoyed to multiply instances. Lyeurgus, Mr. far more at Athens than at Sparta. Mitford's favourite legislator, founded The Athenians are acknowledged even his whole system on a mistaken prin- by their enemies to have been distinciple. He never considered that guished, in private life, by their courgovernments were made for men, and teous and amiable demeanour. Their not men fo governments. Instead of levity, at least, was better than Spartan adapting the constitution to the people, sullemess, and their impertinence, scheme courage it may be questioned whether worthy of the Laputan Academy of they were inferior to the Lacedæmo-Projectors. And this appears to Mr. mans. The great Athenian historian Mitford to constitute his peculiar has reported a remarkable observation Hear himself: of the great Athenian minister. Peri-"What to modern eyes most strikingly cles maintained that his countrymen, sets that extraordinary man above without submitting to the hardships of all other legislators is, that in so many a Spartan education, rivalled all the circumstances, apparently out of the achievements of Spartan valour, and reach of law, he controlled and formed that therefore the pleasures and amuseto his own mind the wills and habits ments which they enjoyed were to be of his people." I should suppose that considered as so much clear gain. The this gentleman had the advantage of infantry of Athens was certainly not receiving his education under the equal to that of Lacedamon; but this

seems to have been caused merely by Broad Stone of Honour, and all the pline of the phalanx to that of the trinaval action.

But we are told that crimes of great enormity were perpetrated by the Athenian government, and the democracies under its protection. It is true that Athens too often acted up to the full extent of the laws of war, in an age all the states of Greece, and to all states hand of the potter. similarly situated. Where communilaged—his own relations have been formers. which he pays. Men in such circum- doned. too much at stake. It is when they are, if I may so express myself, playing against democracy as the source of for love, it is when war is a mere game every species of crime. at chess, it is when they are contending The Black Prince waited behind the were peculiar to themselves, the crimes chair of his captive; Villars inter-with which they are charged arose changed repartces with Eugene; George rom causes which were common to II. sent congratulations to Louis XV., them with every other state which then during a war, upon occasion of his escape from the attempt of Damien: and that age sprung from a cause which has these things are fine and generous, and always been fertile in every political very gratifying to the author of the and moral evil, domestic slavery.

want of practice: the attention of the other wise men who think, like him, Athenians was diverted from the disci- that God made the world only for the use of gentlemen. But they spring in The Lacedæmonians, in spite of general from utter heartlessness. all their boasted valour, were, from the war ought ever to be undertaken but same cause, timid and disorderly in under circumstances which render all interchange of courtesy between the combatants impossible. It is a bad thing that men should hate each other; but it is far worse that they should contract the habit of cutting one another's throats without hatred. War is never lenient, but where it is wanton; when those laws had not been mitigated when men are compelled to fight in by causes which have operated in later self-defence, they must hate and avenge: This accusation is, in fact, this may be bad; but it is human nacommon to Athens, to Lacedemon, to ture; it is the clay as it came from the

It is true that among the dependenties are very large, the heavier evils of cies of Athens seditions assumed a chawar are felt but by few. The plough-racter more ferocious than even in boy sings, the spinning-wheel turns France, during the reign of terrorround, the wedding-day is fixed, whe- the accursed Saturnalia of an accursed ther the last battle were lost or won. bondage. It is true that in Athens In little states it cannot be thus; every itself, where such convulsions were man feels in his own property and per- scarcely known, the condition of the son the effect of a war. Every man is higher orders was disagreeable; that a soldier, and a soldier fighting for his they were compelled to contribute large nearest interests. His own trees have sums for the service or the amusement, been cut down-his own corn has been of the public; and that they were burnt-his own house has been pil- sometimes harassed by vexatious in-Whenever such cases occur, killed. How can he entertain towards Mr. Mitford's scepticism vanishes. The the enemies of his country the same "if," the "but," the "it is said," the feelings with one who has suffered no- "if we may believe," with which he thing from them, except perhaps the qualifies every charge against a tyrant addition of a small sum to the taxes or an aristocracy, are at once aban-The blacker the story, the stances cannot be generous. They have firmer is his belief, and he never fails

inveigh with hearty bitterness

The Athenians, I believe, possessed for a remote colony, a frontier town, more liberty than was good for them. the honours of a flag, a salute, or a Yet I will venture to assert that, title, that they can make fine speeches, while the splendour, the intelligence, and do good offices to their enemies. and the energy of that great people xisted. The violence of faction in

The effect of slavery is completely to singular that Mr. Mitford should pertaining slaves. There is no demand which were perpetrated at Corcyra. for the labour of the poor; the fable of the body politic. therefore, proceed to extremities utterly an agrarian law. property of the state. Hence the necessity for measures tending to unsettle to his heart. the whole frame of society, and to take laws-propositions absurdly condemned heavier charge to answer. cumstances from which they sprung, false statements. desperate constitution. pelled the whole commonalty from the city, and remained, with their slaves, the sole inhabitants.

From such calamities Athens and Lacedæmon alone were almost comrich were laid under regular contribution for the support of the poor; and this, saved their houses from pillage and their persons from violence. It is marchus

dissolve the connection which naturally petually reprobate a policy which was exists between the higher and lower the best that could be pursued in such classes of free citizens. The rich spend a state of things, and which alone saved their wealth in purchasing and main- Athens from the frightful outrages

Lacedæmon, cursed with a system of Menenius ceases to be applicable; the slavery more odious than has ever belly communicates no nutriment to existed in any other country, avoided the members; there is an atrophy in this evil by almost totally annihilating The two parties, private property. Lycurgus began by He abolished all unknown in countries where they have professions except that of arms; he mutually need of each other. In Rome made the whole of his community a the oligarchy was too powerful to be standing army, every member of which subverted by force; and neither the had a common right to the services of tribunes nor the popular assemblies, a crowd of miserable bondmen; he though constitutionally omnipotent, secured the state from sedition at the could maintain a successful contest expense of the Helots. Of all the parts against men who possessed the whole of his system this is the most creditable to his head, and the most disgraceful

These considerations, and many away every motive of industry; the others of equal importance, Mr. Mitabolition of debts, and the agrarian ford has neglected; but he has yet a He has by men who do not consider the cir- made not only illogical inferences, but While he never They were the desperate remedies of a states, without qualifications and ob-In Greece the oli- jections, the charges which the earliest garchical interest was not in general so and best historians have brought deeply rooted as at Rome. The multi- against his favourite tyrants, Pisistratude, therefore, often redressed by force tus, Hippias, and Gelon, he transcribes, grievances which, at Rome, were com- without any hesitation, the grossest monly attacked under the forms of the abuse of the least authoritative writers They drove out or against every democracy and every massacred the rich, and divided their demagogue. Such an accusation should property. If the superior union or not be made without being supported; military skill of the rich rendered them and I will therefore select one out of victorious, they took measures equally many passages which will fully substanviolent, disarmed all in whom they tiate the charge, and convict Mr. Mitcould not confide, often slaughtered ford of wilful misrepresentation, or of great numbers, and occasionally ex- negligence scarcely less culpable. Mr. Mitford is speaking of one of the greatest men that ever lived, Demosthenes, and comparing him with his rival, Æschines. Let him speak for himself.

"In earliest youth Demosthenes pletely free. At Athens the purses of the carned an opprobrious nickname by the effeminacy of his dress and manuer." Does Mr. Mitford know that Demosrightly considered, was as much a favour thenes denied this charge, and exto the givers as to the receivers, since plained the nickname in a perfectly no other measure could possibly have different manner?\* And, if he knew

<sup>&#</sup>x27; See the speech of Æschines against Ti-

it, should he not have stated it? He to extort money. refers for confirmation of his statement flicts? called, of this historian) "from a petulant youth of rank, named Meidias." petulant youth, of whom Mr. Mitford through life and in death. speaks, was fifty years old. † Really Mr. inaccuracy, with regard to facts, we

Μειρακύλλιον ών κομιδή.

may be able to judge what degree of proceeds thus: - "On emerging from credit ought to be given to the vague minority, by the Athenian law, at five- abuse of such a writer. The cowardice and-twenty, he carned another oppro- of Demosthenes in the field afterwards brious nickname by a prosecution of became notorious." Demosthenes was his guardians, which was considered a civil character; war was not his as a dishonourable attempt to extort business. In his time the division bemoney from them." In the first place, tween military and political offices was Demosthenes was not five-and-twenty beginning to be strongly marked; yet years of age. Mr. Mitford might have the recollection of the days when every learned, from so common a book as the citizen was a soldier was still recent. Archæologia of Archbishop Potter, that In such states of society a certain deat twenty Athenian citizens were freed gree of disrepute always attaches to from the control of their guardians, sedentary men; but that any leader of and began to manage their own pro- the Athenian democracy could have The very speech of Demos- been, as Mr. Mitford says of Demosthones against his guardians proves thenes, a few lines before, remarkable most satisfactorily that he was under for "an extraordinary deficiency of pertwenty. In his speech against Midias, sonal courage," is absolutely impossihe says that when he undertook that ble. What mercenary warrior of the prosecution he was quite\* a boy. His time exposed his life to greater or youth might, therefore, excuse the step, more constant perils? Was there a even if it had been considered, as Mr. single soldier at Chæronea who had Mitford says, a dishonourable attempt more cause to tremble for his safety But who considered than the orator, who, in case of deit as such? Not the judges who con- feat, could scarcely hope for mercy demned the guardians. The Athe- from the people whom he had misled nian courts of justice were not the or the prince whom he had opposed? purest in the world; but their decisions | Were not the ordinary fluctuations of were at least as likely to be just as the popular feeling enough to deter any abuse of a deadly enemy. Mr. Mitford coward from engaging in political con-Isocrates, whom Mr. Mitford to Æschines and Plutarch. Æschines extols, because he constantly employed by no means bears him out; and Plu- all the flowers of his school-boy rhetoric tarch directly contradicts him. "Not to decorate oligarchy and tyranny, long after," says Mr. Mitford, "he took avoided the judicial and political meetblows publicly in the theater" (I pre- ings of Athens from mere timidity. serve the orthography, if it can be so and seems to have hated democracy only because he durst not look a popular assembly in the face. Demosthenes Here are two disgraceful mistakes. In was a man of a feeble constitution: the first place, it was long after; eight his nerves were weak; but his spirit years at the very least, probably was high; and the energy and enthumuch more. In the next place, the siasm of his feelings supported him

So much for Demosthenes. Now for Mittord has less reason to censure the the orator of aristocracy. I do not wish carelessness of his predecessors than to to abuse Alschines. He may have been reform his own. After this monstrous an honest man. He was certainly a great man; and I feel a reverence, of which Mr. Mitford seems to have no notion, for great men of every party. But, when Mr. Mitford says that the private character of Æschines was without stain, does he remember what Æschines has himself confessed in his

<sup>†</sup> Whoever will read the speech of Demosthenes against Midias will find the statements in the text confirmed, and will have, more-over, the pleasure of becoming acquainted with one of the finest compositions in the world.

character of Æschines," says Mr. Mit-Demosthenes on the Embassy? can be have forgotten, what was never forgotten by anyone else who ever read it, the story which Demosthenes relate with such terrible energy of language concerning the drunken brutality of his rival? True or false, here is something more than an insinuation; and nothing can vindicate the historian, who has overlooked it, from the charge of negligence or of partiality. But Æschines denier the story. And did not Demostheres also deny the story respecting his childish nickname, which Mr. Mitford has nevertheless told without any part of them, showed, by their clamour, their disbelief of the relation of Demosthenes. And did not the judges, who tried the cause between Demosthenes and his guardians, indicate, in a much clearer manner, their approbation of and the sovereign. the prosecution? But Demosthenes to be panegyrised. Is this a history, party-pamphlet?

singl page of Mr. Mitford's work, may have not the means of comparing his statements with the original authorities, of his extreme partiality and carelessness. Indeed, whenever this historian mentions Demosthenes, he violates all the laws of candour and even of decency; he weighs no authorities; he makes no allowances; he forgets the be a political institute for all nations." best authenticated facts in the history of the times, and the most generally ian history, perfectly written, should

speech against Timarchus? I can make The opposition of the great orator to allowances, as well as Mr. Mitford, for the policy of Philip he represents as persons who lived under a different neither more nor less than deliberate system of laws and morals; but let villainy. I hold almost the same opithem be made impartially. If Demos- nion with Mr. Mitford repecting the chathenes is to be attacked on account of racter and the views of that great and some childish improprieties, proved only accomplished prince. But am I, thereby the assertion of an antagonist, what fore, to pronounce Demosthenes proflishall we say of those maturer vices gate and insincere? Surely not. Do which that antagonist has himself we not perpetually see men of the acknowledged? "Against the private greatest talents and the purest intentions misled by national or factious ferd, " Demosthenes seems not to have prejudices? The most respectable peohad an insinuation to oppose." Has ple in England were, little more than Mr. Mitford ever read the speech of forty years ago, in the habit of uttering Or the bitterest abuse against Washington and Franklin. It is certainly to be reretted that men should err so grossly in their estimate of character. But no person who knows anything of human nature will impute such errors to depravity.

Mr. Mitford is not more consistent with himself than with reason. Though he is the advocate of all oligarchies, he is also a warm admirer of all kings, and of all citizens who raised themselves to that species of sovereignty which the Greeks denominated tyranny. If monarchy, as Mr. Mitford holds, be in itself qualification? But the judges, or some a blessing, democracy must be a better form of government than aristocracy. which is always opposed to the supremacy, and even to the eminence, of individuals. On the other hand, it is but one step that separates the demagogue

If this article had not extended itself was a demagogue, and is to be slandered. to so great a length, I should offer a Eschines was an aristocrat, and is few observations on some other peculiarities of this writer,—his general preference of the Barbarians to the Greeks. These passages, all selected from a -his predilection for Persians, Carthaginians, Thracians, for all nations, in give some notion to those readers, who short, except that great and enlightened nation of which he is the historian. But I will confine myself to a single topic.

Mr. Mitford has remarked, with ruth and spirit, that "any history perfectly written, but especially a Greian history perfectly written, should It has not occurred to him that a Grerecognised principles of human nature. also be a complete record of the rise

and progress of poetry, philosophy, and rebellions-is a complete history. Dif-Here his work is extremely the arts. deficient. Indeed, though it may seem a strange thing to say of a gentleman who has published so many quartos, Mr. Mitford seems to entertain a feeling, bordering on contempt for literary and speculative pursuits. The talents of action almost exclusively attract his notice; and he talks with very complacent disdain of "the idle learned." Homer, indeed, he admires; but principally, I am afraid, because he is convinced that Homer could neither read nor write. He could not avoid speaking of Socrates; but he has been far more solicitous to trace his death to political causes, and to deduce from it consequences unfavourable to Athens, and to popular governments, than to throw light on the character and doctrines of the wonderful man,

" From whose mouth issued forth Mellifluous streams that watered all the schools Of Academics, old and new, with those Surnamed Peripatetics, and the sect Epicurean, and the Stoic severe.

He does not seem to be aware that Demosthenes was a great orator; he represents him sometimes as an aspiring demagogue, sometimes as an adroit negotiator, and always as a great rogue. But that in which the Athenian excelled all men of all ages, that irresistible eloquence, which at the distance of more than two thousand years stirs our blood, and brings tears into our eyes, he passes by with a few phrases of common-place commendation. The origin of the drama, the doctrines of the sophists, the course of Athenian education, the state of the arts and sciences, the whole domestic system of the Greeks, he has almost completely neglected. Yet these things will appear, to a reflecting man, scarcely less worthy of attention than the taking of Sphacteria or the discipline of the targeteers of Iphicrates.

This, indeed, is a deficiency by no means peculiar to Mr. Mitford Most ferences of definition are logically unimportant; but practically they sometimes produce the most momentous effects. Thus it has been in the present case. Historians have, almost without exception, confined themselves to the public transactions of states. and have left to the negligent administration of writers of fiction a province at least equally extensive and valuable.

All wise statesmen have agreed to consider the prosperity or adversity of nations as made up of the happiness or misery of individuals, and to reject as chimerical all notions of a public interest of the community, distinct from the interest of the component parts. It is therefore strange that those whose office it is to supply statesmen with examples and warnings should omit, as too mean for the dignity of history, circumstances which exert the most extensive influence on the state of society. In general, the under current of human life flows steadily on, unruffled by the storms which agitate the sur-The happiness of the many commonly depends on causes independent of victories or defeats, of revolutions or restorations,—causes which ι be π gulated by no laws, and which are recorded in no archives. These causes are the things which it is of main importance to us to know, not how the Lacedæmonian phalanx was broken at Leuctra, --not whether Alexander died of poison or by disease. History, without these, is a shell without a kernel; and such is almost all the history which is extant in the world. Paltry skirmishes and plots are reported with absurd and useless minuteness; but improvements the most essential to the comfort of human life extend themselves over the world, and introduce themselves into every cottage, before any annalist can condescend, from the dignity of writing about generals and ambassadors, to take the least notice of Thus the progress of the most them. salutary inventions and discoveries is people seem to imagine that a detail of buried in impenetrable mystery; manpublic occurrences—the operations of kind are deprived of a most useful sieges—the changes of administrations species of knowledge, and their bene--the treaties-the conspiracies-the factors of their honest fame. In the

meantime every child knows by heart | and elegance of expression, which chathe dates and adventures of a long line of barbarian kings. The history of nations, in the sense in which I use the word, is often best studied in works not professedly historical. Thucydides, as far as he goes, is an excellent writer; yet he affords us far less knowledge of the most important particulars relating to Athens than Plate or Aristophanes. The little treatise of Xenophon on Domestic Economy contains more historical information than all the seven books of The same may be said his Hellenics. of the Satires of Horace, of the Letters of Cicero, of the novels of Le Sage, of the memoirs of Marmontel. Many others might be mentioned; but these sufficiently illustrate my meaning.

I would hope that there may yet appear a writer who may despise the present narrow limits, and assert the rights of history over every part of her natural domain. Should such a writer engage in that enterprise, in which I cannot but consider Mr. Mitford as having failed, he will record, indeed, all that is interesting and important in military and political transactions; but he will not think anything too trival for the gravity of history which is not too trival to promote or diminish the happiness of man. He will portray in vivid colours the domestic society, the manners, the amusements, the conversation of the Greeks. will not disdain to discuss the state of agriculture, of the mechanical arts, and of the conveniences of life. The progress of painting, of sculpture, and of architecture, will form an important part of his plan. But, above all, his attention will be given to the history of that splendid literature from which has sprung all the strength, the wisdom, the freedom, and the glory, of the western world.

Of the indifference which Mr. Mitford shows on this subject I will not speak; for I cannot speak with fairness. It is a subject on which I love to forget the accuracy of a judge, in the veneration of a worshipper and the

racterise the great works of Athenian genius, we must pronounce them intrinsically most valuable; but what shall we say when we reflect that from hence have sprung directly or indirectly, all the noblest creations of the human intellect; that from hence were the vast accomplishments and the brilliant fancy of Cicero; the withering fire of Juvenal; the plastic imagination of Dante; the humour of Cervantes; the comprehension of Bacon : the wit of Butler; the supreme and universal excellence of Shakspeare? All the triumphs of truth and genius over prejudice and power, in every country and in every age, have been the triumphs of Athens. Wherever a few great minds have made a stand against violence and fraud, in the cause of liberty and reason, there has been her spirit in the midst of them; inspiring, encouraging, consoling; -by the lonely lamp of Erasmus; by the restless bed of Pascal; in the tribune of Mirabeau; in the cell of Galileo; on the scaffold of Sidney. But who shall estimate her influence on private happiness? shall say how many thousands have been made wiser, happier, and better, by those pursuits in which she has taught mankind to engage: to how many the studies which took their rise from her have been wealth in poverty, -liberty in bondage, -health in sickness, -society in solitude? Her power is indeed manifested at the bar, in the senate, in the field of battle, in the schools of philosophy. But these are not her glory. Wherever literature consoles sorrow, or assuages pain,wherever it brings gladness to eyes which fail with wakefulness and tears. and ache for the dark house and the long sleep,-there is exhibited, in its noblest form, the immortal influence of Athens.

The dervise, in the Arabian tale, did not hesitate to abandon to his comrade the camels with their load of jewels and gold, while he retained the casket of that mysterious juice which gratitude of a child. If we consider enabled him to behold at one glance all merely the subtlety of disquisition, the the hidden riches of the universe. force of imagination, the perfect energy Surely it is no exaggeration to say that

no external advantage is to be compared with that purification of the intellectual eye which gives us to contemplate the infinite wealth of the mental world, all the hoarded treasures of its primeval dynasties, all the shapeless ore of its yet unexplored mines. This is the gift of Athens to man. Her freedom and her power have for more than twenty centuries been annihilated; her people have degenerated into timid slaves; her language into a barbarous jargon; her temples have been given up to the successive depredations of Romans, Turks, and Scotchmen; but her intellectual empire is imperishable. And when those who have rivalled her greatness shall have shared her fate; when civilisation and I trol.

knowledge shall have fixed their abode in distant continents; when the sceptre shall have passed away from England; when, perhaps, travellers from distant regions shall in vain labour to decipher on some mouldering pedestal the name of our proudest chief; shall hear savage hymns chaunted to some misshapen idol over the ruined dome of our proudest temple; and shall see a single naked fisherman wash his nets in the river of the ten thousand masts ; her influence and her glory will still survive,-fresh in eternal youth, exempt from mutability and decay, immortal as the intellectual principle from which they derived their origin, and over which they exercise their con-

## CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE EDINBURGH REVIEW.

JOHN DRYDEN.

The Poetical Works of JOHN DRYDEN. In 2 vols. University Edition. London, 1826.

The public voice has assigned to Dryden the first place in the second rank of our poets,-no mean station in a table of intellectual precedency so rich in illustrious names. It is allowed that, even of the few who were his superiors in genius, none has exercised a more extensive or permanent influence on the national habits of thought and expression. His life was commensurate with the period during which a great revolution in the public taste was effected; and in that revolution he played the part of Cromwell. By unserupulously taking the lead in its wildest excesses. he obtained the absolute guidance of it. By trampling on laws, he acquired the authority of a legislator. By signalising himself as the most daring and irreverent of rebels, he raised himself to the dignity of a recognised prince. commenced his career by the most frantic outrages. He terminated it in the repose of established sovereignty, -- the author of a new code, the root of a new dynasty.

Of Dryden, however, as of almost every man who has been distinguished either in the literary or in the political world, it may be said that the course which he pursued, and the effect which he produced, depended less on his personal qualities than on the circumstances

(January 1828.) and invectives which represent individuals as effecting great moral and intellectual revolutions, subverting established systems, and imprinting a new character on their age. The difference between one man and another is by no means so great as the superstitious crowd supposes. But the same feelings which in ancient Rome produced the apotheosis of a popular emperor, and in modern Rome the canonisation of a devout prelate, lead men to cherish an illusion which furnishes them with something to adore. By a law of association, from the operation of which even minds the most strictly regulated by reason are not wholly exempt, misery disposes us to hatred, and happiness to love, although there may be no person to whom our misery or our happiness can be ascribed. The peovishness of an invalid vents itself even on those who alleviate his pain. The good humour of a man clated by success often displays itself towards enemies. In the same manner, the feelings of pleasure and admiration, to which the contemplation of great events gives birth, make an object where they do not find it. Thus, nations descend to the absurdities of Egyptian idolatry, and worship stocks and reptiles—Sacheverells and Wilkeses. They even fall prostrate before a deity to which they have themselves given the form which commands their vencration, and which, unless fashioned by them, would have remained in which he was placed. Those who a shapeless block. They persuade themhave read history with discrimination selves that they are the creatures of know the fallacy of those panegyrics what they have themselves created.

they are; but they only pay with effected no reformation.

Jesuits and the unreasonable decientered on his literary career when inat the same time more flagrant, when the church was polluted by the Iscariot Dubois, the court disgraced by the orgies of Canillac, and the nation sacrificed to the juggles of Law, if he had lived to see a dynasty of harlots, an empty treasury and a crowded harem, an army formidable only to those whom it should have protected, a priesthood just religious enough to be intolerant, he might possibly, like every man of vagant prejudices against monarchy and Christianity. The wit which blasted the sophisms of Escobar—the impassioned eloquence which defended the sisters of Port Royal—the intellectual hardihood which was not beaten down raised him to the Patriarchate of the Philosophical Church. It was long disputed whether the honour of inventing the method of Fluxions belonged to Newton or to Leibnitz. It is now gemade the same discovery at the same what we conceive them to be. Mathematical science, indeed,

For, in fact, it is the age that forms neither of them had over existed, the the man, not the man that forms the principle must inevitably have occurred age. Great minds do indeed re-act on to some person within a few years. So the society which has made them what in our own time the doctrine of rent, now universally received by political terest what they have received. We economists, was propounded, almost at extol Bacon, and sneer at Aquinas. But, the same moment, by two writers unif their situations had been changed, connected with each other. Preceding Bacon might have been the Angelical speculators had long been blundering Doctor, the most subtle Aristotelian of round about it; and it could not posthe schools; the Dominican might have sibly have been missed much longer by led forth the sciences from their house the most heedless inquirer. We are inof bondage. If Luther had been born clined to think that, with respect to every in the tenth century, he would have great addition which has been made to If he had the stock of human knowledge, the case never been born at all, it is evident that has been similar; that without Copernithe sixteenth century could not have cus we should have been Copernicans,elapsed without a great schism in the that without Columbus America would church. Voltaire, in the days of Louis have been discovered, that without the Fourteenth, would probably have Locke we should have possessed a just been, like most of the literary men of theory of the origin of human ideas. that time, a zealous Jansenist, eminent Society indeed has its great men and among the defenders of efficacious grace, its little men, as the earth has its mouna bitter assailant of the lax morality of tains and its valleys. But the inequalities of intellect, like the inequalities of sions of the Sorbonne. If Pascal had the surface of our globe, bear so small

proportion to the mass, that, in calcutelligence was more general, and abuses lating its great revolutions, they may afely be neglected. The sun illuminates the hills, while it is still below the horizon; and truth is discovered by the highest minds a little before it becomes manifest to the multitude. This is the extent of their superiority. They are the first to catch and reflect a light, which, without their assistance, must, in a short time, be visible to those who lie far beneath them.

The same remark will apply equally genius in France, have imbibed extra- to the fine arts. The laws on which depend the progress and decline of poetry, painting, and sculpture, operate with little less certainty than those which regulate the periodical returns of

heat and cold, of fertility and barren-

s. Those who seem to lead the even by Papal authority-might have public taste are, in general, merely outrunning it in the direction which it is spontaneously pursuing. Without a just apprehension of the laws to which we have alluded, the merits and defects of Dryden can be but imperfectly unnerally allowed that these great men derstood. We will, therefore, state

The ages in which the master-pieces "had then reached such a point that, is of imagination have been produced

have by no means been those in which assign.

who most clearly comprehends the manner in which all its wheels and springs conduce to its general effect, another machine of similar power. In science which admit of perfect analycombine. But the analysis which criticism can effect of poetry is necessarily imperfect. One element must for ever clude its researches; and that is the ting no inaccuracy, introducing nothing superfluous, omitting nothing which can be positively pronounced necessary, shall produce no more effect than an advertisement of a capital residence and a desirable pleasure-ground. To take another example: the great features of the character of Hotspur are obvious to the most superficial reader. We at once perceive that his courage is splendid, his thirst of glory intense, his animal spirits high, his temper careless, arbitrary, and petulant : that he indulges his own humour without caring whose feelings he may wound, or whose enmity he may provoke, by his levity. Thus far criticism will go. But something is still wanting. man might have all those qualities, and every other quality which the most minute examiner can introduce

faults of Hotspur, and yet he would not taste has been most correct. It seems be Hotspur. Almost everything that that the creative faculty, and the criti- we have said of him applies equally to cal faculty, cannot exist together in Falconbridge. Yet in the mouth of their highest perfection. The causes Falconbridge most of his speeches of this phenomenon it is not difficult to would seem out of place. In real life this perpetually occurs. We are sen-It is true that the man who is best sible of wide differences between men able to take a machine to pieces, and whom, if we were required to describe them, we should describe in almost the same terms. If we were attempting to draw elaborate characters of them, we will be the man most competent to form should scarcely be able to point out any strong distinction; yet we approach all the branches of physical and moral them with feelings altogether dissimilar. We cannot conceive of them as sis, he who can resolve will be able to using the expressions or the gestures of each other. Let us suppose that a zoologist should attempt to give an account of some animal, a porcupine for instance, to people who had never seen very element by which poetry is poetry. it. The porcupine, he might say, is of In the description of nature, for exam- the class mammalia, and the order ple, a judicious reader will easily detect glires. There are whiskers on its face; an incongruous image. But he will it is two feet long; it has four toes find it impossible to explain in what before, five behind, two fore teeth, and consists the art of a writer who, in a eight grinders. Its body is covered few words, brings some spot before with hair and quills. And, when all him so vividly that he shall know it as this has been said, would any one of if he had lived there from childhood; the auditors have formed a just idea while another, employing the same of a porcupine? Would any two of materials, the same verdure, the same them have formed the same idea? water, and the same flowers, commit- There might exist innumerable races f animals, possessing all the characwhich can be positively pronounced teristics which have been mentioned, yet altogether unlike to each other. What the description of our naturalist s to a real porcupine, the remarks of riticism are to the images of poetry. What it so imperfectly decomposes it cannot perfectly reconstruct. vidently as impossible to produce an Othello or a Macbeth by reversing an analytical process so defective, as it would be for an anatomist to form a iving man out of the fragments of his lissecting-room. In both cases the ital principle cludes the finest instruments, and vanishes in the very instant n which its seat is touched. Hence hose who, trusting to their critical kill, attempt to write poems give us, ot images of things, but catalogues of qualities. Their characters are, allegories--not good men and bad men, into his catalogue of the virtues and but cardinal virtues and deadly sins.

We seem to have fallen among the of readers. Every school-boy thumbs Prudence, Piety, and Charity.

allowed. Why it should keep them critic. In the moment in which the skill of the artist is perceived, the spell of the art is broken.

These considerations account for the book. which some unmeaning expression. which they admire.

acquaintances of our old friend Chris- to pieces the most wretched translations tian: sometimes we meet Mistrust and of his romance, and knows the lantern Timorous; sometimes Mr. Hate-good jaws of the Knight Errant, and the and Mr. Love-lust; and then again broad cheeks of the Squirc, as well as the faces of his own playfellows. The That critical discernment is not suf- most experienced and fastidious judges ficient to make men poets, is generally are amazed at the perfection of that art which extracts inextinguishable from becoming poets, is not perhaps laughter from the greatest of human equally evident: but the fact is, that calamities without once violating the poetry requires not an examining but reverence due to it; at that discrimia believing frame of mind. Those feel nating delicacy of touch which makes it most, and write it best, who forget a character exquisitely ridiculous, withthat it is a work of art; to whom its out impairing its worth, its grace, or imitations, like the realities from which its dignity. In Don Quixote are sevethey are taken, are subjects, not for ral dissertations on the principles of connoisseurship, but for tears and poetic and dramatic writing. No paslaughter, resentment and affection; sages in the whole work exhibit stronger who are too much under the influence marks of labour and attention; and no of the illusion to admire the genius passages in any work with which we which has produced it; who are too are acquainted are more worthless and much frightened for Ulysses in the cave puerile. In our time they would scarcely of Polyphemus to care whether the pun obtain admittance into the literary deabout Out is be good or bad; who forget partment of the Morning Post. Every that such a person as Shakspeare ever reader of the Divine Comedy must be existed, while they weep and curse struck by the veneration which Dante with Lear. It is by giving faith to the expresses for writers far inferior to creations of the imagination that a man himself. He will not lift up his eyes becomes a poet. It is by treating from the ground in the presence of those creations as deceptions, and by Brunetto, all whose works are not resolving them, as nearly as possible, worth the worst of his own hundred into their elements, that he becomes a cantos. He does not venture to walk in the same line with the bombastic Statius. His admiration of Virgil is absolute idolatry. If, indeed, it had been excited by the elegant, splendid, absurdities into which the greatest and harmonious diction of the Roman writers have fallen, when they have poet, it would not have been altogether attempted to give general rules for unreasonable; but it is rather as an composition, or to pronounce judgment authority on all points of philosophy, on the works of others. They are un- than as a work of imagination, that he accustomed to analyse what they feel; values the Aneid. The most trivial they, therefore, perpetually refer their passages he regards as oracles of the emotions to causes which have not in highest authority, and of the most rethe slightest degree tended to produce condite meaning. He describes his They feel pleasure in reading a conductor as the sea of all wisdom-They never corsider that this the sun which heals every disordered pleasure may be the effect of ideas sight. As he judged of Virgil, the Italians of the fourteenth century striking on the first link of a chain of judged of him; they were proud of execciations, may have called up in their him; they praised him; they struck own minds—that they have themselves medals bearing his head; they quarfurnished to the author the beauties relled for the honour of possessing his remains; they maintained professors to Cervantes is the delight of all classes expound his writings. But what they

admired was not that mighty imagination which called a new world into exsounds familiar to the eye and ear of They said little of thos the mind. awful and lovely creations on which lifting his haughty and tranquil bro lion-like repose of Sordello-or the light which shone from the celestial smile of Beatrice. They extolled their great poet for his smattering of ancient literature and history; for his logic and his divinity; for his absurd physics, and his more absurd metaphysics; for everything but that in which he preeminently excelled. Like the fool in the story, who ruined his dwelling by digging for gold, which, as he had dreamed, was concealed under its foundations, they laid waste one of the noblest works of human genius, by seeking in it for buried treasures of wisdom which existed only in their own wild reveries. The finest passages were little valued till they had been debased into some monstrous allegory. Louder applause was given to the lecture on fate and free-will, or to the ridiculous astronomical theories, than to those tremendous lines which disclose the secrets of the tower of hunger, or to that half-told tale of guilty love, so passionate and so full of tears.

We do not mean to say that the contemporaries of Dante read with less emotion than their descendants of Ugolino groping among the wasted corpses of his children, or of Francesca starting at the tremulous kiss and dropping the fatal volume. Far from it. We believe that they admired these things less than ourselves, but that they felt them more. We should perhaps say that they felt them too much to admire them. The progress of a nation from barbarism to civilisation produces a change similar to that which takes place during the progress of an individual from infancy to mature age. What man does not remember with regret the first time that he read Robinson Crusoe? Then, indeed, he was unable to appreciate the powers of the writer; or, rather, he neither and in so much company; and yet, if I was

knew nor cared whether the book had a writer at all. He probably thought istence, and made all its sights and it not half so fine as some rant of Macpherson about dark-browed Foldath, and white-bosomed Strinadons. He now values Fingal and Temora later critics delight to dwell-Farinata only as showing with how little evidence a story may be believed, and from his couch of everlasting fire—the with how little merit a book may be popular. Of the romance of Defoe he entertains the highest opinion. perceives the hand of a master in ten thousand touches which formerly he passed by without notice. But, though he understands the merits of the narrative better than formerly, he is far less interested by it. Xury, and Friday, and pretty Poll, the hoat with the shoulder-of-mutton sail, and the canoe which could not be brought down to the water edge, the tent with its hedge and ladders, the preserve of kids, and the den where the old goat died, can never again be to him the realities which they were. The days when his favourite volume set him upon making wheel-barrows and chairs, upon digging caves and fencing huts in the garden, can never return. Such is the law of our nature. / Our judgment ripens; our imagination decays. We cannot at once enjoy the flowers of the spring of life and the fruits of its autumn, the pleasures of close investigation and those of agreeable error. We cannot sit at once in the front of the stage and behind the scenes. not be under the illusion of the specacle, while we are watching the movenents of the ropes and pulleys which dispose it.

> The chapter in which Fielding describes the behaviour of Partridge at the theatre affords so complete an llustration of our proposition, that we cannot refrain from quoting some parts f it.

"Partridge gave that credit to Mr. Garrick which he had denied to Jones, and fell into so iclent a trembling that his knees knocked gainst each other. Jones asked him what was the matter, and whether he was afraid the warrior upon the stage 2-40, la, sir, said he, 'I perceive now it is what you told the contraction of the warrior was the stage of the sta ne. I am not afraid of anything, for I know t is but s play; and if it was really a ghost, t could do one no harm at such a distance

frightened, I am not the only person.'—
'Why, who,' cries Jones, 'dost thou take to
be such a coward lere besides thyself?'—'Nay,
you may call me a coward if you will; but if that little man there upon the stage is not which succeeded each other in Hamlet, succeeding likewise in him. . . .

" Little more worth remembering occurred during the play, at the end of which Jones asked him which of the players he liked best. asked nim which of the players he like Desk.
To this he answered, with some appearance
of indignation at the question, 'The King,
without doubt.'—'Indeed, Mr. Partridge,'
says Mrs. Miller, 'you are not of the same
opinion with the town; for they are all agreed that Hamlet is acted by the best player who was ever on the stage. 'He the best player!' cries Partridge, with a contemptuous sneer; 'why I could act as well as he myself. I am sure if I had seen a ghost, I should have looked in the very same manner, and done just as be did. And then to be sure, in that scene, as you called it, between him and his mother. where you told me he acted so fine, why, any man, that is, any good man, that had such a mother, would have done exactly the same. I know you are only joking with me: but in-deed, madam, though I never was at a play in London, yet I have seen acting before in the country, and the King for my money; he speaks all his words distinctly, and half as loud again as the other. Anybody may see he is an actor."

at him possess the tithe of his sensi- the writer. bility to theatrical excellence. He admires in the wrong place; but he comforted. trembles in the right place. It is in-So, we have heard it said that, in some many apparent exceptions. who should represent a depraved cha- are more than apparent. Phrynichus produced his tragedy on the poetical faculty is decaying. The

the fall of Miletus, they fined him in a penalty of a thousand drachmas for torturing their feelings by so pathetic an exhibition. They did not regard him as a great artist, but merely as a man who had given them pain. When they woke from the distressing illusion, they treated the author of it as they would have treated a messenger who should have brought them fatal and alarming tidings which turned out to be false. In the same manner, a child screams with terror at the sight of a person in an ugly mask. He has perhaps seen the mask put on. But his imagination is too strong for his reason; and he intreats that it may be taken off.

We should act in the same manner if the gricf and horror produced in us by works of the imagination amounted to real torture. But in us these emotions are comparatively languid. They rarely affect our appetite or our sleep. They leave us sufficiently at ease to trace them to their causes, and to estimate the powers which produce them. Our attention is speedily diverted from the images which call In this excellent passage Partridge forth our tears to the art by which is represented as a very bad theatrical those images have been selected and critic. But none of those who laugh combined. We applaud the genius of We applaud our own sagacity and sensibility; and we are

Yet, though we think that in the deed because he is so much excited by progress of nations towards refinement the acting of Garrick, that he ranks the reasoning powers are improved at him below the strutting, mouthing the expense of the imagination, we performer, who personates the King. acknowledge that to this rule there are We are parts of Spain and Portugal, an actor not, however, quite satisfied that they Men rearacter finely, instead of calling down soned better, for example, in the time the applauses of the audience, is hissed of Elizabeth than in the time of Egand pelted without mercy. It would bert; and they also wrote better poetry. be the same in England, if we, for one But we must distinguish between moment, thought that Shylock or Iago poetry as a mental act, and poetry as was standing before us. While the a species of composition. If we take dramatic art was in its infancy at it in the latter sense, its excellence Athens, it produced similar effects on depends not solely on the vigour of the ardent and imaginative spectators. the imagination, but partly also on the It is said that they blamed Æschylus instruments which the imagination for frightening them into fits with his employs. Within certain limits, there-Furies. Herodotus tells us that, when fore, poetry may be improving while

imagination? passed amidst an ideal world of beautiful and majestic forms? pears between his first rude essays and In poetry, as in painting and sculpture, it is necessary that the imitator should of a beckoning Houri. the mechanical part of his art. Genius tive poet, till he has looked with atten- language of New Holland. tion on the face of nature; or a great abling the artist to communicate his it all day. conceptions to others.

exercises a despotic power. So strong the same manner, savages are more is the perception of what is unreal that affected by the rude compositions of it often overpowers all the passions of their bards than nations more advanced the mind and all the sensations of the in civilisation by the greatest masterbody. At first, indeed, the phantasm pieces of poetry.

vividness of the picture presented to remains undivulged, a hidden treasure the reader is not necessarily propor- a wordless poetry, an invisible painting, tioned to the vividness of the proto- a silent music, a dream of which the type which exists in the mind of the pains and pleasures exist to the dreamer writer. In the other arts we see this alone, a bitterness which the heart only clearly. Should a man, gifted by na- knoweth, a joy with which a stranger ture with all the genius of Canova, intermeddleth not. The machinery, by attempt to carve a statue without in- which ideas are to be conveyed from struction as to the management of his one person to another, is as yet rude chisel, or attention to the anatomy of and defective. Between mind and mind the human body, he would produce there is a great gulf. The imitative something compared with which the arts do not exist, or are in their lowest Highlander at the door of a snuff shop state. But the actions of men amply would deserve admiration. If an un- prove that the faculty which gives initiated Raphael were to attempt a birth to those arts is morbidly active. painting, it would be a mere daub; It is not yet the inspiration of poets indeed, the connoisscurs say that the and sculptors; but it is the amuscinent early works of Raphael are little better. of the day, the terror of the night, the Yet, who can attribute this to want of fertile source of wild superstitions. It Who can doubt that turns the clouds into gigantic shapes, the youth of that great artist was ind the winds into doleful voices. The selief which springs from it is more Or, who absolute and undoubting than any will attribute the difference which ap- which can be derived from evidence. t resembles the faith which we repose his magnificent Transfiguration to a n our own sensations. Thus, the Arab, change in the constitution of his mind? when covered with wounds, saw nothing but the dark eyes and the green kerchief The Northern be well acquainted with that which he warrior laughed in the pangs of death undertakes to imitate, and expert in when he thought of the mead of Valhalla.

The first works of the imagination will not furnish him with a vocabu- are, as we have said, poor and rude, lary: it will not teach him what word not from the want of genius, but from most exactly corresponds to his idea, the want of materials. Phidias could and will most fully convey it to others: have done nothing with an old tree it will not make him a great descrip- and a fish-bone, or Homer with the

Yet the effect of these early perdramatist, till he has felt and wit- formances, imperfect as they must nenessed much of the influence of the cessarily be, is immense. All defipassions. Information and experience ciencies are supplied by the susceptiare, therefore, necessary; not for the bility of those to whom they are purpose of strengthening the imagi- addressed. We all know what pleasure nation, which is never so strong a wooden doll, which may be bought as in people incapable of reasoning for sixpence, will afford to a little girl.

— savages, children, madmen, and She will require no other company. dreamers; but for the purpose of en- She will nurse it, dress it, and talk to No grown-up man takes half so much delight in one of the in-In a barbarous age the imagination comparable babies of Chantrey. In

more imagination than their rude an- different faculty. cestors. We strongly suspect that they powers stand in need. Then comes better understood. the short period of splendid and cousummate excellence. struggle, portry begins to decline. The progress of language, which was at first favourable, becomes fatal to it, and, inthe imagination, accelerates that decay, and renders it more obvious. When the adventurer in the Arabian tale anointed one of his eyes with the con of the earth, however widely dispersed, visible to him. But, when he tried founded with what is the experiment on both eyes, he was struck with blindness. What the enchanted elixir was to the sight of the body, language is to the sight of the At first it calls up a imagination. world of glorious illusions; but, when it becomes too copious, it altogether destroys the visual power.

As the development of the mind proceeds, symbols, instead of being employed to convey images, are substituted for them. Civilised men think as Poets, in such circumstances as these, they trade, not in kind, but by means circumstances, the sciences improve manner has been fashioned. word, disappears, bood, as feeble as the former, and far eagerness to avoid the mala prohibita more hopeless. This is the age of of a foolish code, they are perpetually critical poetry, of poetry by courtesy, rushing on the mala in se. Their great of poetry to which the memory, the predecessors, it is true, were as bad judgment, and the wit contribute far critics as themselves, or perhaps worse: more than the imagination. We rea- but those predecessors, as we have dily allow that many works of this de- attempted to show, were inspired ecription are excellent: we will not con- by a faculty independent of criticism, tend with those who think them more and, therefore, wrote well while they valuable than the great poems of an judged ill.

In process of time, the instruments earlier period. We only maintain that by which the imagination works are they belong to a different species of brought to perfection. Men have not composition, and are produced by a

It is some consolation to reflect that have much less. But they produce this critical school of poetry improves better works of imagination. Thus, as the science of criticism improves; up to a certain period, the diminution and that the science of criticism, like of the poetical powers is far more than every other science, is constantly tendcompensated by the improvement of all ing towards perfection. As experithe appliances and means of which those ments are multiplied, principles are

In some countries, in our own, for And then, from example, there has been an interval causes against which it is vain to between the downfall of the creative school and the rise of the critical, a period during which imagination has been in its decrepitude, and taste in its stead of compensating for the decay of infancy. Such a revolutionary interregnum as this will be deformed by every species of extravagance.

The first victory of good taste is over the bombast and conceits which deform tents of the magical box, all the riches such times as these. But criticism is still in a very imperfect state. What however sacredly concealed, became is accidental is for a long time con-General theories are drawn from detached facts. How many hours the action of a play may be allowed to occupy,-how many similes an Epic Poet may introduce into his first book,whether a piece, which is acknowledged to have a beginning and an end, may not be without a middle, and other questions as pucrile as these, formerly occupied the attention of men of letters in France, and even in this country. exhibit all the narrowness and feebleof a circul ating medium. In these ness of the criticism by which their rapidly, and criticism among the rest; outrageous absurdity they are preserved but poetry, in the highest sense of the indeed by their timidity. But they Then comes the perpetually sacrifice nature and reason dotage of the fine arts, a second child- to arbitrary canons of taste. In their

In time men begin to take more ra- laid down. Elizabethan another Hamlet. beauties of the modern imitations But we miss the sap, the freshness, and gustan age produced nothing equal to the bloom. Or, if we may borrow their finer passages. another illustration from Queen Schedone. Palace and bazaar were ran- gentlemen-ushers. sacked for precious stones. Yet the wrought in a single night.

which we are acquainted confirms, we longevity, have lived to read the earlier think, the principles which we have works of Prior and Addison. The

In Greece we see the tional and comprehensive views of imaginative school of poetry gradually literature. The analysis of poetry, fading into the critical. Æschylus and which, as we have remarked, must at Pindar were succeeded by Sophocles. best be imperfect, approaches nearer Sophocles by Euripides, Euripides by and nearer to exactness. The merits the Alexandrian versifiers. Of these of the wonderful models of former last, Theocritus alone has left compositimes are justly appreciated. The tions which deserve to be read. The frigid productions of a later age are splendour and grotesque fairyland of rated at no more than their proper the Old Comedy, rich with such gorvalue. Pleasing and ingenious imi- geous hues, peopled with such fantastic tations of the manner of the great shapes, and vocal alternately with the masters appear. Poetry has a partial sweetest peals of music and the loudest revival, a Saint Martin's Summer, bursts of elvish laughter, disappeared which, after a period of dreariness and for ever. The master-pieces of the decay, agreeably reminds us of the New Comedy are known to us by Latin splendour of its June. A second har- translations of extraordinary merit. vest is gathered in; though, growing From these translations, and from the on a spent soil, it has not the heart of expressions of the ancient critics, it is the former. Thus, in the present age, clear that the original compositions Monti has successfully imitated the were distinguished by grace and sweetstyle of Dante; and something of the ness, that they sparkled with wit, and inspiration has been abounded with pleasing sentiment; but caught by several eminent countrymen that the creative power was gone. of our own. But never will Italy pro- Julius Casar called Terence a half duce another Inferno, or England Menander,—a sure proof that Menan-We look on the ler was not a quarter Aristophanes.

The literature of the Romans was with feelings similar to those with merely a continuation of the literature which we see flowers disposed in vases, of the Greeks. The pupils started to ornament the drawing-rooms of a from the point at which their masters capital. We doubtless regard them had, in the course of many generations with pleasure, with greater pleasure, arrived. They thus almost wholly perhaps, because, in the midst of a missed the period of original invention. place ungenial to them, they remind us The only Latin poets whose writings of the distant spots on which they exhibit much vigour of imagination flourish in spontaneous exuberance, are Lucretius and Catullus. The Au-

In France, that licensed jester, herezade, we would compare the writers whose jingling cap and motley coat of this school to the jewellers who were concealed more genius than ever musemployed to complete the unfinished tered in the saloon of Ninon or of window of the palace of Aladdin. Madame Géoffrin, was succeeded by Whatever skill or cost could do was writers as decorous and as tiresome as

The poetry of Italy and of Spain has artists, with all their dexterity, with undergone the same change. But noall their assiduity, and with all their where has the revolution been more vast means, were unable to produce complete and violent than in England. anything comparable to the wonders The same person who, when a boy, had which a spirit of a higher order had clapped his thrilling hands at the first representation of the Tempest might, The history of every literature with without attaining to a marvellous

change, we believe, must, sooner o later, have taken place. But its progress was accelerated, and its characte modified, by the political occurrence of the times, and particularly by two events, the closing of the theatre under the commonwealth, and the restoration of the House of Stuart.

We have said that the critical and poetical faculties are not only distinct but almost incompatible. The state of our literature during the reigns o Elizabeth and James the First is a strong confirmation of this remark The greatest works of imagination that the world has ever seen were produced at that period. The national taste, ir the meantime, was to the last degree detestable. Alliterations, puns, antithetical forms of expression lavishly employed where no corresponding opposition existed between the thoughts expressed, strained allegories, pedantic allusions, everything, in short, quaint and affected, in matter and manner, made up what was then considered as fine writing. The eloquence of the bar, the pulpit, and the council-board, was deformed by conceits which would have disgraced the rhyming shepherds of an Italian academy. The king quibbled on the throne. We might. indeed, console ourselves by reflecting that his majesty was a fool. But the chancellor quibbled in concert from the wool-sack: and the chancellor was Francis Bacon. It is needless to mention Sidney and the whole tribe of Euphuists; for Shakspeare himself, the greatest poet that ever lived, falls into the same fault whenever he means to be particularly fine. While he abandons himself to the impulse of his imagination, his compositions are not only the sweetest and the most sublime, but also the most faultless, that the world has ever seen. But, as soon as his critical powers come into play, he sinks to the level of Cowley; or rather he does ill what Cowley did well. All that is bad in his works is bad elaborately, and of malice aforethought. The only thing wanting to make them perfect was, that he should never have troubled himself with thinking whether they were good or not. Like the angels whose imagination retained all its

in Milton, he sinks "with compulsion and laborious flight." His natural tendency is upwards. That he may soar. it is only necessary that he should not struggle to fall. He resembles an American Cacique, who, possessing in unmeasured abundance the metals which in polished societies are esteemed the most precious, was utterly unconscious of their value, and gave up treasures more valuable than the imperial crowns of other countries, to secure some gaudy and far-fetched but worthless bauble, a plated button, or a necklace of coloured glass.

We have attempted to show that, as knowledge is extended and as the reason developes itself, the imitative arts We should, therefore, expect that the corruption of poetry would commence in the educated classes of society. And this, in fact, is almost constantly the case. The few great works of imagination which appear in a critical age are, almost without exception, the works of uneducated men. Thus, at a time when persons of quality translated French romances, and when the universities celebrated royal deaths n verses about tritons and fauns, a preaching tinker produced the Pilrrim's Progress. And thus a ploughnan startled a generation which had hought Hayley and Beattie great poets, with the adventures of Tam O'Shanter, Even in the latter part of he reign of Elizabeth the fashionable poetry had degenerated. It retained ew vestiges of the imagination of earier times. It had not yet been subected to the rules of good taste. Afctation had completely tainted madrigals and sonnets. The grotesque conceits and the tuneless numbers of Donne were, in the time of James, the avourite models of composition at Whitehall and at the Temple. But. hough the literature of the Court was 1 its decay, the literature of the people as in its perfection. The Muses had aken sanctuary in the theatres, the aunts of a class whose taste was not better than that of the Right Honourades and singular good Lords who admired metaphysical love-verses, but

freshness and vigour; whose censure from the length and extent of the acand approbation might be erroneously bestowed, but whose tears and laughter i were never in the wrong. The infection which had tainted lyric and didactic poetry had but slightly and partially touched the drama. While the noble and the learned were comparing eyes to burning-glasses, and tears to terrestrial globes, coyness to an enthymeme, absence to a pair of compasses, and an unrequited passion to the fortieth remainder-man in an entail, Juliet leaning from the balcony, and Miranda smiling over the chess-board, sent home many spectators, as kind and simple-hearted as the master and mistress of Fletcher's Ralpho, to cry themselves to sleep.

No species of fiction is so delightful to us as the old English drama. Even its inferior productions possess a charm not to be found in any other kind of poetry. It is the most lucid mirror that ever was held up to nature. creations of the great dramatists of Athens produce the effect of magnificent sculptures, conceived by a mighty imagination, polished with the utmost delicacy, embodying ideas of ineffable majesty and beauty, but cold, pale, and rigid, with no bloom on the check, and no speculation in the eye. In all the draperies, the figures, and the faces, in the lovers and the tyrants, the Bacchanals and the Furies, there is the same marble chillness and deadness Most of the characters of the French stage, resemble the waxen gentlemen and ladies in the window of a perfumer, rouged, curled, and bedizened, but fixed in such stiff attitudes, and staring with eyes expressive of such utter unmeaningness, that they cannot produce an illusion for a single moment. In the English plays alone is to be found the warmth, the mellowness, and the We know the reality of painting. minds of the men and women, as we know the faces of the men and women of Vandyke.

The excellence of these works is in a great measure the result of two peculiarities, which the critics of the French school consider as defects, -from the mixture of tragedy and comedy, and ceive that, from causes which we have

tion. The former is necessary to render the drama a just representation of a world in which the laughers and the weepers are perpetually jostling each other,-in which every event has its serious and ludicrous side. ter enables us to form an intimate acquaintance with characters with which we could not possibly become familiar during the few hours to which the unities restrict the poet. In this respect, the works of Shakspeare, in particular, are miracles of art. In a piece, which may be read aloud in three hours, we see a character gradually unfold all its recesses to us. We see it change with the change of circumstances. The petulant youth rises into the politic and warlike sovereign. The profuse and courteous philanthropist sours into a hater and scorner of his kind. The tyrant is altered, by the chastening of affliction, into a pensive The veteran general, dismoralist. tinguished by coolness, sagacity, and self-command, sinks under a conflict between love strong as death, and jealousy cruel as the grave. The brave and loyal subject passes, step by step, to the extremities of human depravity. We trace his progress, from the first dawnings of unlawful ambition to the cynical melancholy of his impenitent Yet, in these pieces, there remorse. are no unnatural transitions. Nothing is omitted: nothing is crowded. Great as are the changes, narrow as is the compass within which they are exhibited, they shock us as little as the gradual alterations of those familiar faces which we see every evening and every morning. The magical skill of the poet resembles that of the Dervise in the Spectator, who condensed all the events of seven years into the single moment during which the king held his head under the water.

It is deserving of remark, that, at the time of which we speak, the plays even of men not eminently distinguished by genius,—such, for example, as Jonson,—were far superior to the best works of imagination in other departments. Therefore, though we con-

already investigated, our poetry must verses less offensive than the ruggedted by external attacks, it might have discernible. enjoyed an cuthanasia, that genius times.

than himself.

titon was absorbed in political and out a cant far more offensive.

We will be described by the controversy. If Waller The highest kind of poetry differed from the Cowleian sect of great measure, independent of those writers, he differed for the worse. He circumstances which regulate the style had as little poetry as they, and much of composition in prose. But with

necessarily have declined, we think ness of theirs. In Denham alone the that unless its fate had been accelera- faint dawn of a better manner was

But, low as was the state of our might have been kept alive by the drama poetry during the civil war and the till its place could, in some degree, be Protectorate, a still deeper fall was at supplied by taste, -that there would hand. Hitherto our literature had been have been scarcely any interval between idiomatic. In mind as in situation we the age of sublime invention and that had been islanders. The revolutions in of agreeable imitation. The works of our taste, like the revolutions in our Shakspeare, which were not appreciated government, had been settled without with any degree of justice before the the interference of strangers. Had middle of the eighteenth century, this state of things continued, the same might then have been the recognised just principles of reasoning which, standards of excellence during the about this time, were applied with unlatter part of the seventeenth; and precedented success to every part of he and the great Elizabethan writers philosophy would soon have conducted might have been almost immediately our ancestors to a sounder code of succeeded by a generation of poets criticism. There were already strong similar to those who adorn our own signs of improvement. Our prose had at length worked itself clear from But the Puritans drove imagination those quaint conceits which still defrom its last asylum. They prohibited formed almost every metrical compositheatrical representations, and stigma- tion. The parliamentary debates, and tised the whole race of dramatists as the diplomatic correspondence of that enemies of morality and religion. eventful period, had contributed much Much that is objectionable may be to this reform. In such bustling times, found in the writers whom they re- it was absolutely necessary to speak probated; but whether they took the and write to the purpose. The abbest measures for stopping the evil ap- surdities of Puritanism had, perhaps, pears to us very doubtful, and must, we done more. At the time when that think, have appeared doubtful to them- odious style, which deforms the writselves, when, after the lapse of a few ings of Hall and of Lord Bacon, was years, they saw the unclean spirit almost universal, had appeared that whom they had cast out return to his stupendous work, the English Bible,old haunts, with seven others fouler a book which, if everything else in our language should perish, would alone By the extinction of the drama, the suffice to show the whole extent of its fashionable school of poetry, -a school beauty and power. The respect which without truth of sentiment or har- the translators felt for the original premony of versification, -without the vented them from adding any of the powers of an earlier, or the correctness hideous decorations then in fashion. of a later age, was left to enjoy un- The ground-work of the version, indisputed ascendency. A vicious in- deed, was of an earlier age. The genuity, a morbid quickness to per-familiarity with which the Puritans, ceive resemblances and analogies on almost every occasion, used the between things apparently heteroge- Scriptural phrases was no doubt very neous, constituted almost its only claim ridiculous; but it produced good to admiration. Suckling was dead. effects. It was a cant; but it drove

The highest kind of poetry is, in a Less wit: nor is the languor of his that inferior species of poetry which

theatrical representations. The infelt. But darker days were approachought never to have cast him out or poraries:never to have received him back. Every year which he had passed among strangers had rendered him more unfit to rule his countrymen. In France he had seen the refractory magistracy humbled, and royal prerogative, though name of a child, victorious over all quality. French sovereign had ever before attained.

indigenous and the imported, were now and a genius worthy of a better age.

succeeds to it the case is widely different. in a state of alternate conflict and In a few years, the good sense and amalgamation. The bombastic meangood taste which had weeded out ness of the new style was blended with affectation from moral and political the ingenious absurdity of the old; treatises would, in the natural course and the mixture produced something of things, have effected a similar which the world had never before seen. reform in the sonnet and the ode. The and which, we hope, it will never see rigour of the victorious sectaries had again,—something, by the side of relaxed. A dominant religion is never which the worst nonsense of all other ascetic. The Government connived at ages appears to advantage -something. which those who have attempted tofluence of Shakspeare was once more caricature it have, against their will, been forced to flatter-of which the ing. A foreign yoke was to be imposed tragedy of Bayes is a very favourable on our literature. Charles, surrounded specimen. What Lord Dorset observed by the companions of his long exile, to Edward Howard might have been returned to govern a nation which addressed to almost all his contem-

> " As skilful divers to the bottom fall Swifter than those who cannot swim at all; So, in this way of writing without thinking, Thou hast a strange alacrity in sinking."

From this reproach some clever men exercised by a foreign priest in the of the world must be excepted, and among them Dorset himself. Though opposition. This spectacle naturally by no means great poets, or even good gratified a prince to whose family the versifiers, they always wrote with opposition of Parliaments had been so meaning, and sometimes with wit. fatal. Politeness was his solitary good. Nothing indeed more strongly shows to The insults which he had what a miserable state literature had suffered in Scotland had taught him to fallen, than the immense superiority prize it. The effeminacy and apathy which the occasional rhymes, carelessly of his disposition fitted him to excel in thrown on paper by men of this class, The elegance and vivacity of the possess over the elaborate productions French manners fascinated him. With of almost all the professed authors. the political maxims and the social The reigning taste was so bad, that the habits of his favourite people, he success of a writer was in inverse proadopted their taste in composition, and, portion to his labour, and to his desire when scated on the throne, soon ren- of excellence. An exception must be dered it fashionable, partly by direct made for Butler, who had as much wit patronage, but still more by that con- and learning as Cowley, and who knew, temptible policy, which, for a time, what Cowley never knew, how to use made England the last of the nations, them. A great command of good and raised Louis the Fourteenth to a homely English distinguishes him still height of power and fame, such as no more from the other writers of the time. As for Gondibert, those may criticise it who can read it. Imagina-It was to please Charles that rhyme tion was extinct. Taste was depraved. was first introduced into our plays. Poetry, driven from palaces, colleges, Thus, a rising blow, which would at and theatres, had found an asylum in any time have been mortal, was dealt the obscure dwelling where a Great to the English Drama, then just re- Man, born out of due season, in discovering from its languishing condi- grace, penury, pain, and blindness, tion. Two detestable manners, the still kept uncontaminated a character

Everything about Milton is wonder ful; but nothing is so wonderful as that, in an age so unfavourable t poetry, he should have produced the greatest of modern epic poems. We are not sure that this is not in some degree to be attributed to his want of sight. The imagination is notoriously most active when the external world is shut out. In sleep its illusions are They produce all the effect of realities. In darkness its visions are always more distinct than in the light. Every person who amuses himself with what is called building castles in the air must have experienced this. We know artists who, before they attempt to draw a face from memory. close their eyes, that they may recall a more perfect image of the features and We are therefore inthe expression. Milton may have been preserved from fables, and his odes. the influence of times so unfavourable may, his works at first enjoyed a very small share of popularity. To be neglected by his contemporaries was the penalty which he paid for surpassing them. His great poem was not generally studied or admired till writers far inferior to him had, by obsequiously cringing to the public taste, acquired l sufficient favour to reform it. 🔻

Of these, Dryden was the most emi-Amidst the crowd of authors who, during the earlier years of Charles the Second, courted notoriety by every species of absurdity and affectation, he speedily became conspicuous. No man exercised so much influence on the age. The reason is obvious. On no man did the age exercise so much influence. He was perhaps the greatest of those whom we have designated as the critical poets; and his literary career exhibited on a reduced scale, the whole history of the school to which he belonged, - the rudeness and extravagance of its infancy, -the propriety, the grace, the dignified good sense, the o their vanity. Flattery is carried to temperate splendour of its maturity. shameless extent; and the habit of His imagination was torpid, till it was awakened by his judgment. He began false taste into composition. Its lanwith quaint parallels and empty mouth- guage is made up of hyperbolical com-

of the satirist, the gravity of the moralist, the rapture of the lyric poet. The revolution through which English literature has been passing, from the time of Cowley to that of Scott, may be seen in miniature within the compass of his volumes.

His life divides itself into two parts. There is some debatable ground on the common frontier; but the line may be drawn with tolerable accuracy. year 1678 is that on which we should be inclined to fix as the date of a great change in his manner. During the preceding period appeared some of his courtly panegyrics, -his Annus Mirabilis, and most of his plays; indeed, all his rhyming tragedies. To the subsequent period belong his best dramas, -All for Love, The Spanish Friar, and Sebastian, -- his satires, his clined to believe that the genius of translations, his didactic poems, his

Of the small pieces which were preto it by his infirmity. Be this as it sented to chancellors and princes it would scarcely be fair to speak. The greatest advantage which the Fine Arts derive from the extension of knowledge is, that the patronage of individuals becomes unnecessary. Some writers still affect to regret the age of patronage. None but bad writers have reason to regret it. It is always an age of general ignorance. Where ten thousand readers are eager for the appearance of a book, a small contribution from each makes up a splendid remuneration for the author. Where literaure is a luxury, confined to few, each of them must pay high. If the Empress Catherine, for example, wanted an epic poem, she must have wholly supported he poet ;- just as, in a remote country village, a man who wants a muttonhop is sometimes forced to take the whole sheep; -a thing which never happens where the demand is large. But men who pay largely for the graification of their taste will expect to have it united with some gratification lattery almost inevitably introduces a ing. He gradually acquired the energy mon-places, --offensive from their trite-

ness,-still more offensive from their that these incongruous topics are init on all. It is not strange, therefore, Dryden should be made up of meanness and bombast. They abound with the conceits which his immediate predecessors had brought into fashion. But his language and his versification vails. were already far superior to theirs.

The Annus Mirabilis shows great command of expression, and a fine ear for heroic rhyme. Here its merits end. Not only has it no claim to be called poetry, but it seems to be the work of tives to which they are attached. a man who could never, by any possibility, write poetry. Its affected similes are the best part of it. Gaudy weeds present a more encouraging spectacle than utter barrenness. There is scarcely a single stanza in this long work to which the imagination seems to have contributed anything. It is produced, not by creation, but by construction. It is made up, not of pictures, but of inferences. We will give a single instance, and certainly a favourable instance,—a quatrain which Johnson has praised. Dryden is describing the seafight with the Dutch-

" Amidst whole heaps of spices lights a ball; And now their odours armed against them fly. preciously by shattered porcelain

And some by aromatic splinters die."

The poet should place his readers, as nearly as possible, in the situation of the sufferers or the spectators. His similar to those which would be excited by the event itself. Is this the case here? Who, in a sea-fight, ever ters his leg? It is not by an act of the

extravagance. In no school is the trick troduced into the description. Homer, of overstepping the modesty of nature it is true, perpetually uses epithets so speedily acquired. The writer, ac- which are not peculiarly appropriate. customed to find exaggeration accept- Achilles is the swift-footed, when he is able and necessary on one subject, uses sitting still. Ulysses is the much-enduring, when he has nothing to endure. that the early panegyrical verses of Every spear casts a long shadow, every ox has crooked horns, and every woman a high bosom, though these particulars may be quite beside the purpose. In our old ballads a similar practice pre-The gold is always red, and the ladies always gay, though nothing whatever may depend on the hue of the gold, or the temper of the ladies. But these adjectives are mere customary additions. They merge in the substanthey at all colour the idea, it is with a tinge so slight as in no respect to alter the general effect. In the passage which we have quoted from Dryden the case is very different. Preciously and aromatic divert our whole attention to themselves, and dissolve the image of the battle in a moment. The whole poem reminds us of Lucan, and of the worst parts of Lucan,—the seafight in the Bay of Marseilles, for example. The description of the two fleets during the night is perhaps the only passage which ought to be exempted from this censure. If it was from the Annus Mirabilis that Milton formed his opinion, when he pronounced Dryden a good rhymer but no poet, he certainly judged correctly. But Dryden was, as we have said, one of those writers in whom the period of imagination does not precede, but follow, the period of observation and reflection.

His plays, his rhyming plays in parnarration ought to produce feelings ticular, are admirable subjects for those who wish to study the morbid anatomy of the drama. He was utterly destitute of the power of exhibiting real thought of the price of the china which human beings. Even in the far inbeats out the brains of a sailor; or of ferior talent of composing characters the odour of the splinter which shat- out of those elements into which the mperfect process of our reason can imagination, at once calling up the resolve them, he was very deficient. scene before the interior eye, but by His men are not even good personifipainful meditation,-by turning the cations; they are not well-assorted subject round and round,-by tracing seemblages of qualities. Now and out facts into remote consequences,- then, indeed, he seizes a very coarse

and marked distinction, and gives us, would gladly take in exchange the waters under the earth.

in his comedies. The comic characters are, without mixture, loathsome and The men of Etherege and despicable. do not approach to the Celadons, the without compensation. Wildbloods, the Woodalls, and the Rhodophils of Dryden. The vices of Aurengzebe, Arimant, governor of Agra, these last are set off by a certain fierce hard impudence, to which we know nothing comparable. Their love is the appetite of beasts; their friendship the confederacy of knaves. The ladies seem to have been expressly created to form helps meet for such gentlemen. In deceiving and insulting their old fathers they do not perhaps exceed the license which, by immemorial prescription, has been allowed to heroines. But they also cheat at cards, rob strong boxes, put up their favours to auction, betray their friends, abuse their rivals in the style of Billingsgate, and invite their lovers in the language of the Piazza. These, it must be remembered, are not the valets and waitingwomen, the Mascarilles and Nerines, but the recognised heroes and heroines, who appear as the representatives of good society, and who, at the end of the fifth act, marry and live very happily ever after. The sensuality, baseness. and malice of their natures is unredeemed by any quality of a differant description, -by any touch of kindness,-or even by any honest burst of hearty hatred and revenge. We are in a world where there is no humanity, no verseity, no sense of shame, -a world for which any good-natured man same opinion. He mutters something

not a likeness, but a strong caricature, society of Milton's devils. But, as in which a single peculiarity is pro- soon as we enter the regions of Tragedy, truded, and everything else neglected; we find a great change. There is no like the Marquis of Granby at an inn- lack of fine sentiment there. Metastasio door, whom we know by nothing but is surpassed in his own department. his baldness; or Wilkes, who is Wilkes Scuderi is out-scuderied. We are inonly in his squint. These are the best troduced to people whose proceedings specimens of his skill. For most of we can trace to no motive, -of whose his pictures seem, like Turkey carpets, feelings we can form no more idea to have been expressly designed not to than of a sixth sense. We have left a resemble anything in the heavens race of creatures, whose love is as deliabove, in the earth beneath, or in the cate and affectionate as the passion which an alderman feels for a turtle. The latter manner he practises most We find ourselves among beings, whose frequently in his tragedies, the former love is a purely disinterested emotion, -a loyalty extending to passive obe-dience, -a religion, like that of the Quietists, unsupported by any sanction Vanbrugh are bad enough. Those of of hope or fear. We see nothing but Smollett are perhaps worse. But they despotism without power, and sacrifices

We will give a few instances. falls in love with his prisoner Indamora. She rejects his suit with scorn; but assures him that she shall make great use of her power over him. He threatens to be angry. She answers, very coolly:

"Do not: your anger, like your love, is vain:

Whene'er I please, you must be pleased

Knowing what power I have your will to I'll use it; for I need just such a friend."

This is no idle menace. She soon brings a letter addressed to his rival, -orders him to read it, -asks him whether he thinks it sufficiently tender, - and finally commands him to carry it himself. Such tyranny as this, it may be thought, would justify resistance. Arimant does indeed venture to remonstrate:--

"This fatal paper rather let me tear, Than, like Bellerophon, my sentence bear." The answer of the lady is incompa-

rable:--

You may; but 'twill not be your best ad-

"Twill buly give me pains of writing twice. You know you must obey me, soon or late. Why should you vainly struggle with your fate?"

Poor Arimant seems to be of the

about fate and free-will, and walks off with the billet-doux.

In the Indian Emperor, Montezuma presents Almeria with a garland as a token of his love, and offers to make her his queen. She replies :--

" I take this garland, not as given by you; But as my merit's and my beauty's due; As for the crown which you, my slave,

To share it with you would but make me less.

In return for such proofs of tenderness as these, her admirer consents to murder his two sons and a benefactor to whom he feels the warmest gratitude. Lyndaraxa, in the Conquest of Granada, assumes the same lofty tone with Abdelmelech. He complains that she smiles upon his rival.

"Lynd. And when did I my power so far That you should regulate each look of mine?

Abdel. Then, when you gave your love, you gave that power.

'Twas during pleasure-'tis revoked Lynd. this hour. Abdel. I'll hate you, and this visit is my

last Lynd. Do, if you can: you know I hold you fast.'

That these passages violate all historical propriety, that sentiments to ought to be, not velvet or cotton, but the coquette. merely drapery. The same principle should be applied to poetry and ro- plays interesting by means of that mance. The truth of character is the which is the peculiar and appropriate first object; the truth of place and excellence of the drama, it was necestime is to be considered only in the sary that he should find some substitute second place. Puff himself could tell for it. In his comedies he supplied the actor to turn out his toes, and re- its place, sometimes by wit, but more mind him that Keeper Hatton was a frequently by intrigue, by disguises, great dancer. We wish that, in our mistakes of persons, dialogues at cross own time, a writer of a very different purposes, hair-breadth escapes, perorder from Puff had not too often for plexing concealments, and surprising gotten human nature in the niceties of disclosures. He thus succeeded at least upholstery, millinery, and cookery.

We blame Dryden, not because the persons of his dramas are not Moors or Americans, but because they are not men and women; -not because love, such as he represents it, could not exist in a harem or in a wigwam, but because it could not exist anywhere. As is the love of his heroes, such are all their other emotions. All their qualities, their courage, their generosity, their pride, are on the same colossal scale. Justice and prudence are virtues which can exist only in a moderate degree, and which change their nature and their name if pushed to excess. Of justice and prudence, therefore, Dryden leaves his favourites destitute. He did not care to give them what he could not give without measure. The tyrants and ruffians are merely the heroes altered by a few touches, similar to those which transformed the honest face of Sir Roger de Coverley into the Saracen's head. Through the grin and frown the original features are still perceptible.

It is in the tragi-comedies that these absurdities strike us most. The two races of men, or rather the angels and the baboons, are there presented to us together. We meet in one scene with nothing but gross, selfish, unblushing, which nothing similar was ever even lying libertines of both sexes, who, as affected except by the cavaliers of Eu- a punishment, we suppose, for their rope, are transferred to Mexico and depravity, are condemned to talk no-Agra, is a light accusation. We have thing but prose. But, as soon as we no objection to a conventional world, meet with people who speak in verse, an Illyrian puritan, or a Bohemian sea- we know that we are in society which port. While the faces are good, we would have enraptured the Cathos and care little about the back-ground. Sir Madelon of Moliere, in society for Joshua Reynolds says that the curtains which Oroondates would have too little and hangings in an historical painting of the lover, and Clelia too much of

As Dryden was unable to render his

ment can be more decisive.

possessed the powers of Otway. The fact is, that he had a tendency to bom- who, in his time, imitated Pindar to bast, which, though subsequently cor- the youth who attempted to fly to rected by time and thought, was never heaven on waxen wings, and who ex-

sented this failing as an indication of excellence was within his reach. Drygenius, as the profusion of unlimited den had not the same self-knowledge. wealth, the wantonness of exuberant He saw that the greatest poets were

In his tragedies he trusted, and not vigour. To us it seems to bear a nearer altogether without reason, to his dic- affinity to the tawdriness of poverty, tion and his versification. It was on or the spasms and convulsions of weakthis account, in all probability, that he ness. Dryden surely had not more so eagerly adopted, and so reluctantly imagination than Homer, Dante, or abandoned, the practice of rhyming in Milton, who never fall into this vice. his plays. What is unnatural appears The swelling diction of Æschylus and less unnatural in that species of verse Isaiah resembles that of Almanzor and than in lines which approach more Maximin no more than the tumidity nearly to common conversation; and of a muscle resembles the tumidity of in the management of the heroic couplet a boil. The former is symptomatic of Dryden has never been equalled. It is health and strength, the latter of deunnecessary to urge any arguments bility and disease. If ever Shakspeare against a fashion now universally con-demned. But it is worthy of observa-hurrying him along, but when he is tion, that, though Dryden was deficient hurrying his imagination along,-when in that talent which blank verse ex- his mind is for a moment jaded, hibits to the greatest advantage, and when, as was said of Euripides, he rewas certainly the best writer of heroic sembles a lion, who excites his own rhyme in our language, yet the plays fury by lashing himself with his tail. which have, from the time of their What happened to Shakspeare from first appearance, been considered as his the occasional suspension of his powers best, are in blank verse. No experi- happened to Dryden from constant impotence. He, like his confederate Lee, It must be allowed that the worst had judgment enough to appreciate the even of the rhyming tragedies contains great poets of the preceding age, but good description and magnificent rhe- not judgment enough to shun competitoric. But, even when we forget that tion with them. He felt and admired they are plays, and, passing by their their wild and daring sublimity. That dramatic improprieties, consider them it belonged to another age than that with reference to the language, we are in which he lived and required other perpetually disgusted by passages which talents than those which he possessed, it is difficult to conceive how any author that, in aspiring to emulate it, he was could have written, or any audience wasting, in a hopeless attempt, powers have tolerated, rants in which the which might render him pre-eminent raving violence of the manner forms a in a different career, was a lesson strange contrast with the abject tame- which he did not learn till late. As ness of the thought. The author laid those knavish enthusiasts, the French the whole fault on the audience, and prophets, courted inspiration by mideclared that, when he wrote them, he micking the writhings, swoonings, and considered them bad enough to please. gaspings which they considered as its This defence is unworthy of a man of symptoms, he attempted, by affected genius, and, after all, is no defence. fits of poetical fury, to bring on a real Otway pleased without rant; and so paroxysm; and, like them, he got nomight Dryden have done, if he had thing but his distortions for his pains.

Horace very happily compares those wholly removed, and which showed perienced so fatal and ignominious a itself in performances not designed to fall. His own admirable good sense please the rude mob of the theatre.

Some indulgent critics have represented him from this error, and taught him to cultivate a style in which

never so successful as when they rushed —the fire burning in his eyes,—the beyond the ordinary bounds, and that javelins and the blasing armour,-the some inexplicable good fortune pre- mighty rush through the gates and served them from tripping even when they staggered on the brink of nonsense. He did not perceive that they were guided and sustained by a power real. denied to himself. They wrote from the dictation of the imagination; and they found a response in the imaginations of others. He, on the contrary, sat down to work himself, by reflection and argument, into a deliberate wildness, a rational frenzy.

In looking over the admirable designs which accompany the Faust, we have always been much struck by one which represents the wizard and the tempter riding at full speed. demon sits on his furious horse as heedlessly as if he were reposing on a chair. That he should keep his saddle in such a posture, would seem impossible to any who did not know that he was secure in the privileges of a superhuman nature. The attitude of Faust, on the contrary, is the perfection of horsemanship. Poets of the first order might safely write as desperately as Menhistopheles rode. But Dryden, though admitted to communion with higher spirits, though armed with a portion of their power, and intrusted with some of their secrets, was of another race. What they might securely venture to do, it was madness in him to attempt. It was necessary that taste and critical science should supply his deficiencies.

We will give a few examples. Nothing can be finer than the description of Hector at the Grecian wall:-

ο δ' ἄρ' ἔι θορε φαίδιμος \*Εκτωρ, Νυκτί θοβ ἀτάλαντος ὑπώπια λάμπε δὲ χαλκῷ Σμερδαλέω, τον έεστο περί χροί δοιά δε χερσί Δουρ' έχεν' ούκ αν τίς μιν έρυκακοι άντι-

βολήσας, Νόσφι θεῶν, ὅτ' ἐσᾶλτο πύλας' πυρὶ δ' ὅσσε Bebriet.-

'Αυτίκα δ'οι μεν τείχος ύπερβασαν, οι δε κατ' avtãe

Ποιητάς ἐσέχυντο πύλας. Δαναιοί δ' ἐφόβηθεν Νήας ανα γλαφυράς " ομαδος δ' αλίαθτος ετύχθη.

What daring expressions! Yet how significant! How picturesque! Hector seems to rise up in his strength and piration of the first of the periods into

down the battlements,—the trampling and the infinite roar of the multitude, -everything is with us: everything is

Dryden has described a very similar event in Maximin, and has done his best to be sublime, as follows:-

"There with a forest of their darts he strove, And stood like Capaneus defying Jove; With his broad sword the boldest beating

down, Till Fate grew pale, lest he should win the And turn'd the iron leaves of its dark book

To make new dooms, or mend what it mistook."

How exquisite is the imagery of the fairy-songs in the Tempest and the Midsummer Night's dream : Ariel riding through the twilight on the bat, or sucking in the bells of flowers with the bee; or the little bower-women of Titania, driving the spiders from the couch of the Queen! Dryden truly said, that

"Shakspeare's magic could not copied be: Within that circle none durst walk but

It would have been well if he had not himself dared to step within the enchanted line, and drawn on himself a fate similar to that which, according to the old superstition, punished such presumptuous interference. The following lines are parts of the song of his fairies :-

Merry, merry, merry, we sail from the East.

Half-tippled at a rainbow feast.

In the bright moonshine, while winds whistle loud,

Tivy, tivy, tivy, we mount and we fly, All racking along in a downy white cloud; And lest our leap from the sky prove too

far, We slide on the back of a new falling star, And drop from above In a jelly of love."

These are very favourable instances. Those who wish for a bad one may read the dying speeches of Maximin. and may compare them with the last scenes of Othello and Lear.

If Dryden had died before the exfury. The gloom of night in his frown, which we have divided his literary life,

little higher than that of Lee or Dave may, for a time, pass for dramatic nant. He would have been known genius. Dryden was an incomparable only to men of letters; and by them reasoner in verse. He was conscious he would have been mentioned as a of his power; he was proud of it; and writer who threw away, on subjects the authors of the Rehearsal justly which he was incompetent to treat, charged him with abusing it. His powers which, judiciously employed, warriors and princesses are fond of might have raised him to eminence; discussing points of amorous casuistry, whose diction and whose numbers had such as would have delighted a Parliasometimes very high merit, but all ment of Love. They frequently go whose works were blemished by a false still deeper, and speculate on philosotaste, and by errors of gross negligence. phical necessity and the origin of evil. A few of his prologues and epilogues might perhaps still have been remem- which absolutely required this peculiar bered and quoted. In these little talent. Then Dryden was indeed at pieces he early showed all the powers home. All his best scenes are of this which afterwards rendered him the description. They are all between men; greatest of modern satirists. But, dur- for the heroes of Dryden, like many ing the latter part of his life, he other gentlemen, can never talk sense gradually abandoned the drama. His when ladies are in company. plays appeared at longer intervals. are all intended to exhibit the empire He renounced rhyme in tragedy. His of reason over violent passion. language became less turgid—his cha- have two interlocutors, the one eager racters less exaggerated. He did not and impassioned, the other high, cool, indeed produce correct representations and judicious. The composed and raof human nature; but he ceased to tional character gradually acquires the daub such monstrous chimeras as ascendency. His fierce companion is those which abound in his earlier first inflamed to rage by his reproaches, pieces. Here and there passages oc- then overawed by his equanimity, concur worthy of the best ages of the vinced by his arguments, and soothed British stage. The style which the by his persuasions. This is the case in drama requires changes with every the scene between Hector and Troilus, change of character and situation. He in that between Antony and Ventidius, who can vary his manner to suit the and in that between Sebastian and variation is the great dramatist; but Dorax. Nothing of the same kind in he who excels in one manner only will, Shakspeare is equal to them, except the when that manner happens to be ap- quarrel between Brutus and Cassius, propriate, appear to be a great dra- which is worth them all three. matist; as the hands of a watch which does not go point right once in the altogether ceased to write for the stage. twelve hours. Sometimes there is a He had turned his powers in a new scene of solemn debate. This a mere direction, with success the most splenrhetorician may write as well as the did and decisive. His taste had gragreatest tragedian that ever lived. We dually awakened his creative faculties. confess that to us the speech of Sem- The first rank in poetry was beyond pronius in Cato seems very nearly as his reach; but he challenged and segood'as Shakspeare could have made it. cured the most honourable place in the But when the senate breaks up, and we second. His imagination resembled find that the lovers and their mis- the wings of an ostrich; it enabled tresses, the hero, the villain, and the him to run, though not to soar. When depaty-villain, all continue to harangue he attempted the highest flights, he in the same style, we perceive the dif- became ridiculous; but, while he reference between a man who can write a mained in a lower region, he outstripped play and a man who can write a speech. all competitors. In the same manner, wit, a talent for All his natural and all his acquired

he would have left a reputation, at best, description, or a talent for narration,

There were, however, some occasions

Some years before his death, Dryden

of small volume, his wit scarcely infollowers of Donne, his eloquence, grave, deliberate, and commanding, leau. His command of language was immense. With him died the secret of the old poetical diction of England, familiar words. In the following century it was as completely lost as the Gothic method of painting glass, and was but poorly supplied by the laborious and tesselated imitations of Mason and Gray. On the other hand, he was the first writer under whose skilful management the scientific vocabulary fell into natural and pleasing verse. completely as his contemporary Gibopportunity; his better taste gradually correctness which was its concomitant.

is by no means uniformly sound. On Dryden. No writer, it must be owned,

powers fitted him to found a good criti- points of criticism, he always reasons cal school of poetry. Indeed he carried ingeniously; and when he is disposed his reforms too far for his age. After to be honest, correctly. But the theohis death our literature retrograded; logical and political questions which he and a century was necessary to bring it undertook to treat in verse were preback to the point at which he left it. cisely those which he understood least. The general soundness and healthful- His arguments, therefore, are often ness of his mental constitution, his worthless. But the manner in which information of vast superficies, though they are stated is beyond all praise. The style is transparent. The topics ferior to that of the most distinguished follow each other in the happiest order. The objections are drawn up in such a manner that the whole fire of the reply could not save him from disgraceful may be brought to bear on them. The failure as a rival of Shakspeare, but circumlocutions which are substituted raised him far above the level of Boi- for technical phrases are clear, neat, and exact. The illustrations at once adorn and elucidate the reasoning. The sparkling epigrams of Cowley, and —the art of producing rich effects by the simple garrulity of the burlesque poets of Italy, are alternately employed, in the happiest manner, to give effect to what is obvious, or clearness to what is obscure.

His literary creed was catholic, even to latitudinarianism; not from any want of acuteness, but from a disposition to be easily satisfied. He was quick to discern the smallest glimpso In this department, he succeeded as of merit; he was indulgent even to gross improprieties, when accompanied bons succeeded in the similar enterprise by any redeeming talent. When her of carving the most delicate flowers said a severe thing, it was to serve a from heart of oak. The toughest and temporary purpose, -to support an armost knotty parts of language became gument, or to tease a rival. Never ductile at his touch. His versification was so able a critic so free from fastiin the same manner, while it gave the diousness. He loved the old poets, first model of that neatness and preci- especially Shakspeare. He admired sion which the following generation the ingenuity which Donne and Cowley esteemed so highly, exhibited, at the had so wildly abused. He did justice, same time, the last examples of noble- amidst the general silence, to the meness, freedom, variety of pause, and mory of Milton. He praised to the cadence. His tragedies in rhyme, how- skies the school-boy lines of Addison. ever worthless in themselves, had at Always looking on the fair side of least served the purpose of nonsense- every object, he admired extravagance verses; they had taught him all the on account of the invention which he arts of melody which the heroic cou- supposed it to indicate; he excused plet admits. For bombast, his prevail- affectation in favour of wit; he toleraing vice, his new subjects gave little ted even tameness for the sake of the

It was probably to this turn of mind, He possessed, as we have said, in a rather than to the more disgraceful pre-eminent degree the power of rea- causes which Johnson has assigned, soning in verse; and this power was that we are to attribute the exaggeranow peculiarly useful to him. His logic tion which disfigures the panegyrics of

tion. But he has not remarked that and the graciousness of her manners.

to chers; but it certainly began or a partner. In taste he was by no means deficient. means deficient. His critical works which may be found in profusion are, beyond all comparison, superior to throughout the later works of Dryany which had, till then, appeared in den. A more just and complete es-England. They were generally intended timate of his natural and acquired as apologies for his own poems, rather powers,—of the merits of his style and than as expositions of general princi- of its blemishes,—may be formed from ples; he, therefore, often attempts to the Hind and Panther, than from any

has carried the flattery of dedication deceive the reader by sophistry which to a greater length. But this was not, could scarcely have deceived himself. we suspect, merely interested servility: His dicta are the dicta, not of a judge, it was the overflowing of a mind singu- but of an advocate: -often of an adlarly disposed to admiration,—of a vocate in an unsound cause. Yet, in mind which diminished vices, and the very act of misrepresenting the magnified virtues and obligations. The laws of composition, he shows how well most adulatory of his addresses is that he understands them. But he was perin which he dedicates the State of In-petually acting against his better know-nocence to Mary of Modena. Johnson ledge. His sins were sins against light. thinks it strange that any man should He trusted that what was bad would be use such language without self-detesta- pardoned for the sake of what was good. What was good, he took no pains to make to the very same work is prefixed an better. He was not, like most persons eulogium on Milton, which certainly who rise to eminence dissatisfied even could not have been acceptable at the with his best productions. He had set court of Charles the Second. Many up no unattainable standard of perfecyears later, when Whig principles were tion, the contemplation of which might in a great measure triumphant, Sprat at once improve and mortify him. His refused to admit a monument of John path was not attended by an unap-Phillips into Westminster Abbey—be-proachable mirage of excellence, for cause, in the epitaph, the name of ever receding, and for ever pursued. Milton incidently occurred. The walls He was not disgusted by the negligence of his church he declared, should not of others; and he extended the same be polluted by the name of a republi- toleration to himself. His mind was of can! Dryden was attached, both by a slovenly character,—fond of splen-principle and interest, to the Court. dour, but indifferent to neatness. Hence But nothing could deaden his sensibi-most of his writings exhibit the sluttish lity to excellence. We are unwilling magnificence of a Russian noble, all to accuse him severely, because the vermin and diamonds, dirty linen and same disposition, which prompted him inestimable sables. Those faults which to pay so generous a tribute to the spring from affectation, time and memory of a poet whom his patrons thought in a great measure removed detested, hurried him into extravagance from his poems. But his carelessness when he described a princess distin- he retained to the last. If towards the guished by the splendour of her beauty close of his life he less frequently went wrong from negligence, it was only be-This is an amiable temper; but it is cause long habits of composition rennot the temper of great men. Where dered it more easy to go right. In his there is elevation of character, there best pieces we find false rhymes,will be fastidiousness. It is only in triplets, in which the third line appears novels and on tombstones that we to be a mere intruder, and, while it meet with people who are indulgent to breaks the music, adds nothing to the the faults of others, and unmerciful to meaning, - gigantic Alexandrines of their own; and Dryden, at all events, fourteen and sixteen syllables, and was not one of these paragons. His truncated verses for which he never charity was extended most liberally troubled himself to find a termination

Such are the beauties and the faults

of his other writings. As a didactic poem, it is far superior to the Religie Laici. The satirical parts, particularly the character of Burnet, are scarcely inferior to the best passages in Absalom and Achitophel. There are, moreover, occasional touches of a tenderness which affects us more, because it is decent, rational, and manly, and reminds us of the best scenes in his tragedies. His versification sinks and swells in happy unison with the subject; and his wealth of language seems to be unlimited. Yet, the carelessness with which he has constructed his plot, and the innumerable inconsistencies into which he is every moment falling, detract much from the pleasure which such various excellence affords.

In Absalom and Achitophel he hit upon a new and rich vein, which he worked with signal success. The ancient satirists were the subjects of a despotic government. They were compelled to abstain from political topics, and to confine their attention to the frailties of private life. They might, indeed, sometimes venture to take liberties with public men,

"Ouorum Flaminia tegitur cinis atque Latina."

Thus Juvenal immortalised the obsequious senators who met to decide the fate of the memorable turbot. fourth satire frequently reminds us of the great political poem of Dryden; but it was not written till Domitian had fallen: and it wants something of the peculiar flavour which belongs to contemporary invective alone. His anger has stood so long that, though the body is not impaired, the effervescence, the first cream, is gone. Boileau lay under similar restraints; and, if he had been free from all restraint, would have been no match for our countryman.

The advantages which Dryden derived from the nature of his subject he improved to the very utmost. His manner is almost perfect. The style of Horace and Boileau is fit only for light subjects. The Frenchman did indeed attempt to turn the theological reasonings of the Provincial Letters into verse, but with very indifferent, and formerly affected, and in which the

success. The glitter of Pope is cold. The ardour of Persius is without brilliancy. Magnificent versification and ingenious combinations rarely harmonise with the expression of deep feeling. In Juvenal and Dryden alone we have the sparkle and the heat together. Those great satirists succeeded in communicating the fervour of their feelings to materials the most incombustible, and kindled the whole mass into a blaze, at once dazzling and destructive. We cannot, indeed, think, without regret, of the part which so eminent a writer as Dryden took in the disputes of that period. There was, no doubt, madness and wickedness on both sides. But there was liberty on the one, and despotism on the other. On this point, however, we will not dwell. At Talavera the English and French troops for a moment suspended their conflict, to drink of a stream which flowed between them. The shells were passed across from enemy to enemy without apprehension or molestation. We, in the same manner, would rather assist our political adversaries to drink with us of that fountain of intellectual pleasure. which should be the common refreshment of both parties, than disturb and pollute it with the havock of unseasonable hostilities.

Macflecnoe is inferior to Absalom nd Achitophel, only in the subject. In he execution it is even superior. But he greatest work of Dryden was the ast, the Ode on Saint Cecilia's day. It s the masterpiece of the second class f poetry, and ranks but just below the reat models of the first. It reminds is of the Pedasus of Achilles-

ὸς, καὶ θνητὸς ἐὼν, ἔπεθ' ἵπποις ἀθανάτοισι.

By comparing it with the impotent ravings of the heroic tragedies, we may measure the progress which the mind of Dryden had made. He had learned o avoid a too gudacious competition with higher natures, to keep at a disance from the verge of bombast or ionsense, to venture on ne expression which did not convey a distinct idea to There is none of that his own mind. "darkness visible" of style which he

gardeners who attempt to rival nature were the proper scenes for Dryden. herself, to form cataracts of terrific height and sound, to raise precipitou ridges of mountains, and to imitate in the gloom of some primeval forest. affected, the trim parterres, and the He rather rerectangular walks. sembled our Kents and Browns, who, imitating the great features of landscape without emulating them, consulting the genius of the place, assisting Niagara, but a Stowe or a Hagley.

We are, on the whole, inclined to regret that Dryden did not accomplish fection. his purpose of writing an epic poem. It certainly would not have been a work of the highest rank. It would not have rivalled the Iliad, the Odys- The Romance of History. sey, or the Paradise Lost; but it would have been superior to the productions of Apollonius, Lucan, or Statius, and not inferior to the Jerusalem Delivered. It would probably have been a vigorof the spirit of the old romances, enand interspersed with fine declamations and disquisitions. example, on his angels of kingdoms, and lectual distinctions. of another world. To Milton, and to the great deep, the beach of sulphur, the ocean of fire, the palaces of the fallen dominations, ghmmering through the everlasting shade, the silent wilderness of verdure and fragrance where armed angels kept watch over the sleep of the first lovers, the portico of diamond, the sea of jasper, the supphire payement empurpled with celestial roses, and the infinite ranks of the he exact line.

greatest poets only can succeed. Every Cherubim, blazing with adamant and thing is definite, significant, and pic gold. The council, the tournament, turesque. His early writings resembled the procession, the crowded cathedral, the gigantic works of those Chinese the camp, the guard-room, the chase,

But we have not space to pass in review all the works which Dryden wrote. We, therefore, will not specuartificial plantations the vastness and late longer on those which he might possibly have written. He may, on This manner he abandoned; nor did he the whole, be pronounced to have been ever adopt the Dutch taste which Pope a man possessed of splendid talents, which he often abused, and of a sound judgment, the admonitions of which he often neglected; a man who succeeded only in an inferior department of his art, but who, in that department, succeeded pre-eminently; and who, nature and carefully disguising their with a more independent spirit, a more art, produced, not a Chamouni or a anxious desire of excellence, and more respect for himself, would, in his own walk, have attained to absolute per-

## HISTORY (MAY 1828).

HENRY NEELE. London, 1828.

To write history respectably—that is, to abbreviate despatches, and make extracts from speeches, to intersperse n due proportion epithets of praise ous narrative, animated with something and abhorrence, to draw up antithetical characters of great men, setting riched with much splendid description, forth how many contradictory virtues and vices they united, and abounding The danger of 'n withs and withouts—all this is very ! Dryden would have been from aiming easy. But to be a really great histoo high; from dwelling too much, for torian is perhaps the rarest of intel-Many scientific attempting a competition with that werks are, in their kind, absolutely great writer who in his own time perfect. There are poems which we had so incomparably succeeded in reshould be inclined to designate as presenting to us the sights and sounds faultless, or as disfigured only by demishes which pass unnoticed in the Milton alone, belonged the secrets of general blaze of excellence. There are peeches, some speeches of Demosthenes particularly, in which it would e impossible to alter a word without altering it for the worse. But we are acquainted with no history which approaches to our notion of what a hisory ought to be-with no history vhich does not widely depart, either n the right hand or on the left, from

The cause may easily be assigned. for him the same sort of pitying fondof two distinct territories. It is under written an incomparable book. sometimes fiction. theory.

historian must possess an imagination bears to the Tempest. supplying deficiencies by additions of the Constable and the Dauphin, were his own. He must be a profound and persons as real as Demaratus and Pauingenious reasoner. Yet he must pos- sanias. The harangue of the Archsess sufficient self-command to abstain bishop on the Salic Law and the Book from casting his facts in the mould of of Numbers differs much less from the his hypothesis. Those who can justly orations which have in all ages proestimate these almost insuperable difficeeeded from the right reverend bench culties will not think it strange that than the speeches of Mardonius and every writer should have failed, either Artabanus from those which were dein the narrative or in the speculative ivered at the council-board of Susa. department of history.

is the earliest and the best. We know of no writer who makes such greatest minuteness of detail. interest for himself and his book in the ave all that Candaules said to Gyges,

This province of literature is a de- ness which Fontaine and Gay are said batable land. It lies on the confines to have inspired in society. He has of two distinct territories. It is under written an incomparable book. He the jurisdiction of two hostile powers has written something better perhaps and, like other districts similarly than the best history; but he has not situated, it is ill defined, ill cultivated, written a good history; he is, from and ill regulated. Instead of being the first to the last chapter, an inequally shared between its two rulers, ventor. We do not here refer merely the Reason and the Imagination, it to those gross fictions with which he calls also been represented by the continuous. falls alternately under the sole and has been reproached by the critics of absolute dominion of each. It is later times. We speak of that colour-It is sometimes ing which is equally diffused over his whole narrative, and which perpetually History, it has been said, is philo-leaves the most sugacious reader in sophy teaching by examples. Undoubt what to reject and what to rehappily, what the philosophy gains in ceive. The most authentic parts of his soundness and depth the examples work bear the same relation to his generally lose in vividness. A perfect wildest legends which Henry the Fifth There was sufficiently powerful to make his narra- an expedition undertaken by Xerxes tive affecting and picturesque. Yet against Greece; and there was an inhe must control it so absolutely as to vasion of France. There was a battle content himself with the materials at Platea; and there was a battle at which he finds, and to refrain from Agincourt. Cambridge and Exeter. Shakspeare gives us enumerations of It may be laid down as a general armies, and returns of killed and rule, though subject to considerable wounded, which are not, we suspect, qualifications and exceptions, that his-much less accurate than those of tory begins in novel and ends in essay. Herodotus. There are passages in Of the romantic historians Herodotus Herodotus nearly as long as acts of His Shakspeare, in which everything is animation, his simple-hearted tender- told dramatically, and in which the ness, his wonderful talent for descrip- narrative serves only the purpose of tion and dialogue, and the pure sweet stage-directions. It is possible, no flow of his language, place him at the doubt, that the substance of some real head of narrators. He reminds us of conversations may have been reported a delightful child. There is a grace to the historian. But events, which, beyond the reach of affectation in his f they ever happened, happened in awkwardness, a malice in his inno- ges and nations so remote that the cence, an intelligence in his nonsense, particulars could never have been an insinuating eloquence in his lisp. known to him, are related with the heart of the reader. At the distance and all that passed between Astyages of three-and-twenty centuries, we feel and Harpagus. We are, therefore,

unable to judge whether, in the achave been well informed, we can trus to anything beyond the naked outline exactly decide where it lies.

matically. has had to settle their disputes knows of the last century. that, even when they have no intention to deceive, their reports of conversation Herodotus composed; and, if we may always require to be carefully sifted. trust to a report, not sanctioned indeed If an educated man were giving an by writers of high authority, but in itaccount of the late change of adminis- self not improbable, it was composed, tration, he would say-"Lord Goderich not to be read, but to be heard. It was resigned; and the King, in consequence, not to the slow circulation of a few sent for the Duke of Wellington." A copies, which the rich only could posporter tells the story as if he had been sess, that the aspiring author looked hid behind the curtains of the royal for his reward. The great Olympian bed at Windsor: "So Lord Goderich festival.—the solemnity which collected keys, 'I'cannot manage this business; multitudes, proud of the Grecian name, says he, Well, then, I must send for the Duke of Wellington-that's all." Thinks in the very manner of the father The interest of the narrative, and the of history.

that he should write. He wrote for a plendour of the spectacle, —by the nation susceptible, curious, lively, in-powerful influence of sympathy. A satiably desirous of novelty and ex- critic who could have asked for authocitement; for a nation in which the rities in the midst of such a scene must fine arts had attained their highest ex- have been of a cold and sceptical nacellence, but in which philosophy was

still in its infancy. His countrymen count which he gives of transactions had but recently begun to cultivate respecting which he might possibly prose composition. Public transactions had generally been recorded in verse. The first historians might, therefore, whether, for example, the answer of indulge without fear of censure in the Gelon the ambassadors of the Gre-license allowed to their predecessors cian chrederacy, or the expressions the bards. Books were few. The events white between Aristides and of former times were learned from traat their famous inter- dition and from popular ballads; the view, have been correctly transmitted manners of foreign countries from the to us. The great events are, no doubt, reports of travellers. It is well known faithfully related. So, probably, are that the mystery which overhangs what many of the slighter circumstances; is distant, either in space or time, frebut which of them it is impossible to quently prevents us from censuring as ascertain. The fictions are so much unnatural what we perceive to be imlike the facts, and the facts so much possible. We stare at a dragoon who like the fictions, that, with respect to has killed three French cuirassiers, as a many most interesting particulars, our prodigy; yet we read, without the least belief is neither given nor withheld, disgust, how Godfrey slew his thoubut remains in an uneasy and inter- sands, and Rinaldo his ten thousands. minable state of abeyance. We know Within the last hundred years, storics that there is truth; but we cannot about China and Bantam, which ought not to have imposed on an old nurse. The faults of Herodotus are the were gravely laid down as foundations faults of a simple and imaginative of political theories by eminent philomind. Children and servants are re- sophers. What the time of the Crusades markably Herodotean in their style of 's to us, the generation of Crœsus and narration. They tell everything dra- Solon was to the Greeks of the time of Their says hes and says Herodotus. Babylon was to them what shes are proverbial. Every person who Pekin was to the French academicians

For such a people was the book of from the wildest mountains of Doris, and the remotest colonies of Italy and Libya,—was to witness his triumph. beauty of the style, were aided by the Herodotus wrote as it was natural imposing effect of recitation,-by the

and few such critics were there.

birds, and trees,—of dwarfs, and giants, cient dynasties, which had left behind be favourably received. them monuments surpassing all the pendous walls, and temples, and pyramids,—of the rites which the Magi performed at daybreak on the tops of military and political events. sassin, to fulfil high destinies.

most touching in the annals of the the change which passed upon tragedy. human race,—a story abounding with The wild sublimity of Æschylus berivers dried up in a day, -of provinces infantine sweetness which had characships hewn through the mountains,- ancient Tuscan, and more like the of a road for armies spread upon the modern French. waves,-of monarchies and commonwealths swept away, -of anxiety, of was, indeed, far from strict. terror, of confusion, of despair !- and never can be strict where books are then of proud and stubborn hearts tried scarce, and where information is conin that extremity of evil, and not veyed orally. We are all aware how found wanting, of resistance long frequently fallacies, which, when set

As was the historian, such were the maintained against desperate odds,auditors,-inquisitive, credulous, easily of lives dearly sold, when resistance moved by religious awe or patriotic en- could be maintained no more,-of thusiasm. They were the very men to signal deliverance, and of unsparing hear with delight of strange beasts, and revenge. Whatever gave a stronger air of reality to a narrative so well caland cannibals-of gods, whose very culated to inflame the passions, and to names it was implety to utter, -of an-flatter national pride, was certain to

Between the time at which Heworks of later times, -of towns like rodotus is said to have composed his provinces,—of rivers like seas,—of stu- history, and the close of the Peloponnesian war, about forty years elapsed, -forty years, crowded with great the mountains, -of the secrets inscribed circumstances of that period produced on the eternal obelisks of Memphis. a great effect on the Grecian charac-With equal delight they would have ter; and nowhere was this effect so listened to the graceful romances of remarkable as in the illustrious detheir own country. They now heard of mocracy of Athens. An Athenian, the exact accomplishment of obscure indeed, even in the time of Herodotus, predictions, of the punishment of would scarcely have written a book so crimes over which the justice of heaven romantic and garrulous as that of had seemed to slumber,—of dreams, Herodotus. As civilisation advanced, omens, warnings from the dead, -of the citizens of that famous republic princesses, for whom noble suitors con- became still less visionary, and still less tended in every generous exercise of simple-hearted. They aspired to know strength and skill,—of infants, strangely where their ancestors had been content preserved from the dagger of the asto doubt; they began to doubt where their ancestors had thought it their As the narrative approached their duty to believe. Aristophanes is fond own times, the interest became still of alluding to this change in the temmore absorbing. The chronicler had per of his countrymen. The father now to tell the story of that great con- and son, in the Clouds, are evidently flict from which Europe dates its representatives of the generations to intellectual and political supremacy,— which they respectively belonged a story which, even at this distance of Nothing more clearly illustrates the time, is the most marvellous and the nature of this moral revolution than all that is wild and wonderful, with came the scoff of every young Phidipall that is pathetic and animating; pides. Lectures on abstruse points of with the gigantic caprices of infinite philosophy, the fine distinctions of wealth and despotic power—with the casuistry, and the dazzling fence of mightier miracles of wisdom, of virtue, rhetoric, were substituted for poetry. and of courage. He told them of The language lost something of that famished for a meal,—of a passage for terised it. It became less like the

The fashionable logic of the Greeks

pass for unanswerable arguments when dexterously and volubly urged in conversation. The reason is evident We cannot inspect them closely enough to perceive their inaccuracy. We can not readily compare them with each other. We lose sight of one part of the subject before another, which ought to be received in connection with it, comes before us; and, as there is no immu table record of what has been admitted and of what has been denied, direct contradictions pass muster with little difficulty. Almost all the education of a Greek consisted in talking and listen His opinions on governmen were picked up in the debates of the assembly. If he wished to study metaphysics, instead of shutting himsel up with a book, he walked down to the market-place to look for a sophist So completely were men formed to these habits, that even writing acquired a conversational sir. The philosophers adopted the form of dialogue, as the most natural mode of communicating knowledge. Their reasonings have the merits and the defects which belong to that species of composition, and are characterised rather by quickness and subtilty than by depth and precision. Truth is exhibited in parts, and by Innumerable clever hints glimpses. are given; but no sound and durable system is erected. The argumentum ad hominem, a kind of argument most efficacious in debate, but utterly useless for the investigation of general principles, is among their favourite resources. Hence, though nothing can be more admirable than the skill which Socrates displays in the conversations which Plato has reported or invented, his victories, for the most part, seem to us unprofitable. A trophy is set up; but no new province is added to the dominions of the human mind.

Still, where thousands of keen and ready intellects were constantly emplayed in speculating on the qualities which are resemblances, but not of actions and on the principles of government, it was impossible that his- much more than faithful; portraits tory should retain its whole character. which condense into one point of time,

down on paper, are at once detected, turesque; but much mere accurate, and somewhat more scientific.

The history of Thucydides differs Parliament, at the bar, or in private from that of Herodotus as a portruit differs from the representation of an imaginary scene; as the Burke or Fox of Reynolds differs from his Ugolino or his Beaufort. In the former case, the archetype is given: in the latter it is created. The faculties which are required for the latter purpose are of a higher and rarer order than those which suffice for the former, and indeed necessarily comprise them. He who is able to paint what he sees with the eve of the mind will surely be able to paint what he sees with the eye of the body. He who can invent a story, and tell it well, will also be able to tell, in an interesting manner, a story which he has not invented. If, in practice, some of the best writers of fiction have been among the worst writers of history, it has been because one of their talents had merged in another so completely that it could not be severed; because, having long been habituated to invent nd narrate at the same time, they found it impossible to narrate without nventing.

Some capricious and discontented artists have affected to consider porrait-painting as unworthy of a man of renius. Some critics have spoken in the same contemptuous manner of his-Johnson puts the case thus: The historian tells either what is false or what is true: in the former case he s no historian: in the latter he has no pportunity for displaying his abilities: for truth is one: and all who tell the ruth must tell it alike.

It is not difficult to elude both the norns of this dilemma. We will recur o the analogous art of portrait-paintng. Any man with eyes and hands nay be taught to take a likeness. The rocess, up to a certain point, is merely mechanical. If this were all, a man of alents might justly despise the occunation. But we could mention portraits

resemblances; faithful, - but It, became less gossiping and less pic- and exhibit, at a single glance, the whole history of turbid and eventful lives-in which the eye seems to scrutinise us, and the mouth to command us-in which the brow menaces, and the lip almost quivers with scorn—in which every wrinkle is a comment of some important transaction. The account which Thucydides has given o the retreat from Syracuse is, among narratives, what Vandyke's Lord Straf-

ford is among paintings.

Diversity, it is said, implies error truth is one, and admits of no degrees. We answer, that this principle holds good only in abstract reasonings, When we talk of the truth of imitation in the fine arts, we mean an imperfect and a graduated truth. No picture is exactly like the original; nor is a picture good in proportion as it is like the original. When Sir Thomas Lawrence paints handsome peeress, he does not contemplate her through a powerful microscope, and transfer to the canvass the pores of the skin, the blood-vessels of the eye, and all the other beauties which Gulliver discovered in the Brob-dignaggian maids of horser. If he were to do this, the effect would not merely be unpleasant, but, unless the scale of the picture were proportionably enlarged, would be absolutely false. And, after all, a microscope of greater power than that which he had employed would convict him of innumerable omis-The same may be said of his Perfectly and absolutely true it cannot be: for, to be perfectly and absolutely true, it ought to record all the slightest particulars of the slightest transactions-all the things done and all the words uttered during the time of which it treats. The omission of any circumstance, however insignificant, would be a defect. If history were written thus, the Bodleian Library would not contain the occurrences of a What is told in the fullest and most accurate annuls bears an infinitely small proportion to what is suppressed. The difference between the copious work of Clarendon and the account of the civil wars in the abridgment of Goldsmith vanishes when compared with the immense mass of facts respecting which both are equally silent.

No picture, then, and no history, can present us with the whole truth: but those are the best pictures and the best histories which exhibit such parts of the truth as most nearly produce the effect of the whole. He who is deficient in the art of selection may, by showing nothing but the truth, produce all the effect of the grossest falsehood. It perpetually happens that one writer tells less truth than another, merely because he tells more truths. In the imitative arts we constantly see this. There are lines in the human face, and objects in landscape, which stand in such relations to each other, that they ought either to be all introduced into a painting together or all omitted together. sketch into which none of them enters may be excellent; but, if some are given and others left out, though there are more points of likeness, there is less likeness. An outline scrawled with a pen, which seizes the marked features of a countenance, will give a much stronger idea of it than a bad painting in oils. Yet the worst painting in oils that ever hung at Somerset House resembles the original in many more particulars. A bust of hite marble may give an excellent dea of a blooming face. Colour the ips and cheeks of the bust, leaving the air and eyes unaltered, and the simiarity, instead of being more striking, will be less so.

History has its foreground and its ackground: and it is principally in he management of its perspective that ne artist differs from another. Some vents must be represented on a large scale, others diminished; the great maority will be lost in the dimness of he horizon; and a general idea of heir joint effect will be given by a few light touches.

In this respect no writer has ever qualled Thucydides. He was a perfect naster of the art of gradual diminution. His history is sometimes as concise as . chronological chart; yet it is always erspicuous. It is sometimes as minute s one of Lovelace's letters; yet it is ever prolix. He never fails to con-ract and to expand it in the right place.

Thucydides borrowed from Herodotus

midst of a soft green plain. Invention is shocking where truth is in such close juxta-position with it.

the sentiments, and never in the dic-manner that the separation is a task of The oratory of the Corinthians the utmost difficulty. and Thebans is not less Attic, either in matter or in manner, than that of the deficiency, indeed, is not discreditable Athenians. The style of Cleon is as to him. It was the inevitable effect pure, as austere, as terse, and as sig-

nificant, as that of Pericles.

be allowed that Thucydides has surpassed all his rivals in the art of his-

the practice of putting speeches of thing new. If it presents to us charachis own into the mouths of his characters and trains of events to which our In Herodotus this usage is experience furnishes us with nothing scarcely censurable. It is of a piece with similar, instead of deriving instruction his whole manner. But it is altogether from it, we pronounce it unnatural, incongruous in the work of his succes- We do not form our opinions from it; sor, and violates, not only the accuracy but we try it by our preconceived of history, but the decencies of fiction. opinions. Fiction, therefore, is essen-When once we enter into the spirit of tially imitative. Its merit consists in Herodotus, we find no inconsistency. its resemblance to a model with which The conventional probability of his we are already familiar, or to which at drama is preserved from the beginning least we can instantly refer. Hence it to the end. The deliberate orations, is that the anecdotes which interest us and the familiar dialogues, are in most strongly in authentic narrative strict keeping with each other. But are offensive when introduced into nothe speeches of Thucydides are neither vels; that what is called the romantic preceded nor followed by anything part of history is in fact the least rowith which they harmonise. They mantic. It is delightful as history, give to the whole book something of because it contradicts our previous nothe grotesque character of those Chinese tions of human nature, and of the conpleasure-grounds in which perpendicu- nection of causes and effects. It is, on lar rocks of granite start up in the that very account, shocking and incon-

10us in fiction. In fiction, the prinles are given, to find the facts: in history, the facts are given, to find the Thucydides honestly tells us that principles; and the writer who does some of these discourses are purely fic- not explain the phenomena as well as titious. He may have reported the state them performs only one half of substance of others correctly. But it his office. Facts are the mere dross of is clear from the internal evidence that history. It is from the abstract truth he has preserved no more than the sub- which interpenetrates them, and lies stance. His own peculiar habits of latent among them like gold in the ore, thought and expression are everywhere that the mass derives its whole value: discernible. Individual and national and the precious particles are generally peculiarities are seldom to be traced in combined with the baser in such a

Here Thucydides is deficient: the of circumstances. It was in the nature of things necessary that, in some part In spite of this great fault, it must of its progress through political science, the human mind should reach that point which it attained in his time. torical narration, in the art of produc- Knowledge advances by steps, and not ing an effect on the imagination, by by leaps. The axioms of an English skilful selection and disposition, with- debating club would have been startout indulging in the license of inven-ing and mysterious paradoxes to the But narration, though an im- most enlightened statesmen of Athens. portant part of the business of a his- But it would be as absurd to speak to a most of definition of the Athenian on this first to a work of fiction is either use- account as to ridicule Strabo for not less or superfluous. A fiction may having given us an account of Chili, or give a more impressive effect to what is o talk of Ptolemy as we talk of Sir already known; but it can teach no-Richard Phillips. Still, when we wish

for solid geographical information, we almost instinctive presentiment of apmust prefer the solemn coxcombry of proaching events which gave so much Pinkerton to the noble work of Strabo. authority to the counsel of Shaftesbury If we wanted instruction respecting that "it was as if a man had inquired the solar system, we should consult the of the oracle of God." In this school silliest girl from a boarding school, Thucydides studied; and his wisdom

rather than Ptolemy.

sagacious and reflecting man. generalisation. Mι as the thieves and the thief-takers, to an infinite number of cases. Women have more of this dexferity Adam Smith or Beccaria. dexterity is acquired tends to contract the reputation of profundity. abstract reasoning.

The Grecian statesmen of the age means for the attainment of their ends. choly reflection, of impartiality and A state of society in which the rich habitual self-command. His feelings were constantly planning the oppress are rarely indulged, and speedily resion of the poor, and the poor the pressed. Vulgar prejudices of every spoliation of the rich, in which the ties kind, and particularly vulgar superstiof party had superseded those of tions, he treats with a cold and sober country, in which revolutions and disdain peculiar to himself. His style counter revolutions were events of is weighty, condensed, antithetical, and daily occurrence, was naturally prolific not unfrequently obscure. But, when in desperate and crafty political ad- we look at his political philosophy, venturers. This was the very school without regard to these circumstances, in which men were likely to acquire we find him to have been, what indeed

is that which such a school would Thucydides was undoubtedly a naturally afford. He judges better of This circumstances than of principles. The clearly appears from the ability with more a question is narrowed, the better which he discusses practical questions, he reasons upon it. His work suggests But the talent of deciding on the cir- many most important considerations cumstances of a particular case is often respecting the first principles of gopossessed in the highest perfection by vernment and morals, the growth of persons destitute of the power of fuctions, the organisation of armies, skilled in the and the mutual relations of communimilitary tactics of civilised nations ties. Yet all his general observations have been amazed at the farsighted- on these subjects are very superficial.

ness and penetration which a Mohawk His most judicious remarks differ from displays in concerting his stratagems, the remarks of a really philosophical or in discerning those of his enemies. historian, as a sum correctly cast up In England, no class possesses so much by a book-keeper from a general exof that peculiar ability which is required pression discovered by an algebraist. for constructing ingenious schemes, The former is useful only in a single and for obviating remote difficulties, transaction; the latter may be applied

This opinion will, we fear, be conthan men. Lawyers have more of it sidered as keterodox. For, not to than statesmen: statesmen have more speak of the illusion which the sight of it than philosophers. Monk had of a Greek type, or the sound of a more of it than Harrington and all his Greek diphthong, often produces, there Walpole had more of it than are some peculiarities in the manner Indeed, of Thucydides which in no small the species of discipline by which this degree have tended to secure to him the mind, and to render it incapable of book is evidently the book of a man and a statesman; and in this respect presents a remarkable contrast to the of Thucydides were distinguished by delightful childishness of Herodotus. their practical sagacity, their insight Throughout it there is an air of into motives, their skill in devising matured power, of grave and meland the dissimulation of Mazarin, the it would have been a miracle if he had judicious temerity of Richelieu, the not been simply an Athenian of the penetration, the exquisite tact, the fifth century before Christ.

rather a weak head. Such was evi- to find restraints which might curb ordinary man to whom he early absurdly fancied that he had found attached himself, and for whose them in a religion without evidences veneration. He came in only for the a frigid system of Theophilanthropy, milk with which Socrates nourished supported by nursery tales. his babes in philosophy. A few saws Polybius and Arrian have given us of morality, and a few of the simplest authentic accounts of facts; and here doctrines of natural religion, were their merit ends. They were not men enough for the good young man. The of comprehensive minds; they had strong meat, the bold speculations on not the art of telling a story in an in-physical and metaphysical science, teresting manner. They have in conphysical and metaphysical science, teresting manner. They have in con-were reserved for auditors of a dif-sequence been thrown into the shade ferent description. Even the lawless by writers who, though less studious habits of a captain of mercenary of truth than themselves, understood troops could not change the tendency far better the art of producing effect, which the character of Xenophon by Livy and Quintus Curtius. early acquired. To the last, he seems Yet Polybius and Arrian deserve Puritanism. are those of a well-meaning man, For the historians of this class we superstitious as Herodotus, but in a those valuable qualities which are way far more offensive. The very frequently found in conjunction with peculiarities which charm us in ar pedantry, thought themselves great infant, the toothless mumbling, the philosophers and great politicians. stammering, the tottering, the help- They not only mislead their readers lessness, the causeless tears and in every page, as to particular facts, laughter, are disgusting in old age. but they appear to have altogether In the same manner, the absurdity misconceived the whole character of.

Xenophon is commonly placed, but which precedes a period of general we think without much reason, in the intelligence is often pleasing; that same rank with Herodotus and Thu- which follows it is contemptible. The cydides. He resembles them, indeed, nonsense of Herodotus is that of a in the purity and sweetness of his baby. The nonsense of Xenophon is style; but, in spirit, he rather resemthat of a dotard. His stories about bles that later school of historians, dreams, omens, and prophecies, prewhose works seem to be fables com- sent a strange contrast to the passages posed for a moral, and who, in their in which the shrewd and incredulous eagerness to give us warnings and Thucydides mentions the popular examples, forget to give us men and superstitions. It is not quite clear women. The Life of Cyrus, whether we that Xenophon was honest in his look upon it as a history or as a ro-mance, seems to us a very wretched per-degree politic. He would have made formance. The Expedition of the Ten an excellent member of the Apostolic Thousand, and the History of Grecian Camarilla. An alarmist by nature, Affairs, are certainly pleasant reading; an aristocrat by party, he carried to but they indicate no great power of an unreasonable excess his horror of In truth, Xenophon, though popular turbulence. The quiet atrocity his taste was elegant, his disposition of Sparta did not shock him in the amiable, and his intercourse with the same manner; for he hated tumult world extensive, had, we suspect, more than crimes. He was desirous dently the opinion of that extra- the passions of the multitude; and he memory he entertained an idolatrous or sanction, precepts or example, in

to have retained a sort of heathen high praise when compared with the The sentiments of piety writers of that school of which Pluand virtue which abound in his works tarch may be considered as the head. somewhat timid and narrow-minded, must confess that we entertain a pecu-devout from constitution rather than liar aversion. They seem to have from rational conviction. He was as been pedants, who, though destitute of

the times of which they write. nances and complexions, were widely of nations over nations. different; governed by one mighty dearest of domestic charities, or volunat the degeneracy of their contempothe feelings which they so greatly adbe forced into existence for a short means with the end. fallen as he grieves for a defeat which some Colonels of the Guards. corn fields in his neighbourhood.

The writers of whom we speak should travagant doctrines. there was nothing essentially and eternally good; that an exclusive attach- their heroes remind us of the insufferment to a particular society, though a ble perfections of Sir Charles Grandi-

They virtue; that, where it has existed in an were inhabitants of an empire bounded intense degree, it has turned states by the Atlantic Ocean and the Eu- into gangs of robbers whom their muphrates, by the ice of Scythia and the tual fidelity has rendered more dangersands of Mauritania; composed of ous, has given a character of peculiar nations whose manners, whose lan- atrocity to war, and has generated that guages, whose religion, whose counte- worst of all political evils, the tyranny

Enthusiastically attached to the despotism, which had risen on the name of liberty, these historians trouruins of a thousand commonwealths bled themselves little about its definiand kingdoms. Of liberty, such as it tion. The Spartans, tormented by ten is in small democracies, of patriotism, thousand absurd restraints, unable to such as it is in small independent com-munities of any kind, they had, and wives, their suppers, or their company, they could have, no experimental compelled to assume a peculiar manner, knowledge. But they had read of men and to talk in a peculiar style, gloried who exerted themselves in the cause of in their liberty. The aristocracy of their country with an energy unknown Rome repeatedly made liberty a plea in later times, who had violated the for cutting off the favourites of the In almost all the little compeople. tarily devoted themselves to death, for monwealths of antiquity, liberty was the public good; and they wondered used as a pretext for measures directed against everything which makes liberty raries. It never occurred to them that valuable, for measures which stifled discussion, corrupted the administration mired sprung from local and occasional of justice, and discouraged the accumucauses; that they will always grow up lation of property. The writers, whose spontaneously in small socioties; and works we are considering, confounded that, in large empires, though they may the sound with the substance, and the Their imaginatime by peculiar circumstances, they tions were inflamed by mystery. They cannot be general or permanent. It is conceived of liberty as monks conceive impossible that any man should feel of love, as cockneys conceive of the for a fortress on a remote frontier as he happiness and innocence of rural life, feels for his own house: that he should as novel-reading sempstresses conceive grieve for a defeat in which ten thou- of Almack's and Grosvenor Square, sand people whom he never saw have accomplished Marquesses and handhas half unpeopled the street in which the relation of events, and the delineahe lives; that he should leave his home tion of characters, they have paid little for a military expedition in order to attention to facts, to the costume of preserve the balance of power, as cheer- the times of which they pretend to fully as he would leave it to repel in- treat, or to the general principles of vaders who had begun to burn all the human nature. They have been faithful only to their own puerile and ex-Generals and have considered this. They should statesmen are metamorphosed into have considered that in patriotism, magnanimous coxcombs, from whose such as it existed amongst the Greeks, fulsome virtues we turn away with disust. The fine sayings and exploits of natural, and, under certain restrictions, son, and affect us with a nausea similar a most useful sentiment, implies no ex- to that which we feel when an actor, in traordinary attainments in wisdom or one of Morton's or Kotzebue's plays,

the ground-lights, and mouths a moral little effect on their minds.

effect.

deduced from extreme to praise them. therefore, that some flagitious instances dwell. were perpetrated.

The writings of these men, and of gers. their modern imitators, have produced effects which deserve some notice. The such as those which we have been con-English have been so long accustomed sidering has been harmless. They have, to political speculation, and have en- indeed, given currency to many very

lays his hand on his heart, advances to liberty, that such works have produced We have sentence for the edification of the gods. classical associations and great names These writers, men who knew not of our own which we can confidently what it was to have a country, men oppose to the most splendid of ancient who had never enjoyed political rights, times. Senate has not to our ears a brought into fashion an offensive cant sound so venerable as l'arliament. We about patriotism and zeal for freedom. respect the Great Charter more than What the English Puritans did for the the laws of Solon. The Capitol and language of Christianity, what Scuderi the Forum impress us with less awe did for the language of love, they did than our own Westminster Hall and for the language of public spirit. By Westminster Abbey, the place where habitual exaggeration they made it the great men of twenty generations mean. By monotonous emphasis they have contended, the place where they made it feeble. They abused it till it sleep together! The list of warriors became scarcely possible to use it with and statesmen by whom our constitution was founded or preserved, from Their ordinary rules of morality are De Montfert down to Fox, may well The stand a comparison with the Fasti of common regimen which they prescribe Rome. The dving thanksgiving of for society is made up of those desperate remedies which only its most destructed to Liberating Jove: perate distempers require. They look and we think with far less pleasure of with peculiar complacency on actions Cato tearing out his entrails than of which even those who approve them Russell saying, as he turned away from consider as exceptions to laws of al- his wife, that the bitterness of death most universal application....which bear was past. Even those parts of our hisso close an affinity to the most atro- tory over which, on some accounts, we cious crimes that, even where it may would gladly throw a veil may be be unjust to censure them, it is unsafe proudly opposed to those on which the It is not strange, moralists of antiquity loved most to The enemy of English liberty of perfidy and cruelty should have was not murdered by men whom he been passed unchallenged in such had pardoned and loaded with benefits. company, that grave moralists, with no He was not stabled in the back by personal interest at stake, should have those who smiled and cringed before his extolled, in the highest terms, deeds of face. He was vanquished on fields of which the atrocity appalled even the in- stricken battle; he was arraigned, furiated factions in whose cause they sentenced, and executed in the face of The part which heaven and carth. Our liberty is Timoleon took in the assassination of neither Greek nor Roman; but essenhis brother shocked many of his own tially English. It has a character of partisans. The recollection of it preyed its own,—a character which has taken long on his own mind. But it was a tinge from the sentiments of the reserved for historians who lived some chivalrous ages, and which accords centuries later to discover that his con-duct was a glorious display of virtue, and of our insular situation. It has a and to lament that, from the frailty of language, too, of its own, and a language human nature, a man who could perform singularly idiomatic, full of meaning to so great an exploit could repent of it. ourselves, scarcely intelligible to stran-

Here, therefore, the effect of books joyed so large a measure of practical erroneous opinions with respect to an-

cient history. They have heated the a revolution productive of much good subjects of absolute sovereigns. He

it is, as we have already said, that they extravagance of his masters.

imaginations of boys. They have misled and much evil, tremendous but short-the judgment and corrupted the taste of lived, evil dearly purchased, but durasome men of letters, such as Akenside ble good. But it would not have been and Sir William Jones. But on persons exactly such a revolution. The style, engaged in public affairs they have had the accessories, would have been in very little influence. The foundations many respects different. There would of our constitution were laid by men have been less of bombast in language. who knew nothing of the Greeks but less of affectation in manner, less of that they denied the orthodox proces- solemn trifling and ostentatious simsion and cheated the Crusaders; and plicity. The acts of legislative assemnothing of Rome, but that the Pope blies, and the correspondence of diplolived there. Those who followed, con- matists, would not have been disgraced tented themselves with improving on by rants worthy only of a college dethe original plan. They found models clamation. The government of a great at home; and therefore they did not and polished nation would not have look for them abroad. But, when en-rendered itself ridiculous by attempting lightened men on the Continent began to revive the usages of a world which to think about political reformation, had long passed away, or rather of a having no patterns before their eyes in world which had never existed except their domestic history, they naturally in the description of a fantastic school had recourse to those remains of an- of writers. These second-hand imitatiquity, the study of which is considered tions resembled the originals about as throughout Europe as an important much as the classical feast with which part of education. The historians of the Doctor in Peregrine Pickle turned whom we have been speaking had been the stomachs of all his guests resembled members of large communities, and one of the suppers of Lucullus in the Hall of Apollo.

These were mere follies. But the commit such gross errors in speaking spirit excited by these writers produced of the little republics of antiquity, more serious effects. The greater part Their works were now read in the spirit of the crimes which disgraced the revoin which they had been written. They lution sprung indeed from the relaxation were read by men placed in circum- of law, from popular ignorance, from stances closely resembling their own, the remembrance of past oppression, unacquainted with the real nature of from the fear of foreign conquest, from liberty, but inclined to believe every- rapacity, from ambition, from partything good which could be told respect- spirit. But many atrocious proceedings ing it. How powerfully these books must, doubtless, be ascribed to heated impressed these speculative reformers, imagination, to perverted principle, to is well known to all who have paid any a distaste for what was vulgar in attention to the French literature of morals, and a passion for what was the last century. But, perhaps, the startling and dubious. Mr. Burke has writer on whom they produced the touched on this subject with great feligreatest effect was Vittorio Alfieri. In city of expression: "The gradation of some of his plays, particularly in their republic," says he, "is laid in Virginia, Timoleon, and Brutus the moral paradoxes. All those instances Younger, he has even caricatured the to be found in history, whether real or fabulous, of a doubtful public spirit, at It was not strange that the blind, which morality is perplexed, reason is thus led by the blind, should stumble, staggered, and from which affrighted The transactions of the French Revo- nature recoils, are their chosen and lution, in some measure, took their almost sole examples for the instruction character from these works. Without of their youth." This evil, we believe, the assistance of these works, indeed, a is to be directly ascribed to the inrevolution would have taken place, - fluence of the historians whom we

have mentioned, and their modern effects which it produced on those who imitators.

bad thing so well done. The painting is zealous. of the narrative is beyond description is never over-teemed, a fountain which lactea ubertas.

arrived at it by a very different road. Lavy had some faults in common He has something of their exaggerawith these writers. But on the whole tion, something of their cant, somehe must be considered as forming a thing of their fondness for anomalies class by himself: no historian with and lusus natures in morality. Yet whom we are acquainted has shown so even here we perceive a difference. complete an indifference to truth. He They talk rapturously of patriotism seems to have cared only about the and liberty in the abstract. He does picturesque effect of his book, and the not seem to think any country but honour of his country. On the other Rome deserving of love: nor is it for hand, we do not know, in the whole liberty as liberty, but for liberty as a range of literature, an instance of a part of the Roman institutions, that he

Of the concise and elegant accounts

vivid and graceful. The abundance of of the campaigns of Cæsar little can be interesting sentiments and splendid said. They are incomparable models imagery in the speeches is almost for military despatches. But histories miraculous. His mind is a soil which they are not, and do not pretend to be. The ancient critics placed Sallust in never seems to trickle. It pours forth the same rank with Livy; and unquesprofusely; yet it gives no sign of tionably the small portion of his works exhaustion. It was probably to this which has come down to us is calcuexuberance of thought and language, lated to give a high opinion of his always fresh, always sweet, always talents. But his style is not very pure, no sooner yielded than repaired, pleasant: and his most powerful work, that the critics applied that expression the account of the Conspiracy of Catiwhich has been so much discussed, line, has rather the air of a clever party pamphlet than that of a history. All the merits and all the defects of It abounds with strange inconsisten-Livy take a colouring from the charac- cies, which, unexplained as they are, ter of his nation. He was a writer necessarily excite doubts as to the fairpeculiarly Roman; the proud citizen ness of the narrative. It is true, that of a commonwealth which had indeed many circumstances now forgotten may lost the reality of liberty, but which have been familiar to his contemporastill sacredly preserved its forms-in ries, and may have rendered passages fact the subject of an arbitrary prince, clear to them which to us appear dubut in his own estimation one of the bious and perplexing. But a great masters of the world, with a hundred historian should remember that he kings below him, and only the gods writes for distant generations, for men above him. He, therefore, looked back who will perceive the apparent conon former times with feelings far tradictions, and will possess no means different from those which were na- of reconciling them. We can only turally entertained by his Greek con- vindicate the fidelity of Sallust at the temporaries, and which at a later expense of his skill. But in fact all period became general among men of the information which we have from letters throughout the Roman Empire. contemporaries respecting this famous He contemplated the past with interest plot is liable to the same objection, and and delight, not because it furnished a is read by discerning men with the contrast to the present, but because it same incredulity. It is all on one side. had led to the present. He recurred No answer has reached our times. Yet, to it, not to lose in proud recollections on the showing of the accusers, the the sense of national degradation, but accused seem entitled to acquittal. to trace the progress of national glory. Catiline, we are told, intrigued with It is true that his veneration for anti- a Vestal virgin, and murdered his own waity produced on him some of the son. His house was a den of gamblers

and debauchees. No young man could cross his threshold without danger to his fortune and reputation. Yet this is the man with whom Cicero was willing to coalesce in a contest for the first magistracy of the republic; and whom he described, long after the fatal termination of the conspiracy, as an accomplished hypocrite, by whom he had himself been deceived, and who had acted with consummate skill the character of a good citizen and a good friend. We are told that the plot was the most wicked and desperate ever known, and, almost in the same breath. that the great body of the people, and many of the nobles, favoured it; that the richest citizens of Rome were eage: for the spoliation of all property, and its highest functionaries for the destruction of all order; that Crassus, Cæsar, the Prætor Lentulus, one of the consuls of the year, one of the consuls elect, were proved or suspected to be engaged in a scheme for subverting institutions to which they owed the highest honours, and introducing universal anarchy. We are told that a government, which knew all this, suffered the conspirator, whose rank, offered to him at the expiration of his office, and a severe punishment inflicted on him shortly after.

Sallust tells us, what, indeed, the letters and speeches of Cicero sufficiently prove, that some persons con- with the same skill. They are far sider the shocking and atrocious parts more striking when extracted from the of the plot as mere inventions of the body of the work to which they belong government, designed to excuse its unconstitutional measures. confess ourselves to be of that opinion.

There was, undoubtedly, a strong party desirous to change the administration. While Pompey held the command of an army, they could not effect their purpose without preparing means for repelling force, if necessary, by force. In all this there is nothing different from the ordinary practice of Roman factions. The other charges brought against the conspirators are so inconsistent and improbable, that we give nocredit whatever to them. If our readers think this scepticism unreasonable, let them turn to the contemporary accounts of the Popish plot. Let then look over the votes of Parliament, and the speeches of the king; the charges of Scroggs, and the harangues of the managers employed against Strafford. A person who should form his judgment from these pieces alone would believe that London was set on fire by the Papists, and that Sir Edmondbust Godfrey was murdered for his religion. Yet these stories are now altogether exploded. They have been abandoned by statesmen to aldermen, by aldermen to clergymen, by clergymen to old women, and by old women to Sir Harcourt Lees.

Of the Latin historians, Tacitus was talents, and courage, rendered him certainly the greatest. His style, inmost dangerous, to quit Rome without deed, is not only faulty in itself, but is, molestation. We are told that bond- in some respects, peculiarly unfit for men and gladiators were to be armed historical composition. He carries his against the citizens. Yet we find that love of effect far beyond the limits of Catiline rejected the slaves who crowded moderation. He tells a fine story to enlist in his army, lest, as Sallust finely: but he cannot tell a plain story himself expresses it, "he should seem plainly. He stimulates till stimulants to identify their cause with that of the lose their power. Thucydides, as we citizens." Finally, we are told that the have already observed, relates ordinary magistrate, who was universally allowed transactions with the unpretending to have saved all classes of his country- clearness and succinctness of a gazette. men from conflagration and massacre. His great powers of painting he reserves rendered himself so unpopular by his for events of which the slightest details conduct that a marked insult was are interesting. The simplicity of the setting gives additional lustre to the brilliants. There are passages in the narrative of Tacitus superior to the best hich can be quoted from Thucydides.

But they are not enchased and relieved han when they occur in their place, We must and are read in connection with what recedes and follows.

In the delineation of character, Tacitus is unrivalled among historians, and has very few superiors among dramatists and novelists. By the delineation of character, we do not mean the practice of drawing up epigrammatic catalogues of good and bad qualities, and appending them to the names of emi No writer, indeed, has nent men. done this more skilfully than Tacitus but this is not his peculiar glory. All the persons who occupy a large space in his works have an individuality of character which seems to pervade all their words and actions. We know them as if we had lived with them. Claudius Nero, Otho, both the Agrippinas, are But Tiberius is masterpieces. still higher miracle of art. The historian undertook to make us intimately acquainted with a man singularly dark and inscrutable, -with a man whose real disposition long remained swathed up in intricate folds of factitious virtues, and over whose actions the hypocrisy of his youth, and the seclusion of his old age, threw a singular mystery. He was to exhibit the specious qualities of the tyrant in a light which might render them transparent, and enable us at once to perceive the covering and the vices which it concealed. He was to trace the gradations by which the first magistrate of a republic, a senator mingling freely in debate, a noble associating with his brother nobles, was transformed into an Asiatic sultan; he was to exhibit a character, distinguished by courage, self-command, and profound policy, yet defiled by all

## "th' extravagancy And crazy ribaldry of fancy."

He was to mark the gradual effect of advancing age and approaching death on this strange compound of strength and weakness; to exhibit the old sovereign of the world sinking into a dotage which, though it rendered his appetites eccentric, and his temper savage, never impaired the powers of his stern and blers, and the most terrible of masters, sourceive them to have failed.

The task was one of extreme difficulty. The execution is almost perfect.

The talent which is required to write history thus bears a considerable affinity to the talent of a great dramatist. There is one obvious distinction. The dramatist creates; the historian only disposes. The difference is not in the mode of execution, but in the mode of conception. Shakspeare is guided by a model which exists in his imagination; Tacitus, by a model furnished from without. Hamlet is to Tiberius what the Laocoon is to the Newton of Roubilliac.

In this part of his art Tacitus certainly had neither equal nor second among the ancient historians. Herodotus, though he wrote in a dramatic form, had little of dramatic genius. The frequent dialogues which he introduces give vivacity and movement to the narrative, but are not strikingly characteristic. Xenophon is fond of telling his readers, at considerable. length, what he thought of the persons. whose adventures he relates. But he does not show them the men, and enable them to judge for themselves. The heroes of Livy are the most insipid of all beings, real or imaginary, the heroes of Plutarch always excepted. Indeed. the manner of Plutarch in this respect reminds us of the cookery of those continental inns, the horror of English travellers, in which a certain nondescript broth is kept constantly boiling, and copiously poured, without distinction, over every dish as it comes up to table. Thucydides, though at a wide interval, comes next to Tacitus. His Pericles, his Nicias, his Cleon, his Brasidas, are happily discriminated. The lines are few, the colouring faint; but the general air and expression is caught.

We begin, like the priest in Don Quixote's library, to be tired with taking down books one after another for eparate judgment, and feel inclined to has sentence on them in masses. We shall therefore, instead of pointing out penetrating mind-conscious of failing he defects and merits of the different strength, raging with capricious sen modern historians, state generally in smallty, yet to the last the keenest of what particulars they have surpassed observers, the most artful of dissem- heir predecessors, and in what we

They have certainly been, in one small progress, and at another time ment was gradually introduced. History commenced among the modern na- demonstratio sart was our Herodotus. Italy was to Europe what Athens was to Greece. and manly mode of narration was early introduced. Machiavelli and Guicci-Thucydides, composed speeches for their historical personages. But, as the classical enthusiasm which distinguished the age of Lorenzo and Leo since the time of Elizabeth. still, in some degree, keeps its ground. In our own country, a writer who should venture on it would be laughed to scorn. Whether the historians of the last two centuries tell more truth than those of antiquity, may perhaps that they tell fewer falsehoods.

In the philosophy of history, the moderns have very far surpassed the It is not, indeed, strange that the Greeks and Romans should not have carried the science of govern ment, or any other experimental science, so far as it has been carried cur time; for the experimental sciences are generally in a state of progression. They were better understood in the seventeenth century than in the sixteenth, and in the eighteenth century than in the seventeenth. But this constant improvement, this natural growth of knowledge, will not altogether account for the immense superiority of the modern writers. The difference is a difference not in degree but of kind. It is not merely that now principles have been discovered, human intellect should have made but and by what gradations, their feelings

sense, far more strict in their adherence have advanced far; but that at one to truth than most of the Greek and time it should have been stationary, Roman writers. They do not think and at another time constantly prothemselves entitled to render their ceeding. In taste and imagination, in narrative interesting by introducing the graces of style, in the arts of perdescriptions, conversations, and ha suasion, in the magnificence of public rangues which have no existence but in works, the ancients were at least our their own imagination. This improve- equals. They reasoned as justly as ourselves on subjects which required pure But in the moral tions of Europe, as it had commenced sciences they made scarcely any adamong the Greeks, in romance. Frois- vance. During the long period which clapsed between the fifth contury before the Christian era and the fifth In Italy, therefore, a more accurate century after it little perceptible progress was made. All the metaphysical discoveries of all the philosophers, from ardini, in imitation of Livy and the time of Socrates to the northern invasion, are not to be compared in importance with those which have been made in England every fifty years There is gradually subsided, this absurd practice not the least reason to believe that the was abandoned. In France, we fear, it principles of government, legislation, and political economy, were better understood in the time of Augustus Cæsar than in the time of Pericles. own country, the sound doctrines of trade and jurisprudence have been, within the lifetime of a single generabe doubted. But it is quite certain tion, dimly hinted, boldly propounded, defended, systematised, adopted by all reflecting men of all parties, quoted in legislative assemblies, incorporated into laws and treaties.

> To what is this change to be attributed? Partly, no doubt, to the discovery of printing, a discovery which has not only diffused knowledge widely, but, as we have already observed, has also introduced into reasoning a precision unknown in those ancient communities, in which information was, for the most part, conveyed orally. There was, we suspect, another cause, less bvious, but still more powerful.

The spirit of the two most famous nations of antiquity was remarkably xclusive. In the time of Homer the Greeks had not begun to consider themselves as a distinct race. They still looked with something of childish wonbut that new faculties seem to be ex- der and awe on the riches and wisdom erted. It is not that at one time the of Sidon and Egypt. From what causes,.

Their history, from the determine. Trojan to the Persian wa, is covered its literature valuable only for the purwith an obscurity broken only by dim and scattered gleams of truth. But it is certain that a great alteration took to its public records, and to a few old They regarded themselves as a separate people. They had common religious rites, and common principles of public law, in which foreigners had no part. In all their political systems, monarchical, aristocratical, and democratical, there was a strong family likeness. After the retreat of Xerxes and the fall of Mardonius, national pride rendered the separation between the Greeks and the barbarians complete. The conquerors considered themselves men of a superior breed, men who, in their intercourse with neighbouring nations, were to teach, and not to learn. They looked for nothing out of themselves. They borrowed nothing. They translated nothing. We cannot call to mind a single expression of any Greek writer earlier than the age of Augustus, indicating an opinion that anything worth reading could be written in any language except his own. The feelings which sprung from national glory were not altogether extinguished by national degradation. They were fondly cherished through ages of slavery and shame. The literature of Rome herself was regarded with contempt by those who had fled before her arms, and who bowed beneath her fasces. Voltaire says, in one of his six thousand pamphlets, that he was the first a very late period, the Greeks seem to ruled. have stood in need of similar information with respect to their masters. With Paulus Æmilius, Sylla, and He was a critic, and, after the manner the slightest attention. the language of Rome, associated with books which, considered merely as

underwent a change, it is not easy to its learned men, and compiled its history. Yet he seems to have thought pose of illustrating its antiquities. His reading appears to have been confined annalists. Once, and but once, if we remember rightly, he quotes Ennius, to solve a question of etymology. He has written much on the art of oratory: yet he has not mentioned the name of Cicero.

The Romans submitted to the pretensions of a race which they despised. Their epic poet, while he claimed for them pre-eminence in the arts of government and war, acknowledged their inferiority in taste, eloquence, and Men of letters affected to science. understand the Greek language better than their own. Pomponius preferred the honour of becoming an Athenian, by intellectual naturalisation, to all the distinctions which were to be acquired in the political contests of Rome. His great friend composed Greek poems and memoirs. It is well known that Petrarch considered that beautiful language in which his sonnets are written, as a barbarous jargon, and intrusted his fame to those wretched Latin hexameters which, during the last four centuries, have scarcely found four readers. Many eminent Romans appear to have felt the same contempt for their native tongue as compared with the Greek. The prejudice continued to a very late period. Julian was as partial to the Greek language as Frederic the Great person who told the French that Eng-iand had produced eminent men besides could not express himself with elegance to the French: and it seems that he the Duke of Marlborough. Down to in the dialect of the state which he

Even those Latin writers who did not carry this affectation so far looked on Greece as the only fount of know-Casar they were well acquainted. But ledge. From Greece they derived the the notions which they entertained re- measures of their poetry, and, indeed, specting Cicero and Virgil were, pro- all of poetry that can be imported. hably, not unlike those which Boileau From Greece they borrowed the prinmay have formed about Shakspeare, ciples and the vocabulary of their phi-Dionysius lived in the most splendid losophy. To the literature of other age of Latin poetry and eloquence, nations they do not seem to have paid The sacred of his age, an able critic. He studied books of the Hebrews, for example,

human compositions, are invaluable to gradually effacing all national peculidecaying stock. By an exclusive attention to one class of phenomena, by an exclusive taste for one species of stunted. Occasional coincidences were turned into general rules. Prejudices man, as he was found in a particular state of society-on government, as it had existed in a particular corner of the world, many just observations were of the old system of metaphysics. made; but of man as man, or government as government, little was known. Philosophy remained stationary. Slight of examining the foundations.

the critic, the antiquarian, and the arities, and assimilating the remotest philosopher, seem to have been utterly provinces of the empire to each other, unnoticed by them. The peculiarities augmented the evil. At the close of of Judaism, and the rapid growth of the third century after Christ, the Christianity, attracted their notice. prospects of mankind were fearfully They made war against the Jews. dreary. A system of etiquette, as They made laws against the Christians. pompously frivolous as that of the Es-But they never opened the books of curial, had been established. A sove-Moses. Juvenal quotes the Pentateuch reign almost invisible; a crowd of with censure. The author of the trea- dignitaries minutely distinguished by tise on "the Sublime" quotes it with badges and titles; rhetoricians who praise: but both of them quote it erro- said nothing but what had been said neously. When we consider what sub- ten thousand times; schools in which lime poetry, what curious history, what nothing was taught but what had been striking and peculiar views of the Di-known for ages: such was the machivine nature and of the social duties of nery provided for the government and men, are to be found in the Jewish instruction of the most enlightened part scriptures, when we consider that two of the human race. That great comsects on which the attention of the munity was then in danger of expegovernment was constantly fixed ap riencing a calamity far more terrible pealed to those scriptures as the rule of than any of the quick, inflammatory, their faith and practice, this indifference destroying maladies, to which nations is astonishing. The fact seems to be, are liable, -a tottering, drivelling, parathat the Greeks admired only them- lytic longevity, the immortality of the selves, and that the Romans admired Struldbrugs, a Chinese civilisation. It only themselves and the Greeks. Lite- would be easy to indicate many points rary men turned away with disgust of resemblance between the subjects of from modes of thought and expression Diocletian and the people of that Ceso widely different from all that they lestial Empire. where, during many had been accustomed to admire. The centuries, nothing has been learned or effect was narrowness and sameness of unlearned; where government, where thought. Their minds, if we may so education, where the whole system of express ourselves, bred in and in, and life, is a ceremony; where knowledge were accordingly cursed with barren- forgets to increase and multiply, and, ness and degeneracy. No extraneous like the talent buried in the earth, or beauty or vigour was engrafted on the the pound wrapped up in the napkin, experiences neither waste nor augmentation.

The torpor was broken by two great excellence, the human intellect was revolutions, the one moral, the other political, the one from within, the other from without. The victory of Chriswere confounded with instincts. On tianity over Paganism, considered with relation to this subject only, was of great importance. It overthrew the old system of morals; and with it much furnished the orator with new topics of declamation, and the logician with new points of controversy. Above all, it chan es, sometimes for the worse and introduced a new principle, of which sometimes for the better, were made in the operation was constantly felt in the superstructure. But nobody thought every part of society. It stirred the stagnant mass from the inmost depths. The vast despotism of the Cæsars, It excited all the passions of a stormy

democracy in the quiet and listless population of an overgrown empire. The fear of heresy did what the sense of oppression could not do; lit changed men, accustomed to be turned over like sheep from tyrant to tyrant, into de voted partisans and obstinate rebels. The tones of an eloquence which had been silent for ages resounded from the pulpit of Gregory. A spirit which had been extinguished on the plains of Philippi revived in Athanasius and Ambrose.

Yet even this remedy was not sufficiently violent for the disease. It did not prevent the empire of Constantinople from relapsing, after a short paroxysm of excitement, into a state c stupefaction, to which history furnishe scarcely any parallel. We there find that a polished society, a society in which a most intricate and elaborate system of jurisprudence was established, in which the arts of luxury were the great ancient writers were preserved and studied, existed for nearly a thousand years without making one great discovery in science, or producing one book which is read by any but curious inquirers. There were tumults, too, and controversies, and wars in abundance: and these things, bad as they are in themselves, have generally been favourable to the progress of the intellect. But here they tormented without stimulating. The waters were troubled; but no healing influence descended. The agitations resembled the grinnings and writhings of a galvanised corpse, not the struggles of an athletic man.

From this miserable state the Western Empire was saved by the fiercest and most destroying visitation with which God has ever chastened his creatures-the invasion of the Northern nations. Such a cure was required for such a distemper. The fire of London, it has been observed, was a blessing. It burned down the city; but it burned out the plague. The same may be said the tremendous devastation of the

man dominions. It annihilated the noisome recesses in which lurked the seeds of great moral maladies; it cleared an atmosphere fatal to the health and are always true and everywhere appli-

vigour of the human mind. It cost Europe a thousand years of barbarism to escape the fate of China.

At length the terrible purification was accomplished; and the second civilisation of mankind commenced, under circumstances which afforded a strong security that it would never retrograde and never pause. Europe was now a great federal community. Her numerous states were united by the easy ties of international law and a common religion. Their institutions, their languages, their manners, their tastes in literature, flieir modes of education, were widely different. Their connection was close enough to allow of mutual observation and improvement, yet not so close as to destroy the idioms of na-

tional opinion and feeling.

The balance of moral and intellectual influence thus established between the nations of Europe is far more important than the balance of political power. well understood, in which the works of Indeed, we are inclined to think that the latter is valuable principally because it tends to maintain the former. The civilised world has thus been preserved from an uniformity of character fatal to all improvement. Every part of it has been illuminated with light reflected from every other. Competition has produced activity where monopoly would have produced sluggishness. The number of experiments in moral science which the speculator has an opportunity of witnessing has been increased beyond all calculation. Society and human nature, instead of being seen in a single point of view, are preented to him under ten thousand By observing the different aspects. manners of surrounding nations, by studying their literature, by comparing it with that of his own country and of the ncient republics, he is enabled to correct those errors into which the most acute men must fall when they reason rom a single species to a genus. earns to distinguish what is local from what is universal; what is transitory rom what is eternal; to discriminate between exceptions and rules; to trace he operation of disturbing causes; to apparate those general principles which

cable from the accidental circumstances are blended, and with which, in an by the most philosophical mind.

Hence it is that, in generalisation the writers of modern times have fasurpassed those of antiquity. The historians of our own country are un equalled in depth and precision of reason; and, even in the works of our mere compilers, we often meet with speculations beyond the reach of Thucy dides or Tacitus.

But it must, at the same time, be admitted that they have characteristic faults, so closely connected with their characteristic merits, and of such magnitude, that it may well be doubted whether, on the whole, this departmen of literature has gained or lost during the last two-and-twenty centuries.

The best historians of later times have been seduced from truth, not by their imagination, but by their reason. They far excel their predecessors in the art of deducing general principles from facts. But unhappily they have fallen into the error of distorting facts to suit general principles. They arrive at a theory from looking at this insidious candour only increases some of the phenomena; and the re- the effect of the vast mass of sophistry. maining phenomena they strain curtail to suit the theory. For this ablest and most popular writer of his purpose it is not necessary that they should assert what is absolutely false for all questions in morals and politics are questions of comparison and degree. Any proposition which does not involve a contradiction in terms may by possibility be true; and, if all the circumstances which raise a probability in its favour be stated and cuforced, and those which lead to an opposite conclusion be omitted or lightly passed over, it may appear to be demonstrated. In every human character and transaction there is a mixture of good and evil: a little exaggeration, a little suppression, a judicious use of epithets, a watchful and searching scepticism with respect to the evidence on one side, a convenient credulity with respect to every report or tradition on the other, may easily make a saint of Laud, or a tyrant of Henry the Fourth.

This species of misrepresentation with which, in every community, they abounds in the most valuable works of modern historians. Herodotus tells isolated community, they are confounded his story like a slovenly witness, who, heated by partialities and prejudices, unacquainted with the established rules of evidence, and uninstructed as to the obligations of his oath, confounds what he imagines with what he has seen and heard, and brings out facts, reports, conjectures, and fancies, in one mass. Hume is an accomplished advocate. Without positively asserting much more than he can prove, he gives prominence to all the circumstances which support his case; he glides lightly over those which are unfavourable to it; his own witnesses are applauded and encouraged; the statements which seem to throw discredit on them are controverted: the contradictions into which they fall are explained away; a clear and connected abstract of their evidence is given. Everything that is offered on the other side is scrutinised with the utmost severity; every suspicious circumstance is a ground for comment and invective; what cannot be denied is extenuated, or passed by without notice; concesions even are sometimes made: but

We have mentioned Hume as the class; but the charge which we have brought against him is one to which all our most distinguished historians are n some degree obnoxious. Gibbon, in particular, deserves very severe censure. Of all the numerous culprits, however, none is more deeply guilty than Mr. Mitford. We willingly acknowledge he obligations which are due to his alents and industry. The modern historians of Greece had been in the habit of writing as if the world had earned nothing new during the last ixteen hundred years. Instead of iljustrating the events which they narrated by the philosophy of a more nlightened age, they judged of anti-juity by itself alone. They seemed to hink that notions, long driven from very other corner of literature, had a rescriptive right to occupy this last astness. They considered all the an-

They scarcely made any distinction science. We have compared the writers between him who related events at who indulge in it to advocates; and which he had himself been present and we may add, that their conflicting falhim who five hundred years after com- lacies, like those of advocates, correct posed a philosophic romance for a each other. It has always been held, society which had in the interval under- in the most enlightened nations, that a gone a complete change. It was all tribunal will decide a judicial question Greek, and all true! The centuries most fairly when it has heard two able which separated Plutarch from Thucy- men argue, as unfairly as possible, on dides seemed as nothing to men who the two opposite sides of it; and we lived in an age so remote. The distance are inclined to think that this opinion of time produced an error similar to that is just. Sometimes, it is true, superior which is sometimes produced by dis- eloquence and dexterity will make the tance of place. There are many good worse appear the better reason; but it ladies who think that all the people in is at least certain that the judge will India live together, and who charge a friend setting out for Calcutta with kind messages to Bombay. To Rollin and tain that no important consideration Barthelemi, in the same manner, all will altogether escape notice. the classics were contemporaries.

Mr. Mitford certainly introduced men who wrote in Greek and Latin sometimes told lies; he showed us that ancient history might be related in such a manner as to furnish not only allusions to schoolboys, but important lessons to statesmen. From that love of theatrical effect and high-flown sen timent which had poisoned almost every other work on the same subject his book is perfectly free. But his passion for a theory as false, and far more ungenerous, led him substantially to violate truth in every page. Statements unfavourable to democracy are made with unhesitating confidence, and with the utmost bitterness of language. Every charge brought against a monarch or an aristocracy is sifted with the utmost care. If it cannot be denied, some palliating supposition is suggested; or we are at least reminded that some circumstances now unknown may have justified what at present appears unjustinable. Two events are reported by the same author in the same sentence; their truth rests on the same testimony; but the one supports the darling hypothesis, and the other seems inconsistent with it. The one is taken and the other is left.

The practice of distorting narrative into a conformity with theory is a vice

cient historians as equally authentic. may appear to the interests of political be compelled to contemplate the case under two different aspects. It is cer-

This is at present the state of history. The poet laureate appears for the great improvements; he showed us that Church of England, Lingard for the Brodie has moved Church of Rome. to set aside the verdicts obtained by Hume; and the cause in which Mitford succeeded is, we understand, about to be reheard. In the midst of these disputes, however, history proper, if we may use the term, is disappearing. The high, grave, impartial summing up of Thucydides is nowhere to be found.

While our historians are practising all the arts of controversy, they miserably neglect the art of narration, the art of interesting the affections and presenting pictures to the imagination. That a writer may produce these effects without violating truth is sufficiently proved by many excellent biographical works. The immense popularity which well-written books of this kind have acquired deserves the serious consideration of historians. Voltaire's Charles the Twelfth, Marmontel's Memoirs, Boswell's Life of Johnson, Southey's account of Nelson, are perused with delight by the most frivolous and indolent. Whenever any tolerable book of the same description makes its appearance, the circulating libraries are mobbed; the book societies are in commotion; the new novel lies uncut; the magazines and newspapers fill their columns with extracts. In the meannot so unfavourable as at first sight it lime histories of great empires, written

on the shelves of ostentatious libraries.

The writers of history seem to entertain an aristocratical contempt for the writers of memoirs. They think beneath the dignity of men who describe the revolutions of nations to dwell on the details which constitute the charm of biography. They have imposed on themselves a code of conventional decencies as absurd as that which has been the bane of the French drama. The most characteristic and interesting down, because, as we are told, they are too trivial for the majesty of history. The majesty of history seems to resemble the majesty of the poor King of Spain, who died a martyr to ceremony at hand to render him assistance.

if this etiquette were relaxed will, we suppose, be acknowledged. But would it be less dignified or less useful? What do we mean when we say that one past event is important and another insignificant? No past event has any intrinsic importance. The knowledge of it is valuable only as it leads us to form just calculations with respect to at ten thousand firesides. the future. A history which does not serve this purpose, though it may be filled with battles, treaties, and commotions, is as useless as the series of flows. turnpike tickets collected by Sir Matthew Mite.

Let us suppose that Lord Clarendon, instead of filling hundreds of folio pages with copies of state papers, in which the same assertions and contradictions are repeated till the reader is overpowered with weariness, had condescended to be the Boswell of the Long Parliament. Let us suppose that he had exhibited to us the wise and lofty self-government of Hampden, leading while he seemed to follow, and propounding unanswerable arguments in the strongest forms with the modest air of an inquirer anxious for information; the delusions which misled the noble spirit of Vane; the coarse fanaticism which concealed the yet loftier genius of Cromwell, destined to control of the mighty and various organisaa mutinous army and a factious people.

by men of eminent ability, lie unread to abase the flag of Holland, to arrest the victorious arms of Sweden, and to hold the balince firm between the rival monarchies of France and Spain. Let us suppose that he had made his Cavaliers and Roundheads talk in their own style; that he had reported some of the ribaldry of Rupert's pages, and some of the cant of Harrison and Fleetwood. Would not his work in that case have been more interesting? Would it not have been more accurate?

A history in which every particular circumstances are omitted or softened incident may be true may on the whole be false. The circumstances which have most influence on the happiness of mankind, the changes of manners and morals, the transition of communities from poverty to wealth, because the proper dignitaries were not from knowledge to ignorance, from ferocity to humanity—these are, for That history would be more amusing the most part, noiseless revolutions. Their progress is rarely indicated by what historians are pleased to call important events. They are not achieved by armies, or enacted by senates. They are sanctioned by no treaties, and recorded in no archives. are carried on in every school, in every hurch, behind ten thousand counters, The upper current of society presents no certain criterion by which we can judge of the direction in which the under current We read of defeats and victories. But we know that nations may be miserable amidst victories and prosperous amidst defeats. We read of the fall of wise ministers and of the rise of profligate favourites. But we must remember how small a proporon the good or evil effected by a single statesman can bear to the good or evil of a great social system.

Bishop Watson compares a geologist o a gnat mounted on an elephant, and aying down theories as to the whole nternal structure of the vast animal, rom the phenomena of the hide. The comparison is unjust to the geologists; ut it is very applicable to those histoians who write as if the body politic were homogeneous, who look only on he surface of affair, and never think ion which lies deep below.

In the works of such writers as these, England, at the close of the Seven Years' War, is if the highes state of prosperity: at the close of the American war she is in a miscrable and degraded condition; as if the people were not on the whole as rich. as well governed, and as well educated at the latter period as at the former. We have read books called Histories of England, under the reign of George the Second, in which the rise of Me thodism is not even mentioned. hundred years hence this breed of authors will, we hope, be extinct. it should still exist, the late ministerial interregnum will be described in terms which will seem to imply that all government was at an end; that the social contract was annulled; and that the hand of every man was against his neighbour, until the wisdom and virtue of the new cabinet educed order out of the chaos of anarchy. We are quite certain that misconceptions as gross prevail at this moment respecting many important parts of our annals.

The effect of historical reading analogous, in many respects, to that produced by foreign travel. The student, like the tourist, is transported into a new state of society.

new fashions. He hears new modes of expression. His mind is enlarged by contemplating the wide diversities of laws, of morals, and of manners. But men may travel far, and return with minds as contracted as if they had never stirred from their own market-town. In the same manner, men may know the dates of many battles and the genealogies of many royal houses, and yet be no wiser. Most people look at past times as princes look at foreign countries. More than one illustrious stranger has landed on our island amidst the shouts of a mob, has dined with the king, has hunted with the master of the staghounds, has seen the guards reviewed. and a knight of the garter installed. has cantered along Regent Street, has visited St. Paul's, and noted down its He has, in fact, seen a few public tion of laws, of religion, and of education,

buildings, public men, and public ceremonics. But of the vast and complex system of society, of the fine shades of national character, of the practical operation of government and laws, he knows nothing. He who would understand these things rightly must not confine his observations to palaces and solemn days. He must see ordinary men as they appear in their ordinary business and in their ordinary pleasures. He must mingle in the crowds of the exchange and the coffee-house. He must obtain admittance to the convivial table and the domestic hearth. He must bear with vulgar expressions. He must not shrink from exploring even the retreats of misery. He who wishes to understand the condition of mankind in former ages must proceed on the same principle. If he attends only to public transactions, to wars, congresses, and debates, his studies will be as unprofitable as the travels of those imperial, royal, and serene sovereigns who form their judgment of our island from having gone in state to a few fine sights, and from having held formal conferences with a few great officers.

The perfect historian is he in whose work the character and spirit of an ago is exhibited in miniature. He relates no fact, he attributes no expression to his characters, which is not authentiated by sufficient testimony. But, by udicious selection, rejection, and arrangement, he gives to truth those attractions which have been usurped by fiction. In his narrative a due subordination is observed: some transactions are prominent; others retire. But the scale on which he represents hem is increased or diminished, not according to the dignity of the perons concerned in them, but accordng to the degree in which they cluidate the condition of society and he nature of man. He shows us the ourt, the camp, and the senate. But ne shows us also the nation. He considers no anecdote, no peculiarity of manner, no familiar saying, as too indimensions; and has then departed, ignificant for his notice which is not thinking that he has seen England. oo insignificant to illustrate the operaand to mark the progress of the human throne of the legate, to the chimneyscribed, but will be made intimately himself. will be indicated, not merely by a few appropriate images presented in every line.

If a man, such as we are supposing, should write the history of England, he would assuredly not omit the battles, the sieges, the negotiations, the seditions, the ministerial changes. But with these he would intersperse the details which are the charm of historical romances. At Lincoln Cathedral there is a beautiful painted window, which was made by an apprentice out of the pieces of glass which had bee rejected by his master. It is so fa superior to every other in the church that, according to the tradition, the vanouished artist killed himself from mortification. Sir Walter Scott, in the same manner, has used those fragments of truth which historians have scorn fully thrown behind them in a manner has constructed out of their gleaning works which, even considered as histories, are scarcely less valuable than theirs. But a truly great historian would reclaim those materials which the novelist has appropriated. history of the government, and the history of the people, would be exhibited in that mode in which alone they can be exhibited justly, in inseparable conjunction and intermixture. We should not then have to look for the wars and votes of the Puritans in Clarendon, and for their phraseology in Old Mortality; for one half of King James in Hume, and for the other half in the Fortunes of Nigel.

The early part of our imaginary history would be rich with colouring from romance, ballad, and chronicle. should find ourselves in the company of knights such as those of Froissart, and of pilgrims such as those who rode with Chaucer from the Tabard. Society would be shown from the highest to the lowest, -from the royal cloth of state to the den of the outlaw; from the

Men will not merely be de- corner where the begging friar regaled Palmers, minstrels, crusaknown to us. The changes of manners ders,—the stately monastery, with the good cheer in its refectory and the general phrases or a few extracts high-mass in its chapel,—the manor-from statistical documents, but by house, with its hunting and hawking, the tournament, with the heralds and ladies, the trumpets and the cloth of gold, -would give truth and life to the representation. We should perceive, in a thousand slight touches, the importance of the privileged burgher, and the fierce and haughty spirit which swelled under the collar of the degraded villain. The revival of letters would not merely be described in a few magnificent periods. We should discern, in innumerable particulars, the fermentation of mind, the eager appetite for knowledge, which distinguished the sixteenth from the fifteenth century. In the Reformation we should see, not merely a schism which changed the ecclesiastical constitution of England and the mutual relations of the European powers, but a moral war which raged in every family, which set the which may well excite their envy. He father against the son, and the son against the father, the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the mother. Henry would be painted with the skill of Tacitus. We should have the change of his character from The his profuse and joyous youth to his savage and imperious old age. We should perceive the gradual progress of selfish and tyrannical passions in a mind not naturally insensible or unenerous; and to the last we should detect some remains of that open and noble temper which endeared him to a people whom he oppressed, struggling with the hardness of despotism and he irritability of disease. We should ce Elizabeth in all her weakness nd in all her strength, surrounded y the handsome favourites whom she ever trusted, and the wise old statesmen whom she never dismissed, uniing in herself the most contradictory ualities of both her parents, - the cojustry, the caprice, the petty malice of Anne, -the haughty and resolute spirit

Henry. We have no hesitation saying that a great artist might

woman at least as striking as that in the novel of Kenilworth, without employing a single trait not suthenticated by ample testimony. In the meantime, we should see arts cultivated, wealth accumulated, the conveniences of life improved. We should see the keeps. where nobles, insecure themselves, spread insecurity around them, gradually giving place to the halls of peaceful opulence, to the oriels of Longleat, and the stately pinnacles of Burleigh. We should see towns extended. deserts cultivated, the hamlets of fishermen turned into wealthy havens, the' meal of the peasant improved, and his hut more commodiously furnished. We should see those opinions and feelings which produced the great struggle against the house of Stuart slowly growing up in the bosom of private families, before they manifested themselves in parliamentary debates. Then would come the civil war. Those skirmishes on which Clarendon dwell so minutely would be told, as Thucy dides would have told them, with perspicuous conciseness. They are merely connecting links. But the great characteristics of the age, the loyal enthusiasm of the brave English gentry, the fierce licentiousness of the swearing, dicing, drunken reprobates, whose excesses disgraced the royal cause,—the austerity of the Presbyterian Sabbaths in the city, the extravagance of the independent preachers in the camp, the precise garb, the severe countenance, the petty scruples, the affected accent, the absurd names and phrases which marked the Puritans, - the valour, the policy, the public spirit, which lurked beneath these ungraceful disguises,—the dreams of the raving Fifth-monarchy-man, the dreams, scarcely less wild, of the philosophic republican,—all these would enter into the representation, and render it at once more exact and more striking.

The instruction derived from history

produce a portrait of this remarkable; thus written would be of a vivid and practical character. It would be received by the imagination as well as by the reason. It would be not merely traced on the mind, but branded into it. Many truths, too, would be learned, which can be learned in no other As the history of states manner. is generally written, the greatest and most momentous revolutions seem to come upon them like supernatural inflictions, without warning or cause. But the fact is, that such revolutions are almost always the consequences of moral changes, which have gradually passed on the mass of the community, and which originally proceed far before their progress is indicated by any pub-An intimate knowledge lic measure. of the domestic history of nations is therefore absolutely necessary to the prognosis of political events. A narrative, defective in this respect, is as useless as a medical treatise which should pass by all the symptoms attendant on the early stage of a discase and mention only what occurs when the patient is beyond the reach of remedies.

A historian, such as we have been attempting to describe, would indeed be an intellectual prodigy. mind, powers scarcely compatible with each other must be tempered into an exquisite harmony. We shall sooner see another Shakspeare or another The highest excellence to which any single faculty can be brought would be less surprising than such a happy and delicate combination of qualities. Yet the contemplation of imaginary models is not an unpleasant or useless employment of the mind. It cannot indeed produce perfection; but it produces improvement, and nourishes that generous and liberal fastidiousness which is not inconsistent with the strongest sensibility to merit, and which, while it exalts our conceptions of the art, does not render us unjust to the artist.

## MILL ON GOVERNMENT.

(MARCH 1829.)

Essays on Government, Jurisprudence, the Liberty of the Press, Prisons and Prison Description, Colonies, the Law of Nations, and Education. By JAMES MILL, Esq. author of the Wistery of Buttish India. the History of British India. Reprinted by permission from the Supplement to the Encyclopædia Britannica. (Not for sale. Loudon, 1828.

Or those philosophers who call themselves Utilitarians, and whom others generally call Benthamites, Mr. Mill is, with the exception of the illustrious founder of the sect, by far the most distinguished. The little work now before us contains a summary of the opinions held by this gentleman and his brethren on several subjects mos important to society. All the seven essays of which it consists abound i curious matter. But at present we intend to confine our remarks to th Treatise on Government, which stands first in the volume. On some future occasion, we may perhaps attempt to do justice to the rest.

It must be owned that to do justice to any composition of Mr. Mill is not, in the opinion of his admirers, a very easv task. They do not, indeed, place him in the same rank with Mr. Bentham; but the terms in which they extol the disciple, though feeble when compared with the hyperboles of adoration employed by them in speaking of the master, are as strong as any sober man would allow himself to use concerning Locke or Bacon. The cssay. before us is perhaps the most remarkable of the works to which Mr. Mill owes his fame. By the members of his sect, it is considered as perfect and unanswerable. Every part of it is an article of their faith; and the damnatory clauses, in which their creed abounds far beyond any theological symbol with which we are acquainted, are strong results all who reject any and full portion of at is so irrefragably established. man, they maintain, who has understanding sufficient to carry him through the first proposition of Euclid, can read this master-piece of demonstration and honestly declare that he remains unconvinced.

We have formed a very different opinion of this work. We think that the theory of Mr. Mill rests altogether on false principles, and that even on those false principles he does not reason logically. Nevertheless, we do not think it strange that his speculations should have filled the Utilitarians with admiration. We have been for some time past inclined to suspect that these people, whom some regard as the lights of the world and others as incarnate demons, are in general ordinary men. with narrow understandings and little information. The contempt which they express for elegant literature is evidently the contempt of ignorance. We apprehend that many of them are persons who, having read little or nothing, are delighted to be rescued from the sense of their own inferiority by some teacher who assures them that the studies which they have neglected are of no value, puts five or six phrases into their mouths, lends them an odd number of the Westminster Review, and in a month transforms them into philosophers. Mingled with these smatterers, whose attainments just suffice to elevate them from the insignificance of dunces to the dignity of bores, and to spread dismay among their pious aunts and grandmothers, there are, we well know, many well-meaning men who have really read and thought much; but whose reading and meditation have been almost exclusively confined to one class of subjects; and who, consequently, though they possess much valuable knowledge respecting those subjects, are by no means so well quaified to judge of a great system as if hey had taken a more enlarged view of literature and society.

Nothing is more amusing or instrucive than to observe the manner in which people who think themselves wiser than all the rest of the world fall nto snares which the simple good sense of their neighbours detects and avoids. t is one of the principal tenets of the Utilitarians that sentiment and elouence serve only to impede the puruit of trath. They therefore affect a quakerly plainness, or rather a cynical negligence and impurity, of style. The

strongest arguments, when clothed in actually existed among men. Certain brilliant language, seem to them so much wordy nonsense. In the mean time they surrender their understand ings, with a facility found in no other party, to the meanest and most abject sophisms, provided those sophisms come before them disguised with the externals of demonstration. They do not seem to know that logic has its illusions as well as rhetoric,—that a fallacy may lurk in a syllogismas well as in a metaphor.

Mr. Mill is exactly the writer to pleas people of this description. His arguments are stated with the utmost affectation of precision; his divisions are awfully formal; and his style is generally as dry as that of Euclid's Elements. permitted to doubt. Thus much is certain: that the ages in which the true principles of philosophy were least understood were those in which the ceremonial of logic was most strictly observed, and that the time from which we date the rapid progress of the experimental sciences was also the time

writing came into use.

The style which the Utilitarians admire suits only those subjects on which grew up with the verbal sophistry which flourished during the dark ages. With that sophistry it fell before the Baconian philosopher in the day of the great deliverance of the human mind. The inductive method not only endured but required greater freedom of diction. It was impossible to reason from phenomena up to principles, to mark reference to some hypothesis. slight shades of difference in quality, or to estimate the comparative effect of two opposite considerations between which there was no common measure, by means of the naked and meagre jargon of the schoolmen. Of those schoolmen Mr. Mill has inherited both the spirit and the style. He is an Aristotelian of the fifteenth century, born out of due season. We have here an elaborate treatise on Government, from which, but for two or three passing allusions, it would not appear that the author was aware that any governments

propensities of human nature are assumed; and from these premises the whole science of politics is synthetically deduced! We can scarcely persuade ourselves that we are not reading a book written before the time of Bacon and Galileo,—a book written in those days in which physicians reasoned from the nature of heat to the treatment of fever, and astronomers proved syllogistically that the planets could have no independent motion, - because the heavens were incorruptible, and nature abhorred a vacuum!

The reason, too, which Mr. Mill has assigned for taking this course strikes

us as most extraordinary.

"Experience," says he, "if we look Whether this be a merit, we must be only at the outside of the facts, appears to be divided on this subject. Absolute monarchy, under Neros and Caligulas, under such men as the Emperors of Morocco and sultans of Turkey, is the scourge of human nature. On the other side, the people of Denmark, tired out with the oppression of an aristocracy, resolved that their king at which a less exact and formal way of should be absolute; and, under their absolute monarch, are as well governed as any people in Europe."

This Mr. Mill actually gives as a it is possible to reason a priori. It reason for pursuing the a priori method. But, in our judgment, the very circumstances which he mentions irresistibly prove that the a priori method is altogether unfit for investigations of this kind, and that the only way to arrive at the truth is by induction. Experience can never be divided, or even appear to be divided, except with we say that one fact is inconsistent with another fact, we mean only that it is nconsistent with the theory which we have founded on that other fact. But, if the fact be certain, the unavoidable onclusion is that our theory is false; and, in order to correct it, we must reason back from an enlarged collection of facts to principles.

Now here we have two governments which, by Mr. Mill's own account, come inder the same head in his theoretical lassification. It is evident, therefore, hat, by reasoning on that theoretical

before required. This, however, i what Mr. Mill demands of us. He seems to think that, if all despots, without exception, governed ill, it would be unnecessary to prove, by a synthetical argument, what would then be sufficiently clear from experiperverse as to govern well, he finds himself compelled to prove the impossibility of their governing well by that contradicted it. He reasons a priori, because the phenomena are not what, them to be. In other words, he reasons a priori, because, by so reasoning, clusion!

In the course of the examination to which we propose to subject the speabove quoted indicates.

exists in the minds of most men is vague neatness. and undistinguishing. He first assumes, justly enough, that the end of govern- Mill proceeds to consider the means. the pains, which men derive from each trusted with power. This is Governgreat form, that "the greatest possible those to whom the necessary power is happiness of society is attained by in- ntrusted to be prevented from abusing suring to every man the greatest pos- t? sible quantity of the produce of hig

classification, we shall be brought to the end of government. It is remarkthe conclusion that these two forms of able that Mr. Mill, with all his affected government must produce the same display of precision, has here given a But Mr. Mill himself tells us description of the ends of government that they do not produce the same far less precise than that which is in effects. Hence he infers that the only the mouths of the vulgar. The first way to get at truth is to place implicit man with whom Mr. Mill may travel confidence in that chain of proof a priori in a stage coach will tell him that gofrom which it appears that they must vernment exists for the protection of produce the same effects! To believe the persons and property of men. But at once in a theory and in a fact which Mr. Mill seems to think that the precontradicts it is an exercise of faith servation of property is the first and sufficiently hard: but to believe in a only object. It is true, doubtless, that theory because a fact contradicts it is many of the injuries which are offered what neither philosopher nor pope ever to the persons of men proceed from a desire to possess their property. But the practice of vindictive assassination as it has existed in some parts of Europe—the practice of fighting wanton and sanguinary duels, like those of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, in which bands of seconds risked their ence. But, as some despots will be so lives as well as the principals:—these practices, and many others which might be named, are evidently injurious to society; and we do not see how a gosynthetical argument which would vernment which tolerated them could have been superfluous had not the facts be said "to diminish to the utmost the pains which men derive from each other." Therefore, according to Mr. by reasoning a priori, he will prove Mill's very correct assumption, such a government would not perfectly accomplish the end of its institution. Yet he is certain to arrive at a false con- such a government might, as far as we can perceive, "insure to every man the greatest possible quantity of the produce of his labour." Therefore such a goculations of Mr. Mill we shall have to vernment might, according to Mr. Mill's notice many other curious instances subsequent doctrine, perfectly accomof that turn of mind which the passage plish the end of its institution. The matter is not of much consequence, ex-The first chapter of his Essay relates cept as an instance of that slovenliness to the ends of government. The con- of thinking which is often concealed ception on this subject, he tells us, which beneath a peculiar ostentation of logical

Having determined the ends, Mr. ment is "to increase to the utmost the For the preservation of property some pleasures, and diminish to the utmost portion of the community must be inother." He then proceeds to show, with ment; and the question is, how are

Mr. Mill first passes in review the labour." To effect this is, in his opinion, simple forms of government. He allows physically impossible, that the whole community should meet in a mass; it follows, therefore, that the powers of government cannot be directly exercised by the people. But he sees no objection to pure and direct Democracy, except the difficulty which we have mentioned.

"The community," says he, "cannot have an interest opposite to its interests. To affirm this would be a contradiction in terms. The community within itself, and with respect to itself, can have no sinister interest. One community may intend the evil of another; never its own. This is an indubitable proposition, and one of great importance."

Mr. Mill then proceeds to demonstrate that a purely aristocratical form of government is necessarily bad.

"The reason for which government exists is, that one man, if stronger than another, will take from him whatever that other pos-sesses and he desires. But if one man will do this, so will several. And if powers are put into the hands of a comparatively small number, called an aristocracy, -- powers which make them stronger than the rest of the community, they will take from the rest of the community as much as they please of the objects of desire. They will thus defeat the very end for which government was instituted. The unfitness, therefore, of an aristocracy to be intrusted with the powers of government, rests on demonstration."

In exactly the same manner Mr. Mill proves absolute monarchy to be a bad form of government.

" If government is founded upon this as a law of human nature, that a man, if able, will take from others any thing which they have and he desires, it is sufficiently evident, that when a man is called a king he does not change his nature; so that when he has got power to enable him to take from every man what he pleases, he will take whatever he pleases. To pleases, he will take whatever he pleases. suppose that he will not, is to affirm that

vernment is unnecessary, and that human ings will abstain from injuring one another

of their own accord.
"It is very evident that this reasoning extends to every modification of the smaller number. Whenever the powers of government are placed in any hands other than those of community, whether those of one man, of

or of several, those principles of human which imply that government is at all ry, imply that those remons will make use of them to defeat the very end for which government exists."

that it would be inconvenient, if not with the objects of their desires, and may then protect the community in the enjoyment of the rest? Mr. Mill answers in the negative. He proves, with great pomp, that every man desires to have the actions of every other correspondent to his will. Others can be induced to conform to our will only by motives derived from pleasure or from pain. The infliction of pain is of course direct injury; and, even if it take the milder course, in order to produce obedience by motives derived from pleasure, the government must confer favours. But, as there is no limit to its desire of obedience, there will be no limit to its disposition to confer favours; and, as it can confer favours only by plundering the people, there will be no limit to its disposition to plunder the people. It is therefore not true that there is in the mind of a king, or in the minds of an aristocracy, any point of saturation with the objects of desire.

Mr. Mill then proceeds to show that, as monarchical and oligarchical governments can influence men by motives drawn from pain, as well as by motives drawn from pleasure, they will carry their cruelty, as well as their rapacity, to a frightful extent, As he seems greatly to admire his own reasonings on this subject, we think it but fair to let him speak for himself.

"The chain of inference in this case is close and strong to a most unusual degree. A man desires that the actions of other men shall be instantly and accurately correspondent to his will. He desires that the actions of the greatest possible number shall be so. Terror is the grand instrument. Terror can work only through assurance that evil will follow any failure of conformity between the will and the actions willed. Every failure must thereforc be punished. As there are no bounds to the mind's desire of its pleasure, there are, of course, no bounds to its desire of perfection in the instruments of that pleasure. therefore, no bounds to its desire of exactness in the conformity between its will and the actions wiled; and by consequence to the strength of that terror which is its procuring cause. Every the most minute failure must be visited with the beaviest infliction; and as failure in extreme exactness must frequently happen, the occasions of cruelty must be in-

cessant. "We have thus arrived at several conclusions of the highest possible importance. But is it not possible that a king or when the principle of human hattre, upon which the necessity of government is founded, the propensity of one man to

possess himself of the objects of desire at the cost of another, leads on, by infallible sequence, where power over a community is attained, and nothing checks, not only to that degree of plander which leaves the members (excepting always the recipients and instruments of the plunder) the bare means of sub-iscence, but to that degree of cruelty which is sary to keep in existence the most inten : terrors.

Now, no man who has the least knowledge of the real state of the world, either in former ages or at the present moment, can possibly be con-· vinced, though he may perhaps be bewildered, by arguments like these. hundreds of absolute princes have reigned in Europe. Is it true, that their cruelty has kept in existence the most intense degree of terror; that their rapacity has left no more than the bare means of subsistence to any of their subjects, their ministers and solthem? Of one half of them? Of one tenth part of them? Of a single one? Is it true, in the full extent, even of Philip the Second, of Louis the Fifteenth, or of the Emperor Paul? But it is searcely necessary to quote history. No man of common sense, however ignorant he may be of books, can be imposed on by Mr. Mill's arguinnumerable facts which contradict it. out its fallacy, and happily the fallacy is not very recondite.

We grant that rulers will take as much as they can of the objects of their desires; and that, when the agency of other men is necessary to that end, they will attempt by all what are the objects of human desire? tentation, or to the affections. How his Treatise on Jurisprudence. small a portion of the income of a gentleman in easy circumstances is laid derived from the unfavourable sentiments of

out merely in giving pleasurable sensations to the body of the possessor! The greater part even of what is spent on his kitchen and his cellar goes, not to titillate his palate, but to keep up his character for hospitality, to save him from the reproach of meanness in housekeeping, and to ement the ties of good neighbourhood. It is clear that a king or an aristocracy may be supplied to satiety with mere corporal pleasures, at an expense which the rudest and poorest community would searcely feel.

Those tastes and propensities which During the last two centuries, some belong to us as reasoning and imaginative beings are not indeed so easily gratified. There is, we admit, no point of saturation with objects of desire which come under this head. therefore the argument of Mr. Mill will be just, unless there be something in the nature of the objects of desire diers excepted? Is this true of all of themselves which is inconsistent with it. Now, of these objects there is none which men in general seem to desire more than the good opinion of others. The hatred and contempt of the public are generally felt to be intolerable. It is probable that our regard for the sentiments of our fellow-creatures springs, by association, from a sense of their ability to hurt or to serve us. But, be ment; because no man of common this as it may, it is notorious that, sense can live among his fellow- when the habit of mind of which we creatures for a day without seeing speak has once been formed, men feel extremely solicitous about the opinions It is our business, however, to point of those by whom it is most improbable, nay, absolutely impossible, that they should ever be in the slightest degree The desire of injured or benefited. posthumous fame and the dread of posthumous reproach and execration are feelings from the influence of which scarcely any man is perfectly free, and means in their power to enforce the which in many men are powerful and prompt obedience of such men. But constant motives of action. As we are afraid that, if we handle this part of Physical pleasure, no doubt, in part. the argument after our own manner, we But the mere appetites which we have shall incur the repreach of sentimenin common with the animals would be tality, a word which, in the sacred gratified almost as cheaply and easily language of the Benthamites, is synoas those of the animals are gratified, nymous with idiocy, we will quote what if nothing were given to taste, to os- Mr. Mill himself says on the subject, in

" Pains from the moral source are the pains

These pains are capable of rising to a height with which hardly any other pains incident to our nature can be compared. There is a certain degree of unfavourableness in the sentiments of his fellow-creatures, under which hardly any man. not below the standard of humanity, can endure to live.

The importance of this powerful agency, for the prevention of injurious acts, is too obvious to need to be illustrated. If sufficiently at command, it would almost supersede the

use of other means. .

" To know how to direct the unfavourable sentiments of mankind, it is necessary to know in as complete, that is, in as comprehensive, a way as possible, what it is which gives them birth. Without entering into the metaphysics of the question, it is a sufficient practical answer for the present purpose, to say that the unfavourable sentiments of man are excited by every thing which hurts them."

It is strange that a writer who considers the pain derived from the unfavourable sentiments of others as so acute that, if sufficiently at command, it would supersede the use of the gallows and the tread-mill, should take no notice of this most important restraint when discussing the question of government. We will attempt to deduce a theory of politics in the mathematical form, in which Mr. Mill delights, from the premises with which he has himself furnished us.

## Proposition I. Theorem.

No rulers will do anything which

may hurt the people.

This is the thesis to be maintained; and the following we humbly offer to Mr. Mill, as its syllogistic demonstra-

No rulers will do that which pro-

duces pain to themselves.

But the unfavourable sentiments of the people will give pain to them.

Therefore no rulers will do anything which may excite the unfavourable

sentiments of the people.

But the unfavourable sentiments of the people are excited by every thing which hurts them.

Therefore no rulers will do anything which may hurt the people. Which

was the thing to be proved.

successfully imitated Mr. Mill's logic, to do not see why we should not imitate, what is at least equally perfect in by the love of approbation. Now, it

its kind, his self-complacency, and proclaim our Εύρηκα in his own words: "The chain of inference, in this case, is close and strong to a most unusual degree."

The fact is, that, when men, in treating of things which cannot be circumscribed by precise definitions, adopt this mode of reasoning, when once they begin to talk of power, happiness, misery, pain, pleasure, motives, objects of desire, as they talk of lines and numbers, there is no end to the contradictions and absurdities into There is no proposiwhich they fall. tion so monstrously untrue in morals or politics that we will not undertake to prove it, by something which shall sound like a logical demonstration, from admitted principles.

Mr. Mill argues that, if men are not inclined to plunder each other, government is unnecessary; and that, if they are so inclined, the powers of government, when entrusted to a small number of them, will necessarily be abused.

Surely it is not by propounding dilemmas of this sort that we are likely to arrive at sound conclusions in any moral science. The whole question is a question of degree. If all men preferred the moderate approbation of their neighbours to any degree of

wealth or grandeur, or sensual pleasure, government would be unnecessary. If all men desired wealth so

the hatred of their fellow-creatures for sixpence, Mr. Mill's argument against monarchies and aristocracies would be true to the full extent. But the fact is, that all men have some desires which impel them to injure their neighbours, and some desires which impel them to benefit their neighbours. Now, if there were a community consisting of two classes of men, one of which should be principally influenced by the one set of motives and the other by the other, government would clearly be necessary to restrain the class which was eager for plunder and careless of Having thus, as we think, not un- reputation: and yet the powers of government might be safely intrusted to the class which was chiefly actuated

might with no small plausibility be there are two classes which, in some degree, answer to this description; that the poor compose the class which government is established to restrain. and the people of some property the class to which the powers of government may without danger be confided. It might be said that a man who can barely earn a livelihood by severe labour is under stronger temptations to pillage others than a man who enjoys a man who is lost in the crowd is less likely to have the fear of public opinion before his eyes than a man whose station and mode of living render him conspicuous. We do not assert all We only say that it was Mr. Mill's business to prove the contrary; and that, not having proved the contrary, he is not entitled to say, "that those principles which imply that government is at all necessary, imply that an aristocracy will make use of its power to defeat the end for which governments exist." This is not true, unless it be true that a rich man is as likely to covet the goods of his neighbours as a poor man, and that a poor man is as likely to be solicitous about the opinions of his neighbours as a rich man.

But we do not see that, by reasoning a priori on such subjects as these, it is possible to advance one single step. We know that every man has some desires which he can gratify only by hurting his neighbours, and some which he can gratify only by pleasing them. Mr. Mill has chosen to look only at onehalf of human nature, and to reason on the motives which impel men to oppress and despoil others, as if they were the only motives by which men could possibly be influenced. We have already shown that, by taking the other half of the human character, and reasoning on it as if it were the whole, we can bring out a result diametrically opposite to that at which Mr. Mill has ar-We can, by such a process, easily prove that any form of government is good, or that all government is concatenation of causes and effects in this superfluous.

We must now accompany Mr. Mill maintained that, in many countries, on the next stage of his argument. Does any combination of the three simple forms, of government afford the requisite securities against the abuse of power? Mr. Mill complains that those who maintain the affirmative generally beg the question; and proceeds to settle the point by proving, after his fashion, that no combination of the three simple forms, or of any two of them, can possibly exist.

" From the principles which we have already many luxuries. It might be said that laid down it follows that, of the objects of human desire, and, speaking more definitely, of the means to the ends of human desire namely, wealth and power, each party will endeavour to obtain as much as possible.

"If any expedient presents itself to any of the supposed parties effectual to this end, and not opposed to any preferred object of pursuit, we may infer with certainty that it will be adopted. One effectual expedient is not more effectual than obvious. Any two of the parties, by combining, may swallow up the third. That such combination will take place appears to be as certain as any thing which depends upon human will; because there are strong motives in favour of it, and none that can be conceived in opposition to it. . . . The mixture of three of the kinds of government, it is thus evident, cannot possibly exist. . . . . It may be proper to inquire whether an union may not be possible of two of them. .

"Let us first suppose, that monarchy is united with aristocracy. Their power is equal r not equal. If it is not equal, it follows, as

a necessary consequence, from the principles hich we have already established, that the stronger will take from the weaker till it engrosses the whole. The only question there-fore is, What will happen when the power is equal?

"In the first place, it seems impossible that

such equality should ever exist. How is it to be established? or, by what criterion is it to be ascertained? If there is no such criterion, it must, in all cases, be the result of chance. If so, the chances against it are as infinity to one. The idea, therefore, is wholly chimerical and absurd. . . .

"In this doctrine of the mixture of the simple forms of government is included the celebrated theory of the balance among the omponent parts of a government. By this it is supposed that, when a government is composed of monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy, they balance one another, and by mutual checks produce good government. A few words will suffice to show that, if any thour descreep the pathod of the first part of the state of the sta theory deserves the epithets of 'wild, visionary, and chimerical,' it is that of the balance. If there are three powers, how is it possible to prevent two of them from combining to swallow up the third?

"The analysis which we have already performed will enable us to trace rapidly the imagined case.

"We have already seen that the interests of the community, considered in the aggregate, or in the democratical point of view, is, that each individual should receive protection; and that the power-which are constituted for that purpose should be employed exclusively for that purpose. . . We have also seen that the interest of the king and of the governing directly the reverse. It is to aristocracy have unlimited power over the rest of the community, and to use it for their own advantage. In the supposed case of the balance of the monarchical, aristocratical, and democratical powers, it cannot be for the interest of either the monarchy or the aristocracy to combine with the democracy; because it is the interest of the democracy, or community at large, that neither the king nor the aristocracy should have one particle of power, or one particle of the wealth of the community, for their own advantage.

"The democracy or community have all possible notives to endeavour to prevent the monarchy and aristocracy from exercising power, or obtaining the wealth of the community for their own advantage. monarchy and aristocracy have all possible motives for endeavouring to obtain unlimited power over the persons and property of the community. The consequence is inevitable: they have all possible motives for combining to obtain that power,"

If any part of this passage be more eminently absurd than another, it is, we think, the argument by which Mr. Mill proves that there cannot be an union of monarchy and aristocracy. Their power, he says, must be equal or not equal. But of equality there is no criterion. Therefore the chances against its existence are as infinity to one. the power be not equal, then it follows, from the principles of human nature, that the stronger will take from the weaker, till it has engrossed the whole.

Now, if there be no criterion of equality between two portions of power there can be no common measure of portions of power. Therefore it is utterly impossible to compare them together. But where two portions of power are of the same kind, there is no difficulty in aspurposes, whether they are equal or unequal. It is easy to judge whether two men run equally fast, or can lift equal Two arbitrators, whose joint France. whom can do any thing without the as-

If not, all Mr. Mill's equal power. political theories fall to the ground at once. For, if it be impossible to ascertain whether two portions of power are equal, he never can show that, even under a system of universal suffrage, a minority might not carry every thing their own way, against the wishes and interests of the majority.

Where there are two portions of power differing in kind, there is, we admit, no criterion of equality. But then, in such a case, it is absurd to talk, as Mr. Mill does, about the stronger and the Popularly, indeed, and with reference to some particular objects, these words may very fairly be used. But to use them mathematically is altogether improper. If we are speaking of a boxing-match, we may say that some famous bruiser has greater bodily power than any man in England. we are speaking of a pantomime, we may say the same of some very agile harlequin. But it would be talking nonsense to say, in general, that the power of Harlequin either exceeded that of the pugilist, or fell short of it.

If Mr. Mill's argument be good as between different branches of a legislature, it is equally good as between sovereign powers. Every government, it may be said, will, if it can, take the objects of its desires from every other. If the French government can subdue England it will do so. If the English government can subdue France it will do so. But the power of England and France is either equal or not equal. The chance that it is not exactly equal is as infinity to one, and may safely be left out of the account; and then the stronger will infallibly take from the weaker till the weaker is altogether enslaved.

Surely the answer to all this hubbub certaining, sufficiently for all practical of unmeaning words is the plainest possible. For some purposes France is stronger than England. For some purposes . England is stronger than For some, neither has any decision is to be final, and neither of power at all. France has the greater population, England the greater capital; sent of the other, possess equal power. France has the greater army, England. Two electors, each of whom has a vote the greater fleet. For an expedition to for a borough, possess, in that respect, Rio Janeiro or the Philippines, England

has the greater power. For a war on can create new peers, and can dissolve the Po or the Danube, France has the Parliaments. William sustained severe an elephant!

sophers of the sixteenth century who, eves and cars. The British constitution, according to Mr. Mill's classific being composed of hereditary nobles. and the other almost entirely chosen by a privileged class who possess the elective franchise on account of their property, or their connection with certain corporations. have been constantly encroaching. According to him, moreover, all the encroachments must have been on one side. For the first encroachment could only have been made by the stronger; and that first encroachment would have is, therefore, matter of absolute demonstration, that either the Parliament was stronger than the Crown in the reign stronger than the Parliament in 1641. 'Hippocrate dira ce que lui plaira,' says dispute. The King, on the other hand, general of their own, for example, may,

greater power. But neither has power mortifications from the House of Comsufficient to keep the other in quiet mons, and was indeed, unjustifiably opsubjection for a month. Invasion would pressed. Anne was desirous to change be very perilous; the idea of complete a ministry which had a majority in both conquest on either side utterly ridicu- Houses. She watched her moment for lous. This is the manly and sensible a dissolution, created twelve Tory peers, way of discussing such questions. The and succeeded. Thirty years later, the argo, or rather the argol, of Mr. Mill House of Commons drove Walpole from cannot impose on a child. Yet we his seat. In 1784, George III. was able ought scarcely to say this; for we re- to keep Mr. Pitt in office in the face of member to have heard a child ask a majority of the House of Commons. whether Bonaparte was stronger than In 1804, the apprehension of a defeat in Parliament compelled the same King Mr. Mill reminds us of those philo- to part from his most favoured minister. But, in 1807, he was able to do exactly having satisfied themselves a priori what Anne had done nearly a hundred that the rapidity with which bodies years before. Now, had the power of descended to the earth varied exactly the King increased during the interas their weights, refused to believe the vening century, or had it remained stacontrary on the evidence of their own tionary? Is it possible that the one lot among the infinite number should have fallen to us? If not, Mr. Mill has proved tion, is a mixture of monarchy and that one of the two parties must have aristocracy; one House of Parliament been constantly taking from the other. Many of the ablest men in England think that the influence of the Crown has, on the whole, increased since the reign of Anne. Others think that the Parliament has been growing in strength. Mr. Mill's argument But of this there is no doubt, that both proves that, from the time that these sides possessed great power then, and two powers were mingled in our govern- possess great power now. Surely, if ment, that is, from the very first dawn there were the least truth in the arguof our history, one or the other must ment of Mr. Mill, it could not possibly be a matter of doubt, at the end of a hundred and twenty years, whether the one side or the other had been the gainer,

But we ask pardon. We forgot that fact, irreconcilable with Mr. Mill's made the stronger stronger still. It theory, furnishes, in his opinion, the strongest reason for adhering to the theory. To take up the question in another manner, is it not plain that of Henry VIII., or that the Crown was there may be two bodies, each possessing a perfect and entire power, which annot be taken from it without its the girl in Moliere; 'mais le cocher own concurrence? What is the meanest mort. Mr. Mill may say what he ing of the words stronger and weaker, pleases; but the English constitution when applied to such bodies as these? is still alive. That since the Revolu- The one may, indeed, by physical force, tion the Parliament has possessed great altogether destroy the other. But this power in the state, is what nobody will is not the question. A third party, a

by physical force, subjugate them both. possible motives for combining against Nor is there any form of government, the people. If our readers will look Mr. Mill's utopian democracy not ex- back to the passage quoted above, they cepted, secure from such an occurrence, will see that we represent Mr. Mill's We are speaking of the powers with argument quite fairly. which the constitution invests the two sary to such encroachment?

against the third. ties, by combining, may swallow up the the combining to swallow up the third?" but that physical force from which no form of government is secure. Mill reminds us of the Irishman, who could not be brought to understand how one juryman could possibly starve out cleven others.

Now we should have thought that, branches of the legislature; and we without the help of either history or ask Mr. Mill how, on his own princi- experience, Mr. Mill would have disples, he can maintain that one of them covered, by the light of his own logic, will be able to encroach on the other, the fallacy which lurks, and indeed if the consent of the other be neces- scarcely lurks, ander this pretended demonstration. The interest of the Mr. Mill tells us that, if a govern- King may be opposed to that of the ment be composed of the three simple people. But is it identical with that forms, which he will not admit the of the aristocracy? In the very page British constitution to be, two of the which contains this argument, incomponent parts will inevitably join tended to prove that the King and Now, if two of the aristocracy will coalesce against them combine and act as one, this case the people, Mr. Mill attempts to show evidently resolves itself into the last; that there is so strong an opposition and all the observations which we have of interest between the King and the just made will fully apply to it. Mr. aristocracy that if the powers of go-Mill says, that "any two of the par- vernment are divided between them will inevitably usurp the third;" and afterwards asks, "How is power of the other. If so, he is not it possible to prevent two of them from entitled to conclude that they will combine to destroy the power of the Surely Mr. Mill must be aware that in people merely because their interests politics two is not always the double may be at variance with those of the of one. If the concurrence of all the people. He is bound to show, not three branches of the legislature be merely that in all communities the innecessary to every law, each branch terest of a king must be opposed to will possess constitutional power suffi- that of the people, but also that, in all cient to protect it against any thing communities, it must be more directly opposed to the interest of the people Mr. than to the interest of the aristocracy. But he has not shown this. Therefore he has not proved his proposition on his own principles. To quote history would be a mere waste of time. Every But is it certain that two of the schoolboy, whose studies have gone so branches of the legislature will com- far as the Abridgments of Goldsmith, bine against the third? "It appears can mention instances in which soveto be as certain," says Mr. Mill, "as reigns have allied themselves with the any thing which depends upon human people against the aristocracy, and in will; because there are strong motives which the nobles have allied themin favour of it, and none that can be selves with the people against the conceived in opposition to it." He sovereign. In general, when there are subsequently sets forth what these three parties, every one of which has motives are. The interest of the demuch to fear from the others, it is not mocracy is that each individual should found that two of them combine to receive protection. The interest of the plunder the third. If such a combi-King and the aristocracy is to have all nation be formed, it scarcely ever the power that they can obtain, and to effects its purpose. It soon becomes age it for their own ends. Therefore, evident which member of the coalition the King and the aristocracy have all is likely to be the greater gainer by

the transaction. He becomes an object probability, changes sides, and compels him to restore what he has taken. Everybody knows how Henry VIII. trimmed tween Francis and the Emperor Charles. But it is idle to cite examples of the operation of a principle which is illustrated in almost every page of history, ancient or modern, and to which almost every state in Europe has, at one time or another, been indebted for its independence.

Mr. Mill has now, as he conceives, demonstrated that the simple forms of government are bad, and that the mixed forms cannot possibly exist. There is still, however, it seems, a hope for mankind.

"In the grand discovery of modern times, the system of representation, the solution of all the difficulties, both speculative and practical, will perhaps be found. If it cannot, we seem to be forced upon the extraordinary conclusion, that good government is impossible. For, as there is no individual or combination of individuals, except the community itself, who would not have an interest in bad government if intrusted with its powers, and as the community itself is incapable of exercising these powers, and must intrust them to certain individuals, the conclusion is obvious: the community itself must check those individuals; else they will follow their interest, and produce bad government. But how is it the community can check? The community can act only when assembled; and when assembled, it is incapable of acting. The community, however, can choose represen-

The next question is - How must tuted? Mr. Mill lays down two prin- the consent of a convention, specially ciples, about which, he says, "it is elected for the purpose? unlikely that there will be any dispute."

have a degree of power sufficient for the business of checking."

"Secondly, It must have an identity of interest with the community. Otherwise, it will make a mischievous use of its power."

The first of these propositions certainly admits of no dispute. As to the second, we shall hereafter take occasion to make some remarks on the sense in which Mr. Mill understands the words 'interest of the community."

It does not appear very easy, on Mr. of jealousy to his ally, who, in all Mill's principles, to find out any mode of making the interest of the representative body identical with that of the constituent body. The plan proposed by Mr. Mill is simply that of very frequent election. "As it appears," says he, "that limiting the duration of their power is a security against the sinister interest of the people's representatives, so it appears that it is the only security of which the nature of the case admits." But all the arguments by which Mr. Mill has proved monarchy and aristocracy to be pernicious will. as it appears to us, equally prove this security to be no security at all. Is it not clear that the representatives, as soon as they are elected, are an aristocracy, with an interest opposed to the interest of the community? Why should they not pass a law for extending the term of their power from one year to ten years, or declare themselves senators for life? If the whole legislative power is given to them, they will be constitutionally competent to do this. If part of the legislative power is withheld from them, to whom is that part given? Is the people to retain it, and to express its assent or dissent in primary assemblies? Mr. Mill himself tells us that the community can only act when assembled, and that, when assembled, it is incapable of acting. Or is it to be provided, as in some of the American republics, that no change in the fundathe representative body be consti- mental laws shall be made without Still the difficulty recurs: Why may not the members of the convention betray First, The checking body must their trust, as well as the members of ordinary legislature? When pri-

vate men, they may have been zealous for the interests of the community. When candidates, they may have pledged themselves to the cause of the onstitution. But, as soon as they are a convention, as soon as they are searated from the people, as soon as the supreme power is put into their hands, commences that interest opposite to the interest of the community which must. according to Mr. Mill, produce measures opposite to the interests of the community. We must that if the community itself were the some other prop to carry the tortoise, would be the same." that carries the elephant, that carries the world.

danger in such a case. But there no danger only because there is 1 truth in Mr. Mill's principles. If me were what he represents them to be, the letter of the very constitution which he recommends would afford no safeguard against bad government. tors will be deterred by the fear of resistance and of infamy from acting in the manuer which we have described. But restraints, exactly the sam kind, and differing only in de monarchies and aristocracies on the that of their husbands." one side, and democracies on the other, their annual and biennial delegates.

body, and, indeed, merely a numerous are most deeply interested. aristocracy.

the community. It is very evident, of a Chinese the same with that of

find some other means, therefore, of choosing body, the interest of the comchecking this check upon a check; munity and that of the choosing body

On these grounds Mr. Mill recommends that all males of mature age, We know well that there is no real rich and poor, educated and ignorant, shall have votes. But why not the women tou? This question has often been asked in parliamentary debate, and has never, to our knowledge, received a plausible answer. Mr. Mill escapes from it as fast as he can. But we shall take the liberty to dwell a The real security is this, that legisla-little on the words of the oracle. "One thing," says he, "is pretty clear, that all those individuals whose interests are involved in those of other individuals, may be struck off without inconvenience. . . In this light exist in all forms of government, women may be regarded, the interest That broad line of distinction which of almost all of whom is involved Mr. Mill tries to point out between either in that of their fathers, or in

If we were to content ourselves with has in fact no existence. In no form saying, in answer to all the arguments of government is there an absolute in Mr. Mill's say, that the interest of identity of interest between the people a king is involved in that of the comand their rulers. In every form of go- munity, we should be accused, and vernment, the rulers stand in some awe justly, of talking nonsense. Yet such of the people. The fear of resistance an assertion would not, as far as we can and the sense of shame operate, in a perceive, be more unreasonable than certain degree, on the most absolute that which Mr. Mill has here ventured kings and the most illiberal oligarchies. to make. Without adducing one fact, And nothing but the fear of resistance without taking the trouble to perplex and the sense of shame preserves the the question by one sophism, he placidly freedom of the most democratic com- dogmatises away the interest of one munities from the encroachments of half of the human race. If there be a word of truth in history, women have We have seen how Mr. Mill proposes always been, and still are, over the to render the interest of the represen- greater part of the globe, humble comtative body identical with that of the panions, playthings, captives, menials, constituent body. The next question beasts of burden. Except in a few is, in what manner the interest of the happy and highly civilised communities, constituent body is to be rendered hey are strictly in a state of personal identical with that of the community. slavery. Even in those countries where Mr. Mill shows that a minority of the they are best treated, the laws are genecommunity, consisting even of many rally unfavourable to them, with respect thousands, would be a bad constituent to almost all the points in which they

Mr. Mill is not legislating for Eng-"The benefits of the representative land or the United States, but for mansystem," says he, "are lost, in all cases sind. Is then the interest of a Turk in which the interests of the choosing the same with that of the girls who body are not the same with those of compose his harem? Is the interest

the woman whom he harnesses to his plough? Is the interest of an Italian the same with that of the daughter whom he devotes to God? The interest o: a respectable Englishman may be said. without any impropriety, to be identica with that of his wife. But why is it so? Because human nature is not what Mr. Mill conceives it to be; because civi lised men, pursuing their own happiness in a social state, are not Yahoos fight ing for carrion; because there is a pleasure in being loved and esteemed as well as in being feared and servilely obeyed. Why does not a gentleman restrict his wife to the bare maintenance which the law would compel him to allow her, that he may have more to spend on his personal pleasures? Because, if he loves her, he has pleasure in seeing her pleased; and because, even if he dislikes her, he is unwilling that the whole neighbourhood should cry shame on his meanness and ill-Why does not the legislature, nature. altogether composed of males, pass a law to deprive women of all civil privileges whatever, and reduce them to the state of slaves? By passing such a law, they would gratify what Mr. Mill tells us is an inseparable part of human nature, the desire to possess unlimited power of inflicting pain upon That they do not pass such a law, though they have the power to pass it, and that no man in England wishes to see such a law passed, proves that the desire to possess unlimited power of inflicting pain is not inseparable from human nature.

If there be in this country an identity of interest between the two sexes, it cannot possibly arise from any thing but the pleasure of being loved, and of communicating happiness. For, that it does not spring from the mere instinct of sex, the treatment which women experience over the greater part of the world abundantly proves. And, if it be said that our laws of marriage have produced it, this only removes the argument a step further; for those laws have been made by males. Now, if the kind feelings of one half of the species be a sufficient security for the happiness of the other, why may not

the kind feelings of a monarch or an aristocracy be sufficient at least to prevent them from grinding the people to the very utmost of their power?

If Mr. Mill will examine why it is that women are better treated in England than in Persia, he may perhaps find out, in the course of his inquiries, why it is that the Danes are better governed than the subjects of Caligula.

We now come to the most important practical question in the whole essay. Is it desirable that all males arrived at years of discretion should vote for representatives, or should a pecuniary qualification be required? Mr. Mill's opinion is, that the lower the qualification the better; and that the best system is that in which there is none at all.

"The qualification," says he, "must either be such as to embrace the majority of the population, or something less than the majority. Suppose, in the first place, that it embraces the majority; the question is, whether the majority would have an interest in oppressing those who, upon this supposition, would be deprived of political power? If we reduce the calculation to its elements, we shall see that the interest which they would have of this deplorable kind, though it would be something, would not be very great. Each man of the majority, if the ma-jority were constituted the governing body, would have something less than the benefit of oppressing a single man. If the majority were twice as great as the minority, each man of the majority would only have one half the benefit of oppressing a single man..... Suppose, in the second place, that the quali-fication did not admit a body of electors so large as the majority, in that case taking again the calculation in its elements, we shall see that each man would have a benefit equal that derived from the oppression of more han one man; and that, in proportion as he elective body constituted a smaller and smaller minority, the benefit of misrule to he elective body would be increased, and bad government would be insured."

The first remark which we have to make on this argument is, that, by Mr. Mill's own account, even a government

which every human being should offe would still be defective. For, under a system of universal suffrage, he majority of the electors return the epresentative, and the majority of the epresentatives make the law. The hole people may vote, therefore; but may the mejority govern. So that, by Mr. Mill's own confession, the most

perfect system of government conceiv- overcome the desire of immediate acable is one in which the interest of the quisitions. ruling body to oppress, though not great,

is something.

But is Mr. Mill in the right when he says that such an interest could not be very great? We think not. If, indeed, every man in the community possessed vent crime. an equal share of what Mr. Mill calls But we s probably abstain from plundering the minority. A large minority would offer a vigorous resistance; and the property of a small minority would not repay the the trouble of dividing it. But it happens that in all civilised communities there is a small minority of rich men, and a great majority of poor men. If there were a thousand men with ten pounds apiece, it would not be worth while for nine hundred and ninety of them to rob ten, and it would be a bold attempt for six hundred of them to rob four hundred. But, if ten of them had a hundred thousand pounds apiece, the case would be very different. There would then be much to be got, and nothing to be feared.

"That one human being will desire to render the person and property of another subservient to his pleasures, code that all those arguments of which notwithstanding the pain or loss of pleasure which it may occasion to that other swerable, we might still deny the conindividual, is," according to Mr. Mill, "the foundation of government." That Even if we were to grant that he had the property of the rich minority can be made subservient to the pleasures of the poor majority will scarcely be denied. But Mr. Mill proposes to give the poor majority power over the rich minority. Is it possible to doubt to what, on his own principles, such an

arrangement must lead?

It may perhaps be said that, in the long run, it is for the interest of the of all succeeding generations. and that therefore they will respect it. this we are at a loss to conceive. We answer thus:—It cannot be preinterest of the people to plunder the In an axistocracy, says Mr. Mill, the rich. Therefore, even if it were quite few being invested with the powers of

Every individual might flatter himself that the punishment Mr. Mill himwould not fall on him self tells us, in his Essay on Jurisprudence, that no quantity of evil which is remote and uncertain will suffice to pre-

But we are rather inclined to think the objects of desire, the majority would that it would, on the whole, be for the interest of the majority to plunder the rich. If so, the Utilitarians will say, that the rich ought to be plundered. We deny the inference. For, in the other members of the community for first place, if the object of government be the greatest happiness of the greatest number, the intensity of the suffering which a measure inflicts must be taken into consideration, as well as the number of the sufferers. In the next place, we have to notice one most important distinction which Mr. Mill has altogether overlooked. Throughout his essay, he confounds the community with the species. He talks of the greatest happiness of the greatest number: but, when we examine his reasonings, we find that he thinks only of the greatest number of a single generation.

Therefore, even if we were to conwe have exposed the fallacy are unanlusion at which the essayist arrives. found out the form of government which is best for the majority of the people now living on the face of the earth, we might still without inconsistency maintain that form of government to be pernicious to mankind. It would still be incumbent on Mr. Mill to prove that the interest of every generation is identical with the interest people that property should be secure, how on his own principles he could do

The case, indeed, is strictly analogous tended that it is not for the immediate to that of an aristocratic government. certain that, in the long run, the people government, can take the objects of would, as a body, lose by doing so, it their desires from the people. In the would not necessarily follow that the same manner, every generation in turn fear of remote ill consequences would can gratify itself at the expense of pos-

terity,-priority of time, in the latter case, giving an advantage exactly corresponding to that which superiority o station gives in the former. That a aristocracy will abuse its advantage, is according to Mr. Mill, matter of demonstration. Is it not equally certain that the whole people will do the same that, if they have the power, they wil commit waste of every sort on the estate of mankind, and transmit it to posterity impoverished and desolated

How is it possible for any person whe holds the doctrines of Mr. Mill to doub that the rich, in a democracy such as that which he recommends, would be pillaged as unmercifully as under a Turkish Pacha? It is no doubt for the interest of the next generation, and it may be for the remote interest of the present generation, that property should be held sacred. And so no doubt it will be for the interest of th next Pacha, and even for that of the present Pacha, if he should hold office long, that the inhabitants of his Pachalik should be encouraged to accumulate wealth. Scarcely any despotic sovereign has plundered his subjects to a large extent without having reason before the end of his reign to regret it. Every body knows how bitterly Louis his life, lamented his former extravagance. If that magnificent prince had Versailles, and tens of millions on the aggrandisement of his grandson, he would not have been compelled at last to pay servile court to low-born moneylenders, to humble himself before men on whom, in the days of his pride, he would not have vouchsafed to look, for the means of supporting even his own Examples to the same household. effect might easily be multiplied. But despots, we see, do plunder their subjects, though history and experience tell them that, by prematurely exacting the means of profusion, they are in fact devouring the seed-corn from which the future harvest of revenue is to spring. Why then should we suppose that the people will be deterred from procuring immediate relief and enjoyment by the fear of distant calamities, of calamities which perhaps may not be fully felt till the times of their grand-children?

These conclusions are strictly drawn from Mr. Mill's own principles: and, unlike most of the conclusions which he has himself drawn from those principles, they are not as far as we know contradicted by facts. The case of the United States is not in point. In a country where the necessaries of life are cheap and the wages of labour high, where a man who has no capital but his legs and arms may expect to become rich by industry and frugality, it is not very decidedly even for the immediate advantage of the poor to plunder the rich; and the punishment of doing so would very speedily follow the offence. But in countries in which the great majority live from hand to mouth, and in which vast masses of wealth have been accumulated by a comparatively small number, the case is widely dif-The immediate want is, at ferent. particular seasons, craving, imperious, irresistible. In our own time it has steeled men to the fear of the gallows, and urged them on the point of the bayonet. And, if these men had at their command that gallows and those bayonets which now scarcely restrain the Fourteenth, towards the close of them, what is to be expected? Nor is this state of things one which can exist only under a bad government. If there not expended millions on Marli and be the least truth in the doctrines of he school to which Mr. Mill belongs. the increase of population will necessarily produce it everywhere. The increase of population is accelerated by good and cheap government. Therefore, the better the government, the reater is the inequality of conditions: nd the greater the inequality of conditions, the stronger are the motives which impel the populace to spoliation. As for America, we appeal to the twenieth century.

It is scarcely necessary to discuss he effects which a general spoliation f the rich would produce. It may ineed happen that, where a legal and political system full of abuses is inscarably bound up with the institution f property, a nation may gain by a ngle convulsion, in which both perish

of individuals will soor repair the devastation. Thus we entertain no doubt almost her whole capital would by this negations which are to follow. time have been annihilated.  $\Lambda$ s soon began to germinate, as soon as the poor maximum, another general confiscation, only makes matters worse by proving another reign of terror. Four or five that they understand their interests. such convulsions following each other, But we cannot refrain from treating at intervals of ten or twelve years, our readers with a delicious bonne would reduce the most flourishing countries of Europe to the state of Barbary for the last moment. or the Morea.

now nothing to fear from the hostility state of mankind we enjoy a full security against that calamity. That ! flood will no more return to cover the earth. But is it possible that in the bosom of civilisation itself may be engendered the malady which shall destroy it? Is it possible that institutions may be established which, without the help of carthquake, of famine, of pestilence, or of the foreign sword, may undo the work of so many ages of wisdom and glory, and gradually sweep away taste, literature, science, commerce, manufactures, everything but the rude arts necessary to the support of animal life? Is it possible that, in two or three hundred years, a few lean and halfnaked fishermen may divide with owls. own interest? of her stately cathedrals? If the prift they assuredly will, one of these three

The price is fearful. But, ciples of Mr. Mill be sound, we say, if, when the shock is over, a new order without hesitation, that the form of of things should arise under which pro- government which he recommends will perty may enjoy security, the industry assuredly produce all this. But, if these principles be unsound, if the reasonings by which we have opposed them be that the Revolution was, on the whole, just, the higher and middling orders a most salutary event for France. But are the natural representatives of the would France have gained if, ever since human race. Their interest may be the year 1793, she had been governed opposed in some things to that of their by a democratic convention? If Mr. poorer contemporaries; but it is iden-Mill's principles be sound, we say that tical with that of the innumerable ge-

Mr. Mill concludes his essay, by anas the first explosion was beginning to swering an objection often made to the be forgotten, as soon as wealth again project of universal suffrage—that the people do not understand their own inagain began to compare their cottages terests. We shall not go through his and salads with the hotels and banquets arguments on this subject, because, till of the rich, there would have been he has proved that it is for the interest another scramble for property, another of the people to respect property, he bouche of wisdom, which he has kept

"The opinions of that class of the people The civilised part of the world has who are below the middle rank are formed, and their minds are directed, by that intelliof savage nations. Once the deluge of savage nations. Once the deluge of impossibility is an rank, who come the most barbarism has passed over it, to destroy the constant habit of intimate communication and to fertilise; and in the present with them, to whom they by for advice and assistance in all their numerous difficulties, upon whom they feel an immediate and daily dependence in health and in sickness, in infancy and in old age, to whom their children look up as models for their imitation, whose opinions they hear daily repeated, and account it their honour to adopt. There can be no doubt that the middle rank, w ch gives to science, to art, and to legislation itself their most distinguished ornaments, and is the chief source of all that has exalted and refined human nature, is that portion of the community, of which, if the bans of representa-tion were ever so far extended, the opinion would ultimately decide. Of the people be-neath them, a vast majority would be sure to be guided by their advice and example."

This single paragraph is sufficient to upset Mr. Mill's theory. Will the people act against their own interest? Or will the middle rank act against its Or is the interest of sad foxes the ruins of the greatest Eu- the middle rank identical with the inropean cities - may wash their nets terest of the people? If the people amidst the relics of her gigantic docks, not according to the directions of the and build their huts out of the capitals middle rank, as Mr. Mill says that

questions must be answered in the soning utterly unfit for moral and politiidentical with that of the people, why dent it may be employed on the side should not the powers of government of truth. be intrusted to that rank? If the an aristocracy of wealth; and "to con- science of government from the prinstitute an aristocracy of wealth, though ciples of human nature. it were a very numerous one, would," high qualification, would do directly.

experience of three thousand years is to ive balls and masquerades.

as to expose the vices of a kind of rea- rather do what he had rather do.

affirmative. But, if any one of the cal discussions; of a kind of reasoning three be answered in the affirmative, which may so readily be turned to purhis whole system falls to the ground, poses of falsehood that it ought to re-If the interest of the middle rank be ceive no quarter, even when by acci-

Our objection to the essay of Mr. powers of government were intrusted Mill is fundamental. We believe that to that rank, there would evidently be it is utterly impossible to deduce the

What proposition is there respecting according to Mr. Mill, "leave the com- human nature which is absolutely and munity without protection, and exposed universally true? We know of only to all the evils of unbridled power." one: and that is not only true, but Will not the same motives which in- identical; that men always act from duce the middle classes to abuse one self-interest. This truism the Utilitakind of power induce them to abuse rians proclaim with as much pride as another? If their interest be the if it were new, and as much zeal as if same with that of the people they it were important. But in fact, when will govern the people well. If it be explained, it means only that men, if opposite to that of the people they they can, will do as they choose. When will advise the people ill. The system we see the actions of a man we know of universal suffrage, therefore, accord- with certainty what he thinks his ining to Mr. Mill's own account, is only terest to be. But it is impossible to a device for doing circuitously what a reason with certainty from what we representative system, with a pretty take to be his interest to his actions. One man goes without a dinner that So ends this celebrated Essay. And he may add a shilling to a hundred such is this philosophy for which the thousand pounds: another runs in debt to be discarded; this philosophy, the man cuts his father's throat to get professors of which speak as if it had possession of his old clothes: another guided the world to the knowledge of hazards his own life to save that of navigation and alphabetical writing; an enemy One man volunteers on a as if, before its dawn, the inhabitants forlorn hope: another is drummed out of Europe had lived in caverns and of a regiment for cowardice. Each of eaten each other! We are sick, it these men has, no doubt, acted from seems, like the children of Israel, of self-interest. But we gain nothing by the objects of our old and legitimate knowing this, except the pleasure, if it worship. We pine for a new idolatry. be one, of multiplying uscless words. All that is costly and all that is orna- In fact, this principle is just as reconmental in our intellectual treasures dite and just as important as the great must be delivered up, and cast into the truth that whatever is, is. If a philosofurnace—and there comes out this Calf! pher were always to state facts in the Our readers can scarcely mistake our following form-"There is a shower: object in writing this article. They but whatever is, is; therefore, there is will not suspect us of any disposi- a shower,"-his reasoning would be tion to advocate the cause of absolute perfectly sound; but we do not appremonarchy, or of any narrow form of hend that it would materially enlarge oligarchy, or to exaggerate the evils of the circle of human knowledge. And popular government. Our object at it is equally idle to attribute any impresent is, not so much to attack or portance to a proposition which, when efford any particular system of polity, interpreted means only that a man had

If the doctrine, that men always act rally considered as one of the strongest from self-interest, be laid down in any of our feelings. It is the most formidother sense than this-if the meaning able sanction which legislators have of the word self-interest be narrowed been able to devise. Yet it is notoso as to exclude any one of the mo- rious that, as Lord Bacon has obtives which may by possibility act on erved, there is no passion by which any human being, the proposition that fear has not been often overcome. ceases to be identical; but at the same 'Physical pain is indisputably an evil; time it ceases to be true.

"self-interest" applies to all the synonymes and circumlocutions which are employed to convey the same meaning; pain and pleasure, happiness and wives who do not long to be mothers. misery, objects of desire, and so forth,

ing first in one sense and then in antheir desire if they can. Unquestionably:—but this is an identical propomerely a thing which a man will procure if he can. Nothing can possibly be inferred from a maxim of this kind. When we see a man take something we shall know that it was an object of his desire. But till then we have no means of judging with certainty what he desires or what he will take. The general proposition, however, having been admitted, Mr. Mill proceeds to reason as if men had no desires but those which can be gratified only by spoliation and oppression. It then becomes easy to deduce doctrines of vast importance from the original axiom. The only misfortune is, that by thus narrowing the meaning of the word desire the axiom becomes false, and all the doctrines consequent upon it are false likewise.

When we pass beyond those maxims which it is impossible to deny without a contradiction in terms, and which, therefore, do not enable us to advance a single step in practical knowledge, we do not believe that it is possible to lay down a single general rule respectactions. There is nothing which may aversion. The fear of death is gene- cording as we find the one or the other

yet it has been often endured, and What we have said of the word even welcomed. Innumerable martyrs have exulted in torments which made the spectators shudder; and, to use a more homely illustration, there are few

Is the love of approbation a stronger The whole art of Mr. Mill's essay motive than the love of wealth? It is consists in one simple trick of legerde- impossible to answer this question gene-It consists in using words of rally even in the case of an individual the sort which we have been describ- with whom we are very intimate. We often say, indeed, that a man loves other. Men will take the objects of fame more than money or money more than fame. But this is said in a loose and popular sense; for there is scarcely sition: for an object of desire means a man who would not cudure a few sneers for a great sum of money, if he were in pecuniary distress; and scarcely a man, on the other hand, who, if he were in flourishing circumstances, would expose himself to the hatred and contempt of the public for a trifle. order, therefore, to return a precise answer even about a single human being, we must know what is the amount of the sacrifice of reputation demanded and of the pecuniary advantage offered, and in what situation the person to whom the temptation is proposed stands at the time. But, when the question is propounded generally about the whole species, the impossibility of answering is still more evident. Man differs from

generation from generation; nation from nation. Education, station, sex, age, accidental associations, produce infinite shades of variety.

Now, the only mode in which we can conceive it possible to deduce a theory of government from the principles of human nature is this. We must find out what are the motives which, in a particular form of government, impel ing the motives which influence human rulers to bad measures, and what are hose which impel them to good meanot, by association or by comparison, sures. We must then compare the effect become an object either of desire or of of the two classes of motives; and, acof government in question good or bad. lead, how can we possibly deduce a

tocratical and monarchical states, the of man? government be good or bad.

another.

to lay it down as a general rule that the -patiently, -diligently, -candidly, sum immediately than of securing an stage of every malady and with the conencourage accumulation and entice diseases. The capital from foreign countries. nor determine with certainty to what natural abilities abandon this healthful

to prevail, we must pronounce the form description of actions either motive will Now let it be supposed that, in aris theory of government from the nature

desire of wealth and other desires of . How, then, are we to arrive at just the same class always tend to produce conclusions on a subject so important misgovernment, and that the love of to the happiness of mankind? Surely approbation and other kindred feelings by that method which, in every experialways tend to produce good govern mental science to which it has been apment. Then, if it be impossible, as we plied, has signally increased the power have shown that it is, to pronounce and knowledge of our species,—by that generally which of the two classes of method for which our new philosomotives is the more influential, it is phers would substitute quibbles scarcely impossible to find out, a priori, whether worthy of the barbarous respondents a monarchical or aristocratical form of and opponents of the middle ages,-by the method of Induction ; - by observing Mr. Mill has avoided the difficulty of the present state of the world.—by asmaking the comparison, by very coolly siduously studying the history of past putting all the weights into one of the ages,-by sifting the evidence of facts. scales,-by reasoning as if no human -by carefully combining and contrastbeing had ever sympathised with the ing those which are authentic .-- by gefeelings, been gratified by the thanks, neralising with judgment and diffidence, or been galled by the execrations, of -by perpetually bringing the theory which we have constructed to the test The case, as we have put it, is deci- of new facts,-by correcting, or altosive against Mr. Mill; and yet we have gether abandoning it, according as those put it in a manner far too favourable new facts prove it to be partially or funto him. For, in fact, it is impossible damentally unsound. Proceeding thus, love of wealth in a sovereign always we may hope to form a system as far produces misgovernment, or the love of inferior in pretension to that which we approbation good government. A pa- have been examining and as far superior tient and fur-sighted ruler, for example, to it in real utility as the prescriptions who is less desirous of raising a great of a great physician, varying with every unencumbered and progressive revenue, stitution of every patient, to the pill of will, by taking off restraints from trade the advertising quack which is to cure and giving perfect security to property, all human beings, in all climates, of all

This is that noble Science of Policommercial policy of Prussia, which is tics, which is equally removed from the perhaps superior to that of any country barren theories of the Utilitarian soin the world, and which puts to shame phists, and from the petty craft, so often the absurdities of our republican bre- mistaken for statesmanship by minds thren on the other side of the Atlantic, grown narrow in habits of intrigue, jobhas probably sprung from the desire of bing, and official etiquette ; -which of an absolute ruler to enrich himself. On all sciences is the most important to the other hand, when the popular esti- the welfare of nations, -which of all mate of virtues and vices is erroneous, sciences most tends to expand and inwhich is too often the case, the love of vigorate the mind, -which draws nutriapprobation leads sovereigns to spend ment and ornament from every part of the wealth of the nation on useless philosophy and literature, and dispenses shows, or to engage in wanton and de- in return nutriment and ornament to structive wars. If then we can neither all. We are sorry and surprised when compare the strength of two motives, we see men of good intentions and good

and generous study to pore over specu- Hume's History, and has actually got employ, in researches of real utility, the England became one kingdom. their wretched kind.

As to the greater part of the sect, it field in defence of Mr. Mill. would be more amusing, to be sure, and more reputable, if they would take up the old republican cant and declaim about Brutus and Timoleon, the duty of dying for liberty. But, on the whole. they might have chosen worse. They may as well be Utilitarians as jockeys or dandies. about self-interest and motives, and objects of desire, and the greatest happiness of the greatest number, is but a poor employment for a grown man, it certainly hurts the health less than hard drinking and the fortune less than high play; it is not much more laughable than phrenology, and is immeasurably more humane than cock-fighting.

## WESTMINSTER REVIEWER'S DEFENCE OF MILL

(JUNE 1829.)

The Westminster Review. No. XXI. he Wostminster Review. No. XXI. Article XVI. Edinburgh Review. No. XCVII. Article on Mill's Essays on Government, &c.

WE have had great reason, we think, to be gratified by the success of our late attack on the Utilitarians. We could publish a long list of the cures which it has wrought in cases previously considered as hopeless. Deliremarkable instances. who was plucked at Cambridge last smile at his extraordinary language-January, has not been heard to call we can never be weary of admiring Sir James Mackintosh a poor ignorant the amplitude of his comprehension, fool more than twice since the appear- the keenness of his penetration, the ance of our article. A distinguished exuberant fertility with which his political writer in the Westminster and mind pours forth arguments and illus-

lations like those which we have been as far as the battle of Agincourt. He And we should heartily assures us that he takes great pleasure rejoice to find that our remarks had in- in his new study, and that he is very duced any person of this description to impatient to learn how Scotland and talents and industry which are now the greatest compliment that we have wasted on verbal sophisms, wretched of received is that Mr. Bentham himself should have condescended to take the is, we apprehend, of little consequence have not been in the habit of reviewwhat they study or under whom. It ing reviews; but, as Mr. Bentham is a truly great man, and as his party have thought fit to announce in puffs and placards that this article is written by him, and contains not only an killing tyrants and the blessedness of answer to our attacks, but a development of the "greatest happiness principle," with the latest improvements of the author, we shall for once depart And, though quibbling from our general rule. However the conflict may terminate, we shall at least not have been vanquished by an ignoble hand.

Of Mr. Bentham himself we shall endeavour, even while defending ourselves against his reproaches, to speak with the respect to which his venerable age, his genius, and his public services entitle him. If any harsh expression should escape us, we trust that he will attribute it to inadvertence, to the momentary warmth of controversy, -- to anything, in short, rather than to a design of affronting . Though we have nothing in common with the crew of Hurds and Boswells. who, either from interested motives, or from the habit of intellectual servility and dependence, pamper and vitiate his appetite with the noxious sweetness of their undiscerning praise, we are not perhaps less competent than they to appreciate his merit, or less sincerely disposed to accacy forbids us to divulge names; but knowledge it. Though we may somewe cannot refrain from alluding to two times think his reasonings on moral A respectable and political questions feeble and lady writes to inform us that her son, sophistical—though we may sometimes Parliamentary Reviews has borrowed trutions. However sharply he may

be pleaded in vain. fortunately for the ends of justice, the privileges of the peerage are now li incommunicable. A nobleman can now no longer cover with his protection rank which Mr. Bentham holds among put ourselves on the country. the writers of our time, yet when, for It is not necessary, for the purposes the due maintenance of literary police, of this investigation, that we should we shall think it necessary to confute sophists, or to bring pretenders to shame, we shall not depart from the ordinary course of our proceedings Benthamites.

Whether Mr. Mill has much reason to thank Mr. Bentham for undertakdefence, our readers, when they have finished this article, will perhaps Bentham's talents are, he has, we Mr. Mill's Essay.

dropped by inadvertence. Our object having two legs. We must now come was to prove, not that monarchy and to close quarters with Mr. Bentham,

speak of us, we can never cease to aristocracy are good, but that Mr. Mill revere in him the father of the philo- had not proved them to be bad; not sophy of Jurisprudence. He has a that democracy is bad, but that Mr. full right to all the privileges of a Mill had not proved it to be good. great inventor; and, in our court of The points in issue are these: whecriticism, those privileges will never ther the famous Essay on Government But they are be, as it has been called, a perfect limited in the same manner in which, solution of the great political problem, or a series of sophisms and blunders; and whether the sect which, while it mited. The advantage is personal and glories in the precision of its logic, extols this Essay as a masterpiece of demonstration be a sect deserving of every lackey who follows his heels, or the respect or of the derision of manevery bully who draws in his quarrel: kind. These, we say, are the issues; and, highly as we respect the exalted and on these we with full confidence

state what our political creed is, or whether we have any political creed at all. A man who cannot act the most trivial part in a farce has a right to because the offenders call themselves hiss Romeo Coates: a man who does not know a vein from an artery may caution a simple neighbour against the advertisements of Dr. Eady. complete theory of government would indeed be a noble present to mankind; be inclined to doubt. Great as Mr. but it is a present which we do not hope and do not pretend that we can offer. think, shown an undue confidence in If, however, we cannot lay the foundathem. He should have considered how tion, it is something to clear away the dangerous it is for any man, however rubbish; if we cannot set up truth, it cloquent and ingenious he may be, to is something to pull down error. Even attack or defend a book without read- if the subjects of which the Utilitarians ing it: and we feel quite convinced treat were subjects of less fearful imthat Mr. Bentham would never have portance, we should think it no small written the article before us if he had, service to the cause of good sense and before he began, perused our review good taste to point out the contrast with attention, and compared it with between their magnificent pretensions and their miserable performances. Some He has atterly mistaken our object of them have, however, thought fit to and meaning. He seems to think that display their ingenuity on questions we have undertaken to set up some of the most momentous kind, and on theory of government in opposition to questions concerning which men cannot that of Mr. Mill. But we distinctly reason ill with impunity. We think it, disclaimed any such design. From the under these circumstances, an absolute beginning to the end of our article, duty to expose the fallacy of their arguthere is not, as far as we remember, ments. It is no matter of pride or of a single sentence which, when fairly deasure. To read their works is the construed, can be considered as indimost soporific employment that we cating any such design. If such an know; and a man ought no more to expression can be found, it has been be proud of refuting them than of

whom, we need not say, we do not mean to include in this observation. He charges us with maintaining .-

"First, 'That it is not true that all despots govern ill; '-whereon the world is in a mistake, and the Whigs have the true light. And for proof, principally,—that the King of Denmark is not Caligula. To which the answer is, that the King of Denmark is not a despot. He was put in his present situation by the people turning the scale in his favour in a balanced contest between himself and the nobility. And it is quite clear that the same power would turn the scale the other way the moment a King of Denmark should take into his head to be Caligula. It is of little consequence by what congeries of letters the Majesty of Denmark is typified in the royal pross of Copenhagen, while the real fact is that the sword of the people is suspended over his head, in case of ill-behaviour, as effectually as in other countries where more noise is made upon the subject. Every body believes the sovereign of Denmark to be a good and virtuous gentleman; but there is no more superhuman merit in his being so than in the case of a rural squire who does not shoothis land-steward or quarter his wife with his yeomanry sabre.
"It is true that there are partial exceptions

to the rule, that all men use power as badly as they dare. There may have been such things as amiable negro-drivers and sentimental masters of press-gangs; and here and there, among the odd freaks of human nature, there may have been specimens of men who were 'No tyrants, though bred up to tyranny.' But it would be as wise to recommend wolves for nurses at the Foundling on the credit of Romulus and Remus as to substitute the exception for the general fact, and advise mankind to take to trusting to arbitrary power on the credit of these specimens."

Now, in the first place, we never cited the case of Denmark to prove that all despots do not govern ill. We cited it to prove that Mr. Mill did not know how to reason. Mr. Mill gave it as a reason for deducing the theory of government from the general laws of human nature that the King of Denmark was not Caligula. This we said. and we still say, was absurd.

In the second place, it was not we, but Mr. Mill, who said that the King of Denmark was a despot. His words are these :- "The people of Denmark, tired out with the oppression of an aristocracy, resolved that their king should be absolute; and under their absolute monarch are as well governed condescended to read that portion of as any people in Europe." We leave our work which he undertook to anan absolute king.

In the third place, Mr. Bentham says that there was in Denmark a balanced contest between the king and the nobility. We find some difficulty in believing that Mr. Bentham seriously means to say this, when we consider that Mr. Mill has demonstrated the chance to be as infinity to one against the existence of such a balanced contest.

Fourthly, Mr. Bentham says that in this balanced contest the people turned the scale in fayour of the king against the aristocracy. But Mr. Mill has demonstrated that it cannot possibly be for the interest of the monarchy and democracy to join against the aristocracy; and that, wherever the three parties exist, the king and the aristocracy will combine against the people. This. Mr. Mill assures us, is as certain as anything which depends upon human

Fifthly, Mr. Bentham says that, if the King of Denmark were to oppress his people, the people and nobles would combine against the king. But Mr. Mill, has proved that it can never be for the interest of the aristocracy to combine with the democracy against the king. It is evidently Mr. Bentham's opinion, that "monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy may balance each other, and by mutual checks produce good government." But this is the very theory which Mr. Mill pronounces to be the wildest, the most visionary, the most chimerical ever broached on the subject of government.

We have no dispute on these heads with Mr. Bentham. On the contrary, we think his explanation true-or, at least, true in part; and we heartily thank him for lending us his assistance to demolish the essay of his follower. His wit and his sarcasm are sport to us; but they are death to his unhappy disciple.

Mr. Bentham seems to imagine that we have said something implying an opinion favourable to despotism. can scarcely suppose that, as he has not Mr. Bentham to settle with Mr. Mill swer, he can have bestowed much atthe distinction between a despot and tention on its general character. Had he done so he would, we think, scarcely toxication because we might say that dren. such a man was grossly in the wrong?

spotism is a bad thing. We say with maintain:-Mr. Bentham that the exceptions do not this we say-that a single exception overthrows an argument which either does not prove the rule at all, or else proves the rule to be true without exceptions; and such an argument is Mr. Mill's argument against despotism. this respect there is a great difference between rules drawn from experience and rules deduced a priore. We might believe that there had been a fall of snow last August, and yet not think it likely that there would be snow next August. A single occurrence opposed to our general experience would tell for very little in our calculation of the chances. But, if we could once satisfy ourselves that in any single right-angled triangle the square of the hypothenuse might be less than the squares of the sides, we must reject the fortyseventh proposition of Euclid altogether. We willingly adopt Mr. Bentham's lively illustration about the wolf; and we will his account than pain on our own. We it, it might become a question whether say with him, Keep the wolf out of the to keep the thieves from dishonesty by

have entertained such a suspicion. Mr. nursery, in spite of the story of Romulus Mill asserts, and pretends to prove, and Remus. But, if the shepherd who that under no despotic government does saw the wolf licking and suckling those any human being, except the tools of famous twins were, after telling this the sovereign, possess more than the story to his companions, to assert that necessaries of life, and that the most it was an infallible rule that no wolf intense degree of terror is kept up by ever had spared, or ever would spare, constant cruelty. This, we say, is un- any living thing which might fall in its It is not merely a rule to which way-that its nature was carnivorous there are exceptions: but it is not —and that it could not possibly disobey the rule. Despotism is bad; but it is its nature, we think that the hearers scarcely anywhere so bad as Mr. Mill might have been excused for staring. says that it is everywhere. This we It may be strunge, but is not inconsisare sure Mr. Bentham will allow. If tent, that a wolf which has eaten ninetya man were to say that five hundred nine children should spare the hunthousand people die every year in Lon- dredth. But the fact that a wolf has don of dram-drinking, he would not once spared a child is sufficient to show assert a proposition more monstrously that there must be some flaw in the false than Mr. Mill's. Would it be chain of reasoning purporting to prove just to charge us with defending in- that wolves cannot possibly spare chil-

Mr. Bentham proceeds to attack ano-We say with Mr. Bentham that de- ther position which he conceives us to

Mr. Bentham that the exceptions do not "Secondly, That a government not under destroy the authority of the rule. But the control of the community (for there is no question upon any other) 'may soon be satu-rated.' 'Tell it not in Bow-street, whisper it not in Hatton-garden, -- that there is a plan for preventing injustice by 'saturation.' With what peals of unearthly merriment would Minos, Zacus, and Rhadamanthus be aroused upon their benches, if the 'light wings of saffron and of blue' should bear this theory into their grim domains! Why do not the owners of pocket-handkerchiefs try to 'satu-rate?' Why does not the cheated publican beg leave to check the gulosity of his de-frauder with a repetatur haustus, and the purmelled plaintiff neutralise the malice of his adversary, by requesting to have the rest of the beating in presence of the court,—if it is not that such conduct would run counter to all the conclusions of experience, and be the procreation of the mischlef it affected to-destroy? Woful is the man whose wealth depends on his having more than somebody else can be persuaded to take from him; and woful also is the people that is in such a case!"

Now this is certainly very pleasant writing: but there is no great difficulty in answering the argument. The real reason which makes it absurd to think of preventing theft by pensioning off say in passing that it gives us real thieves is this, that there is no limit to pleasure to see how little old age has the number of thieves. If there were diminished the gaicty of this eminent only a hundred thieves in a place, and man. We can assure him that his mer- we were quite sure that no person not riment gives us far more pleasure on already addicted to theft would take to raising them above distress would not be a better course than to employ officers against them. But the actual cases are not parallel. Every man who chooses can become a thief; but a man cannot become a king or a member of the aristocracy whenever he chooses. The number of the depredators is limited; and therefore the amount of depredation, so far as physical pleasures are concerned, must be limited also. Now, we made the remark which Mr. Bentham consures with reference to physical pleasures only. The pleasures of ostentation, of taste, of revenge, and other pleasures of the same description, have, we distinctly allowed, no limit. Our words are these :- "A king or an aristocracy may be supplied to satisfy with corporal pleasures, at an expense which the rudest and poorest community would scarcely feel." Does Mr. Bentham deny this? If he does, we leave him to Mr. Mill. "What," says that philosopher, in his Essay on Education, "what are the ordinary pursuits of wealth and power, which kindle to such a height the ardour of mankind? Not the mere love of eating and of drinking. or all the physical objects together which wealth can purchase or power command. With these every man is in the long run speedily satisfied." What the difference is between being speedily satisfied and being soon saturated, we leave Mr. Bentham and Mr. Mill to settle together.

The word "saturation," however, seems to provoke Mr. Bentham's mirth. It certainly did not strike us as very pure English; but, as Mr. Mill used it, we supposed it to be good Benthamese. With the latter language we are not critically acquainted, though, as it has many roots in common with our mother tongue, we can contrive, by the help of a converted Utilitarian, who attends us in the capacity of Moonshee, to make out a little. But Mr. Bentham's authority is of course decisive; and we bow to it.

Mr. Bentham next represents us as lead them to behave well. maintaining:-

"Thirdly, That 'though there may be some tastes and propensities that have no point of | fneir subjects; for no man cares for the saturation, there exists a sufficient check in the good opinion of those whom he has been

desire of the good opinion of others.' The mis-fortune of this argument is, that no man cares for the good opinion of those he has been accus-tomed to wrong. If oysters have opinions, it is probable they think very ill of those who eat them in August; but small i the effect upon the autumnal glutton that engults their gentle substances within his own. planter and the slave-driver care just as much about negro opinion, as the epicure about the sentiments of oysters. M. Ude throwing live

Is into the fire as a kindly nighthod of divesting them of the unsavoury oil that lodges beneath their skins, is not more convinced of the immense aggregate of good which arises to the lordlier parts of the creation, than is the gentle peer who strips his fellow man of country and of family for a wild-fowl slain. The goodly land-owner, who lives by morsels squeezed indiscriminately from the waxy hands of the cobbler and the polluted ones of the nightman, is in no small degree the object of both hatred and contempt; but it is to be feared that he is a long way from feeling them to be intolerable. The principle of 'At milu plaudo spse domi, simul ac nummos contemplor in arca, is sufficient to make a wile interval between the opinions of the plaintiff and defendant in such cases. In short, to banish law and leave all plaintiffs to trust to the desire of reputation on the opposite side, would only be transporting the theory of the Whigs from the House of Commons to Westminster Hall."

Now, in the first place, we never maintained the proposition which Mr. Bentham puts into our mouths. said, and say, that there is a certain check to the rapacity and cruelty of men, in their desire of the good opinion We never said that it was of others. Let Mr. Mill show it to be sufficient. insufficient. It is enough for us to prove that there is a set-off against the principle from which Mr. Mill deduces the whole theory of government. The balance may be, and, we believe, will be, against despotism and the narrower forms of aristocracy. But what is this to the correctness or incorrectness of Mr. Mill's accounts? The question is not, whether the motives which lead rulers to behave ill are stronger than those which lead them to behave well; -but, whether we ought to form a theory of government by looking only at the motives which lead rulers to behave ill and never noticing those which

Absolute rulers, says Mr. Bentham, do not care for the good opinion of accustomed to wrong. By Mr. Bentham's leave, this is a plain begging of the question. The point at issue is this:—Will kings and nobles wrong the people? The argument in favour of kings and nobles is this:—they will not wrong the people, because they care for the good opinion of the people. But this argument Mr. Bentham meets them:—they will not care for the good opinion of the people, be cause they are accustomed to wrong the people.

Here Mr. Mill differs, as usual, from Mr. Bentham. "The greatest princes,' says he, in his Essay on Education, "the most despotical masters of human destiny, when asked what they aim at by their wars and conquests, would answer, if sincere, as Frederick of Prussia answered, pour faire parked soi:—to occupy a large space in the admiration of mankind." Putting Mr. Mill's and Mr. Bentham's principles together, we might make out very easily that "the greatest princes, the most despotical masters of human destiny," would never abuse their power.

A man who has been long accustomed to injure people must also have been long accustomed to do without their love, and to endure their aversion. Such a man may not miss the pleasure of popularity; for men seldom miss a pleasure which they have long denied themselves. An old tyrant does without popularity just as an old water-drinker does without wine. But, though it is perfectly true that men who for the good of their health have long abstained from wine feel the want of it very little, it would be absurd to infer that men will always abstain from wine when their health requires that they should do so. And it would be equally absurd to say, because men who have been accustomed to oppress care little for popularity, that men will therefore necessarily prefer the pleasures of oppression to those of popularity.

Then, again, a man may be accustion took place because the poor began to tomed to wrong people in one point sompare their cottages and salads with the hotel and hot in another. He may care for their good opinion with regard to one point and not with regard to another. because the poor began to the hotels and banquets of their oppressors. It is utterly banquets of their oppressors. It is utterly

The Regent Orleans laughed at charges of impiety, libertinism, extravagance, idleness, disgraceful promotions. But the slightest allusion to the charge of poisoning threw him into convulsions. Louis the Fifteenth braved the hatred and contempt of his subjects during many years of the most odious and imbecile misgovernment. But, when a report was spread that he used human blood for his baths, he was almost driven mad by it. Surely Mr. Bentham's position "that no man cares for the good opinion of those whom he has been accustomed to wrong" would be objectionable, as far too sweeping and indiscriminate, even if it did not involve, as in the present case we have shown that it does, a direct begging of the question at issue.

## Mr. Bentham proceeds:--

"Fourthly, The Edinburgh Reviewers are of opinion, that 'it might, with no small plausibility, be maintained, that in many countries, there are two classes which, in some degree, answer to this description; [viz.] 'that the poor compose the class which government is established to restrain; and the poorle of some property the class to which the powers of government may without danger be confided.'

"They take great pains, it is true, to say this and not to say it. They shuffle and creep about, to secure a hole to escape at, if 'what they do not assert' should be found in any degree inconvenient. A man might waste his life in trying to find out whether the Misses of the Edinburgh mean to say Yes or No in their political coquetry. But whichever way the lovely spinsters may decide, it is diametrically opposed to history and the evidence of facts, that the poor are the class whom there is any difficulty in restraining. It is not the poor but the rich that have a propensity to take the property of other people. There is no instance upon earth of the poor having combined to take away the property of the rich; and all the instances habitually brought forward in support of it are gross misrepresentations. founded upon the most necessary acts of selfdefence on the part of the most numerous classes. Such a misrepresentation is the common one of the Agrarian law; which was nothing but an attempt on the part of the Roman people to get buck some part of what had been taken from them by undis-guised robbery. Such another is the stock example of the French Revolution, appealed to by the Edinburgh Review in the actual case. It is utterly untrue that the French Revoluto to the place because 'the poor began to compare their cottages and salads with the hotels and banquets of the rich;' it took place because they were robbed of their cottages and salads to support the hotels and

untrue that there was either a scramble for | bread without plundering. It may be property or a general confiscation; the classes who took part with the foreign invaders lost their property, as they would have done here, and ought to do everywhere. All these are the vulgar errors of the man on the lion's back,-which the lion will set to rights when he can tell his own story. History is nothing but the relation of the sufferings of the poor from the rich; except precisely so far as the numerous classes of the community have contrived to keep the virtual power in their hands, or in other words, to establish free governments. If a poor man injures the rich, the law is instantly at his heels; the injuries of the rich towards the poor are always inficted by the law. And to enable the rich to do this to any extent that may be practicable or prudent, there is clearly one postulate required, which is, that the rich shall make the law.

This passage is alone sufficient to prove that Mr. Bentham has not taken the trouble to read our article from beginning to end. We are quite sure that he would not stoop to misrepresent it. And, if he had read it with any attention, he would have perceived that all this coquetry, this hesitation, this Yes and No, this saying and not saying, is simply an exercise of the undeniable right which in controversy belongs to the defensive side—to the side which The proposes to establish nothing. affirmative of the issue and the burden of the proof are with Mr. Mill. not with us. We are not bound, perhaps we are not able, to show that the form of government which he recommends is bad. It is quite enough if we can show that he does not prove it to be good. In his proof, among many other not inclined to plunder each other, government is unnecessary, and that, if men are so inclined, kings and aristocracies will plunder the people. Now this, we say, is a fallacy. That some they can, is a sufficient reason for the existence of governments. not demonstrated that kings and arisons and property had been secure. stocracies will plunder the people, un-Some have all the bodily pleasures that the rich. We were not speaking about they desire, and many other pleasures the causes of the Revolution, or think-besides, without plundering anybody, ing about them. This we said, and say, Others, can scarcely obtain their daily that, if a democratic government had

true, but surely it is not self-evident, that the former class is under as strong temptations to plunder as the latter. Mr. Mill was therefore bound to prove That, he has not proved it is one of thirty or forty fatal errors in his argument. It is not necessary that we should express an opinion or even have an opinion on the subject. Perhaps we are in a state of perfect scepticism: but what then? Are we the theory-When we bring before the makers? world a theory of government, it will be time to call upon us to offer proof at every step. At present we stand on our undoubted logical right. We concede nothing; and we deny nothing. We say to the Utilitarian theorists:--When you prove your doctrine, we will believe it; and, till you prove it, we will not believe it.

Mr. Bentham has quite misunderstood what we said about the French Revolution. We never alluded to that event for the purpose of proving that the poor were inclined to rob the rich. Mr. Mill's principles of human nature furnished us with that part of our argument ready-made. We alluded to the French Revolution for the purpose of illustrating the effects which general spoliation produces on society, not for the purpose of showing that general spoliation will take place under a democracy. We allowed distinctly that, in the peculiar circumstances of the French monarchy, the Revolution, flaws, is this-He says, that if men are though accompanied by a great shock to the institution of property, was a Surely Mr. Bentham will blessing. not maintain that the injury produced by the deluge of assignats and by the maximum fell only on the emigrants .-men will plunder their neighbours if or that there were not many emigrants who would have stayed and lived peace-But it is ably under any government if their per-

We never said that the French Reless it be true that all men will plun- volution took place because the poor der their neighbours if they can. Men began to compare their cottages and are placed in very different situations. salads with the hotels and banquets of

been established in France, the poor, when they began to compare their cottages and salads with the hotels and banquets of the rich, would, on the supposition that Mr. Mill's principle are sound, have plundered the rich, and repeated without provocation al the severities and confiscations which. at the time of the Revolution, were committed with provocation. We say that Mr. Mill's favourite form of government would, if his own views o: human nature be just, make those violent convulsions and fransfers of property which now rarely happen except, as in the case of the French Revolution, when the people are maddened by oppression, events of annual or biennial occurrence. We gave no opinion of our own. We give none We say that this proposition may be proved from Mr. Mill's own premises, by steps strictly analogous to those by which he proves monarchy and aristocracy to be bad forms of government. To say this, is not to say that the proposition is true. For we hold both Mr. Mill's premises and his deduction to be unsound throughout.

from history that the people will plune ical, with that of the men. We side der the rich. What does history say to with Mr. Bentham, so far, at least, as Mr. Mill's doctrine, that absolute kings will always plunder their subjects unmercifully as to leave nothing but shrough heaf and all. We at present a bare subsistence to any except their. own creatures? If experience is to be the test, Mr. Mill's theory is unsound; If Mr. Mill's reasoning a prio be sound, the people in a democracy will plunder the rich. Let us use one weight and one measure. Let us not? throw history aside when we are roving a theory, and take it up again when we have to refute an objection founded on the principles of that theory.

We have not done, however, with Mr. Bentham's charges against us.

" Among other specimens of their ingenuity, they think they embarrass the subject by asking why, on the principles in question, women should not have votes as well as men. And why not?

Gentle shopherd, tell me why.—

If the mode of election was what it ought to be, there would be no more difficulty in women voting for a representative in Par-

liament than for a director at the India House. The world will find out at some time that the readiest way to secure justice on some points is to be just on all:—that the whole is easier to accomplish than the part; and that, whenever the camel is driven through the eye of the needle, it would be simple folly and debility that would leave a hoof behind."

Why, says or sings Mr. Bentham,

should not women vote? It may seem uncivil in us to turn a deaf ear to his Arcadian warblings. But we submit, ith great deference, that it is not our siness to tell him why. We fully with him that the principle of female suffrage is not so palpably absurd that a chain of reasoning ought to be pronounced unsound merely because it leads to female suffrage. say that every argument which tells in favour of the universal suffrage of the males tells equally in favour of female suffrage. Mr. Mill, however, wishes to see all men vote, but says that it is uneessary that women should vote: and or making this distinction he gives as a reason an assertion which, in the first

xt place, would, if true, overset his whole theory of human nature; namely, Mr. Bentham challenges us to prove that the interest of the women is idenhis: that, when we join to drive the amel through the needle, he shall go lesire to be excused from driving the camel. 4 t is Mr. Mill who leaves the d. But we should think it hoof to reproach him in the uncourt hich Mr. Bentham, in the angung his paternal authority over xercise he sect hinks himself entitled to

is not true, and which, in the

inploy. "Another of their perverted ingenuities is, at 'they are rather inclined to think,' that would, on the whole, be for the interest of majority to plunder the rich; and if so, he Utilitarians will say that the rich ought o be plundered. On which it is sufficient to reply, that for the majority to plunder the ich would amount to a declaration that no-ody should be rich; which, as all men wish o be rich, would involve a suicide of hope. And as nobody has shown a fragment of reason why such a proceeding should be for he general happiness, it does not follow that he 'Utilitarians' would recommend it. The idinburgh Reviewers have a waiting gen-lewoman's ideas of 'Utilitarianism.' It is

unsupported by anything but the pitiable ' We are rather inclined to think '-and is utterly contradicted by the whole course of history and human experience besides, - that there is either danger or possibility of such a consummation as the majority agreeing on the plunder of the rich. There have been in stances in human memory, of their agreeing to plunder rich oppressors, rich traitors, rich enemies,- but the rich simpliciter never. It is as true now as in the days of Harrington, that 'a people never will, nor ever can, never did, nor ever shall, take up arms for levelling.' All the commotions in the world have been for something else; and 'levelling' is brought forward as the blind to conceal what the other

We say, again and again, that we are on the defensive. We do not think it necessary to prove that a quack medicine is poison. Let vendor prove it to be sanative. Let the community is identical with that of is the case of the Italian people, or from all succeeding generations. The two first propositions Mr. Mill compts to prove, and fails. The last is does not even attempt to prove. We therefore rica, to the behaviour of a wolf, which refuse our assent to his conclusions. Is this unreasonable?

will explain, whenever we send a pestilence, slavery, ever held out to at-

theory of government to an Encyclopædia. At present we are bound to say only that we think so, and shall think so till somebody shows us a reason for thinking otherwise.

Mr. Bentham's answer to us is simple assertion. He must not think that we mean any discourtesy by meeting it with a simple denial. The fact is, that almost all the governments that have ever existed in the civilised world have been. in part at least, monarchical and aristocratical. The first government constituted on principles approaching to those which the Utilitarians hold was, we think, that of the United States. That the poor have never combined to plunder the rich in the governments of We the old world, no more proves that they do not pretend to show that universal might not combine to plunder the rich suffrage is an evil. Let its advocates under a system of universal suffrage, show it to be a good. Mr. Mill tells than the fact that the English kings of us that, if power be given for short the House of Brunswick have not been terms to representatives elected by all Neros and Domitians proves that sovethe males of mature age, it will then reigns may safely be intrusted with abbe for the interest of those represen- solute power. Of what the people would tatives to promote the greates happi- do in a state of perfect sovereignty we tatives to promote the greatest appear on it is a scale or period softenging we ness of the greatest number. To prove an judge only by indications, which, this, it is necessary that he should though rarely of much moment in themprove three propositions: first, that selves, and though always suppressed the interest of such a representative with little difficulty, are yet of great sigbody will be identical with the interest of the constituent body; so our domestic animals sometimes remind the selvest though are soft in with the fleavest. condly, that the interest of the con- us that they are of kin with the fiercest stituent body will be identical with monsters of the ferest. It would not that of the community; thirdly, that be wise to reason from the behaviour of the interest of one generation of a a dog crouching under the lash, which

week's fast among the snows of the Py-We never even dreamed, what Mr. rences. No commotion, says Mr. Ben-Bentham conceives us to have main- tham, was ever really produced by the tained, that it could be for the greatest wish of levelling: the wish has been happiness of manking to plunder the put forward as a blind; but something But we are "rather inclined to else has been the real object. Grant though doubtingly and with a all this. But why has levelling been sition to yield to conviction, that put forward as a blind in times of comthe majority of a single generation in a agitators? Is it with declarations which thickly peopled country to plunder the involve "a suicide of hope" that men rich. Why we are inclined to think so attempt to allure others? Was famine,

tract the people? If levelling has been made a pretence for disturbances, the argument against Mr. Bentham's doctrine is as strong as if it had been the real object of disturbances.

But the great objection which Mr. Bentham makes to our review, still re-

mains to be noticed :-

"The pith of the charge against the autho. of the Essays is, that he has written 'an claborate Treatise on Government,' and 'deduced the whole science from the assumption of certain propensities of human nature. Now, in the name of Sir Richard Birnie and all saints, from what else should it be deduced What did ever anybody imagine to be the end. object, and design of government as it ought to be but the same operation, on an extender scale, which that meritorious chief magistrate conducts on a limited one at Bow-street; to wit, the preventing one man from injuring another? Imagine, then, that the Whiggery of Low Street were to rise up against the proposition that their science was to be de duced from 'cectain propensities of human nature,' and thereon were to ratiocinate as follows:-

"'How then are we to arrive at just con-clusions on a subject so important to the happiness of mankind? Surely by that method, which, in every experimental science to which it has been applied, has signally increa-cd the power and knowledge of our species, by that method for which our new pholosophers would substitute quibbles scarce ly worthy of the barbarous respondents and opponents of the middle ages,-by the method of induction,—by observing the present state of the world, by assiduously studying the history of past ages,-by sifting the evidence of facts,-by carefully combining and contrasting those which are authentic,-by generalising with judgment and diffidence,-by perpetually bringing the theory which we have constructed to the test of new facts,—by correcting, or altogether abandoning it, according as those new facts prove it to be partially or fundamentally unsound. Pro-ceeding thus,-patiently, diligently, candidly, we may hope to form a system as far inferior in pretension to that which we have been examining, and as far superior to it in real utility, as the prescriptions of a great physician, varying with every stage of every malady, and with the constitution of every putient, to the pill of the advertising quack, which is to cure all human beings, in all climates, of all diseases."

"Fancy now,—only fancy,—the delivery of these wise words at Bow Street; and think how speedily the practical catchpolls would reply, that all this might be very fine, but as fur as they had studied history, the naked story was, after all, that numbers of men had a propensity to thieving, and their business was to catch them; that they, too, had been sifters of facts; and, to say the truth, their simple opinion was, that their brethren of the red waistcoat—though they should be sorry toy think ill of any man—had somehow contracted

a leaning to the other side, and were more bent on puzzling the case for the benefit of the defendants, than on doing the duty of good officers and true. Such would, beyond all doubt, be the sentence passed on such trimmers in the microsoan of Bow Street. It might not absolutely follow that they were in a plot to rob the goldsmiths' shops, or to set fire to the House of Commons: but it would be quito clear that they had got a feeling,—that they were in process of skiling with the thieves,—and that it was not to them that any man must look who was anxious that pantries should be sife."

This is all very witty; but it does not touch us. On the present occasion, we cannot but flatter ourselves that we bear a much greater resemblance to a practical cutchpoll than either Mr. Mill or Mr. Bentham. It would, to be sure, be very absurd in a magistrate, discussing the arrangements of a police-office, to spout in the style either of our article or Mr. Bentham's; but, in substance, he would proceed, if he were a man of sense, xactly as we recommend. He would, on being appointed to provide for the security of property in a town, study attentively the state of the town. He would learn at what places, at what times, and under what circumstances, theft and outrage were most frequent. Are the streets, he would ask, most nfested with thieves at sunset or at nidnight? Are there any public places of resort which give peculiar facilities to pickpockets? Are there any disricts completely inhabited by a lawess population? Which are the flash houses, and which the shops of receivers? Having made himself master of the facts, he would act accordingly. A strong detachment of officers might be necessary for Petticoat Lane; another for the pit entrance of Covent Garden Theatre. Grosvenor Square nd Hamilton Place would require ittle or no protection. Exactly thus should we reason about government. Lombardy is oppressed by tyrants; and constitutional cheeks, such as may produce security to the people, are required. It is, so to speak, one of the esorts of thieves; and there is great need of police-officers. Denmark resembles one of those respectable streets in which it is scarcely necessary to staion a catchpoll, because the inhabitants

would at once join to seize a thief. Yet,

called a lord.\* Either men will steal at the science of human nature. or they will not steal. If they will If, on the other hand, we are to denot, why do I sit here? If they will, duce the theory of government from his lordship must be a thief." The principles of human nature, in arriving Mr. Bentham think that the Whiggery of Bow Street was in the wrong?

If Government is founded upon this, as nature, that a man, if able, a law will. others anything which they he desires, it is sufficiently evident
a man is called a king, he does not
his nature; so that, when he has power
what he pleases, he will take what he
To suppose that he will not, is to afof their own accord."-MILL on Go-

We blamed Mr. Mill for deducing his even in such a street, we should wish theory of government from the princito see an officer appear now and then, ples of human nature. "In the name as his occasional superintendence would of Sir Richard Birnie and all saints," render the security more complete. And cries Mr. Bentham, "from what else even Denmark, we think, would be better should it be deduced?" In spite of off under a constitutional form of go- this solemn adjuration, we shall venture to answer Mr. Bentham's question by Mr. Mill proceeds like a director of another. 'How does he arrive at those police, who, without asking a single principles of human nature from which question about the state of his dis- he proposes to deduce the science of trict, should give his orders thus: - government? We think that we may "My maxim is, that every man will venture to put an answer into his take what he can. Every man in Lon- mouth; for in truth there is but one don would be a thief, but for the thief- possible answer. He will say-By extakers. This is an undeniable principle perience. But what is the extent of of human nature. Some of my prede- this experience? Is it an experience cessors have wasted their time in in- which includes experience of the conquiring about particular pawnbrokers, duct of men intrusted with the powers and particular alchouses. Experience of government; or is it exclusive of is altogether divided. Of people placed that experience? If it includes expein exactly the same situation, I see rience of the manner in which men act that one steals, and that another would when intrusted with the powers of sooner burn his hand off. Therefore government, then those principles of I trust to the laws of human nature human nature from which the science alone, and pronounce all men thieves of government is to be deduced can alike. Let every body, high and low, only be known after going through that be watched. Let Townsend take par- inductive process by which we propose ticular care that the Duke of Welling- to arrive at the science of government. ton does not steal the silk handkerchief Our knowledge of human nature, inof the lord in waiting at the levee. A stead of being prior in order to our person has lost a watch. Go to Lord knowledge of the science of government, Fitzwilliam and search him for it; he will be posterior to it. And it would is as great a receiver of stolen goods be correct to say, that by means of the as Ikey Solomons himself. Don't tell science of government, and of other me about his rank, and character, and kindred sciences—the science of edu-He is a man; and a man cation, for example, which falls under does not change his nature when he is exactly the same principle—we arrive

Whiggery of Bow Street would perhaps at which principles we have not taken rise up against this wisdom. Would into the account the manner in which men act when invested with the powers of government, then those principles must be defective. They have not been formed by a sufficiently copious induction. We are reasoning, from what a man does in one situation, to what he will do in another. Sometimes we may be quite justified in reasoning thus. When we have no means of acquiring firm that government is unnecessary, and that information about the particular case human beings will abstain from injuring one before us, we are compelled to resort to cases which bear some resemblance to

But the most satisfactory course is to obtain information about the particular case; and, whenever this can be obtained, it ought to be obtained. Whe first the yellow fever broke out, a physician might be justified in treating i as he had been accustomed to treat those complaints which, on the whole, had the most symptoms in common with it. But what should we think of a physician who should now tell us that he deduced his treatment of yellow fever from the general theory of pathology? Surely we should ask him, Whether, in constructing his theory of pathology, he had or had not taken into the accounthe facts which had been ascertained respecting the yellow fever? If he had, then it would be more correct to say that he had arrived at the principles of pathology partly by his experience o cases of yellow fever than that he had deduced his treatment of yellow fever from the principles of pathology. If he had not, he should not prescribe for If we had the yellow fever, we should prefer a man who had never treated any cases but cases of yellow fever to a man who had walked the hospitals of London and Paris for years, but who knew nothing of our particular discase.

Let Lord Bacon speak for us: "Inductionem censemus cam esse demonstrandi formam, quæ sensum tuctur, et naturam premit, et operibus imminet, ac fere immiscetur. Itaque ordo quoque demonstrandi plane invertitur. Adhuc enim res ita geri consuevit, ut a sensu et particularibus primo loco ad maxime generalia advoletur, tanquam ad polos tixos, circa quos disputationes vertantur; ab illis cætera, per media, deriventur; via certe compendiaria, sed præcipiti, et ad naturam impervia, ad disputationes proclivi et accommodată. At, secundum nos, axiomata continenter et gradatim excitantur, ut non, nisi postremo loco, ad maxime generalia veniatur." any words more exactly describe the political reasonings of Mr. Mill than those in which Lord Bacon thus describes the logomachies of the schoolmen? Mr. Mill springs at once to a general principle of the widest extent, and from that general principle deduces

syllogistically every thing which is included in it. We say with Bacon—
"non, nisi postremo loco, ad maxime
generalia veniatur." In the present
inquiry, the science of human nature
is the "maxime generale." To this the
Utilitarian rushes at once, and from this
he deduces a hundred sciences. But
the true philosopher, the inductive reasoner, travels up to it slowly, through
those hundred sciences, of which the
science of government is one.

As we have lying before us that incomparable volume, the noblest and most useful of all the works of the human reason, the Novum Organum, we will transcribe a few lines, in which the Utilitarian philosophy is portrayed to the life.

" Syllogismus ad principia scientiarum non adhibetur, ad media axiomata frustra adhibetur, cum sit subtilitati natura longe impar. Assensum itaque constringit, non res. Syllogismus ex propositionibus constat, propositiones ex verbis, verba notionum tes unt. Itaque si notiones ipse, id quod basis rei est, confuse sint, et temere a rebus abstractæ, nihil in ils quæ superstruuntur est firmitudinis. Itaque spes est una in Induc-tione vera. In notionibus nil sani est, neo in Logicis nec in physicis. Non substantia, non qualitas, agere, pati, ipsum esse, bonæ notiones sunt; multo minus grave, leve, densum, tenne, humidum, siccum, generatio, cor-Puptio, attrabere, fugare, elementum, materia, ferma, et id genus, sed omnes phantasticæ et male terminate."

Substitute for the "substantin," the 'generatio," the "corruptio," the elementum," the "materia." of the old schoolmen, Mr. Mill's pain, pleasure, interest, power, objects of desire,—and the words of Bacon will seem o suit the currentsyear as well as the eginning of the seventeenth century.

We have now gone through the obections that Mr. Bentham makes to ur article: and we submit ourselves in all the charges to the judgment of he public.

The rest of Mr. Bentham's article sonsists of an exposition of the Utiliarian principle, or, as he decrees that t shall be called, the "greatest happiess principle." He seems to think hat we have been assailing it. We never said a syllable against it. We spoke slightingly of the Utilitarian ect, as we thought of them, and think

of them; but it was not for holding this doctrine that we blamed them. In attacking them we no more meant to attack the "greatest happiness principle" than when we say that Mahometanism is a false religion we mean to deny the unity of God, which is the first article of the Mahometan creed -no more than Mr. Bentham, wher he sneers at the Whigs, means to blame them for denying the divine right of We reasoned throughout our article on the supposition that the end of government was to produce the greatest happiness to mankind.

Mr. Bentham gives an account o the manner in which he arrived at the discovery of the "greatest happiness principle." He then proceeds to describe the effects which, as he conceives, that discovery is producing in language so rhetorical and ardent that. if it had been written by any other person, a genuine Utilitarian would in disgust.

"The only rivals of any note to the new principle which were brought forward, were those known by the names of the 'moral sense,' and the 'original contract.' The new principle superseded the first of these, by presenting it with a guide for its decisions; and the other, by making it unnecessary to resort to a remote and imaginary contract for what was clearly the business of every man and every hour. Throughout the whole horizon of morals and of politics, the consequences were glorious and vast. It might be said without danger of exaggeration, that they who sat in darkness had seen a great light. The mists in which mankind had jousted against each other were swept away, as when the sun of astronomical science arose in the full develorment of the principle of gravitation. If the object of legislation was the greatest happiness, morality was the promotion of the same end by the conduct of the individual; and by analogy, the happiness of the world was the morality of nations.

. . . . All the sublime obscurities, which had haunted the mind of man from the first formation of society,—the phantoms whose

steps had been on caoth, and their heads the lound of this new principle of connection and of union, and stood a regulated band, where all was order, symmetry, and force. What men had struggled for and bled, while they saw it but as through a glass darkly, was made the object of substantial knowledge and lively apprehension. The bones of sages and of patriots stirred within their tombs, that their dimly saw and followed had becone a particle they dimly saw and followed had becone a particle of the same of the s result was wrought by no supernatural means, nor produced by any unparallelable concate-nation of events. It was foretold by no oracles, and ushered by no portents; but was brought about by the quiet and reiterated exercise of God's first gift of common sense.

Mr. Bentham's discovery does not, as we think we shall be able to show. approach in importance to that of gravitation, to which he compares it. At all events, Mr. Bentham seems to us to act much as Sir Isaac Newton would have done if he had gone about boasting that he was the first person who taught bricklayers not to jump off scaffolds and break their legs.

Does Mr. Bentham profess to hold out any new motive which may induce men to promote the happiness of the species to which they belong? Not at all. He distinctly admits that, if he is asked why government should attempt to produce the greatest possible happi-

ness, he can give no answer.

"The real answer," says he, "appeared to certainly have thrown down the book be, that men at large ought not to allow a government to afflict them with more evil or less good than they can help. What a government ought to do is a mysterious and searching question, which those may answer who know what it means; but what other men ought to do is a question of no mystery at all. The word ought, if it means anything, must have reference to some kind of interest or motives: and what interest a government has in doing right, when it happens to be interested in doing wrong, is a question for the schoolmen. The fact appears to be, that ought is not predicable of governments. The question is not why governments are bound not to do this or that,. but why other men should let them if they can help it. The point is not to determine why the lion should not cat sheep, but why men should not cat their own mutton if they can."

> The principle of Mr. Bentham, if we understand it, is this, that mankind ought to act so as to produce their greatest happiness. The word ought, he tells us, has no meaning, unless it be used with reference to some interest. But the interest of a man is synonymous with his greatest happiness:and therefore to say that a man ought to do a thing, is to say that it is for his reatest happiness to do it. And to say that mankind ought to act so as to produce their greatest happiness, is to say that the greatest happiness is the greatest happiness—and this is all!

> Does Mr. Bentham's principle tend to make any man wish for anything.

for which he would not have wished, or do anything which he would no have done, if the principle had nevel been heard of? If not, it is an utterl useless principle. Now, every man pursues his own happiness or interestcall it which you will. If his happi ness coincides with the hapiness of the species, then, whether he ever heard of the "greatest happiness principle' or not, he will, to the best of his know ledge and ability, attempt to produce the greatest happiness of the species. But, if what he thinks his happines: be inconsistent with the greatest happiness of mankind, will this new principle convert him to another frame of mind Mr. Bentham himself allows, as we have seen, that he can give no reasor why a man should promote the greatest happiness of others if their greates happiness be inconsistent with what he thinks his own. We should very much like to know how the Utilitarian prin ciple would run when reduced to one plain imperative proposition? Will it run thus—pursue your own happiness? This is superfluous. Every man pursues it according to his light, and always has pursued it, and always must pursue it. To say that a man has done any thing, is to say that he thought it for his happiness to do it. Will th principle run thus—pursue the greatest happiness of mankind, whether it be your own greatest happiness or not? This is absurd and impossible; and Bentham himself allows it to be so. But, if the principle be not stated one of these two ways, we cannot imagine how it is to be stated at all. Stated in one of these ways, it is an identical proposition, -- true, but utterly they believed in a moral sense, but barren of consequences. Stated in the other way, it is a contradiction in a feeling which they found in their Mr. Bentham has distinctly declined the absurdity. Are we then to suppose that he adopts the truism?

There are thus, it seems, two great truths which the Utilitarian philosophy is to communicate to mankind -two truths which are to produce a revolution in morals, in laws, in governments, in literature, in the whole system of life. The first of these is speculative; the second is practical.

The speculative truth is, that the greatest happiness is the greatest happiness. The practical rule is very simple; for it imports merely that men should never omit, when they wish for any thing, to wish for it, or when they do anything, to do it! It is a great comfort to us to think that we readily assented to the former of these great doctrines as soon as it was stated to us; and that we have long endeavoured, as far as human frailty would permit, to conform to the latter in our practice. We are, however, inclined to suspect that the calamities of the human race have been owing, less to their not knowing that happiness was happiness, than to their not knowing how to obtain it-less to their neglecting to do what they did, than to their not being able to do what they wished. or not wishing to do what they ought.

Thus frivolous, thus uscless is this philosophy. — "controversiarum ferax. operum effects, ad garriendum prompts. ad generandum invalida."\* The humble mechanic who discovers some slight improvement in the construction of safety lamps or steam-vessels does more for the happiness of mankind than the "magnificent principle," as Mr. Bentham calls it, will do in ten thousand years. The mechanic teaches us how we may in a small degree be better off than we were. The Utilitar us with great pomp to be as well off as

ean.

The doctrine of a moral sense may be very unphilosophical; but we do not think that it can be proved to be Men did not entertain pernicious. certain desires and aversions because they gave the name of moral sense to minds, however it came there. If they had given it no name at all it would still have influenced their actions; and it will not be very easy to demonstrate that it has influenced their actions the more because they have called it the moral sense. The theory of the orifinal contract is a fiction, and a very bsurd fiction; but in practice it meant, hat the "greatest happiness principle,"

\* Bacon, Novum Organum.

be sure, that the words "greatest jobber. happiness" will never, in any man's happiness" will never, in any man's The most elevated station that the mouth, mean more than the greatest "greatest happiness principle" is ever uphold the Protestant constitutiontion already enveloped in a sufficiently stood by everybody in the sense which

if ever it becomes a watchword of poli- dark cloud of unmeaning words? Is it tical warfare, will mean—that is to say, so difficult for a man to cant some one whatever served the turn of those who or more of the good old English cants used it. Both the one expression and which his father and grandfather canted the other sound very well in debating before him, that he must learn, in the clubs; but in the real conflicts of life schools of the Utilitarians, a new sleight our passions and interests bid them of tongue, to make fools clap and wise stand aside and know their place. The men sneer? Let our countrymen keep "greatest happiness principle" has al- their eyes on the neophytes of this ways been latent under the words, social sect, and see whether we turn out to be contract, justice, benevolence, patri- mistaken in the prediction which we otism, liberty, and so forth, just as far now hazard. It will before long be as it was for the happiness, real or found, we prophesy, that, as the corimagined, of those who used these ruption of a dunce is the generation words to promote the greatest happi- of an Utilitarian, so is the corruption ness of mankind. And of this we may of an Utilitarian the generation of a

happiness of others which is consistent likely to attain is this, that it may be a with what he thinks his own. The fashionable phrase among newspaper project of mending a bad world by writers and members of parliament teaching people to give new names that it may succeed to the dignity which to old things reminds us of Walter has been enjoyed by the "original con-Shandy's scheme for compensating the tract," by the "constitution of 1688," loss of his son's nose by christening and other expressions of the same kind. him Trismegistus. What society wants We do not apprehend that it is a less is a new motive-not a new cant. If flexible cant than those which have pre-Mr. Bentham can find out any argu- ceded it, or that it will less easily furment yet undiscovered which may nish a pretext for any design for which induce men to pursue the general a pretext may be required. The "orihappiness, he will indeed be a great inal contract" meant in the Convention benefactor to our species. But those Parliament the co-ordinate authority whose happiness is identical with the of the Three Estates. If there were to general happiness are even now pro- be a radical insurrection to-morrow, the moting the general happiness to the "original contract" would stand just as very best of their power and know- well for annual parliaments and uniledge; and Mr. Bentham himself versal suffrage. The "Glorious Conconfesses that he has no means of stitution," again, has meant everything persuading those whose happiness is 'n turn: the Habeas Corpus Act, the not identical with the general happi- suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act, ness to act upon his principle. Is not the Test Act, the Repeal of the Test this, then, darkening counsel by words Act. There has not been for many without knowledge? If the only fruit years a single important measure which of the "magnificent principle" is to be, has not been unconstitutional with its that the oppressors and pilferers of the pronents, and which its supporters next generation are to talk of seeking have not maintained to be agreeable the greatest happiness of the greatest o the true spirit of the constitution. Is number, just as the same class of men .t easier to ascertain what is for the have talked in our time of seeking to greatest happiness of the human race han what is the constitution of Engjust as they talked under Anne of seek- and? If not, the "greatest happiness ing the good of the Church, and under principle" will be what the "principles Cromwell of seeking the Lord—where of the constitution" are, a thing to be is the gain? Is not every great quest appealed to by everybody, and under-

suits him best. It will mean cheap ber. But this direction would be utthe defence. the rectory, and game-laws at the manor-house. The Statute of Uses, in appearance the most sweeping legislative fellow-creatures here. reform in our history, was said to have The universal admission of Mr. Benest happiness of the greatest number," for "under existing circumstances,"claimant. It is on the filling up that everything depends.

The "greatest happiness principle"

bread, dear bread, free trade, protectienly unmeaning, as it actually is in ing duties, annual parliaments, septen-Mr. Bentham's philosophy, unless it nial parliaments, universal suffrage, Old were accompanied by a sanction. In Sarum, trial by jury, martial law-every- the Christian scheme, accordingly, it is thing, in short, good, bad, or indifferent, accompanied by a sanction of immense of which any person, from rapacity or force. To a man whose greatest happifrom benevolence, chooses to undertake ness in this world is inconsistent with It will mean six-and- the greatest happiness of the greatest eightpence with the attorney, tithes at number is held out the prospect of an infinite happiness hereafter, from which he excludes himself by wronging his

This is practical philosophy, as pracproduced no other effect than that of tical as that on which penal legislation adding three words to a conveyance, is founded. A man is told to do something which otherwise he would not do, tham's great principle would, as far as and is furnished with a new motive for we can see, produce no other effect than doing it. Mr. Bentham has no new that those orators who, while waiting motive to furnish his disciples with. for a meaning, gain time (like bankers He has talents sufficient to effect anypaying in sixpences during a run) by thing that can be effected. But to inuttering words that mean nothing would duce men to act without an inducement substitute "the greatest happiness," or is too much, even for him. He should rather, as the longer phrase, "the great-reflect that the whole vast world of morals cannot be moved unless the mover can obtain some stand for his "now that I am on my legs,"—and engines beyond it. He acts as Archi-"Mr. Speaker, I, for one, am free to medes would have done, if he had atsay." In fact, principles of this sort tempted to move the earth by a lever resemble those forms which are sold by fixed on the earth. The action and relaw-stationers, with blanks for the names action neutralise each other. The artist of parties, and for the special circum- labours, and the world remains at rest. stances of every case-mere customary Mr. Bentham can only tell us to do headings and conclusions, which are something which we have always been equally at the command of the most doing, and should still have continued honest and of the most unrighteous to do, if we had never heard of the greatest happiness principle"—or else to do something which we have no conceivable motive for doing, and therefore of Mr. Bentham is included in the shall not do. Mr. Bentham's principle Christian morality; and, to our think- is at best no more than the golden ng, it is there exhibited in an infinitely rule of the Gospel without its sanction. more sound and philosophical form than Whatever evils, therefore, have existed in the Utilitarian speculations. For in in societies in which the authority of the New Testament it is neither an the Gospel is recognised may, a fortiori, identical proposition, nor a contradic- as it appears to us, exist in societies in tion in terms; and, as laid down by which the Utilitarian principle is re-Mr. Bentham, it must be either the one cognised. We do not apprehend that or the other. "Do as you would be it is more difficult for a tyrant or a perdone by: Love your neighbour as your secutor to persuade himself and others self:" these are the precepts of Jesus that in putting to death those who Understood in an enlarged oppose his power or differ from his sense, these precepts are, in fact, a di-rection to every man to promote the happiness," than that he is doing as he greatest happiness of the greatest num-would be done by. But religion gives

him a motive for doing as he would be majority of the community. The quesdone by: and Mr. Bentham furnishes tion of parliamentary reform will share him no motive to induce him to promote the general happiness. If, on the formed in the public mind between Reother hand, Mr. Bentham's principle form and Utilitarianism. mean only that every man should pursue asserts what everybody knows, and recommends what everybody does.

It is not upon this "greatest happiness principle" that the fame of Mr. Bentham will rest. He has not taught people to pursue their own happiness; for that they always did. He has not taught them to promote the happiness of others, at the expense of their own; for that they will not and cannot do. But he has taught them how, in some most important points, to promote their emulated him as successfully in this respect as in the trick of passing off truisms for discoveries, the name of consider themselves as in a more espein common with him but his faults. The whole science of Jurisprudence is discovered truths; all that they have done has been to make those truths unpopular. He investigated the philosophy of law; he could teach them only to snarl at lawyers.

danger to the institutions of this country the foregoing pages. Indeed, we are from the Utilitarians. Our fears are of not sorry that the world should see to see a broad and clear line drawn sidered him as the author of a very tical reform and a sect which, having selves. We wish, however, to intimate derived all its influence from the count to the actual writer of that attack that tenance which they have imprudently our civilities were intended for the aubestowed upon it, hates them with the thor of the "Preuves Judiciaires," and deadly hatred of ingratitude. There is the "Defence of Usury"—and not for not, and we firmly believe that there him. never was, in this country a party so without expressing a wish-though we unpopular. They have already made fear it has but little chance of reachthe science of political economy—a ing Mr. Bentham—that he would enscience of vast importance to the welfare deavour to find better editors for his of nations—an object of disgust to the compositions. If M. Dumont had not

the same fate if once an association be

We bear no enmity to any member his own greatest happiness, he merely of the sect; and for Mr. Bentham we entertain very high admiration. We know that among his followers there are some well-intentioned men, and some men of talents: but we cannot say that we think the logic on which they pride themselves likely to improve their heads, or the scheme of morality which they have adopted likely to improve their hearts. Their theory of morals, however, well deserves an article to itself; and perhaps, on some future occasion, we may discuss it more own happiness; and, if his school had fully than time and space at present allow.

The preceding article was written, Benthamite would have been no word and was actually in types, when a letter for the scoffer. But few of those who from Mr. Bentham appeared in the newspapers, importing that, "though cial manner his followers have anything he had furnished the Westminster Review with some memoranda respecting 'the greatest happiness principle,' he He has done much for political had nothing to do with the remarks economy; but we are not aware that in on our former article." We are truly either department any improvement has happy to find that this illustrious man been made by members of his sect. He had so small a share in a performance which, for his sake, we have treated with far greater lenity than it deserved. The mistake, however, does not in the least affect any part of our arguments; and we have therefore thought it un-We entertain no apprehensions of necessary to cancel or cast anew any of a different kind. We dread the odium how respectfully we were disposed to and discredit of their alliance. We wish treat a great man, even when we con between the judicious friends of prac- weak and very unfair attack on our-We cannot conclude, indeed,

tion from some of his successors, Mr. Bentham would never have attained the distinction of even giving his name it has succeeded far beyond our most to a sect.

## UTILITARIAN THEORY OF GOVERNMENT.

(October 1829.)

Westminster Review, (XXII. Art. 16,) on the Strictures of the Edinburgh Review (XCVIII. Art. 1) on the Utilitarian Theory of Government, and the " Greatest Happiness Principle."

WE have long been of opinion that the Utilitarians have owed all their influence to a mere delusion—that, while professing to have submitted their minds to an intellectual discipline of peculiar severity, to have discarded all sentimentality, and to have acquired consummate skill in the art of reasoning, they are decidedly inferior to the mass of educated men in the very qualities in which they conceive themselves to excel They have undoubtedly freed themselves from the dominion of some absurd notions. But their struggle for intellectual emancipation has ended, as injudicious and violent struggles for political emancipation too often end, in a mere change of tyrants. Indeed, we are not sure that we do not prefer the venerable nonsense which holds prescriptive sway over the ultra-Tory to the upstart dynasty of prejudices and sophisms by which the revolutionists of the moral world have suffered themselves to be enslayed.

The Utilitarians have sometimes been abused as intolerant, arrogant, irreligious, --- as enemies of literature, of the fine arts, and of the domestic They have been reviled for charities. some things of which they were guilty, and for some of which they were inno-But scarcely anybody seems to have perceived that almost all their peculiar faults arise from the utter want both of comprehensiveness and of precision in their mode of reasoning. We

been a rédacteur of a different descrip- tinised, the world would see that it had been under a mistake respecting them.

We have made the experiment; and sanguine expectations. A chosen champion of the School has come forth against A specimen of his logical abilities now lies before us; and we pledge ourselves to show that no probendary at an anti-Catholic meeting, no true-blue baronet after the third bottle at a Pitt Club, ever displayed such utter incapacity of comprehending or answering an argument as appears in the speculations of this Utilitarian apostle; that he does not understand our meaning, of Mr. Mill's meaning, or Mr. Bentham's meaning, or his own meaning; and that the various parts of his systemif the name of system can be so misapplied - directly contradict each other.

Having shown this, we intend to leave him in undisputed possession of whatever advantage he may derive from the last word. We propose only to convince the public that there is nothing in the far-famed logic of the Utilitarians of which any plain man has reason to be afraid; that this logic will npos on no man who dares to look it in the face.

The Westminster Reviewer begins by charging us with having misrepresented an important part of Mr. Mill's argument.

"The first extract given by the Edinburgh Reviewers from the Essay was an insulated passage, purposely despoiled of what had pre-ceded and what followed. The author had been observing, that 'some profound and benevolent investigators of human affairs had adopted the conclusion that, of all the possible forms of government, absolute monarchy is the best. This is what the reviewers have This is what the reviewers have omitted at the beginning. He then adds, as in the extract, that Experience, if we look only at the outside of the fucts, appears to be divided on this subject; there are Caligulas in one place, and kings of Denmark in another. 'As the surface of history afforus, therefore, no certain principle of decision, we must go beyond the surface, and penetrate to the springs within. This is what the Reviewers have omitted at the end."

It is perfectly true that our quotation bave, for some time past, been con- from Mr. Mill's essay was, like most vinced that this was really the case; other quotations, preceded and foland that, whenever their philosophy lowed by something which we did not should be boldly and unsparingly scru- quote. But, if the Westminster Re-

viewer means to say that either what for their lives and their possessions preceded or what followed would, if under Nero and under the National quoted, have shown that we put a Convention, it follows that the causes wrong interpretation on the passage from which misgovernment proceeds which was extracted, he does not un-

derstand Mr. Mill rightly.

principle of decision, we must go beyoud the surface, and penetrate to the springs within." But these expressions will admit of several interpretations. In what sense, then, does Mr. Mill use inspect the facts with close attention, he means what is rational. But, if he means that we ought to leave the facts, For the Danes have no such assembly. with all their apparent inconsistencies, principle of the widest extent, and to by syllogistic argument, without pausing to consider whether those doctrines be or be not consistent with the facts, -then he means what is irrational; and this is clearly what he does mean: for he immediately begins, without offering the least explanation of the contradictory appearances which he has himself described, to go beyond the surface in the following manner:-"That one human being will desire to render the person and property of another subservient to his pleasures, notwithstanding the pain or loss of pleasure which it may occasion to that other individual, is the foundation of government. The desire of the object implies the desire of the power necessary to accomplish the object." And thus he proceeds to deduce consequences directly inconsistent with what he has himself stated respecting the situation of the Danish people.

government is the preservation of the not attained. United States of America, then that bring nearer and nearer to perfection. which makes government good must exist, under whatever disguise of title Mill has been betrayed by taking a or name, both in Denmark and in the different course ought to serve as a United States. If men lived in fear warning to all speculators.

existed both in the despotism of Rome and in the democracy of France. Mr. Mill undoubtedly says that, "as What, then, is that which, being found the surface of history affords no certain in Denmark and in the United States, and not being found in the Roman Empire or under the administration of Robespierre, renders governments, widely differing in their external form, practically good? Be it what it may, them? If he means that we ought to it certainly is not that which Mr. Mill proves a priori that it must be,- a democratic representative assembly.

The latent principle of good governunexplained—to lay down a general ment ought to be tracked, as it appears to us, in the same manner in which deduce doctrines from that principle Lord Bacon proposed to track the principle of Heat. Make as large a list as possible, said that great man, of those bodies in which, however widely they differ from each other in appearance, we perceive heat; and as large a list as possible of those which, while they bear a general resemblance to hot bodies, are nevertheless not hot. Observe the different degrees of heat in different hot bodies; and then, if there be something which is found in all hot bodies, and of which the increase or diminution is always accompanied by an increase or diminution of heat, we may hope that we have really discovered the object of our search. In the same manner we ought to examine the constitution of all those communities in which, under whatever form, the blessings of good government are enjoyed; and to discover, if possible, in what they resemble each other, and in what they all differ from those societies If we assume that the object of in which the object of government is By proceeding thus we persons and property of men, then we shall arrive, not indeed at a perfect must hold that, wherever that object is theory of government, but at a theory attained, there the principle of good which will be of great practical use, government exists. If that object be and which the experience of every attained both in Denmark and in the successive generation will probably

The inconsistencies into which Mr.

Denmark is well governed by a monarch that the division of power can never who, in appearance at least, is absolute, Mr. Mill thinks that the only mode of sible that the equal division of power arriving at the true principles of government is to deduce them a priori from the laws of human nature. what conclusion does he bring out by this deduction? We will give it in his own words:--" In the grand discovery of modern times, the system of representation, the solution of all the difficulties, both speculative and practical, will perhaps be found. If it cannot, we seem to be forced upon the extraordinary conclusion that good government is impossible." That the Danes are well governed without a representation is a reason for deducing the theory of government from a general principle from which it necessarily follows that good government is impossible without a representation! We have done our best to put this question plainly; and we think that, if the Westminster Reviewer will read over what we have written twice or thrice with patience and attention, some glimpse of our meaning will break in even on his mind.

Some objections follow, so frivolous and unfair, that we are almost ashamed to notice them.

"When it was said that there was in Denmark a balanced contest between the king and the nobility, what was said was, that there was a balanced contest, but it did not last. It was balanced till something put an end to the balance; and so is everything else. That such a balance will not last, is precisely what Mr. Mill had demonstrated.

Mr. Mill, we positively affirm, pretends to demonstrate, not merely that a balanced contest between the king and the aristocracy will not last, but that the chances are as infinity to one against the existence of such a balanced contest. This is a mere question of fact. We quote the words of the cssay, and defy the Westminster Reviewer to impeach our accuracy:-

"It seems impossible that such equality should ever exist. How is it to be established? Or by what criterion is it to be ascertained? If there is no such criterion, it must, in all cases, be the result of chance. If so, the chances against it are as infinity to one."

division of power with the balance or that we shall spoil his triumph.

"Mr. Mill payer assistation of nower Mr. Mill payer assistation of equal division of power. Mr. Mill says despotic government does any human being, ex-

exist long, because it is next to imposshould ever exist at all.

"When Mr. Mill asserted that it cannot be for the interest of either the monarchy or the aristocracy to combine with the democracy, it is plain he did not assert that if the monarchy and aristocracy were in doubtful contest with each other, they would not, either of them, accept of the assistance of the democracy. He spoke of their taking the side of the democracy; not of their allowing the democracy to take side with themselves."

If Mr. Mill meant any thing, he must have meant this-that the monarchy and the aristocracy will never forget their enmity to the democracy in their enmity to each other.

"The monarchy and aristocracy," says he, "have all possible motives for endeavouring to obtain unlimited power over the persons and property of the community. The consequence is inevitable. They have all possible motives for combining to obtain that power, and unless the people have power enough to be a match for both they have no protection. The balance, therefore, is a thing the existence of which upon the best possible evidence is to be regarded as impossible."

If Mr. Mill meant only what the Westminster Reviewer conceives him to have meant, his argument would leave the popular theory of the balance quite untouched. For it is the very theory of the balance that the help of the people will be solicited by the nobles when hard pressed by the king, and by the king when hard pressed by the nobles; and that, as the price of giving alternate support to the crown and the aristocracy, they will obtain something for themselves, as the Reviewer admits that they have done in Denmark. If Mr. Mill admits this, he admits the only theory of the balance of which we ever heardthat very theory which he has declared to be wild and chimerical. If he denies it, he is at issue with the Westminster Reviewer as to the phenomena of the Danish government.

We now come to a more important passage. Our opponent has discovered, as he conceives, a radical error which runs through our whole argument, and We suspect vitiates every part of it.

"Mr. Mill never asserted 'that under no

cept the tools of the sovereign, possess more than the necessaries of life, and that the most untense degree of terror is kept up by constant cruelly.' He said that absolute power leads to such results, 'by infallible sequence, where power over a community is attained, and nothing checks.' The critic on the Mount never mad

a more palpable misquotation.

"The spirit of this misquotation runs through every part of the reply of the Edinburgh Review that relates to the Essay on Government; and is repeated in as many shapes as the Roman pork. The whole description of 'Mr. Mill's argument against despotism, including the illustration from right-angled triangles and the square of the hypothenuse,—is founded on this invention of saying what an author has not said, and leaving unsaid what he has.

We thought, and still think, for reasons which our readers will soon understand, that we represented Mr. Mill's principle quite fairly, and according to the rule of law and common sense, ut tion; and which, therefore, though corres magis valeat quam pereat. Let u: however, give him all the advantage of in practice utterly false. the explanation tendered by his advo- Mill professes to prove only that absocate, and see what he will gain by it.

The Utilitarian doctrine then is, not that despots and aristocracies will always plunder and oppress the people to the last point, but that they will do so

if nothing checks them.

In the first place, it is quite clear that the doctrine thus stated is of no use at all, unless the force of the checks be estimated. The first law of motion is, that a ball once projected will fly on to all eternity with undiminished velocity, unless something checks. The after proceeding a few yards with very variable motion. with equal propriety turn the maxim doing so.

If there be, as the Westminster Re- the wretchedness of the people. viewer acknowledges, certain checks most arbitrary in seeming, sometimes will, and want of power. Now, if a king peodace good government, and almost or an aristocracy, having the power to

always place some restraint on the rapacity and cruelty of the powerful, surely the knowledge of those checks, of their nature, and of their effect, must be a most important part of the science of government. Does Mr. Mill say anything upon this part of the subject? Not one word.

The line of defence now taken by the Utilitarians evidently degrades Mr. Mill's theory of government from the rank which, till within the last few months, was claimed for it by the whole sect. It is no longer a practical system, fit to guide statesmen, but merely a barren exercise of the intellect, like those propositions in mechanics in which the effect of friction and of the resistance of the air is left out of the quesrectly deduced from the premises, are For, if Mr. lute monarchy and aristocracy are pernicious without checks,-if he allows that there are checks which produce good government even under absolute monarchs and aristocracies,—and if he omits to tell us what those checks are, and what effects they produce under different circumstances, -he surely gives

no information which can be of real utility.

But the fact is, -and it is most extraordinary that the Westminster Reviewer should not have perceived it,fact is, that a ball stops in a few seconds that, if once the existence of checks on the abuse of power in monarchies and Every man would aristocracies be admitted, the whole of wring his child's neck and pick his Mr. Mill's theory falls to the ground at friend's pocket if nothing checked him. once. This is so palpable, that, in spite In fact, the principle thus stated means of the opinion of the Westminster Rconly that governments will oppress un-viewer, we must acquit Mr. Mill of less they abstain from oppressing. This having intended to make such an adis quite true, we own. But we might mission. We still think that the words, "where power over a community is atround, and lay it down, as the funda- tained, and nothing checks," must not mental principle of government, that be understood to mean that under a all rulers will govern well, unless some monarchical or aristocratical form of motive interferes to keep them from government there can really be any check which can in any degree mitigate

For all possible checks may be classwhich, under political institutions the ed under two general heads,—want of plunder and oppress the people, can want the will, all Mr. Mill's principle of human nature must be pronounce unsound. He tells us, "that the desire to possess unlimited power of inflicting pain upon others, is an inseparable part of human nature;" and that "a chain of inference, close and strong to a most unusual degree," leads to the conclusion that those who possess this power will always desire to use it. It is plain therefore, that, if Mr. Ei'll's principles be sound, the check on a monarchical or an aristocratical government will not be the want of will to oppress.

If a king or an aristocracy, having, as Mr. Mill tells us that they always must have, the will to oppress the people with the utmost severity, want the power, then the government, by whatever name it may be called, must be virtually a mixed government or a pure democracy: for it is quite clear that the people possess some power in the state-some means of influencing the nominal rulers. But Mr. Mill ha demonstrated that no mixed government can possibly exist, or at least that such a government must come to a very speedy end; therefore, every country in which people not in the service of the government have, for any length of time, been permitted to ac cumulate more than the bare means of subsistence must be a pure democracy. That is to say, France before the revolution, and Ireland during the last century, were pure democracies. Prussia, Austria, Russia, all the governments of the civilised world, are pure democracies. If this be not a reductio ad absurdum, we do not know what is.

The errors of Mr. Mill proceed principally from that radical vice in his reasoning which, in our last number, we described in the words of Lord Bacon. The Westminster Reviewer is unable to discover the meaning of our extracts from the Novum Organum, and expresses himself as follows?

"The quotations from Lord Bacon are misapplications, such as anybody may make to any thing he dislikes. There is no more resemblance between pain, pleasure, motives, &c., and substantia, generatio, corruptio, elemental, m, materia,—than between lines, angles, magnitudes, &c., and the same."

It would perhaps be unreasonable to expect that a writer who cannot understand his own English should understand Lord Bacon's Latin. We will therefore attempt to make our meaning clearer.

What Lord Bacon blames in the schoolmen of his time is this.-that they reasoned syllogistically on words which had not been defined with precision; such as moist, dry, generation, corruption, and so forth. Mr. Mill's error is exactly of the same kind. reasons syllogistically about power, pleasure, and pain, without attaching any definite notion to any one of those words. There is no more resemblance, says the Westminster Reviewer, between pain and substantia than between pain and a line or an angle. By his permission, in the very point to which Lord Bacon's observation applies, Mr. Mill's subjects do resemble the substantia and elementum of the schoolmen and differ from the lines and magnitudes of Euclid. We can reason a priori on mathematics, because we can define with an exactitude which precludes all possibility of confusion. If a mathematician were to admit the least laxity into his notions, if he were to allow himself to be deluded by the vague sense which words bear in popular use, or by the aspect of an ill-drawn diaram, if he were to forget in his reasonings that a point was indivisible, or that the definition of a line excluded breadth, there would be no end to The schoolmen tried to nis blunders. reason mathematically about things which had not been, and perhaps could not be, defined with mathematical accuacv. We know the result. Mr. Mill has in our time attempted to do the same. He talks of power, for exam-ple, as if the meaning of the word power were as determinate as the neaning of the word circle. But, when we analyse his speculations, we find that his notion of power is, in the words of Bacon, "phantastica et male terminata."

There are two senses in which we may use the word power, and those words which denote the various distriutions of power, as, for example, nonarchy;—the one sense popular and

superficial,—the other more scientific of the external semblance of power, clusions at last which suit neither.

The state of those two communities delusive. an aristocratical republic. monarchies of our time, is ascribed to believe, at the present moment a single the willing obedience of others. sovereign in our part of the world who

ment by power? If Mr. Mill speaks have seconded him. But, if his army

and accurate. Mr. Mill, since he chose of power recognised by the theory of to reason a priori, ought to have clearly the constitution,—he is palpably wrong. pointed out in which sense he intended In England, for example, we have had to use words of this kind, and to have for ages the name and form of a mixed adhered inflexibly to the sense on which government, if nothing more. Indeed, he fixed. Instead of doing this, he flies Mr. Mill himself owns that there are backwards and forwards from the one appearances which have given colour sense to the other, and brings out con- to the theory of the balance, though he maintains that these appearances are But, if he uses the word to which he has himself referred—the power in a deeper and philosophical kingdom of Denmark and the empire sense, he is, if possible, still more in the of Rome-may serve to illustrate our wrong than on the former supposition. meaning. Looking merely at the sur- For, if he had considered in what the face of things, we should call Denmark power of one human being over other a despotic monarchy, and the Roman human beings must ultimately consist, world, in the first century after Christ, he would have perceived, not only that Caligula there are mixed governments in the was, in theory, nothing more than a world, but that all the governments in magistrate elected by the senate, and the world, and all the governments subject to the senate. That irrespon-which can even be conceived as exist-sible dignity which, in the most limited ing in the world, are virtually mixed.

If a king possessed the lamp of Aladthe person of the sovereign never be- din,—if he governed by the help of a longed to the earlier Cæsars. The sengenius who carried away the daughters tence of death which the great council and wives of his subjects through the of the commonwealth passed on Nero air to the royal Parc-aux-cerfs, and was strictly according to the theory turned into stone every man who wagged of the constitution. Yet, in fact, the a finger against his majesty's governpower of the Roman emperors ap- ment, there would indeed be an unmixed proached nearer to absolute dominion despotism. But, fortunately, a ruler than that of any prince in modern can be gratified only by means of his Europe. On the other hand, the King subjects. His power depends on their of Denmark, in theory the most de- obedience; and, as any three or four of spotic of princes, would in practice find them are more than a match for him it most perilous to include in cruelty by himself, he can only enforce the unand licentiousness. Nor is there, we willing obedience of some by means of

Take any of those who are popularly has so much real power over the lives called absolute princes-Napoleon for of his subjects as Robespierre, while he example. Could Napoleon have walked lodged at a chandler's and dined at a through Paris, cutting off the head of restaurateur's, exercised over the lives one person in every house which he of those whom he called his fellow- passed? Certainly not without the assistance of an army. If not, why not? Mr. Mill and the Westminster Re- Because the people had sufficient phyviewer seem to agree that there cannot sical power to resist him, and would long exist in any society a division of have put forth that power in defence power between a monarch, an aristo- of their lives and of the lives of their cracy, and the people, or between any children. In other words, there was a two of them. However the power be portion of power in the democracy under distributed, one of the three parties Napoleon. Napoleon might probably will, according to them, inevitably monopolise the whole. Now, what is here gious freak of power if his army would had taken part with the people, he woulhave found himself utterly helpless and, even if they had obeyed his orders against the people, they would not have suffered him to decimate their own body. In other, words, there was a portion of power in the hands of a minority of the people, that is to say, in the hands of an aristocracy, under the reign of Napoleon.

To come nearer home, - Mr. Mill tells us that it is a mistake to imagine that the English government is mixed. He holds, we suppose, with all the politicians of the Utilitarian school, that it is purely aristocratical. There certainly is an aristocracy in England; and we are afraid that their power is greate than it ought to be. They have power enough to keep up the game-laws an corn-laws; but they have not power enough to subject the bodies of men of the lowest class to wanton outrage at their pleasure. Suppose that they were to make a law that any gentleman of two thousand a-year might have day-labourer or a pauper flogged with a cat-of-nine-tails whenever the whin might take him. It is quite clear that the first day on which such flagellation should be administered would be the last day of the English aristocracy. In this point, and in many other points which might be named, the commonalty in our island enjoy a security quite as complete as if they exercised the right of universal suffrage. We say, therefore, that the English people have in their own hands a sufficient guarantee that in some points the aristocracy will conform to their wishes;—in other words, they have a certain portion of power over the aristocracy. Therefore the English government is mixed.

Wherever a king or an oligarchy refrains from the last extremity of rapacity and tyranny through fear of the resistance of the people, there the constitution, whatever it may be called, is in some measure democratical. The admixture of democratic power may be slight. It may be much slighter than it ought to be; but some admixture there is. Wherever a numerical minority, by means of superior wealth or in its gallery may silence its deliberantelligence, of political concert, or of

military discipline, exercises a greater influence on the society than any other equal number of persons, -there, whatever the form of government may be called, a mixture of aristocracy does in fact exist. And, wherever a single man, from whatever cause, is so necessary to the community, or to any portion of it, that he possesses more power than any other man, there is a mixture of monarchy. This is the philosophical classification of governments: and if we use this classification we shall find, not only that there are mixed governments, but that all governments are, and must always be, mixed. we may safely challenge Mr. Mill to give any definition of power, or to make any classification of governments, which shall bear him out in his assertion that a lasting division of authority is impracticable.

It is evidently on the real distribution of power, and not on names and badges, that the happiness of nations must depend. The representative system, though doubtless a great and precious discovery in politics, is only one of the many modes in which the democratic part of the community can efficiently check the governing few. That certain men have been chosen as depuies of the people, -that there is a piece of paper stating such deputies to posess certain powers, - these circumtances in themselves constitute no ecurity for good government. constitution nominally existed in France; while, in fact, an oligarchy of ommittees and clubs trampled at once on the electors and the elected. Reresentation is a very happy contriance for enabling large bodies of men o exert their power with less risk of isorder than there would otherwise But, assuredly, it does not of itelf give power. Unless a representaive assembly is sure of being suported in the last resort by the physial strength of large masses who have pirit to defend the constitution and ense to defend it in concert, the mob f the town in which it meets may verawe it ;-the howls of the listeners in its gallery may silence its deliberamay dissolve it. And, if that sense see a certain police kept up; the weak diffused through a society, then, ever society will enjoy many of the blessings of good government.

Which is the better able to defend himself, -a strong man with nothing but his fists, or a paralytic cripple en cumbered with a sword which he cannot lift? Such, we believe, is the difference between Denmark and some new republics in which the constitutional forms of the United States have been most sedulously imitated.

Look at the Long Parliament on the day on which Charles came to seize the five members: and look at it again or the day when Cromwell stamped with his foot on its floor. On which day was its apparent power the greater? less? Nominally subject, it was able to defy the sovereign. Nominally sovereign, it was turned out of doors by its servant.

Constitutions are in politics what paper money is in commerce. They afford great facilities and conveniences. But we must not attribute to them that value which really belongs to what they represent. They are not power. emergency, prove altogether useless seem to think, a thing sue generis. made up of all the means which all or pain to each other.

nature of a circulating medium by the to those who wish to comprehend the ties in which no such signs exist; for nations. There we find nothing analogous to a constitution: but do we not

and that spirit of which we speak be to a certain degree protected; the strong to a certain degree restrained. We see without a representative assembly, that the principle of the balance in constant operation. We see the whole system sometimes undisturbed by any attempt at encroachment for twenty or thirty years at a time; and all this is produced without a legislative assembly, or an executive magistracy-without tribunals—without any code which deserves the name; solely by the mutual hopes and fears of the various members of the federation. In the community of nations, the first appeal is to physical force. In communities of men, forms of government serve to put off that appeal, and often render it unnecessary. But it is still open to the oppressed or the ambitious.

Of course, we do not mean to deny On which day was its real power the that a form of government will, after it has existed for a long time, materially affect the real distribution of power throughout the community. This is because those who administer a government, with their dependants, form a compact and disciplined body, which, acting methodically and in concert, is more powerful than any other equally numerous body which is inferior in The power of rulers is organisation. but symbols of power, and will, in an not, as superficial observers sometimes unless the power for which they stand is exactly similar in kind, though be forthcoming. The real power by generally superior in amount, to that which the community is governed is of any set of conspirators who plot to overthrow it. We have seen in our its members possess of giving pleasure time the most extensive and the best organised conspiracy that ever existed Great light may be thrown on the -a conspiracy which possessed all the elements of real power in so great a phenomena of a state of barter. And degree that it was able to cope with a in the same manner it may be useful strong government, and to triumph over it—the Catholic Association, An nature and operation of the outward Utilitarian would tell us, we suppose, signs of power to look at communi- that the Irish Catholics had no porion of political power whatever on the example, at the great community of first day of the late Session of Parliament.

Let us really go beyond the surface find a government? We do in fact of facts: let us, in the sound sense of find government in its purest, and the words, penetrate to the springs simplest, and most intelligible form, within; and the deeper we go the We see one portion of power acting di-more reason shall we find to smile at rectly on another portion of power. We hose theorists who hold that the sole

thre sum and a ballot-box.

minster Reviewer. ragraph is an excellent specimen of his peculiar mode of understanding and answering arguments.

"The reply to the argument against 'saturation,' supplies its own answer. The reason why it is of no use to try to 'saturate' is pre cisely what the Edinburgh Reviewers have suggested, - 'that there is no limit to the num-ber of thieves.' There are the thieves, and the thieves' cousins,-with their men-servants, their maid-servants, and their little ones, to the fortieth generation. It is true, that 'a man cannot become a king or a member of the aristocracy whenever he chooses;' but if there is to be no lunit to the depredators except their own inclination to increase and multiply, the situation of those who are to suffer is as wretched as it needs be. It is impossible to define what are 'corporal pleasures.' A Duchess of Cleveland was a 'corporal pleasure.' The most disgraceful period in the history of any nation—that of the Restoration-presents an instance of the length to which it is possible to go in an attempt to 'saturate' with pleasures of this kind.'

To reason with such a writer is like talking to a deaf man who catches at a stray word, makes answer beside the mark, and is led further and further into error by every attempt to explain. Yet, that our readers may fully appreciate the abilities of the new philosophers, we shall take the trouble to go over some of our ground again.

Mr. Mill attempts to prove that there is no point of saturation with the obcies will necessarily oppress and pillage creatures, no doubt. the people to a frightful extent.

We answered in substance thus, them is not a bodily pleasure. body else of a share. these every man is soon satisfied. A speaking of sensual pleasures directly

hope of the human race is in a rule-of- | With the pleasures which belong to us as reasoning and imaginative beings We must now return to the West- we are never satiated, it is true; but The following pa- then, on the other hand, many of those pleasures can be obtained without injury to any person, and some of them can be obtained only by doing good to

The Westminster Reviewer, in his former attack on us, laughed at us for saying that a king or an aristocracy could not be easily satiated with the pleasures of sense, and asked why the same course was not tried with thieves. We were not a little surprised at so silly an objection from the pen, as we imagined, of Mr. Bentham. We returned, however, a very simple answer. There is no limit to the number of thieves. Any man who chooses can steal: but a man cannot become a member of the aristocracy or a king whenever he chooses. To satiate one thief, is to tempt twenty other people to steal. But by satiating one king or five hundred nobles with bodily pleasures we do not produce more kings or more nobles. The answer of the Westminster Reviewer we have quoted above; and it will amply repay our readers for the trouble of examining it. We never read any passage which indicated notions so vague and confused. The number of the thieves, ays our Utilitarian, is not limited. For there are the dependents and friends jects of human desire. He then takes of the king and of the nobles. Is it it for granted that men have no objects possible that he should not perceive of desire but those which can be ob- that this comes under a different head? tained only at the expense of the The bodily pleasures which a man in happiness of others. Hence he infers power dispenses among his creatures that absolute monarchs and aristocra- are bodily pleasures as respects his But the pleasure which he derives from bestowing There are two kinds of objects of de- one of those pleasures which belong sire; those which give mere bodily plea- to him as a reasoning and imaginative sure, and those which please through being. No man of common underthe medium of associations. Objects standing can have failed to perceive of the former class, it is true, a man that, when we said that a king or an cannot obtain without depriving some- aristocracy might easily be supplied to But then with satiety with sensual pleasures, we were king or an aristocracy cannot spend enjoyed by themselves. But "it is any very large portion of the national impossible," says the Reviewer, "to wealth on the mere pleasures of sense. define what are corporal pleasures."

the attempt. land was a corporal pleasure." And attention. to this wise remark is appended a note, setting forth that Charles the Second gave to the Duchess of Cleveland the money which he ought to have spen on the war with Holland. We scarcely know how to answer a man who unites so much pretension to so much igno-There are, among the many Utilitarians who talk about Hume, Condillac, and Hartley, a few who have read those writers. Let the Reviewer ask one of these what he thinks on the subject. We shall not undertake to whip a pupil of so little promise through his first course of metaphysics. We shall, therefore, only say -leaving him to guess and wonder what we can mean-that, in our opinion, the Duchess of Cleveland was not a merely corporal pleasure,---that the feeling which leads a prince to prefer one woman to all others, and to lavish the wealth of kingdoms on her, is a feeling which can only be explained by the law of association.

But we are tired, and even more ashamed than tired, of exposing these blunders. The whole article is of a One passage, however, we must select, because it contains a very gross misrepresentation.

"They never alluded to the French Revolution for the purpose of proving that the poor were inclined to rob the rich.'. They only said, 'as soon as the poor again began to compare their cottages and salads with the hotels and banquets of the rich, there would have been another scramble for property, another general confiscation,' &c.

We said that, if Mr. Mill's principles of human nature were correct, there would have been another scramble for property, and another confiscation. We perticularly pointed this out in our last article. We showed the Westminster, Reviewer that he had misunderstood us. We dwelt particularly on the
dicable of governments. The question is not, condition which was introduced into why governments are bound not to do this our statement. We said that we had or that, but why other men should let them not given, and did not mean to give if they can help it. The point is not to

Our brother would indeed, we suspect, any opinion of our own. And, after find it a difficult task; nor, if we are this, the Westminster Reviewer thinks to judge of his genius for classification proper to repeat his former misreprefrom the specimen which immediately sentation, without taking the least nofollows, would we advise him to make tice of that qualification to which we, "A Duchess of Cleve- in the most marked manner, called his

> We hasten on to the most curious part of the article under our consideration—the defence of the "greatest happiness principle." The Reviewer charges us with having quite mistaken its nature.

> "All that they have established is, that they do not understand it. Instead of the truism of the Whigs, 'that the greatest hap-piness is the greatest happiness,' what Mr. Bentham had demonstrated, or at all events had laid such foundations that the was no trouble in demonstrating, was, that the greatest happiness of the individual was in the long run to be obtained by pursuing the greatest happiness of the aggregate.

> It was distinctly admitted by the Westminster Reviewer, as we remarked in our last article, that he could give no answer to the question, -- why governments should attempt to produce the greatest possible happiness? The Re-

ewer replies thus:---

"Nothing of the kind will be admitted at all. In the passage thus selected to be tacked to the other, the question started was, concerning 'the object of government;' in which government was spoken of as operation, not as anything that is capab of feeling pleasure or pain. In this sen: it is true enough, that ought is not predicable of governments.

We will quote, once again, the passage which we quoted in our last Number; and we really hope that our brother critic will feel something like shame while he peruses it.

"The real answer appeared to be, that men at large ought not to allow a government to afflict them with more evil or less good, than they can help. What a government ought to do is a mysterious and searching question which those may answer who know what it means; but what other men ought to do is a question of no mystery at all. The word ought, if it means any thing, must have reference to some kind of interest or motives; and what interest a government has in doing right, when it happens to be interested in not given, and did not mean to give, determine why the lion should not cat sheep,

but why men should not eat their own muttor if they can."

We defy the Westminster Reviewe to reconcile this passage with the "general happiness principle" as h now states it. He tells us that he meant by government, not the peopl invested with the powers of government, but a mere operation incapable of feeling pleasure or pain. We say that he meant the people invested wit the powers of government, and nothing It is true that ought is not pre dicable of an operation. But wh would ever dream of raising any question about the duties of an operation i What did the Reviewer mean by saying, that a government could not be interested in doing right because it was interested in doing wrong? Can an operation be interested in either? And what did he mean by his comparison about the lion? Is a lion an operation incapable of pain or pleasure? And what did he mean by the expression, "other men," so obviously opposed to the word "government?" But let the public judge between us. It is superfluous to argue a point so clear.

The Reviewer does indeed seem to feel that his expressions cannot be explained away, and attempts to shuffle out of the difficulty by owning, that "the double meaning of the word government was not got clear of without confusion." He has now, at all events, he assures us, made himself master of Mr. Bentham's philosophy. The real and genuine "greatest happi ness principle" is, that the greatest happiness of every individual is identical with the greatest happiness of society; and all other "greatest happi-

principle

there is anything opposed to it in any former statement it may be corrected

by the present."

Assuredly, if a fair and honourable opponent had, in discussing a question so abstruse as that concerning the origin of moral obligation, made some unguarded admission inconsistent with the spirit of his doctrines, we should

But no tenderness is due to a writer who, in the very act of confessing his blunders, insults those by whom his blunders have been detected, and accuses them of misunderstanding what, in fact, he has himself mis-stated.

The whole of this transaction illustrates excellently the real character of this sect. A paper comes forth, professing to contain a full development of the "greatest happiness principle," with the latest improvements of Mr. Bentham. The writer boasts that his article has the honour of being the announcement and the organ of this wonderful discovery, which is to make "the bones of sages and patriots stir within their tombs." This "magnificent principle" is then stated thus: Mankind ought to pursue their greatest happiness. But there are persons whose interest is opposed to the greatest happiness of mankind. Ought is not predicable of such persons. For the word ought has no meaning unless it be used with reference to some interest.

We answered, with much more lenity than we should have shown to such nonsense, had it not proceeded, as we supposed, from Mr. Bentham, that inerest was synonymous with greatest happiness; and that, therefore, if the word ought has no meaning, unless used with reference to interest, then, to say hat mankind ought to pursue their greatest happiness, is simply to say, hat the greatest happiness is the greatst happiness; that every individual pursues his own happiness; that either what he thinks his happiness must coincide with the greatest happiness of ociety or not; that, if what he thinks is happiness coincides with the greatest happiness of society, he will attempt o promote the greatest happiness of ociety whether he ever heard of the 'greatest happiness principle" or not; nd that, by the admission of the Westminster Reviewer, if his happiness is nconsistent with the greatest happiness of society, there is no reason why he hould promote the greatest happiness f society, Now, that there are indiiduals who think that for their happiness which is not for the greatest not be inclined to triumph over him. happiness of society is evident. The

Westminster Reviewer allowed that with all the possibilities of hanging not got some of these individuals were in the right; and did not pretend to give any reason which could induce any one of rected by the present." them to think himself in the wrong. So that the "magnificent principle" turned out to be, either a truism or a contradiction in terms; either this maxim-"Do what you do;" or this maxim, "Do what you cannot do."

The Westminster Reviewer had the wit to see that he could not defend this. palpable nonsense; but, instead of manfully owning that he had misunderstood the whole nature of the "greatest happiness principle" in the summer, and had obtained new light during the sutumn, he attempts to withdraw the former principle unobserved, and to substitute another, directly opposed to it, in its place; clamouring all the time against our unfairness, like one who, while changing the cards, diverts the attention of the table from his sleight of hand by vociferating charges of foul play against other people.

The "greatest happiness principle" for the present quarter is then this,that every individual will best promote his own happiness in this world, religious considerations being left out of the question, by promoting the greatest happiness of the whole species. And this principle, we are told, holds good with respect to kings and aristocracies

as well as with other people.

" It is certain that the individual operators in any government, if they were thoroughly intelligent and entered into a perfect calculation of all existing chances, would seek for their own happiness in the promotion of the general; which brings them, if they knew it, under Mr. Bentham's rule. The mistake of supposing the contrary, lies in confounding criminals who have had the luck to escape punishment with those who have the risk still before them. Suppose, for instance, a member of the House of Commons were at this moment to debate within himself, whether it would be for his ultimate happiness to begin, according to his ability, to misgovern. If he could be sure of being as lucky as some

over? This is the spirit of Mr. Bentham's principle; and if there is any thing opposed to it in any former statement, it may be cor

We hope that we have now at last got to the real "magnificent principle," -to the principle which is really to make "the bones of the sages and patriots stir." What effect it may produce on the bones of the dead we shall not pretend to decide; but we are sure that it will do very little for the hap-

piness of the living.

In the first place, nothing is more certain than this, that the Utilitarian theory of government, as developed in Mr. Mill's Essay and in all the other works on the subject which have been put forth by the sect, rests on these two principles,—that men follow their interest, and that the interest of individuals may be, and in fact perpetually is, opposed to the interest of society. Unless these two principles be granted, Mr. Mill's Essay does not contain one sound sentence. All his arguments against monarchy and aristocracy, all his arguments in favour of democracy, nay, the very argument by which he shows that there is any necessity for having government at all, must be rejected as utterly worthless.

This is so palpable that even the Westminster Reviewer, though not the most clear-sighted of men, could not help seeing it. Accordingly, he attempts to guard himself against the objection, after the manner of such reasoners, by committing two blunders instead of one. "All this," says he, "only shows that the members of a government would do well if they were all-wise;" and he proceeds to tell us that, as rulers are not all-wise, they will invariably act against this principle wherever they can, so that the democratical checks will still be neces-

If he could be sure of being as lucky as some that any dead and gone, there might be difficulty in flading him an answer. But he is not super; and never can be, till he is dead.

No form which human folly takes is so richly and exquisitely laughable the distance to contemplate, will be made in the spectacle of an Utilitarian in a dilemma. What earthly good can there be in a principle upon which no man the question is, whether thiering in the standard of the thief that has died until he is all-wise? A certain most important doctrine, we are

told, has been demonstrated so clearly that it ought to be the foundation o the science of government. And yet the whole frame of government is to be constituted exactly as if this fundamental doctrine were false, and or will ever act as if he believed it to be true!

The whole argument of the Utilitarians in favour of universal suffrage proceeds on the supposition that even the rudest and most uneducated men to prove it? cannot, for any length of time, be detrue interest. Yet now they tell us that, in all aristocratical communities. the higher and more educated class will, not occasionally, but invariably we can see, is that people may believe a contradiction in terms. If, therefore, viduals will, whenever they have power casions. then government and laws must be viewer. constituted on the supposition that no individual believes, or ever will believe, his own happiness to be identical with the happiness of society. That is to say, government and laws are to be constituted on the supposition that no human being will ever be satisfied by Mr. Bentham's proof of his "greatest happiness principle," — a supposition which may be true enough, but which says little, we think, for the principle in question.

But where has this principle been demonstrated? We are curious, we confess, to see this demonstration which is to change the face of the world and yet is to convince nobody. The most amusing circumstance is that it, or at all events has laid such foun-

monstrating it." Surely it is rather strange that such a matter should be left in doubt. The Reviewer proposed, in his former article, a slight verbal emendation in the statement of the principle; he then announced that the the supposition that no human being principle had received its last improvement; and gloried in the circumstance that the Westminster Review had been selected as the organ of that improvement. Did it never occur to him that one slight improvement to a doctrine is

Mr. Bentham has not demonstrated. luded into acting against their own the "greatest happiness principle," as now stated. He is far too wise a man to think of demonstrating any such thing. In those sections of his Introduction to the Principles of Morals and act against its own interest. Now, the Legislation, to which the Reviewer reonly use of proving anything, as far as fers us in his note, there is not a word of the kind. Mr. Bentham says, most To say that a man does what he truly, that there are no occasions in believes to be against his happiness is which a man has not some motives for consulting the happiness of other men; government and laws are to be consti- and he proceeds to set forth what those tuted on the supposition on which Mr. motives are -sympathy on all occasions, Mill's Essay is founded, that all indi- and the love of reputation on most oc-This is the very doctrine over others put into their hands, act which we have been maintaining against in opposition to the general happiness, Mr. Mill and the Westminster Re-The principal charge which ve brought against Mr. Mill was, that hose motives to which Mr. Bentham ascribes so much influence were quite left out of consideration in his theory. The Westminister Reviewer, in the very article now before us, abuses us for saying, in the spirit, and almost in he words of Mr. Bentham, that "there is a certain check to the rapacity and cruelty of men in their desire of the good opinion of others." But does his principle, in which we fully agree ith Mr. Bentham, go the length of he new "greatest happiness prin-iple?" The question is, not whether men have some motives for promoting the greatest happiness, but whether the tronger motives be those which impel the Westminster Reviewer himself does them to promote the greatest happiness. not seem to know whether the principle That this would always be the case if has been demonstrated or not. "Mr. men knew their own worldly interests Bentham," he says, "has demonstrated is the assertion of the Reviewer. As ie expresses some doubt whether Mr. dations that there is no trouble in de- Bentham has demonstrated this or **n** 2

not, we would advise him to set the brave the risk of detection and punishstration.

The Reviewer has not attempted to greatest happiness principle;" but he has tried to prove that it holds good in one or two particular cases. And even in those particular cases he has utterly failed. A man, says he, who calculated the chances fairly would perceive that it would be for his greatest happiness to abstain from stealing; for a thief runs a risk of being hanged than an honest places, and visiting a dashing mistress,

man.

It would have been wise, we think, in the Westminster Reviewer, before he entered on a discussion of this sort, to settle in what human happiness consists. Each of the ancient sects subject which served for a distinguishfrom the passage which we are now considering, is the not being hanged.

That it is an unpleasant thing to be hanged, we most willingly concede to our brother. But that the whole questhan governor of India?

hanged as correctly as honest men. It very judiciously? never seems to have occurred to him as possible that a man may so greatly nature to suppose that another man prefer the life of a thief to the life of calculates the chances differently from a labourer that he may determine to us, merely because he does what, in his

point at rest by giving his own demon- ment, though he may even think that risk greater than it really is. And how, on Utilitarian principles, is such a man give a general confirmation of the to be convinced that he is in the wrong? "You will be found out." --"Undoubtedly."--"You will be hanged within two years."-"I expect to be hanged within one year."-"Then why do you pursue this lawless mode of life?"-"Because I would rather live for one year with plenty of money, dressed like a gentleman, eating and drinking of the best, frequenting public than break stones on the road, or sit down to the loom, with the certainty of attaining a good old age. It is my humour. Are you answered?"

A king, says the Reviewer again, would govern well, if he were wise, for of philosophy held some tenet on this fear of provoking his subjects to insurrection. Therefore, the true happiness ing badge. The summum bonum of of a king is identical with the greatest the Utilitarians, as far as we can judge happiness of society. Tell Charles II. that, if he will be constant to his queen, sober at table, regular at prayers, frugal in his expenses, active in the transaction of business, if he will drive the herd of slaves, buffoons, and procurers from tion of happiness or misery resolves Whitehall, and make the happiness of itself into this single point, we cannot his people the rule of his conduct, he so easily admit. We must look at the will have a much greater chance of thing purchesed as well as the price reigning in comfort to an advanced ago; paid for it. A thief, assuredly, runs a that his profusion and tyranny have greater risk of being hanged than a exasperated his subjects, and may, perlabourer; and so an officer in the haps, bring him to an end as terrible army runs a greater risk of being shot as his father's. He might answer, that than a banker's clerk; and a governor he saw the danger, but that life was not of India runs a greater risk of dying of worth having without ease and vicious cholera than a lord of the bedchamber. pleasures. And what has our philoso-But does it therefore follow that every pher to say? Does he not see that it man, whatever his habits or feelings is no more possible to reason a man out may be, would, if he knew his own of liking a short life and a merry one happiness, become a clerk rather than more than a long life and a dull one a cornet, or goldstick in waiting rather than to reason a Greenlander out of his train oil? We may say that the tastes Nothing can be more absurd than to of the thief and the tyrant differ from suppose, like the Westminster Reviewer, ours; but what right have we to say, that thieves steal only because they looking at this world alone, that they do not calculate the chances of being do not pursue their greatest happiness

It is the grossest ignorance of human

has tastes and propensities, which he Mr. Sadler and Mr. Hume. Now, does is disposed to gratify at a risk and ex- any rational man think, -will the Westpense which people of different temper- minster Reviewer himself say .-- that aments and habits think extravagant. Mr. Sadler runs more risk of coming to "Why," says Horace, "does one brother a miserable end on account of his public like to lounge in the forum, to play in conduct than Mr. Hume? Mr. Sudler the Campus, and to anoint himself in does not know that he is not close on the baths, so well, that he would not the moment when he will be made an put himself out of his way for all the example of; for Mr. Sadler knows, if wealth of the richest plantations of the East; while the other toils from sunrise to sunset for the purpose of increasing his fortune?" Horace attributes the diversity to the influence of the Genius and the natul star: and eighteen hundred years have taught us foolish in him to act on the former only to disguise our ignorance beneath supposition as on the latter a more philosophical language.

minster Reviewer, even if we admit his calculation of the chances to be right, does not make out his case. But he appears to us to miscalculate chances misgovernment will be terribly punished: if he was sure that he should be for his happiness to misgovern; but he is not sure." Certainly a member of Parliament is not sure that he shall not be torn in pieces by a mob, or guillotined by a revolutionary tribunal for his opposition to reform. Nor is the Westminster Reviewer sure that he shall not be hanged for writing in fayour of universal suffrage. We may have democratical massacres. We may also have aristocratical proscriptions. we should see either. But the radical, we think, runs as much danger as the minster Reviewer, he, it must be owned, has as good a right as any men on his most uniformly good. The Westmin-tempts to prove that men do not pro-

place, we should not do. Every man ster Reviewer would probably select possible, less about the future than about the past. But he has no more reason to expect that he shall be made an example of than to expect that London will be swallowed up by an earthquake next spring; and it would be as There is a risk; for there is a risk of every thing We think, therefore, that the West- which does not involve a contradiction; but it is a risk from which no man in his wits would give a shilling to be insured. Yet our Westminster Reviewer tells us that this risk alone, apart from more grossly than any person who ever all considerations of religion, honour, acted or speculated in this world. "It or benevolence, would, as a matter of is for the happiness," says he, "of a mere calculation, induce a wise memmember of the House of Commons to ber of the House of Commons to refuse govern well; for he never can tell that any emoluments which might be offered he is not close on the moment when him as the price of his support to pernicious measures.

We have hitherto been examining be as lucky as his predecessors, it might cases proposed by our opponent. It is now our turn to propose one; and we beg that he will spare no wisdom in solving it.

A thief is condemned to be hanged. On the eve of the day fixed for the execution a turnkey enters his cell and tells him that all is safe, that he has only to slip out, that his friends are waiting in the neighbourhood with disguises, and that a passage is taken for him in an American packet. Now, it It is not very likely, thank God, that is clearly for the greatest happiness of society that the thief should be hanged and the corrupt turnkey exposed and aristocrat. As to our friend the West- punished. Will the Westminster Reiewer tell us that it is for the greatest happiness of the thief to summon the side, "Antoni gludios contemnere." But head jailer and tell the whole story? take the man whose votes, ever since Now, either it is for the greatest haphe has sate in Parliament, have been piness of a thief to be hanged or it is the most uniformly bad, and oppose him not. If it is, then the argument, by to the man whose votes have been the hich the Westminster Reviewer at-

mote their own happiness by thieving, falls to the ground. If it is not, then there are men whose greatest happiness is at variance with the greatest happiness of the community.

To sum up our arguments shortly, we say that the "greatest happiness principle," as now stated, is diametrically opposed to the principle stated in the Westminster Review three months

We say that, if the "greatest happi ness principle," as now stated, be sound, Mr. Mill's Essay, and all other works concerning Government which, like that Essay, proceed on the supposition that individuals may have an interest opposed to the greatest happiness of society, are fundamentally erroneous.

We say that those who hold this principle to be sound must be prepared to maintain, either that monarchs and aristocracies may be trusted to govern the community, or else that men cannot be trusted to follow their own interest when that interest is demon-

strated to them.

when that interest has been demonstrated to them, then the Utilitarian arguments in favour of universal suffrage are good for nothing.

it cannot be generally proved; that out of the question it is not true. might be stated in which the common where is the discovery? sense of mankind would at once pro-

nounce it to be false.

We now leave the Westminster Reviewer to alter and amend his "magnificent principle" as he thinks best. Unlimited, it is false. Properly limited, it will be barren. The "greatest hapbasiness to conjecture what new maxim minute ago; and you must come and

is to make the bones of sages and patriots stir on the 1st of December. We can only say that, unless it be something infinitely more ingenious than its two predecessors, we shall leave it unmolested. The Westminster Reviewer may, if he pleases, indulge himself like Sultan Schahriar with espousing a rapid succession of virgin theories. But we must beg to be excused from playing the part of the vizier who regularly attended on the day after the wedding to strangle the new Sultana.

The Westminster Reviewer charges us with urging it as an objection to the "greatest happiness principle" that "it is included in the Christian morality." This is a mere fiction of his own. We never attacked the morality of the Gospel. We blamed the Utilitarians for claiming the credit of a discovery, when they had merely stolen that morality, and spoiled it in the stealing. They have taken the precept of Christ and left the motive; and they demand the praise of a most wonderful and We say that, if men cannot be beneficial invention, when all that they trusted to follow their own interest have done has been to make a most useful maxim useless by separating it from its sanction. On religious principles it is true that every individual will best promote his own happiness We say that the "greatest happiness by promoting the happiness of others. principle" has not been proved; that But if religious considerations be left even in the particular cases selected by we do not reason on the supposition of the Reviewer it is not clear that the a future state, where is the motive? principle is true; and that many cases If we do reason on that supposition,

The Westminster Reviewer tells us that "we wish to see the science of Government unsettled because we see no prospect of a settlement which accords with our interests." His angry eagerness to have questions settled resembles that of a judge in one of piness principle" of the 1st of July, as Dryden's plays - the Amphitryon, we far as we could discern its meaning think—who wishes to decide a cause through a cloud of rodomontade, was after hearing only one party, and, an idle truism. The "greatest happi- when he has been at last compelled to ness principle" of the 1st of October isten to the statement of the defenis, in the phrase of the American news-dunt, flies into a passion, and exclaims, papers, "important if true." But un- 'There now, sir! See what you have happily it is not true. It is not oun done. The case was quite clear a

We are searchers after truth. He wishes have an opinion about parliamentary to have the question settled. We wish reform, though we have not arrived at to have it sifted first. The querulous that opinion by the royal road which manner in which we have been blamed Mr. Mill has opened for the explorers for attacking Mr. Mill's system, and of political science. As we are taking propounding no system of our own, re- leave, probably for the last time, of minds us of the horror with which that this controversy, we will state very shallow dogmatist, Epicurus, the worst concisely what our doctrines are. On parts of whose nonsense the Utilitarians have attempted to revive, shrank from the keen and searching scepticism of the second Academy.

It is not our fault that an experimental science of vast extent does not admit of being settled by a short demonstration :- that the subtilty of nature, in the moral as in the physical The quack, who declares syllogism. hundreds who had been dismissed intheir youth like the eagles, may, perabout their symptoms, and prescribes a different remedy to each, is unsettling

we have not an opinion or because we which we propose. shrink from avowing it. The Utilitarians, indeed, conscious that their boasted theory of government would not bear investigation, were desirous to turn the dispute about Mr. Mill's Essay into a dispute about the Whig party, rotten boroughs, unpaid magistrates. and ex-officio informations. When we blamed them for talking nonsense, they cried out that they were insulted for being reformers,just as poor Ancient Pistol swore that WE did not expect a good book from the cudgel of Fluellen were got in the not; for he has given us a very bad one. Gallia wars. questions, about which the public mind still. His arrangement is confused, is violently agitated, with a great prob. his repetitions endless, his style everylem in moral philosophy.

He is the zealot of a sect. not, however, altogether unsettled. We some future occasion we may, perhaps, explain and defend them at length.

Our fervent wish, and we will add our sanguine hope, is that we may see such a reform of the House of Commons as may render its votes the express image of the opinion of the middle orders of Britain. A pecuniary qualification we think absolutely necesworld, triumphs over the subtilty of sary; and, in settling its amount, our object would be to draw the line in on affidavit that, by using his pills and such a manner that every decent farmer attending to his printed directions, and shopkeoper might possess the elective franchise. We should wish to see curable from the hospitals have renewed an end put to all the advantages which particular forms of property possess haps, think that Sir Henry Halford, when over other forms, and particular porhe feels the pulses of patients, inquires tions of property over other equal And this would content us. portions. Such a reform would, according to Mr. the science of medicine for the sake of Mill, establish an aristocracy of wealth, and leave the community without pro-If, in the course of this controversy, tection and exposed to all the evils of we have refrained from expressing any unbridled power. Most willingly would opinion respecting the political insti- we stake the whole controversy between tutions of England, it is not because us on the success of the experiment

## SADLER'S LAW OF POPULATION. (JULY 1830.)

The Law of Population; a Treatise in Six Books, in Disproof of the Superfecundity of Human Beings, and developing the real Principle of their Increase. By MICHARL THOMAS SADLER, M.P. 2 vols. Svo. London: 1890.

the sears which he had received from Mr. Sadler: and it is well that we did We, however, did not The matter of his treatise is extraordithink it desirable to mix up political nary; the manner more extraordinary thing which it ought not to be. In-Our notions about Government are stead of saying what he has to say

the simplicity in which consists the as follows: eloquence proper to scientific writing, he indulges without measure in vague, bombastic declaration, made up of those fine things which boys of fifteen admire, and which everybody, who is not destined to be a boy all his life, weeds vigorously out of his compositions after five-and-twenty. That portion of his two thick volumes which is not made up of statistical tables, consists principally of ejaculations, apostrophes, metaphors, similes,-all the worst of their respective kinds. His tertained of any human being. players, who have huddled on suits of like them, again. ragged and faded tinsel, taken from a and cruel system, really does press Titus Oates. "Atrocious," excerable, against the level of the means of sub- 'blasphemous," and other epithets of and resistless hand of necessity presses and the portals of Providence fly open, and disclose to the enraptured gaze the promised land of contented and rewarded labour," These are specimens, taken at random, of Mr. Sadler's eloquence. We could easily multiply them; but our readers, we fear, are already inclined to cry for mercy.

Shakspeare, and sometimes, for aught long time for a man to be in a passion. we know, Mr. Sadler's own. "Let man, cries the philosopher, "take heed Mr. Sadler will not disclaim. His is a

with the perspicuity, the precision, and thereupon he breaks forth into singing

"What myriads wait in destiny's dark womb, Doubtful of life or an eternal tomb!
"Its his to blot them from the book of fate,
Or, like a second Deity, create;
To dry the stream of being in its source, Or bid it, widening, win its restless course; While, earth and heaven replenishing, the flood

Rolls to its Ocean fount, and rests in God."

If these lines are not Mr. Sadler's, we heartily beg his pardon for our suspicion-a suspicion which, we acknowledge, ought not to be lightly enthoughts are dressed up in this shabby can only say that we never met with finery with so much profusion and so them before, and that we do not much little discrimination, that they remind care how long it may be before we us of a company of wretched strolling meet with them, or with any others

The spirit of this work is as bad as common wardrobe, and fitting neither its style. We never met with a book their persons nor their parts; and who which so strongly indicated that the then exhibit themselves to the laughing writer was in a good humour with himand pitying spectators, in a state of self, and in a bad humour with everystrutting, ranting, painted, gilded body else; which contained so much of beggary. "Oh, rare Daniels!" "Po- that kind of reproach which is vulgarly litical economist, go and do thou like- said to be no slander, and of that kind wise!" "Hear, ye political economists of praise which is vulgarly said to be and anti-populationists!" "Popula- no commendation. Mr. Malthus is attion, if not proscribed and worried down tacked in language which it would be by the Cerborean dogs of this wretched scarcely decent to employ respecting 'blasphemous," and other epithets of sistence, and still elevating that level, the same kind, are poured forth against it continues thus to urge society through that able, excellent, and honourable advancing stages, till at length the strong man, with a profusion which in the early part of the work excites indignathe secret spring of human prosperity, tion, but, after the first hundred pages, produces mere weariness and nausea. In the preface, Mr. Sadler excuses himself on the plea of haste. Two-thirds of his book, he tells us, were written in a few months. If any terms have escaped him which can be construed into personal disrespect, he shall deeply regret that he had not more time to revise Much blank verse and much rhyme is them. We must inform him that the also scattered through these volumes, tone of his book required a very different sometimes rightly quoted, sometimes apology; and that a quarter of a year, wrongly, sometimes good, sometimes though it is a short time for a man to insufferable,—sometimes taken from be engaged in writing a book, is a very

The imputation of being in a passion how he rashly violates his trust;" and theme, he tells us, on which "it were

impious to be calm;" and he boasts that, "instead of conforming to the candour of the present age, he has imitated the honesty of preceding ones, in expressing himself with the utmost plainness and freedom throughout." If Mr. Sadler really wishes that the controversy about his new principle of population should be carried on with all the license of the seventeenth century, we can have no personal objections. We can have no personal objections. are quite as little afraid of a contest in which quarter shall be neither given nor taken as he can be. But we would advise him seriously to consider, before he publishes the promised continuation of his work, whether he be not one of that class of writers who stand peculiarly in need of the candour which he insults, and who would have most to fear from that unsparing severity which he practises and recommends

There is only one excuse for the extreme acrimony with which this book is written; and that excuse is but a bad Mr. Sadler imagines that the theory of Mr. Malthus is inconsistent with Christianity, and even with the purer forms of Deism. Now, even had this been the case, a greater degree of mildness and self-command than Mr. Sadler has shown would have been becoming in a writer who had undertaken to defend the religion of charity. But, in fact, the imputation which has been thrown on Mr. Malthus and his followers is so absurd as scarcely to deserve an answer. As it appears, however, in almost every page of Mr. Sadler's book, we will say a few words respecting it.

Mr. Sadler describes Mr. Malthus's principle in the following words:—

"It pronounces that there exists an evil in the principle of population; an evil, not accidental, but inherent; not of occasional occurrence, but in perpetual operation; not light, transient, or mitigated, but productive of miseries, compared with which all those inflicted by human institutions, that is to say, by the weakness and welckedness of man, however instigated, are 'light:' on evil, finally, for which there is no remedy save &e, which had been long overlooked, and which is now enunciated in terms which evince anything rather than confidence. It is a principle, moreover, pre-eminently bold, as well as 'clear.' With a presumption, to call it by no fitter, name, of which it may be doubted whether literature, heathen or Christian, fur-

nishes a parallel, it professes to trace this supposed evil to its source, the laws of nature, which are those of God; thereby implying, and indeed asserting, that the law by which the Delty multiplies his offspring, and that by which he makey provision for their sustentation, are different, and, indeed, irreconcilable."

"This theory," he adds, "in the plain apprehension of the many, lowers the character of the Deity in that attribute, which, as Rousseau has well observed, is the most essential to him, his goodness; or otherwise, impugns his wisdom."

Now nothing is more certain than that there is physical and moral evil in the world. Whoever, therefore, believes, as we do most firmly believe, in the goodness of God, must believe that there is no incompatibility between the goodness of God and the existence of physical and moral evil. If, then, the goodness of God be not incompatible with the existence of physical and moral vil, on what grounds does Mr. Sadler maintain that the goodness of God is incompatible with the law of population laid down by Mr. Malthus?

Is there any difference between the particular form of evil which would be produced by over-population, and other forms of evil which we know to exist n the world? It is, says Mr. Sadler, not a light or transient evil, but a great and permanent evil. What then? The question of the origin of evil is a question of ay or no, -not a question of more or less. If any explanation can be found by which the slightest inconvenience ever sustained by any sentient being can be reconciled with the divine attribute of benevolence, that explanation will equally apply to the most dreadful and extensive calamities that can ever afflict the human race. lifficulty arises from an apparent contradiction in terms; and that difficulty is as complete in the case of a headache which lasts for an hour as in the case f a pestilence which unpeoples an empire, -in the case of the gust which makes us shiver for a moment as in the ase of the hurricane in which an Armada is cast away.

It is, according to Mr. Sadler, an instance of presumption unparalleled in

literature, heathen or Christian, to trace an evil to "the laws of nature, which are those of God," as its source. Is not hydrophobia an evil? And is it not a law of nature that hydrophobia should be communicated by the bite of a mad dog? Is not malaria an evil? · And is it not a law of nature that in particular situations the human frame should be liable to malaria? We know that there is evil in the world. not to be traced to the laws of nature, how did it come into the world? Is it supernatural? And, if we suppose it to be supernatural, is not the difficulty of reconciling it with the divine attributes as great as if we suppose it to be natural? Or, rather, what do the words natural and supernatural mean when applied to the operations of the Supreme Mind?

Mr. Sadler has attempted, in another part of his work, to meet these obvious arguments, by a distinction without a difference.

"The scourges of human existence, as necessary regulators of the numbers of mankind, it is also agreed by some, are not inconsistent with the wisdom or benevolence of the Governor of the universe; though such think that it is a mere after-concern to 'reconcile the unis an more atter-concern to 'reconcine the un-deniable state of the fact to the attributes we assign to the Delty.' 'The purpose of the earth-quake.' say they,' the hurricane, the drought, or the famine, by which thousands, and sometimes almost millions, of the human race, are at once overwhelmed, or left the victims of lingering want, is certainly inscrutable.' How singular is it that a sophism like this, so false, as a mere illustration, should pass for an argument, as it has long done! The principle of population is declared to be naturally productive of evils to mankind, and as having that constant, and manifest the document of the constant and manifest the document of the constant and manifest the document. stant and manifest tendency to increase their numbers beyond the means of their subsistence, which has produced the unhappy and disgusting consequences so often enumerated. This is, then, its universal tendency or rule. But is there in Nature the same constant But is there in Nature the same consume tendency to these earthquakes, hurricanes, dryughts, and famines, by which so many myrisds, if not millions, are overwhelmed or reduced at once to ruin? No; these awful events are strange exceptions to the ordinary counts of things; their visitations are partial, and they occur at distant intervals of time. While Religion has assigned to them a very white Religion has assigned to them a very white religion has regard to the property of the those streat and benevolent principles of So those great and benevolent principles of Nature by which the universe is regulated. But were there a constantly operating tendency to these calamitous occurrences; did we feel the earth beneath us tremulous, and giving oeaseless and certain tokens of the coming ostastrophe of Nature ; were the hurri-

cane heard mustering its devastating powers, and perpetually muttering around us; were the skies 'like brass,' without a cloud to produce one genial drop to refresh the thirsty earth, and famine, consequently, vieibly on the approach; I say, would such a state of things, as resulting from the constant laws of Nature, be 'reconcilable with the attributes which in these inventive days could be assigned to him, so as to represent him as anything but the tormentor, rather than the kind benefactor, of his creatures? Life, in such a condition, would be like the unceasingly threatened and miscrable existence of Damocles at the table of Dlonysius, and the tyrant himself the worthy image of the Deity of the anti-populationists."

Surely this is wretched trifling. it on the number of bad harvests, or of volcanic eruptions, that this great question depends? Mr. Sadler's piety, it seems, would be proof against one rainy summer, but would be overcome by three or four in succession. On the coasts of the Mediterranean, where earthquakes are rare, he would be an optimist. South America would make him a sceptic, and Java a decided To say that religion as-Manichean. signs a solemn office to these visitations is nothing to the purpose. Why was man so constituted as to need such warnings? It is equally unmeaning to say that philosophy refers these events to benevolent general laws of nature. In so far as the laws of nature produce evil, they are clearly not benevolent. They may produce much good. But why is this good mixed with evil? The most subtle and powerful intellects have been labouring for centuries to solve these difficulties. The true solution, we are inclined to think, is that which has been rather suggested, than developed, by Paley and Butler. But there is not one solution which will not apply quite as well to the evils of over-population as to any other evil. Many excellent people think that it is presumptuous to meddle with such high questions at all, and that, though there doubtless is an explanation, our faculties are not sufficiently enlarged to comprehend that explanation. This mode of getting rid of the difficulty, again, will apply quite as well to the evils of over-population as to any other evils. We are sure that those who humbly confess their inability to expound the

great enigma act more rationally and more decorously than Mr. Sadler, who tells us, with the utmost confidence, which are the means and which the ends, -- which the exceptions and which the rules, in the government of the universe; --- who consents to bear a little evil without denying the divine benevolence, but distinctly announces that certain quantity of dry weather or stormy weather would force him to regard the Deity as the tyrant of his creatures.

The great discovery by which Mr. Sadler has, as he conceives, vindicated the ways of Providence is entunced with all the pomp of capital letters. We must particularly beg that our once have flashed on his mind. readers will peruse it with attention.

" No one fact relative to the human species is more clearly ascertained, whether by general observation or actual proof, than that their fecundity varies in different communities and countries. The principle which effects this variation, without the necessity of those cruel and unnatural expedients so frequently adverted to, constitutes what I presume to call THE LAW OF POPULATION; and that law may be thus briefly enun-

"THE PROLIFICNESS OF HUMAN BEINGS, OTHERWISE SIMILARLY CIRCUMSTANCED, VARIES

INVERSELY AS THEIR NUMBERS.

The preceding definition may be thus amplified and explained. Premising, mere trui-m, that marriages under precisely. similar circumstances will, on the average, he equally fruitful everywhere, I proceed to state, first, that the prolificness of a given number of marriages will, all other circumstances being the same, vary in proportion to the condensation of the population, so that that prolificness shall be greatest where the numbers on an equal space are the fewest, and, on the contrary, the smallest where those numbers are the largest.

Mr. Sadler, at setting out, abuses Mr. Malthus for enouncing his theory in terms taken from the exact sciences. "Applied to the mensuration of human fecundity," he tells us, "the most fallacious of all things is geometrical parent could possibly desire. demonstration :" and he again informs us that those "act an irrational and of our own, look at some of those which irreverent part who affect to measure the mighty depth of God's mercies by their arithmetic, and to demonstrate, by their geometrical ratios, that it is inadequate to receive and contain the It seems to us to prove only that Mr. efflux of that fountain of life which is Sadler does not know what inverse in Him.'

It appears, however, that it is not to the use of mathematical words, but only to the use of those words in their right senses that Mr. Sadler objects. The law of inverse variation, or inverse proportion, is as much a part of mathematical science as the law of The only difgeometric progression. ference in this respect between Mr. Malthus and Mr. Sadler is, that Mr. Malthus knows what is meant by geometric progression, and that Mr. Sadler has not the faintest notion of what is meant by inverse variation. Had he understood the proposition which he has enounced with so much pomp, its ludicrous absurdity must at

Let it be supposed that there is a tract in the back settlements of America, or in New South Wales, equal in size to London, with only a single couple, a man and his wife, living upon it. The population of London, with its immediate suburbs, is now probably about a million and a half. The average fecundity of a marriage in London is, as Mr. Sadler tells us, How many children will the 2.35. woman in the back settlements bear according to Mr. Sadler's theory? The solution of the problem is easy. As the population in this tract in the back settlements is to the population of London, so will be the number of children born from a marriage in London

the number of children born from the marriage of this couple in the back settlements. That is to say-

2:1,500,000::2·35:1,762,500.

The lady will have 1.762,500 children: a large "efflux of the fountain of life," to borrow Mr. Sadler's sonorous rhe-.oric, as the most philoprogenitive

But let us, instead of putting cases Mr. Sadler has brought forward in support of his theory. The following able, he tells us, exhibits a striking proof of the truth of his main position. means.

| Countries.        |       | <br>Inhabitants on a<br>Square Mile,<br>about | Children<br>to a<br>Marriage. |
|-------------------|-------|---|-------------------------------|
| Cape of Good Hope |       | <br>1   | 5.48                          |
| North America     | ••    | <br>4 1                                       | 5.22                          |
| Russia in Europe  |       | <br>23  | 4.94                          |
| Denmark           | • •   | <br>73  | 4.89                          |
| Prussia           |       | <br>100                                       | 4.70                          |
| France            |       | <br>140                                       | 4.22                          |
| England           | • • • | <br>160                                       | 3.66                          |

Is 1 to 160 as 3.66 to 5.48? If Mr. Sadler's principle were just, the number of children produced by a marriage at the Cape would be, not 5.48, but very near 600. Or take America and France. Is 4 to 140 as 4.22 to 5.22? The number of births to a marriage in North America ought, according to this proportion, to be about 150.

Mr. Sadler states the law of population in England thus:-

"Where the inhabitants are found to be on the square mile,

| LLOID On to ton (5 contines) mie n | II cus | w  |
|------------------------------------|--------|----|
| 100 marriages are                  |        | 42 |
| - 100 to 150 (9 counties)          |        | 39 |
| - 150 to 200 (16 counties)         | ••     | 89 |
| - 200 to 250 (4 counties)          | ••     | 38 |
| - 250 to 300 (5 counties)          |        | 37 |
| - 300 to 350 (3 counties)          |        | 35 |
| - 500 to 600 (2 counties)          | ::     | 88 |
| - 4000 and unwards (1 county)      | ••     | 24 |
|                                    |        |    |

" Now, I hink it quite reasonable to conclude, that, were there not another document in existence relative to this subject, the facts thus deduced from the census of England are fully sufficient to demonstrate the position, that the fecundity of human beings varies inversely as their numbers. How, I ask, can it be evaded?"

What, we ask, is there to evade? Is

246 to 420 as 50 to 4000? Is 331 to 396 as 100 to 500? If the law propounded by Mr. Sadler were correct, the births to a hundred marriages in the least populous part of England, would be  $\frac{246 \times 4000}{1000}$ that is 19,680, 50 -nearly two hundred children to every mother. But we will not carry on these calculations. The absurdity of Mr. is unnecessary to select particular the dangers of over-population. extremes of population and fecundity in well-known countries.

which Mr. Sadler generally takes is a square mile. The population at the Cape of Good Hope is, according to him, one to the square mile. of London is two hundred thousand to the square mile. The number of children at the Cape, Mr. Sadler informs us, is 5.48 to a marriage. In London, he states it at 2.35 to a marriage. Now how can that of which all the variations lie between 2:35 and 5:48 vary, either directly or inversely, as that which admits of all the variations between one and two hundred thousand? Mr. Sadler evidently does not know the meaning of the word proportion. A million is a A hundred larger quantity than ten. is a larger quantity than five. Mr. Sadler thinks, therefore, that there is no impropriety in saying that a hundred is to five as a million is to ten, or in the inverse ratio of ten to a million. He proposes to prove that the fecundity of marriages varies in inverse proportion to the density of the population. But all that he attempts to prove is that, while the population increases from one to a hundred and sixty on the square mile, the fecundity will diminish from 5.48 to 3.66; and that again, while the population increases from one hundred and sixty to two hundred thousand on the square mile, the fecundity will diminish from 3.66 to 2.35.

The proposition which Mr. Sadler enounces, without understanding the words which he uses, would indeed, if Sudder's proposition is so palpable that it could be proved, set us at ease as to instances. Let us see what are the it is, as we have shown, a proposition o grossly absurd that it is difficult for The space any man to keep his countenance while

he repeats it. The utmost that Mr. Sadler has ever attempted to prove is which the fecundity is less and the this, -that the fecundity of the human race diminishes as population becomes more condensed,—but that the diminution of fecundity bears a very small ratio to the increase of population,so that, while the population on a square mile is multiplied two hundredthousand-fold, the fecundity decreases by little more than one half.

Does this principle vindicate the honour of God? Does it hold out any new hope or comfort to man? Not a We pledge ourselves to show, with the utmost strictness of reasoning, from Mr. Sadler's own principles, and from facts of the most notorious description, that every consequence which follows from the law of geometrical progression, laid down by Mr. Malthus, will follow from the law, miscalled a law of inverse variation which has been laid down by Mr. Sadler.

London is the most thickly peopled spot of its size in the known world. Therefore the fecundity of the population of London must, according to Mr. Sadler, be less than the fecundity of human beings living on any other spot of equal size. Mr. Sadler tells us, that " the ratios of mortality are influenced by the different degrees in which the population is condensated; and that, other circumstances being similar, the relative number of deaths in a thinlypopulated, or country district, is less than that which takes place in towns, and in towns of a moderate size less a Dionysius hanging the sword over the again than that which exists in large heads of his trembling slaves. Be it so. and populous cities." Therefore the But under what rhetorical figure are we mortality in London must, according to represent the Deity of Mr. Sadler? to him, be greater than in other places. But, though, according to Mr. Sadler, the fecundity is less in London than elsewhere, and though the mortality is greater there than elsewhere, we find that even in London the number of births greatly exceeds the number of deaths. During the ten years which ended with 1820, there were fifty thousand more baptisms than burials within the bills of mortality. It follows, therefore, that, even within London itself, an increase of the population is taking place by internal propagation.

Now, if the population of a place in mortality greater than in other places still goes on increasing by propagation, it follows that in other places the population will increase, and increase still faster. There is clearly nothing in Mr. Sadler's boasted law of fecundity which will keep the population from multiplying till the whole earth is as thick with human beings as St. Giles's parish. If Mr. Sadler denies this, he must hold that, in places less thickly peopled than London, marriages may be less fruitful than in London, which is directly contrary to his own principles; or that in places less thickly peopled than London, and similarly situated, people will die faster than in London, which is again directly contrary to his own principles. Now, if it follows, as it clearly does follow, from Mr. Sadler's own doctrines, that the human race might be stowed together by three or four hundred to the acre, and might still, as far as the principle of propagation is concerned, go on increasing, what advantage, in a religious or moral point of view, has his theory over that of Mr. Malthus? The principle of Mr. Malthus, says Mr. Sadler, leads to consequences of the most frightful description. Be it so. But do not all these consequences spring equally from his own principle? vealed religion condemns Mr. Malthus. Be it so. But Mr. Sadler must share n the reproach of heresy. The theory of Mr. Malthus represents the Deity as

A man who wishes to serve the cause f religion ought to hesitate long before he stakes the truth of religion on the event of a controversy respecting facts n the physical world. For a time he may succeed in making a theory which he dislikes unpopular by persuading he public that it contradicts the Scripures and is inconsistent with the attriutes of the Deity. But, if at last an verwhelming force of evidence proves this maligned theory to be true, what is the effect of the arguments by which the objector has attempted to prove

that it is irreconcilable with natural and revealed religion? Merely this, to make men infidels. Like the Israelites, in their battle with the Philistines, he has presumptuously and without warrant brought down the ark of God into the camp as a means of ensuring victory:—and the consequence of this profanation is that, when the battle is lost, the ark is taken.

In every age the Church has been cautioned against this fatal and impious rashness by its most illustrious members,-by the fervid Augustin, by the subtle Aquinas, by the all-accomplished Pascal. The warning has been given in vain. That close alliance which, under the disguise of the most deadly enmity, has always subsisted between fanaticism and atheism is still unbroken. At one time, the cry was,-"If you hold that the earth moves round the sun, you deny the truth of the Bible." Popes, conclaves, and religious orders, rose up against the Copernican heresy. But, as Pascal said, they could not prevent the earth from moving, or themselves from moving along with it. One thing, however, they could do, and they did. They could teach numbers to consider the Bible as a collection of old women's stories which the progress of civilization and knowledge was refuting one by one. They had attempted to show that the Ptolemaic system was as much a part of Christianity as the resurrection of the dead. Was it strange, then, that, when the Ptolemaic system became an object of ridicule to every man of education in Catholic countries, the doctrine of the resurrection should be in peril? In the present generation, and in our own country, the prevailing system of geology has been, with equal folly, attacked on the ground that it is inconsistent with the Mosaic dates. And here we have Mr. Sadler, out of his especial zeal for religion, first proving that the doctrine of superfecundity is irreconcilable with the goodness of God, and then laying down principles, and stating facts, from which the docbrine of superfecundity necessarily follows. This blundering piety reminds s of the adventures of a certain miswho went to convert the in-

habitants of Madagascar. The good father had an audience of the king, and began to instruct his majesty in the history of the human race as given in the Scriptures. "Thus, sir," said he. "was woman made out of the rib of man, and ever since that time a woman has had one rib more than a man." "Surely, father, you must be mistaken there," said the king. "Mistaken!" said the missionary. "It is an indisputable fact. My faith upon it! My life upon it!" The good man had heard the fact asserted by his nurse when he was a child, -had always considered it as a strong confirmation of the Scriptures, and fully believed it without having ever thought of verifying it. The king ordered a man and woman, the leanest that could be found, to be brought before him, and desired his spiritual instructor to count their ribs. The father counted over and over, upward and downward, and still found the same number in both. He then cleared his throat, stammered, stuttered, and began to assure the king that, though he had committed a little error in saying that a woman had more ribs than a man, he was quite right in saying that the first woman was made out of the rib of the first man. "How can I tell that?" said the king. "You come to me with a strange story which you say is revealed to you from heaven. I have already made you confess that one half of it is a lie: and how can you have the face to expect that I shall believe the other half?"

We have shown that Mr. Sadler's theory, if it be true, is as much a theory of superfecundity as that of Mr. Malthus. But it is not true. And from Mr. Sadler's own tables we will prove that it is not true.

The fecundity of the human race in England Mr. Sadler rates as follows:—

"Where the inhabitants are found to be on the square mile—

Having given this table, he begins,

as usual, to boast and triumph. "Were in the right sense of the words inverse there not another document on the sub- variation. But certainly they would. ject in existence," says he, "the facts "if there were no other document in thus deduced from the census of Eng- existence," appear to indicate someland are sufficient to demonstrate the thing like what Mr. Sadler means by position, that the fecundity of human be- inverse variation. Unhappily for him, ings varies inversely as their numbers." however, there are other documents in In no case would these facts demon- existence; and he has himselffurnished strate that the fecundity of human be- us with them. We will extract another ings varies inversely as their numbers of his tables:—

## TABLE LXIV.

Showing the Operation of the Law of Population in the different Hundreds of the County of Lancaster.

| Hundreds.   | Popula-<br>tion on<br>each<br>Square<br>Mile. | Square<br>Males,                       | Population<br>in 1821,<br>exclusive of<br>Towns of<br>separate<br>Jurisdiction. | Marriages<br>from<br>1811 to<br>1821.              | Baptisms<br>from<br>1811 to 1*21.                         | to<br>decis                     |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Lonsdale<br>Almondness<br>Leyland<br>West Derby<br>Plackburn<br>Salford | 96<br>267<br>354<br>409<br>513<br>869         | 441<br>228<br>126<br>377<br>286<br>373 | 42,486<br>60,930<br>44,583<br>154,040<br>146,608<br>322,592                     | 3651<br>3670<br>2858<br>24,182<br>10,814<br>40,143 | 16,129<br>15,228<br>11,182<br>86,407<br>81,463<br>114,941 | 442<br>415<br>391<br>357<br>201 |

table. The results, he says, have sur- that some curious results will follow prised himself; and, indeed, as we shall show, they might well have done so.

The result of his inquiries with respect to France he presents in the following table:-

" The legitimate births are, in those departments where there are to each inhabitant-From 4 to 5 hects. (2 departs.) to every

|          | 1000 marriages | •• | 513 |
|----------|----------------|----|-----|
| 3 to 4   | (3 do.)        |    | 437 |
| 2 to 3   | (30 do.)       |    | 425 |
| 1 to 2   | (44 do.)       |    | 423 |
| *06 to 1 | (5 do.)        |    | 414 |
| and •06  | (1 do.)        |    | 25  |

Then comes the shout of exultation as and inversely to it?"

Certainly these tables, taken sepa- counties. rately, look well for Mr. Sadler's theory. the cards his own way. We must beg the births to 100 marriages were 353.

Mr. Sadler rejoices much over this will venture to promise our readers from the operation. In nine counties of England, says Mr. Sadler, in which the population is from 100 to 150 on the square mile, the births to 100 marriages are 396. He afterwards expresses some doubt as to the accuracy of the documents from which this estimate has been formed, and rates the number of births as high as 414. Let him take his choice. We will allow 4 him every advantage.

In the table which we have quoted, numbered lxiv., he tells us that in Almondness, where the population is regularly as the Gloria Patri at the 267 to the square mile, there are 415 end of a Psalm. "Is there any pos- births to 100 marriages. The populasibility of gainsaying the conclusions tion of Almondness is twice as thick these facts force upon us; namely that as the population of the nine counties the fecundity of marriages is regu-referred to in the other table. Yet lated by the density of the population, the number of births to a marriage is greater in Almondness than in those

Once more, he tells us that in three He must be a bungling gamester who counties, in which the population was cannot win when he is suffered to pack from 300 to 350 on the square mile, leave to shuffle them a little; and we He afterwards rates them at 375! Again becomes denser.

say, in which the population is from 125 to 250, or rather more, to the 100 marriages is 423 and a fraction.

Again, in five departments of Franco in which there is less than one hecatare to each inhabitant, that is to say, in which the population is more than 250 births to 100 marriages is 414 and a fraction. But, in the four counties of England in which the population is from 200 to 250 on the square mile, the number of births to 100 marriages highest estimate no more than 402.

which, he tells us, irrefragably demonstrates his principle. We assert, and than the average fecundity of Kent, -sufficient to upset his whole theory.

riage appears to be smaller than in the utterly inconsistent with his theory. less populous towns But we learn which we should be glad to know how the fecundity in towns of fewer than been notorious. verage fecundity of a marriage in Sadler, that, when population is crowded

we say, let him take his choice. But towns of fewer than 3000 inhabitants from his table of the population of is about four; in towns of between Lancashire it appears that, in the hun- 3000 and 4000 inhabitants it is 3.60. dred of Leyland, where the population Now the average fecundity of England. is 354 to the square mile, the number when it contained only 160 inhabitants of births to 100 marriages is 391. to a square mile, and when, therefore, Here again we have the marriages be- according to the new law of populacoming more fruitful as the population tion, the fecundity must have been greater than it now is, was only, ac-Let us now shuffle the censuses of cording to Mr. Sadler, 3:66 to a mar-England and France together. In two riage. To proceed,-the fecundity of English counties which contain from a marriage in the English towns of fifty to 100 inhabitants on the square between 4000 and 5000 inhabitants mile, the births to 100 marriages are, is stated at 3.56. But, when we turn according to Mr. Sadler, 420. But in to Mr. Sadler's table of the counties, forty-four departments of France, in we find the fecundity of a marriage which there are from one to two in Warwickshire and Staffordshire rated hecatures to each inhabitant, that is to at only 3.48, and in Lancashire and Surrey at only 3.41.

These facts disprove Mr. Sadler's square mile, the number of births to principle; and the fact on which he lays so much stress—that the fecundity is less in the great towns than in the small towns-does not tend in any degree to prove his principle. There is not the least reason to believe that to the square mile, the number of the population is more dense, on a given space, in London or Manchester than in a town of 4000 inhabitants. But it is quite certain that the population is more dense in a town of 4000 inhabitants than in Warwickshire or is, according to one of Mr. Sadler's Lancashire: That the fecundity of tables, only 388, and by his very Manchester is less than the fecundity of Sandwich or Guildford is a circum-Mr. Sadler gives us a long table of stance which has nothing whatever to all the towns of England and Ireland, do with Mr. Sadler's theory. But that the fecundity of Sandwich is greater will prove that these tables are alone that the fecundity of Guildford is greater than the average fecundity of It is very true that in the great Surrey,-as from his own tables aptowns the number of births to a mar- pears to be the case,-these are facts

We need not here examine why it is some other facts from these tables that the human race is less fruitful in great cities than in small towns or in Mr. Sadler will explain. We find that the open country. The fact has long the fecundity in towns of fewer than been notorious. We are inclined to 3000 inhabitants is actually much attribute it to the same causes which greater than the average fecundity of tend to abridge human life in great the kingdom, and that the fecundity in cities,—to general sickliness and want towns of between 3000 and 4000 of tone, produced by close air and inhabitants is at least as great as the sedentary employments. Thus far,

together in such masses that the general health and energy of the frame are impaired by the condensation, and by the fect fecundity, is not only not proved habits attending on the condensation, then the fecundity of the race diminishes. But this is evidently a check of the same class with war, pestilence, and sums up his information respecting that famine. It is a check for the operation country as follows:of which Mr. Malthus has allowed.

That any condensation which does not affect the general health will afit is disproved by Mr. Sadler's own tables.

Mr. Sadler basses on to Prussia, and

| Inhabitants on a Square<br>Mile, German. | Number<br>of<br>Provinces. | Births to<br>100<br>Marriages,<br>1754. | Births to<br>100<br>Marriages,<br>1784. | Births to<br>100<br>Marriages,<br>Busching. |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Under 1000                               | 2                          | 484                                     | 472                                     | . 508                                       |
| 1000 to 2000                             | 4                          | 414                                     | 455                                     | 454   |
| 2000 to 3000                             | 6                          | 884                                     | 424                                     | 426   |
| 3000 to 4000                             | 2                          | 865                                     | 408                                     | 394   |

- After the table comes the boast as usual :

"Thus is the law of population deduced from the registers of Prussia also: and were the argument to pause here, it is conclusive. results obtained from the registers of this and the preceding countries exhibiting, as they do most clearly, the principle of human increase, it is utterly impossible should have been the work of chance; on the contrary, the regularity with which the facts class themselves in conformity with that principle, and the striking analogy which the whole of them bear to each other, demonstrate equally the design of Nature, and the certainty of its accomplishment.

We are sorry to disturb Mr. Sadler's complacency. But, in our opinion, this clear that the fecundity does not dimintable completely disproves his whole ish whenever the density of the popu-If we read the columns lation increases. perpendicularly, indeed, they seem to be in his favour. But how stands the tables:

case if we read horizontally? Mr. Sadler believe that, during the thirty years which elapsed between 1754 and 1784, the population of Prussia had been diminishing? fact in history is better ascertained than that, during the long peace which followed the seven years' war, it increased with great rapidity. Indeed, if the fecundity were what Mr. Sadler states it to have been, it must have increased with great rapidity. Yet, the ratio of births to marriages is greater in 1784 than in 1754, and that in every province. It is, therefore, perfectly

We will try another of Mr. Sadler's

## TABLE LXXXI.

Showing the Estimated Prolificness of Marriages in England at the close of the Seventeenth Century.

| Places. | Number       | One An-   | Number     | Children  | Total     |
|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
|         | of           | nual Mar- | of         | to one    | Number of |
|         | Inhabitants. | riage, to | Marriages. | Marriage. | Births.   |
| London  | 530,000      | 106       | 5000       | 4·        | 20,000    |
|         | 870,000      | 128       | 6800       | 4·5       | 30,000    |
|         | 4,100,000    | 141       | 29,200     | 4·8       | 140,160   |
|         | 3,500,000    | 134       | 41,000     | 4.65      | 190,760   |

Standing by itself, this table, like most of the others, seems to support Mr. Sadler's theory. But surely Lon don, at the close of the seventeenth century, was far more thickly peopled than the kingdom of England now is. Yet the fecundity in London at the close of the seventeenth century was 4; and the average fecundity of the whole kingdom now is not more, according to Mr. Sadler, than 31. Then, again, the large towns in 1700 were far more thickly peopled than Westmorland and the North Riding of Yet the fecundity Yorkshire now are. in those large towns was then 4.5. And Mr. Sadler tells us that it is now only 4.2 in Westmorland and the North Riding.

It is scarcely necessary to say any thing about the censuses of the Netherlands, as Mr. Sadler himself confesses that there is some difficulty in reconciling them with his theory, and helps out his awkward explanation by supposing, quite gratuitously, as it seems to us, that the official documents are The argument which he has drawn from the United States will detain us but for a very short time. He has not told us,—perhaps he had not the means of telling us,-what proportion the number of births in the different parts of that country hears to the number of marriages. He shows that in the thinly peopled states the number of children bears a greater proportion to the number of grown-up people than in the old states; and this, he conceives, is a sufficient proof that the condensation of the population is unfavourable to fecundity. We deny the inference altogether. Nothing can be more obvious than the explanation of the phenomenon. The back settlements are for the most part peopled by emigration from the old states; and emigrants are almost always breeders. They are almost always vigorous people in the prime of life. Mr. Sadler himself, in another part of his book, in which he tries very unsuccessfully to ching is more certain, than that emi- lishing it he overturns his own theory.

gration is almost universally supplied by single persons in the beginning of mature nor, secondly, that such persons, as Dr. Franklin long ago asserted, 'marry and raise families.

"Nor is this all. It is not more true, that emigrants, generally speaking, consist of in-dividuals in the prime of life, than that, they are the most active and vigorous' of that age, as Dr. Seybert describes them to be. They are, as it respects the principle at issue, a select class, even compared with that of their own age generally considered. Their very object in leaving their native countries is to settle in life, a phrase that needs no explanation; and they do so. No equal number of human beings, therefore, have ever given so large or rapid an increase to a community as 'settlers' have invariably done.

It is perfectly clear that children are more numerous in the back settlements of America than in the maritime states. not because unoccupied land makes people prolific, but because the most prolific people go to the unoccupied land.

Mr. Sædler having, as he conceives, fully established his theory of population by statistical evidence, proceeds to prove, "that it is in unison, or rather required by the principles of physiology." The difference between himself and his opponents he states as fol-

In pursuing this part of my subject, I must begin by reminding the reader of the difference between those who hold the super-fecundity of mankind and myself, in regard to those principles which will form the basis of the present argument. They contend, that production precedes population: I, on the contrary, maintain that population precedes, and is indeed the cause of, production. They teach that man breeds up to the capital, or in proportion to the abundance of the food, he possesses; I assert, that he is comparatively sterile when he is wealthy, and that he breeds in proportion to his poverty; not meaning, however, by that poverty, a state of privation approaching to actual starvation, any more than, I suppose, they would contend, that extreme and culpable excess is the grand patron of population. In a word, they hold that a state of ease and affluence is the great promoter of prolificness: I maintain that a considerable degree of labour, and even privation, is a more efficient cause of an increased degree of human fecundity."

To prove this point he quotes Aristotle, Hippocrates, Dr. Short, Dr. show that the rapid multiplication of Gregory, Dr. Perceval, M. Villermi, the people of America is principally Lord Bacon, and Rousseau. We will wing to emigration from Europe, not dispute about it; for it seems quite

If men breed in proportion to their poverty, as he tells us here, -and at the same time breed in inverse proportion to their numbers, as he told us before, -it necessarily follows that the poverty of men must be in inverse proportion to their numbers. Inverse proportion, indeed, as we have shown, is not the phrase which expresses Mr. Sadler's meaning. To speak more correctly, it follows, from his own positions, that, if one population be thinner than another, it will also be poorer. Is this the fact? Mr. Sadler tells us, in one of those tables which we have already quoted, that in the United States the population is four to a square mile, and the fecundity 5.22 to a marriage, and that in Russia the population is twenty-three to a square mile, and the fecundity 4.94 to a marriage. Is the North American labourer poorer than the Russian boor? If not, what becomes of Mr. Sadler's argument?

The most decisive proof of Mr. Sadler's theory, according to him, is that which he has kept for the last. It is derived from the registers of the English Peerage. The peers, he says, and says truly, are the class with respect to whom we possess the most accurate statistical information.

"Touching their number, this has been accurately known and recorded ever since the order has existed in the country. For several centuries past, the addition to it of a single individual has been a matter of public interest and notoricty: this hereditary honour conand notorics: this hereitary nonour con-ferring not personal dignity merely, but im-portant privileges, and being almost always identified with great wealth and influence. The records relating to it are kept with the most scrupulous attention, not only by heirs and expectants, but they are appealed to by more distant connections, as conferring distinction on all who can claim such affinity. Hence there are few disputes concerning successions to this rank, but such as go back to very remote periods. In later times, the marriages, births, and deaths, of the nobility, have not only been registered by and known to those personally interested, but have been published periodically, and, consequently, subject to perpetual correction and revision while many of the most powerful motives which can influence the human mind conspire to preserve these records from the slightest falsification. Compared with these, therefore, all other registers, or reports, whether of sworn scarchers or others, are incorrectness

Mr. Sadler goes on to tell us that the peers are a marrying class, and that their general longevity proves them to be a healthy class. Still peerages often become extinct;—and from this fact he infers that they are a sterile class. So far, says he, from increasing in geometrical progression, they do not even keep up their numbers. "Nature interdicts their increase.

"Thus," says he, "in all ages of the world, and in every nation of it, have the highest ranks of the community been the most sterile, and the lowest the most prolific. As it respects our own country, from the lowest grade of society, the Irish peasant, to the highest, the British peer, this remains a conspicuous truth; and the regulation of the degree of fecundity conformably to this principle, through the intermediate gradations of society, constitutes one of the features of the system developed in these pages."

We take the issue which Mr. Sadler has himself offered. We agree with him, that the registers of the English Peerage are of far higher authority than any other statistical documents. We are content that by those registers his principle should be judged. And we meet him by positively denying his facts. We assert that the English nobles are not only not a sterile, but an eminently prolific, part of the community. Mr. Sadler concludes that they are sterile, merely because peerages often become extinct. Is this the proper way of ascertaining the point? Is it thus that he avails himself of those registers on the accuracy and fulness of which he descants so largely? Surely his right course would have been to count the marriages, and the number of births in the Peerage. This he has not done:but we have done it. And what is the result?

It appears from the last edition of Debrett's Peerage, published in 1828, that there were at that time 287 peers of the United Kingdom, who had been married once or oftener. The whole number of marriages contracted by these 287 peers was 333. The number of children by these marriages was 1437,—more than five to a peer,—more than 43 to a marriage,—more, that is to say, than the average number in those counties of England in which,

ment, the fecundity is the greatest.

But this is not all. These marriages had not, in 1828, produced their full effect. Some of them had been very lately contracted. In a very large proportion of them there was every probability of additional issue. To allow for this probability, we may safely add one to the average which we have already obtained, and rate the fecundity of a noble marriage in England at 5.3;higher than the fecundity which Mr. Sadler assigns to the people of the United States. Even if we do not make this allowance, the average fecundity of the marriages of peers is higher by onefifth than the average fecundity of marriages throughout the kingdom. this is the sterile class! This is the class which "Nature has interdicted from increasing!" The evidence to which Mr. Sadler has bimself appealed proves that his principle is false,-utterly false,—wildly and extravagantly It proves that a class, living during half of every year in the most crowded population in the world, breeds faster than those who live in the country; -- that the class which enjoys the greatest degree of luxury and ease breeds faster than the class which undergoes labour and privation. To talk a little in Mr. Sadler's style, we must own that we are ourselves surprised at the results which our examination of the peerage has brought out. We certainly should have thought that the habits of fashionable life, and long residence even in the most airy parts of so great a city as London, would have been more unfavourable to the fecundity of the higher orders than they appear to be.

Peerages, it is true, often become extinct. But it is quite clear, from what we have stated, that this is not because peresses are barren. There is no difficulty in discovering what the causes really are. In the first place, most of the titles of our nobles are limited to heirs male; so that, though the average fecundity of a noble marriage is upwards

five, yet, for the purpose of keeping a peerage, it cannot be reckoned

according to Mr. Sadler's own state- | Secondly, though the peers are, as Mr. Sadler says, a marrying class, the younger sons of peers are decidedly not a marrying class; so that a peer, though he has at least as great a chance of having a son as his neighbours, has less chance than they of having a collateral heir.

We have now disposed, we think, of Mr. Sadler's principle of population. Our readers must, by this time, be pretty well satisfied as to his qualifications for setting up theories of his own. We will, therefore, present them with a few instances of the skill and fairness which he shows when he undertakes to pull down the theories of other men. The doctrine of Mr. Malthus, that population, if not checked by want, by vice, by excessive mortality, or by the prudent self-denial of individuals. would increase in a geometric progression, is, in Mr. Sadler's opinion, at once false and atrocious.

"It may at once be denied," says he. "that human increase proceeds geometrically: and for this simple but decisive reason, that the existence of a geometrical ratio of increase in the works of nature, is neither true nor possible. It would fling into utter confusion all order, time, magnitude, and space."

This is as curious a specimen of reasoning as any that has been offered to the world since the days when theories were founded on the principle that nature abhors a vacuum. We proceed a few pages farther, however; and we then find that geometric progression is unnatural only in those cases in which Mr. Malthus conceives that it exists; and that, in all cases in which Mr. Malthus denies the existence of a geometric ratio, nature changes sides, and adopts that ratio as the rule of increase.

Mr. Malthus holds that subsistence will increase only in an arithmetical ratio. "As far as nature has to do with the question," says Mr. Sadler, "men might, for instance, plant twice the number of peas, and breed from a double number of the same animals, with equal prospect of their multiplinuch more than two and a half, cation." Now, if Mr. Sadler thinks

sheep will double as fast as two, and eight as fast as four, how can he deny that the geometrical ratio of increase does exist in the works of nature? Or has he a definition of his own for goometrical progression, as well as for in-

verse proportion?

Mr. Malthus, and those who agree with him, have generally referred to the United States, as a country in which the human race increases in a geometrical ratio, and have fixed on thirty-five years as the term in which the population of that country doubles itself. Mr. Sadler contends that it is physically impossible for a people to double in twenty-five years; nay, that thirty-five years is far too short a period,-that the Americans do not double by procreation in less than forty-seven years,—and that the rapid increase of their numbers is produced by emigration from Europe.

Emigration has certainly had some effect in increasing the population of the United States. But so great has the rate of that increase been that, after making full allowance for the effect of emigration, there will be a residue, attributable to procreation alone, amply sufficient to double the population in

twenty-five years.

Mr. Sadler states the results of the four censuses as follows:-

"There were, of white inhabitants, in the whole of the United States in 1790, 3,093,111; in 1800, 4,309,656; in 1810, 5,862,093; and in 1820, 7,861,710. The increase, in the first term, being 39 per cent.; that in the second, 36 per cent.; and that in the third and last, 33 per cent. It is superfluous to say, that it is utterly impossible to deduce the geometric theory of human increase, whatever be the period of duplication, from such terms as those."

Mr. Sadler is a bad arithmetician. The increase in the last term is not, as he states it, 33 per cent., but more than 34 per cent. Now, an increase of 32 per cent. in ten years, is more than sufficient to double the population in

that, as far as nature is concerned, four | United States a class of persons whose numbers are not increased by emigra-During the tion,—the negro slaves. interval which elepsed between the census of 1810 and the census of 1820. the change in their numbers must have been produced by procreation, and by procreation alone. Their situation, though much happier than that of the wretched beings who cultivate the sugar plantations of Trinidad and Demerara. cannot be supposed to be more favourable to health and fecundity than that of free labourers. In 1810, the slave-trade had been but recently abolished; and there were in consequence many more male than female slaves,-a circumstance. of course, very unfavourable to procreation. Slaves are perpetually passing into the class of freemen: but no freeman ever descends into servitude; so that the census will not exhibit the whole effect of the procreation which really takes place,

We find, by the census of 1810, that the number of slaves in the Union was then 1.191.000. In 1820, they had increased to 1,538,000. That is to say, in ten years, they had increased 29 per cent.-within three per cent. of that rate of increase which would double their numbers in twenty-five years. We may, we think, fairly calculate that, if the female slaves had been as numerous as the males, and if no manumissions had taken place, the census of the slave population would have exhibited an increase of 32 per cent. in ten

years.

If we are right in fixing on 32 per cent. as the rate at which the white population of America increases by procreation in ten years, it will follow that, during the last ten years of the eighteenth century, nearly one-sixth of the increase was the effect of emigration; from 1800 to 1810, about oneninth; and from 1810 to 1820, about one-seventeenth. This is what we should have expected: for it is clear that, unless the number of emigrants be constantly increasing, it must, as compared with the resident population, be relatively decreasing. The number of persons added to the population Our reason is this. There is in the of the United States by emigration,

between 1810 and 1820, would be nearly 120.000. From the data furnished by Mr. Sadler himself, we should be inclined to think that this would be a fair estimate.

"Dr. Seybert says, that the passengers to ten of the principal ports of the United States, in the year 1817, amounted to 22,235; of whom 11,977 were from Great Britain and Ireland; 4161 from Germany and Holland; 1245 from France; 35 from Italy; 2901 from the British possessions in North America; 1569 from the West Indies; and from all other countries, 291. These however, we may conclude, with the editor of Styles's Register, were far short of the number that arrived."

Mr. Sadler acknowledges that Cobbett exaggerates the number of emi-

We have not the honour of knowing either Dr. Seybert or the editor of Styles's Register. We cannot, therefore, decide on their respective claims to our confidence so peremptorily as Mr. Sadler's principles. we agree to what Mr. Sadler very believing Dr. Scybert's testimony. country from procreation only." of an ordinary year. Till the year absurdity. 1815, the war rendered it almost impossible to emigrate to the United think it quite unnecessary at present. States either from England or from the We have shown that Mr. Sadler is Continent. If we suppose the average careless in the collection of facts,amigration of the remaining years to that he is incapable of reasoning on the bean 18,000, we shall probably facts when he has collected them, he much mistaken. In 1818 and that he does not understand the sim-

1819, the number was certainly much beyond that average; in 1815 and 1816. probably much below it. But, even if we were to suppose that, in every year from the peace to 1820, the number of emigrants had been as high as we have supposed it to be in 1817, the increase by procreation among the white inhabitants of the United States would still

grants when he states it at 150,000 a year. Yet even this estimate, absurdly great as it is, would not be sufficient to explain the increase of the population of the United States on He is, he Mr. Sadler thinks fit to do. Nor can tells us, "convinced that doubling in 35 years is a far more rapid duplication gravely assigns as a reason for dis- than ever has taken place in that "Such accounts," he says, "if not wil- increase of 20 per cent. in ten years, fully exaggerated, must always fall by procreation, would therefore be the short of the truth." It would be a very utmost that he would allow to be curious question of casuistry to deter- possible. We have already shown, by mine what a man ought to do in a case reference to the census of the slave in which he cannot tell the truth ex- population, that this doctrine is quite cept by being guilty of wilful exaggera- absurd. And, if we suppose it to be We will, however, suppose, with sound, we shall be driven to the con-Mr. Sadler, that Dr. Scybert, finding lusion that above eight hundred himself compelled to choose between thousand people emigrated from Europe two sins, preferred telling a falsehood to the United States in a space of little to exaggerating; and that he has con- more than five years. The whole insequently underrated the number of crease of the white population from emigrants. We will take it at double 1810 to 1820 was within a few hunof the Doctor's estimate, and suppose dreds of 2,000,000. If we are to that, in 1817, 45.000 Europeans crossed attribute to procreation only 20 per to the United States. Now, it must be cent. on the number returned by the remembered that the year 1817 was census of 1810, we shall have about a year of the severest and most general 830,000 persons to account for in some distress over all Europe,—a year of other way;—and to suppose that the scarcity everywhere, and of cruel fare emigrants who went to America bemine in some places. There can, there- tween the peace of 1815 and the census fore, be no doubt that the emigration of 1820, with the children who were of 1817 was very far above the average, born to them there, would make up probably more than three times that that number, would be the height of

We could say much more: but we

does not know the meaning,-that the "When a true genius appears in the proposition which he means to enounce, world, you may know him by this and which he tries to prove, leads mark-that the dunces are all in condirectly to all those consequences which federacy against him." We remember he represents as impious and immoral, -and that, from the very documents to which he has himself appealed, it man as Mr. Sadler. A certain Antimay be demonstrated that his theory is false. We may, perhaps, resume the subject when his next volume appears, it proper to mention the name, finding Meanwhile, we hope that he will delay that divinity was not by itself a sufficiits publication until he has learned a ently lucrative profession, resolved to little arithmetic, and unlearned a great combine with it that of dog-stealing. deal of eloquence.

## SADLER'S REFUTATION REFUTED.

(JANUARY 1831.)

A Refutation of an Article in the Edinburgh Review (No. CII.) entitled, "Sadler's Law of Population, and Disproof of Human Superfecunitity;" containing also Additional Proofs of the Principle enunciated in that Treatise, founded on the Censuses of different Countries recently published. By MICHAEL THOMAS SADLER, M.P. 8vo. London: 1830.

> "Before anything came out against my Essay, I was told I must prepare myself for a storm coming against it, it being resolved by some men that it was necessary that book of mine should, as it is phrased, be run down."—John Locke.

practice, transcribed Mr. Sadler's title- apostle. all. The parallel implied between the error. We remember to have heard of a dra- Locke. matic piece, entitled "News from Cam-

plest terms of science.—that he has lished it in order to put his critics to enounced a proposition of which he shame, with this motto from Swift: an ther anecdote, which may perhaps be acceptable to so zealous a churchnomian preacher, the oracle of a barn, in a county of which we do not think He was, by ill-fortune, detected in several offences of this description, and was in consequence brought before two justices, who, in virtue of the powers given them by an act of parliament, sentenced him to a whipping for each theft. The degrading punishment in. flicted on the pastor naturally thinned the flock; and the poor man was in danger of wanting bread. He accordingly put forth a handbill, solemnly protesting his innocence, describing his sufferings, and appealing to the Christian charity of the public; and to his pathetic address he prefixed this most appropriate text: "Thrice was I beaten with rods.—St. Paul's Epistle to the Corinthians." He did not perceive that, though St. Paul had been scourged, no number of whippings, however severe, will of themselves en-We have, in violation of our usual title a man to be considered as an Mr. Sadler scems to us to page from top to bottom, motto and have fallen into a somewhat similar He should remember that, Essay on the Human Understanding though Locke may have been laughed and the Essay on Superfecundity is at, so has Sir Claudius Hunter; and exquisitely laughable. We can match that it takes something more than the it, however, with mottoes as ludicrous. laughter of all the world to make a

The body of this pamphlet by no perdown," written soon after Lord means justifies the parallel so modestly Duncan's victory, by a man once as insinuated on the title-page. Yet we much in his own good graces as Mr. must own that, though Mr. Sadler has Sadler is, and now as much forgotten not risen to the level of Locke, he has as Mr. Sadler will soon be, Robert done what was almost as difficult, if His piece was brought upon not as honourable—he has fallen below the stage, and damned, "as it is his own. He is at best a had writer. phrased," in the second act; but the His arrangement is an elaborate conauthor, thinking that it had been un-fairly and unjustly "run down," pub- with great care, in such a manner as to

rage, and would certainly do us some himself. mischief if he knew how. We will proceed. of college verses, in the hope of findof putting into his books at sixty.

itself in every part of his prolix work. rhymes. Places terms of reprehension we are We will now proceed to examine the mo means inclined to retract; and reply which Mr. Sadler has thought fit

produce the least possible effect by much stronger expressions, without the means of the greatest possible number least offence either to truth or to de-Aspiring to the exalted corum. There is a limit prescribed to character of a Christian philosopher, us by our sense of what is due to ourhe can never preserve through a single selves. But we think that no indulparagraph either the calmness of a gence is due to Mr. Sadler. A writer philosopher or the meekness of a who distinctly announces that he has Christian. His ill-nature would make not conformed to the candour of the a very little wit formidable. But, age—who makes it his boast that he happily, his efforts to wound resemble expresses himself throughout with the those of a juggler's snake. The bags greatest plainness and freedom—and of poison are full, but the fang is want- whose constant practice proves that by ing. In this foolish pamphlet, all the plainness and freedom he means coarseunpleasant peculiarities of his style ness and rancour—has no right to exand temper are brought out in the pect that others shall remember courtestrongest manner. He is from the sies which he has forgotten, or shall beginning to the end in a paroxysm of respect one who has ceased to respect

Mr. Sadler declares that he has never give a single instance for the present. vilified Mr. Malthus personally, and Others will present themselves as we has confined himself to attacking the We laughed at some dog- doctrines which that gentleman maingerel verses which he cited, and which tains. We should wish to leave that we, never having seen them before, point to the decision of all who have suspected to be his own. We are now read Mr. Sadler's book, or any twenty sure that, if the principle on which pages of it. To quote particular in-Solomon decided a famous case of filia- stances of a temper which penetrates tion were correct, there can be no doubt and inspires the whole work, is to as to the justice of our suspicion. Mr. weaken our charge. Yet, that we may Sadler, who, whatever elements of the not be suspected of flinching, we will poetical character he may lack, pos- give two specimens,—the two first sesses the poetical irritability in an which occur to our recollection. "Whose abundance which might have sufficed minister is it that speaks thus?" says for Homer himself, resolved to re- Mr. Sadler, after misrepresenting in a taliate on the person, who, as he sup- most extraordinary manner, though, we posed, had reviewed him. He has, are willing to believe, unintentionally, accordingly, ransacked some collection one of the positions of Mr. Malthus. "Whose minister is it that speaks thus? ing, among the performances of his That of the lover and avenger of little supposed antagonist, something as bad children?" Again, Mr. Malthus reas his own. And we must in fairness commends, erroneously perhaps, but admit that he has succeeded pretty assuredly from humane motives, that well. We must admit that the gentle- alms, when given, should be given very man in question sometimes put into his sparingly. Mr. Sadler quotes the reexercises, at seventeen, almost as great commendation, and adds the following nonsense as Mr. Sadler is in the habit courteous comment:-"The tender mercies of the wicked are cruel." We Mr. Sadler complains that we have cannot think that a writer who indevoted whole pages to mere abuse of dulges in these indecent and unjust him, We deny the charge. We have, attacks on professional and personal indeed, characterised, in terms of just character has any right to complain reprehension, that spirit which shows of our sarcasms on his metaphors and

we conceive that we might have used to make to our arguments. He begins

by attacking our remarks on the origin authorities, directly at issue on this point. I They are, says he, too proof evil. found for common apprehension; and he hopes that they are too profound for our own. That they seem profound to him we can well believe. Profundity. in its secondary as in its primary sense, is a relative term. When Grildrig was nearly drowned in the Brobdignagian cream-jug he doubtless thought it very But to common apprehension our reasoning would, we are persuaded appear perfectly simple.

The theory of Mr. Malthus, says Mr. Sadler, cannot be true, because it asserts the existence of a great and terrible evil, and is therefore inconsistent with the goodness of God. We answer thus. We know that there are in the world great and terrible evils. evil than a great deal of evil. In spite of these evils, we believe in the goodness of God. Why may we

be added to the list?

How does Mr. Sadler answer this? Merely by telling us that we are too wicked to be reasoned with. He completely shrinks from the question; a question, be it remembered, not raised by us—a question which we should have felt strong objections to raising unnecessarily—a question put forward by himself, as intimately connected with the subject of his two ponderous volumes. He attempts to carp at detached parts of our reasoning on the subject. With what success he carries on this guerilla war after declining a our argument our readers shall see.

"The reviewer sends me to Paley, who is, I confess, rather more intelligible on the subject, and who, fortunately, has decided the very point in dispute. I will first give the words of the reviewer, who, when speaking of my general argument regarding the magnitude of the evils, moral and physical, implied in the theory I oppose, sums up his ideas thus :- Mr. Sadler says, that it is not a light or transient evil, but a great and permanent evil. What then? The question of the origin of evil is a question of ay or no,-not a question of MORE or LESS.' But what says Paley? His express rule is this, that 'when we cannot resolve all appearances into benevolence of design, we make the FEW give place to the the origin of evil. The Christian MANY, the LITTLE to the GREAT; that we take Scriptures profess to give no solution our judgment from a large and decided pre-granderancy. Now in weighing these two of that mystery. They relate facts;

think there will be little trouble in determintank there will be little trouble in determing which we shall make 'to give place;' or, if we 'look to a large and decided preponderancy' of either talent, learning, or benevolence, from whom we shall 'take our judgment.' The effrontery, or, to speak more charitably, the sign rance of a reference to Paley on this subject, and in this instance, is really marvellous." really marvellous."

Now, does not Mr. Sadler see that the very words which he quotes from Paley contain in themselves a refutation of his whole argument? says, indeed, as every man in his senses would say, that in a certain case, which he has specified, the more and the less come into question. But in what case? "When we cannot resolve all appearances into the benevolence of design." It is better that there should be a little self-evident. But it is also self-evident that no evil is better than a little evil. not then continue to believe in his Why, then, is there any evil? It is a goodness, though another evil should mystery which we cannot solve. It is a mystery which Paley, by the very words which Mr. Sadler has quoted, acknowledges himself unable to solve; and it is because he cannot solve that mystery that he proceeds to take into consideration the more and the less, Believing in the divine goodness, we must necessarily believe that the evils which exist are necessary to avert greater evils. \* But what those greater evils are we do not know. How the happiness of any part of the sentient creation would be in any respect diminished if, for example, children cut their teeth without pain, we cannot general action with the main body of understand. The case is exactly the same with the principle of Mr. Malthus. If superfecundity exists, it exists, no doubt, because it is a less evil than some other evil which otherwise Can Mr. Sadler prove would exist. that this is an impossibility?

One single expression which Mr Sadler employs on this subject is sufficient to show how utterly incompetent he is to discuss it. "On the Christian hypothesis," says he, " no doubt exists as to the origin of evil." He does not, we think, understand what is meant by

man fell; but why he was not so constituted as to be incapable of falling, or why the Supreme Reing has not mitigated the consequences of the Fall more than they actually have been be said, could not tell us, unless we had been creatures different from what we are. There is something, either in the nature of our faculties or in the nature of the machinery employed by us for the purpose of reasoning, which condemns us, on this and similar subceasing to be a fly. To make it an objection to the Christian system that it gives us no solution of these difficulties, is to make it an objection to the Christian system that it is a system formed for human beings. Of the puzzles of the Academy, there is not one which does not apply as strongly to Deism as to Christianity, and to Atheism as to Deism. There are diffi-

If revelation speaks on the subject of the origin of evil it speaks only to discourage dogmatism and temerity. In the most ancient, the most beautiful, and the most profound of all works on the subject, the Book of Job, both the sufferer who complains of the divine government, and the injudicious advisers who attempt to defend it on wrong principles, are silenced by the voice of supreme wisdom, and reminded of the human intellect. St. Paul sistrives to force him into controversy, were too high for them, and have con- with, simply because it would produce tented themselves with hinting at what less evil than at present, become an

but they leave the metaphysical ques- seemed to be the most probable solution undetermined. They tell us that tion. What says Johnson? "All our effort ends in belief that for the evils of life there is some good reason, and in confession that the reason cannot be found." What says Paley? "Of the origin of evil no universal solution has mitigated, the Scriptures did not tell been discovered. I mean no solution us, and, it may without presumption which reaches to all cases of complaint. -The consideration of general laws, although it may concern the question of the origin of evil very nearly, which I think it does, rests in views disproportionate to our faculties, and in a knowledge which we do not possess It serves rather to account for the objects, to hopeless ignorance. Man can scurity of the subject, than to supply understand these high matters only by us with distinct answers to our difficulceasing to be man, just as a fly can un- ties." What says presumptuous ignoderstand a lemma of Newton only by rance? "No doubt whatever exists as to the origin of evil." It is remarkable that Mr. Sadler does not tell us what his solution is. The world, we suspect, will lose little by his silence.

He falls on the reviewer again.

"Though I have shown," says he, "and on authorities from which none can lightly differ, uot only the cruelty and immorality which this system necessarily involves, but its most revolting feature, its gross partiality, he has wholly suppressed this, the most important culties in everything. Yet we are sure part of my argument; as even the bare notice of it would have instantly exposed the phistry to which he has had recourse. If, however, he would fairly meet the whole question, let him show me that ' hydrophobia, which he gives as an example of the laws of God and nature, is a calamity to which the poor alone are liable; or that 'malaria,' which, with singular infelicity, he has chosen as an illustration of the fancied evils of population, is a respecter of persons."

We said nothing about this argument, as Mr. Sadler calls it, merely because we did not think it worth while; and we are half ashamed to say anything that the question is beyond the reach about it now. But, since Mr. Sadler is so urgent for an answer, he shall have lences the supposed objector, who one. If there is evil, it must be either partial or universal. Which is the in the same manner. The church has better of the two? Hydrophobia says been, ever since the apostolic times, this great philosopher, is no argument agitated by this question, and by a against the divine goodness, because question which is inseparable from it, mad dogs bite rich and poor alike; the question of fate and free-will. The but if the rich were exempted, and reatest theologians and philosophers only nine people suffered for ten who have acknowledged that these things suffer now, hydrophobia would forth-

argument against the divine goodness! To state such a proposition, is to refute And is not the malaria a respecter of persons? It infests Rome. Does it infest London? There are complaints peculiar to the tropical countries. There are others which are found only in mountainous districts: others which are confined to marshy regions; others again which run in particular families. Is not this partiality? Why is it more inconsistent with the divine goodness that poor men should suffer an evil from which rich men are exempt, than that a particular portion of the community should inherit gout, scrofula, insanity, and other maladies? And are there no miseries under which, in fact, the poor alone are suffering? Sadler himself acknowledges, in this very paragraph, that there are such; but he tells us that these calamities are the effects of misgovernment, and that this misgovernment is the effect of political economy. Be it so. But does he not see that he is only removing the difficulty one step farther? Why does Providence suffer men, whose minds are filled with false and pernicious notions, to have power in the state? For good ends, we doubt not, if the fact be so; but for ends inscrutable to us, who see only a small part of the vast scheme, and who see that small part only for a short period. Does Mr. Sadler doubt that the Supreme Being has power as absolute over the revolutions of political as over the organisation of natural bodies? Surely not: and, if not, we do not see that he vindicates the ways of Providence by attributing the distresses, which the poor, as he confesses, endure, to an error in legislation rather than to a law of physiology. Turn the question as we may, disguise it as we may, we shall find that it at last moral evil: an enigma which the highest a matter of pure arithmetic. human intellects have given up in despair, but which Mr. Sadler thinks Lord Thurlow, in one of his speeches himself perfectly able to solve.

long on verbal criticism. We certainly He might, with equal propriety, have did object to his improper use of the said ten Macartneys, or a hundred words "inverse variation." Mr. Sadler Macartneys. Nor would there have

complains of this with his usual bitterness.

"Now what is the Reviewer's quarrel with me on this occasion? That he does not understand the meaning of my terms? No. He acknowledges the contrary. That I have not fully explained the sense in which I have used them? No. An explanation, he knows, is inmediately subjoined, though he has carefully suppressed it. That I have varied the sense in which I have applied them? No. I challenge him to show it. But he nevertheless goes on for many pages together in arguing against what he knows, and, in fact, acknowledges, I did not mean; and then turns round and argues again, though much more feebly, indeed, against what he says I did mean! Now, even had I been in error as to the use of a word, appeal to the reader whether such an unworthy and disingenuous course would not, if generally pursued, make controversy on all subjects, however important, that into which, in such hands, it always degenerate --- a dispute about words.'

The best way to avoid controversies about words is to use words in their Mr. Sadler may think proper senses. our objection captious; but how he can think it disingenuous we do not well understand. If we had represented him as meaning what we knew that he did not mean, we should have acted in a disgraceful manner. But we did not represent him, and he allows that we did not represent him, as meaning what he did not mean. We blamed him, and with perfect justice and propriety, for saying what he did not mean. man has in one senve a right to define his own terms; that is to say, if he chooses to call one two, and two seven, it would be absurd to charge him with false arithmetic for saying that seven is the double of one. But it would be perfectly fair to blame him for changing the established sense of words. The words, "inverse variation," in matters not purely scientific, have often been used in the loose way in which Mr. Sadler has used them. But we resolves itself into the same great shall be surprised if he can find a single enigma,-the origin of physical and instance of their having been so used in

We will illustrate our meaning thus. about Indian affairs, said that one He next accuses us of having paused Hastings was worth twenty Macartneys. been the least inconsistency in his using all the three expressions in one speech. But would this be an excuse for a financier who, in a matter of account, should reason as if ten, twenty, and a hundred were the same number?

Mr. Sadler tells us that he purposely avoided the use of the word proportion in stating his principle. He seems, therefore, to allowt hat the word proportion would have been improper. Yet he did in fact employ it in explaining his principle, accompanied with an awkward explanation intended to signify that, though he said proportion, he meant something quite different from proportion. We should not have said so much on this subject, either in our former article, or at present, but that there is in all Mr. Sadler's writings an air of scientific pedantry, which renders his errors fair game. We will now let the matter rest; and, instead of assailing Mr. Sadler with our verbal criticism, proceed to defend ourselves against his literal criticism.

"The Reviewer promised his readers that some curious results should follow from his shuffling. We will enable him to keep his word.

outain from 50 to 100 inhabitants on the square mile, the births to 100 marriages are, according to Mr. Sadler, 420; but in 44 departments of France, in which there are from one to two hecatares [hectares] to each inhabitant, that is to say, in which the population is from 125 to 250, or rather more, to the square mile, the number of births to one hundred marriages is 428 and a fraction.

The first curious result is, that our Reviewer is ignorant, not only of the name, but of the extent, of a French hectare; otherwise he is guilty of a practice which, even if transferred to the gambling-table, would. I presume, prevent him from being allowed ever to shuffle, even there, again. He was most ready to pronounce upon a mistake of one per cent. in a calculation of mine, the difference in no wise affecting the argument in hand; but here I must inform him, that his error, whether wilfully or ignorantly put forth, involves his entire argument.

The French hectare I had calculated to contain 107,708 of inglish square feet, or 2,107,08 of inglish square feet, or inglish square feet,

culation gives about \$\frac{38}{18}\$ hectares to an English square mile; the second, \$25.73; the last, or French calculation, \$25.38. When, therefore, the Reviewer calculates the population of the departments of France thus: 'from one to two hectares to each inhabitant, that is to say, in which the population is from 125 to 250, or rather more, to the square mile;' his 'that is to say,' is that which he ought not to have said—no rare case with him, as we shall show throughout."

We must inform Mr. Sadler, in the first place, that we inserted the vowel which amuses him so much, not from ignorance or from carelessness, but advisedly, and in conformity with the practice of several respectable writers. He will find the word hecatare in Rees's Cyclopædia. He will find it also i Dr. Young. We prefer the form which we have employed, because it is etymologically correct. Mr. Sadler seems not to know that a hecatare is so called, because it contains a hundred ares.

We were perfectly acquainted with the extent as well as with the name of a hecatare. Is it at all strange that we should use the words "250, or rather more," in speaking of 258 and a fraction? Do not people constantly employ round numbers with still greater looseness, in translating foreign distances and foreign money? If indeed, as Mr. Sadler says, the difference which he chooses to call an error involved the entire argument, or any part of the argument, we should have been guilty of gross unfairness. But it is not so. The difference between 258 and 250, as even Mr. Sadler would see if he were not blind with fury, was a difference to his advantage. Our point The fecundity of a dense was this. population in certain departments of France is greater than that of a thinly scattered population in certain counties of England. The more dense, therefore, the population in those departments of France, the stronger was our By putting 250, instead of 258, case. we understated our case. Mr. Sadler's

provoking in the facility with which he taken the average, become all at once exact almost to an the argument. unit. He brings forward a census of toriously and grossly defective." The hints, to caution those of our readers census of the Netherlands is not to be who might also happen to be readers easily dealt with; and the census of of Mr. Sadler against being deceived the Notherlands is therefore pronounced by his packing. He complains of the inaccurate. In his book on the Law of word packing. We repeat it; and, Population, he tells us that "in the since he has defied us to the proof, we slave-holding States of America, the will go fully into the question which, male slaves constitute a decided ma- in our last article, we only glanced at, iority of that unfortunate class." This and prove, in such a manner as shall fact we turned against him; and, for- not leave even to Mr. Sadler any shagetting that he had himself stated it, he tells us that "it is as erroneous as many other ideas which we entertain,' and that "he will venture to assert nubile age, as numerous as the males." The increase of the negroes in the creates a vast slave-trade to solve it. He confounds together things perfectly different; the slave-trade carried on under the American flag, and the slavetrade carried on for the supply of the American soil,—the slave-trade with Africa, and the internal slave-trade between the different States. He exaggerates a few occasional acts of smuggling into an immense and regular importation, and makes his escape as well as he can under cover of this hub-bub of words. Documents are authentic From 4 to 5 hects. (2 departs.) to every and facts true precisely in preportion to the support which they afford to his This is one way, undoubtedly, of making books: but we question much whether it be the way to make discoveries.

But, to come to the gist of the con- pointed out between his theory and his troversy. Our argument, drawn from own tables, he finds no difficulty in ex-Mr. Sadler's own tables, remains abso- plaining them away or facing them out. lutely untouched. He makes excuses In one case there would have been no indeed; for an excuse is the last thing contradiction if, instead of taking one that Mr. Sadler will ever want. There of his tables, we had multiplied the is something half laughable and half number of three tables together, and Another would asserts and retracts, says and unsays, never have existed if there had not exactly as suits his argument. Some- been a great migration of people into times the register of baptisms is imper- Lancashire. Another is not to be got fect, and sometimes the register of over by any device. But then it is Then again these registers very small, and of no consequence to

Here, indeed, he is perhaps right. Prussia in proof of his theory. We The inconsistencies which we noticed show that it directly confutes his were, in themselves, of little moment. theory; and it forthwith becomes "no- We gave them as samples,—as mere dow of excuse, that his theory owes its speciousness to packing, and to packing

That our readers may fully underthat the female slaves were, at the stand our reasoning, we will again state what Mr. Sadler's proposition is. He asserts that, on a given space, the United States puzzles him; and he number of children to a marriage becomes less and less as the population becomes more and more numerous.

We will begin with the censuses of France given by Mr. Sadler. By joining the departments together in combinations which suit his purpose, he has contrived to produce three tables. which he presents as decisive proofs of his theory.

The first is as follows: --

" The legitimate hirths are, in those depart-

| п | 4 to 9 Herita | . (z departs.) | to every |             |
|---|---------------|----------------|----------|-------------|
|   |               | arriages       |          | 130         |
|   | 3 to 4        | (3 do.)        |          | 4372        |
|   | 2 to 8        | (30 do.)       |          | 4250        |
|   | 1 to 2.       | (44 do.)       |          | 4234        |
|   | *06 to 1      | (5 do.)        |          | 4146        |
|   | and .06       | (1 do.)        | )        | <b>2657</b> |

The two other computations he has As to the inconsistencies which we given in one table. We subjoin it.

| Hect. to each  | Number of                 | Legit. Births to                       | Legit. Births to                       |  |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Inhabitant.  | Departments.              | 100 Marriages.                         | 100 Mar. (1826.)                       |  |
| t to 5<br>3 to 4<br>2 to 3<br>1 to 2<br>under 1<br>and '06 | 2<br>4 3<br>30<br>44<br>5 | 497<br>439<br>424<br>420<br>415<br>263 | 397<br>389<br>379<br>375<br>372<br>258 |  |

former article, certainly look well for ciple, ought to be last but two of all Mr. Sadler's theory. says he. "Assuredly they do; and in tables, and seventh in that which places admitting this, the Reviewer has adit lowest; and that which ought to be mitted the theory to be proved." We last is, in one of Mr. Sadler's tables, cannot absolutely agree to this. theory is not proved, we must tell Mr. two of them, above that which ought Sadler, merely because the evidence in to be third, and, in all of them, above its favour looks well at first sight, that which ought to be fourth. There is an old proverb, very homely in expression, but well deserving to be particular manner, Mr. Sadler has prohad in constant remembrance by all duced results which he contemplates men, engaged either in action or in with great satisfaction. But, if we draw speculation-"One story is good till the lines a little higher up or a little another is told!"

We affirm, then, that the results which these tables present, and which seem so favourable to Mr. Sadler's theory, are produced by packing, and the very reverse of that which he has by packing alone.

In the first place, if we look at the departments singly, the whole is in disorder. About the department in which Paris is situated there is no dispute: Mr. Malthus distinctly admits that great cities prevent propagation. There remain eighty-four departments; and sists of those departments in which of these there is not, we believe, a the space to each inhabitant is from single one in the place which, according to Mr. Sadler's principle, it ought Cantal to Meuse inclusive consists of to occupy.

fecundity is tenth in one table, fourteenth in another, and only thirty-first former set the inhabitants are from 68 according to the third. ought to be third is twenty-second by abouts. In the latter they are from the table, which places it highest, 107 to 125. Therefore, on Mr. Sadler's That which ought to be fourth is principle, the fecundity ought to be which by the table all all the fecundity of the fecundi his lest. That which ought to be eighth former. It is, however, greater, and is it tieth or sixtieth. ought to be tenth from the top is tables. about the same distance from the

These tables, as we said in our which, according to Mr. Sadler's prin-"Do they?" the eighty-four is third in two of the A above that which ought to be first, in

By dividing the departments in a lower down, we shall find that all his calculations are thrown into utter confusion; and that the phenomena, if they indicate any thing, indicate a law propounded.

Let us take, for example, the thirtytwo departments, as they stand in Mr. Sadler's table, from Lozere to Meuse inclusive, and divide them into two sets of sixteen departments each. The set from Lozére and Loiret inclusive con-3.8 hecatares to 2.42. The set from those departments in which the space That which ought to be highest in to each inhabitant is from 2.42 hecatares to 2.07. That is to say, in the That which to 107 on the square mile, or therertieth by the table, which places it smaller in the latter set than in the That which that in every one of Mr. Sadler's three

Let us now go a little lower down, bottom on the other hand, that and take another set of sixteen departments-those which lie together in Mr. Sadler's tables, from Hérault to Jura inclusive. Here the population is still thicker than in the second of those sets which we before compared. The fecundity, therefore, ought, on Mr. Sadler's principle, to be less than in that set. But it is again greater, and

that in all Mr. Sadler's three tables, We have a regularly ascending series. where, if his theory had any truth in it, we ought to have a regularly descending series. We will give the results of our colculation.

The number of children to 1000 marriages is ---

| In the sixteen departments where<br>there are from 68 to 107 people  |  |
|--|--|
| on a square mile   |  |
| In the sixteen departments where<br>there are from 107 to 125 people |  |
| on a square mile In the sixteen departments where                    |  |
| there are from 134 to 155 people                                     |  |
| on a square mile   |  |

| First Table. | Second Table. | Third Table. |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| 4188         | 1226          | 3780         |
|              | 4332          | 3855         |
|              | 4416          | 3914         |

We will give another instance, if possible still more decisive. We will take the three departments of France which ought, on Mr. Sadler's principle, to be the lowest in fecundity of all the eighty-five, saving only that in which Paris stands; and we will compare them with the three departments in which the fecundity ought, according to him, to be greater than in any other 1 department of France, two only ex- riages iscepted. We will compare Bas Rhin,

Rhone, and Nord, with Lozére, Landes, and Indre. In Lozére, Landes, and Indre, the population is from 68 to 84 on the square mile, or nearly so. In Bas Rhin, Rhone, and Nord, it is from 300 to 417 on the square mile. There cannot be a more overwhelming answer to Mr. Sadler's theory than the table which we subjoin:

The number of births to 1000 mar-

|   | First Table. | Second Table. | Third Table. |
|---|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| In the three departments in which<br>there are from 68 to 84 people on<br>the square mile | 4372         | 4390          | 3890         |
| there are from 300 to 417 people<br>on the square mile                                    | 4457         | 4510          | 4060         |

These are strong cases. But we have a still stronger case. Take the whole of the third, fourth, and fifth divisions into which Mr. Sadler has portioned out the French departments. These three divisions make up almost the whole kingdom of France. They contain seventy-nine out of the eighty-five Mr. Sadler has condepartments. trived to divide them in such a manner that, to a person who looks merely at his averages, the fecundity seems to diminish as the population thickens. We will separate them into two parts

line between the department of Gironde and that of Hérault. On the one side are the thirty-two departments from Cher to Gironde inclusive. On the other side are the forty-six departments from Hérault to Nord inclusive. In all the departments of the former set, the population is under 132 on the square mile. In all the departments of the latter set, it is above 132 on the square mile. It is clear that, if there be one word of truth in Mr. Sadler's theory, the fecundity in the latter of these divisions must be very decidedly instead of three. We will draw the smaller than in the former. Is it so?

It is, on the contrary, greater in all the three tables. We give the result.

The number of births to 1000 marriages is-

|   | First Table. | Second Table. | Third Table. |
|---|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| In the thirty-two departments in which there are from 86 to 132 people on the square mile In the forty-seven departments in 4 | 4210         | 4199          | 3760         |
| which there are from 132 to 417 people on the square mile   | 4250         | 4224          | 3766         |

This fact is alone enough to decide the question. Yet it is only one of a crowd of similar facts. If the line between Mr. Sadler's second and third division be drawn six departments lower down, the third and fourth divisions will, in all the tables, be above the second. If the line between the third and fourth divisions be drawn two departments lower down, the fourth division will be above the third in all the tables. If the line between the fourth and fifth division be drawn two departments lower down, the fifth will, in all the tables, be above the fourth, above the third, and even above the How then has Mr. Sadler second. obtained his results? By packing By placing in one compartment a district no larger than the Isle of Wight; in another, a district somewhat less than Yorkshire; in a third, a territory much larger than the island of Great Britain.

By the same artifice it is that he has obtained from the census of England those delusive averages which he brings forward with the utmost estentation in proof of his principle. We will examine the facts relating to England, as we have examined those relating to France.

If we look at the counties one by one, Mr. Sadler's principle utterly fails. Hertfordshire with 251 on the square mile: Worcester with 258; and Kent with 282, exhibit a far greater fecundity than the East Riding of York, which has 151 on the square mile; Monmouthshire, which has 145; or Northumberland, which has 108. The fecundity of Staffordshire, which has more than 300 on the square mile, is as high as the average fecundity of the But we will make another experiment

on the square mile. But, instead of confining ourselves to particular instances, we will try masses.

Take the eight counties of England which stand together in Mr. Sadler's list, from Cumberland to Dorset inclusive. In these the population is from 107 to 150 on the square mile. pare with these the eight counties from Berks to Durham inclusive, in which the population is from 175 to 200 on the square mile. Is the fecundity in the latter counties smaller than in the former? On the contrary, the result stands thus:

The number of children to 100 marriages is-

In the eight counties of England, in which there are from 107 to '146 people on the square mile 388 In the eight counties of England,

in which there are from 175 to 200 people on the square mile 402 Take the six districts from the East Riding of York to the County of Norfolk inclusive. Here the population is from 150 to 170 on the square mile. To these oppose the six counties from Derby to Worcester inclusive. The population is from 200 to 260. Here again we find that a law, directly the reverse of that which Mr. Sadler has laid down, appears to regulate the fecundity of the inhabitants.

The number of children to 100 mar-

riages is-

In the six counties in which there are from 150 to 170 people on the square mile In the six counties in which there are from 200 to 260 people on the square mile

which have from 150 to 200 on Mr. Sadler's tables, if possible more

have hitherto made. We will take the four largest divisions into which he has distributed the English counties, and which follow each other in regular

decisive than any of those which we order. That our readers may fully comprehend the nature of that packing by which his theory is supported, we will set before them this part of his table.

| COUNTIES.         | opu n on<br>Sq1 Mile. |         | Sq. e Mile | Number<br>Marriages<br>1810 to 18 | Number of sptisms from 1810 to 1820. | Proportion of<br>Births to 100<br>Marriages. |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Lincoln           | 105                   | 288,800 | 2748       | 20,892                            | 87,620                               |  |
| Cumberland        | 107                   | 159,300 | 1478       | 10,299                            | 45,085                               |  |
| Northumberland    | 108                   | 203,000 | 1871       | 12,997                            | 46,871                               |  |
| Hereford          | 122                   | 105,300 | 860        | 6,202                             | 27,909                               |  |
| Rutland           | 127                   | 18,900  | 149        | 1,286                             | 5,125                                |  |
| Huntingdon        | 134                   | 49,800  | 870        | 3,766                             | 13,633                               |  |
| Cambridge         | 145                   | 124,400 | 858        | 9,894                             | 37,491                               |  |
| Monmouth          | 145                   | 72,300  | 498        | 4,586                             | 13,411                               |  |
| Dorset            | 146                   | 147,400 | 1005       | 9,554                             | 89,060                               |  |
| From 100 to 150.  |                       |         |            | 79,476                            | 315,205                              |  |
| York, East Riding | 151                   | 194,300 | 1280       | 15,313                            | 55,606                               |  |
| Club and          | 156                   | 210,300 | 1341       | 13,613                            | 58,542                               |  |
| Sussex            | 162                   | 237,700 | 1463       | 15,779                            | 68,700                               |  |
| Northampton       | 163                   | 165,800 | 1017       | 12,346                            | 42,336                               |  |
| Wilts             | 164                   | 226,600 | 1379       | 15,654                            | 58,845                               |  |
| Norfolk           | 168                   | 351,300 | 2092       | 25,752                            | 102,259                              |  |
| Devon             | 173                   | 447,900 | 2579       | 35,264                            | 130,758                              |  |
| Southampton       | 177                   | 289,000 | 1628       | 24,561                            | 88,170                               |  |
| Berks             | 178                   | 134,700 | 756        | 9,301                             | 38,841                               |  |
| Suffolk           | 182                   | 276,000 | 1512       | 19,885                            | 76,327                               |  |
| Bedford           | 184                   | 85,400  | 468        | 6,536                             | 22,871                               |  |
| Buckingham        | 185                   | 136,800 | 740        | 9,505                             | 37,518                               |  |
| Oxford            | 186                   | 139,800 | 752        | 9,131                             | 39,633                               |  |
| Essex             | 193                   | 295,300 | 1532       | 19,726                            | 79,792                               |  |
| Cornwall          | 198                   | 262,600 | 1327       | 17,363                            | 74,611                               |  |
| Durham            | 199                   | 211,900 | 1061       | 14,787                            | 58,222                               |  |
| From 150 to 200.  |                       |         |            | 264,516                           | 1,033,039                            | 390  |
| Derby             | 212                   | 217,600 | 1026       | 14,226                            | 58,894                               |  |
| Somerset          | 220                   | 362,500 | 1642       | 24,3 6                            | 95,802                               |  |
| Leicester         | 221                   | 178,100 | 804        | 13,366                            | 47,013                               |  |
| Nottingham        | 228                   | 190,700 | 837        | 14,296                            | 55,517                               |  |
| From 200 to 250.  |                       |         |            | 66,244                            | 257,136                              |  |
|                   |                       |         |            |                                   |                                      |  |
| Hertford          | 251                   | 132,400 | 528        | 7,386                             | 35,741                               |  |
| Worcester         | 258                   | 188,200 | 729        | 13,178                            | 53,838                               |  |
| Chester           | 262                   | 275,500 | 1052       | 20,305                            | 75,012                               |  |
| Gloucester        | 272                   | 342,600 | 1256       | 28,884                            | 90,671                               |  |
| Kent              | 282                   | 434,600 | 1537       | 33,502                            | 135,060                              |  |
| From 250 to 300.  |                       |         |            | 103,255                           | 390,322                              | 378  |

These averages look well, undoubtedly, for Mr. Sadler's theory. The numbers 396, 390, 388, 378, follow each thirty-four counties into two equal sets

of seventeen counties each, and try whether the principle will then hold good. We have made this calculation, and we present them with the following result.

The number of children to 100 mar-

riages is-

In the seventeen counties of England in which there are from 100 to 177 people on the square mile

387 In the seventeen counties in which there are from 177 to 282 people on the square mile 389

The difference is small, but not smaller than differences which Mr. Sadler has brought forward as proofs of his theory. We say, that these English tables no more prove that fecundity increases with the population than that it diminishes with the population. The thirty-four counties which we

have taken make up, at least, four-fifths of the kingdom: and we see that, through those thirty-four counties, the phenomena are directly opposed to Mr. Sadler's principle. That in the capital, and in great manufacturing towns, marriages are less prolific than in the open country, we admit, and Mr. Malthus admits. But that any condensation of the population, short of that which injures all physical energies, will diminish the prolific powers of man, is, from these very tables of Mr. Sadler, completely disproved.

It is scarcely worth while to proceed with instances, after proofs so overwhelming as those which we have given. Yet we will show that Mr. Sadler has formed his averages on the census of Prussia by an artifice exactly similar to that which we have already

exposed.

Demonstrating the Law of Population from the Consuses of Prussia, at two several Periods.

| Provinces.   | Inhabitants<br>on a<br>Square<br>League.     | Births to<br>each<br>Marriage.<br>1756. | Average. | Births to<br>each<br>Marriage.<br>1784.      | Average. |
|--|--|---|----------|--|----------|
| West Prussia<br>Pomerania  | 832<br>928                                   | 4.3                                     | } 4:34   | 4·75<br>4·69                                 | } 4.72   |
| East Prussia New Mark Mark of Branden- burg East Friesland                           | 1175<br>1190<br>1790<br>1909                 | 5·07<br>4·22<br>3·88<br>3·39            | 4-14     | 5·10<br>4·43<br>4·60<br>3·66                 | 4.45     |
| Guelderland Silesia and Glatz Cleves Minden & Ravens- burg Magdeburg Neufchatel, &c. | 2083<br>2314<br>2375<br>2549<br>2692<br>2700 | 4·33<br>3·80<br>8·67<br>4·03<br>3·39    | 3.84     | 3·74<br>4·84<br>4·03<br>4·31<br>4·57<br>3·98 | 4.54     |
| Halberstadt<br>Ticklingburg and<br>Lingen }  | , 3142<br>3461                               | 8·71<br>3·59                            | 3-65     | 4·48<br>3·69                                 | } 4.08   |

Of the census of 1756 we will say | selves to the census of 1784: and we \*nothing, as Mr. Sadler, finding himself will draw our lines at points somewhat hard pressed by the argument which we drew from it, now declares it to be gressly defective. We confine our-

different from those at which Mr. Sadler has drawn his. Let the first compartment remain as it stands. Let larger population than his last com-East Friesland and Guelderland, and the fourth of the remaining provinces. Our readers will find that, on this arrangement, the division which, on Mr. Sadler's principle, ought to be second in fecundity stands higher than that which ought to be first; and that the division which ought to be fourth stands higher than that which ought to Surrey." be third. We will give the result in one view.

The number of births to a marriage

In those provinces of Prussia wherethere are fewer than 1000 people on the square league In the province in which there are 1175 people on the square league ... In the provinces in which there are from 1190 to 2083 people on the square In the provinces in which there are from 2314 to 3461 people on the square

We will go no farther with this ex-In fact, we have nothing mared with which those he reacts which facturing parts of Lancashire are often far amination. more to examine. whole strength of Mr. Sadler's case; and we confidently leave it to our readers to say, whether we have not shown that the strength of his case is weakness.

Be it remembered too that we are reasoning on data furnished by Mr. Sadler himself. We have not made collections of facts to set against his, as we easily might have done. It is on his own showing, it is out of his own mouth, that his theory stands condemned.

That packing which we have exposed is not the only sort of packing which Mr. Sadler has practised. We mentioned in our review some facts relating to the towns of England, which appear from Mr. Sadler's tables, and which it seems impossible to explain if rounded is inconsistent with my theory? his principles be sound. The average been to discover the truth, or had he known

East Prussia, which contains a much of from 4000 to 5000 inhabitants is greater than the average fecundity of Warwickshire, Lancashire, or Surrey. partment stand alone in the second Warwickshire, Lancashire, or Surrey. division. Let the third consist of the How is it, we asked, if Mr. Sadler's New Mark, the Mark of Brandenburg, principle be correct, that the fecundity of Guildford should be greater than the average fecundity of the county in which it stands?

Mr. Sadler, in reply, talks about "the absurdity of comparing the fecundity in the small towns alluded to with that in the counties of Warwick and Stafford, or in those of Lancaster and He proceeds thus-

"In Warwickshire, far above half the population is comprised in large towns, including, of course, the immense metropolisor one great branch of our manufactures, Birmingham. In the county of Stafford, besides the large and populous towns in its iron districts, situated so close together as almost to form, for considerable distances, a continuous street; there is, in its potteries, a great population, recently accumulated, not included, indeed, n the towns distinctly enumerated in the ensuses, but vastly exceeding in its condenstion that found in the places to which the Reviewer alludes. In Lancashire again, which he also appeals, one-fourth of the entire pulation is made up of the mhabitants of two only of the towns of that county; far above half of it is contained in towns, com-

we have scrutinised constitute the more populous than the places he mentions. But he presents us with a climax of absurdity in appealing lastly to the population of Surrey as quite rural compared with that of Surrey as quite rural compared with that of the twelve towns, having less than 5000 in-habitants in their respective jurisdictions, such as Safron-Walden. Monmouth, &c. Now, in the last census. Surrey numbered 398,658 inhabitants, and to say not a word about the other towns of the county, much above two hundred thousands of these are within the Bills of Mortality! 'We should, therefore, be glad to know how it is utterly inconsistent with my principle that the fecundity of Guilaford, which numbers about 3000 inhabitants, should be greater than the average fecundity of Surrey, made up, as the bulk of the population of Surrey is, of the inhabitants of some of the worst parts of the metropolis? Or why the fecundity of a given number of marriages in the eleven little rural towns he alludes to, being somewhat higher than that of an equal number, half taken, for instance, from the heart of Bir-mingham or Manchester, and half from the populous districts by which they are sur-

"Had the Reviewer's object, in this instance, fecundity of a marriage in towns of how to pursue it, it is perfectly clear, at first fewer than 3000 inhabitants is greater than the average fecundity of the king comparison between the prolifeness which exists in the small towns he has alluded to, dom. The average fecundity in towns of which is made up, partly of rural inhabitants and partly of accumulations of people in immense masses, the prolificness of which, if he will allow me still the use of the phrase, is inversely as their magnitude; but he would have compared these small towns with the country places properly so called, and then again the different classes of towns with each other; this method would have led him to certain conclusions on the subject.

Now, this reply shows that Mr. Sadler does not in the least understand the principle which he has himself laid down. What is that principle? It is this, that the fecundity of human beings on given spaces, varies inversely as their numbers. We know what he means by inverse variation. But we must suppose that he uses the words, "given spaces" in the proper sense. Given spaces are equal spaces. there any reason to believe, that in those parts of Surrey which lie within the bills of mortality there is any space equal in area to the space on which Guildford stands, which is more thickly peopled than the space on which Guildford stands? We do not know that there is any such. We are sure that there are not many. Why, therefore, on Mr. Sadler's principle, should the people of Guildford be more prolific than the people who live within the bills of mortality? And, if the people of Guildford ought, as on Mr. Sadler's principle they unquestionably ought, to stand as low in the scale of fecundity as the people of Southwark itself, it follows, most clearly, that they ought to stand far lower than the average obtained by taking all the people of Surrey together.

cases which Mr. Sadler mentions. are, in other words, as thickly peopled pool."

fecundity of the rural districts of Warwickshire.

The plain fact is, that Mr Sadler has confounded the population of a city with its population "on a given space, -a mistake which, in a gentleman who assures us that mathematical science was one of his early and favourite studies, is somewhat curious. It is as absurd, on his principle, to say that the fecundity of London ought to be less than the fecundity of Edinburgh, because London has a greater population than Edinburgh, as to say that the fecundity of Russia ought to be greater than that of England, because Russia has a greater population than England. He cannot say that the spaces on which towns stand are too small to exemplify the truth of his principle. For he has himself brought forward the scale of fecundity in towns, as a proof of his principle. And, in the very passage which we quoted above, he tells us that, if we knew how to pursue truth or wished to find it, we "should have compared these small towns with country places, and the different classes of towns with each other." That is to say, we ought to compare together such unequal spaces as give results favourable to his theory, and never to compare such equal spaces as give results opposed to it. Does he mean anything by "a given space?" Or does he mean merely such a space as suits his argument? It is perfectly clear that, if he is allowed to take this course, he may prove anything. No fact can come amiss to him. Suppose, for example, that the fecundity of New York should The same remark applies to the case prove to be smaller than the fecundity of Birmingham, and to all the other of Liverpool. "That," says Mr. Sadler, 'makes for my theory. For there are Towns of 5000 inhabitants may be, more people within two miles of the and often are, as thickly peopled "on Broadway of New York, than within a given space," as Birmingham. They two miles of the Exchange of Liver-Suppose, on the other hand, as a portion of Birmingham, equal to that the fecundity of New York should them in area. If so, on Mr. Sadler's be greater than the fecundity of Liverprinciple, they ought to be as low in pool. "This," says Mr. Sadler again, "is the scale of fecundity as Birmingham. an unanswerable proof of my theory. But they are not so. On the contrary, For there are many more people within they stand higher than the average forty miles of Liverpool than within obtained by taking the fecundity of forty miles of New York." In order Birmingham in combination with the to obtain his numbers, he takes spaces

in any combinations which may suit him. In order to obtain his averages, he takes numbers in any combinations which may suit him. And then htells us that, because his tables, at thfirst glance, look well for his theory, his theory is irrefragably proved.

We will add a few words respecting the argument which we drew from the peerage. Mr. Sadler asserted that the peers were a class condemned by nature to sterility. We denied this, and showed, from the last edition of Debrett, that the peers of the United Kingdom have considerably more than the average number of children to a marriage. Mr. Sadler's answer has amused us much. He denies the accuracy of our counting, and, by reckoning all the Scotch and Irish peers as peers of the United Kingdom, certainly makes very different numbers from those which we gave. A member of the Parliament of the United Kingdom might have been expected, we think, to know better what a peer of the United Kingdom is.

By taking the Scotch and Irish peers, Mr. Sadler has altered the average. But it is considerably higher than the average fecundity of England, and still, therefore, constitutes an unanswerable argument against his theory.

The shifts to which, in this difficulty, he has recourse, are exceedingly diverting. "The average fecundity of the marriages of peers," said we, "is higher by one-fifth than the average fecundity of marriages throughout the kingdom."

"Where, or by whom did the Reviewer find it supposed," answers Mr. Sadler, "that the registered baptisms expressed the full fecundity of the marriages of England?"

Assuredly, if the registers of England are so defective as to explain the difference which, on our calculation, exists between the fecundity of the peers and the fecundity of the peers and the fecundity of the peers and the fecundity of the peers, housand, and the very lead the very lead on these very registers? Above all, what becomes of his comparison between the censuses of Enrland and is subject.

France? In the pamphlet before us, he dwells with great complacency on a coincidence which seems to him to support his theory, and which to us seems, of itself, sufficient to overthrow it.

"In my table of the population of France in the forty-four departments in which there are from one to two hectares to each inhabitant, the fecundity of 100 marriages, calculated on the average of the results of the three computations relating to different periods given in my table, is  $406\frac{7}{10}$ . In the twentytwo counties of England, in which there is from one to two bectares to each inhabitant, or from 129 to 259 on the square mile,—beginning, therefore, with Huntingdonshire, and ending with Worcestershire, -the whole number of marriages during ten years will be found to amount to 379,624, and the whole number of the births during the same term to 1,545,549—or  $407\frac{1}{10}$  births to 100 marriages! A difference of one in one thousand only, compared with the French proportion!"

Does not Mr. Sadler see that, if the registers of England, which are notoriously very defective, give a result exactly corresponding almost to an unit with that obtained from the registers of France, which are notoriously very full and accurate, this proves the very reverse of what he employs it to prove? The correspondence of the registers proves that there is no correspondence n the facts. In order to raise tho average fecundity of England even to the level of the average fecundity of the peers of the three kingdoms, which s 3.81 to a marriage, it is necessary to add nearly six per cent. to the number f births given in the English registers. But, if this addition be made, we shall ave, in the counties of England, from Huntingdonshire to Worcestershire inclusive, 4.30 births to a marriage or thereabouts; and the boasted coincidence between the phenomena of propagation in France and England disappears at once. This is a curious specimen of Mr. Sadler's proficiency in the art of making excuses. In the same pamphlet he zeasons as if the same gisters were accurate to one in housand, and as if they were wrong at the very least by one in eighteen.

He tries to show that we have not taken a fair criterion of the fecundity of the peers. We are not quite sure hat we understand his reasoning on his subject. The order of his obser-

vations is more than usually confused, die in 1827? What shadow of reason and the cloud of words more than usually We will give the argument on which he seems to lay most stress in \*his own words:

"But I shall first notice a far more obvious and important blunder into which the Reviewer has fallen; or into which, I rather fear, he knowingly wishes to precipitate his readers, since I have distinctly pointed out what ought to have preserved him from it in the very chapter he is criticising and contradicting. It is this:—he has entirely omitted 'counting' the sterile marriage of all those peerages which have become extinct during the very period his counting embraces. He counts, for instance, Earl Fitzwilliam, his marriages, and heir; but has he not omitted to enumerate the marriages of those branches of the same noble house, which have become extinct since that venerable individual possessed his title? He talks of my having appealed merely to the extinction of peerages in my argument; but, on his plan of computation, extinctions are perpetually and wholly lost sight of. In computing the average prolificness of the marriages of the obles, he positively counts from a select class of them only, one from which the un-prolific are constantly weeded, and regularly disappear; and he taus comes to the conclusion, that the peers are 'an emmently prolific class!' Just as though a farmer should compute the rate of increase, not from the quantity of seed sown, but from that part of it only which comes to perfection, entirely omitting all which had failed to spring up or come to maturity. Upon this principle the most scanty crop ever obtained, in which the husbandman should fail to receive 'seed again,' as the phrase is, might be so 'counted' as to appear 'eminently prolific' indeed."

understand this rightly, it decisively proves that Mr. Sadler is incompetent to perform even the lowest offices of statistical re-What shadow of reason is there to believe that the peers who were alive in the year 1828 differed as to their prolificness from any other equally numerous set of peers taken at random? In what sense were the peers who were alive in 1828 analogous to that part of the seed which comes to perfection? Did we entirely omit all that failed? On the contrary, re counted the sterile as well as the fruitful marriages of all the peers of the United Kingdom living at one time. In what way were the peers who were alive in 1828 a select class? In what way were the sterile weeded from among them? Did every peer who had been married without having issue jured his spouse, 'bring forth men-children

is there to suppose that there was not the ordinary proportion of barren marriages among the marriages contracted by the noblemen whose names are in Debrett's last edition? But we ought, says Mr. Sadler, to have counted all the sterile marriages of all the peers "whose titles had become extinct during the period which our counting embraced;" that is to say, since the earliest marriage contracted by any peer living in 1828. Was such a proposition ever heard of before? Surely we were bound to do no such thing, unless at the same time we had counted also the children born from all the fruitful marriages contracted by peers during the same period. Mr. Sadler would have us divide the number of children born to peers living in 1828, not by the number of marriages which those peers contracted, but by the number of marriages which those peers contracted added to a crowd of marriages selected, on account of their sterility, from among the noble marriages which have taken place during the last fifty years. Is this the way to obtain fair averages? We might as well require that all the noble marriages which during the last fifty years have produced ten children apiece should be added to those of the peers The proper way to living in 1828. ascertain whether a set of people be prolific or sterile is, not to take marriages selected from the mass either on account of their fruitfulness or on account of their sterility, but to take a collection of marriages which there is no reason to think either more or less fruitful than others. What reason is there to think that the marriages contracted by the peers who were alive in 1828 were more fruitful than those contracted by the peers who were alive in 1800 or in 1750?

We will add another passage from Mr. Sacler's pamphlet on this subject. We attributed the extinction of peerages partly to the fact that those honours are for the most part limited to heirs male.

"This is a discovery indeed! Peercsses Uminently prolific, do not, as Macbeth cononly;' they actually produce daughters as well as sons!! Why, does not the Reviewer see, that so long as the rule of nature, which proportions the sexes so accurately to each other, continues to exist, a tendency to a diminution in one sex proves, as certainly as the demonstration of any mathematical problem, a tendency to a diminution in both; but to talk of 'eminently prolific' pecrosses, and still maintain that the rapid extinction in peerages is owing to their not bearing male children exclusively, is arrant nonsense.

Now, if there be any proposition on the face of the earth which we should not have expected to hear characterised as arrant nonsense, it is this, - that an honour limited to males alone is more likely to become extinct than an honour which, like the crown of England, descends indifferently to sons and daughters. We have heard, nay, we actually know families, in which, much as Mr. Sadler may marvel at it, there are daughare satisfied as to the facts on which we proceed. And we have always considered it as an arrangement descrying lections of human beings the disparity venture to abridge them. almost disappears. The chance unriages the number of daughters will not very much exceed the number of sons. brother or a brother's son. If the exhibition that we ever saw. He foams second peer had a brother, the first at the mouth with the love of truth, peer must have had at least two sons; and vindicates the Divine benevolence

and this is more than the average number of sons to a marriage in England. When, therefore, it is considered how many peerages are in the first and second generation, it will not appear strange that extinctions should frequently take place. There are peerages which descend to females as well as males. But, in such cases, if a peer dies, leaving only daughters, the very fecundity of the marriage is a cause of the extinction of the peerage. If there were only one daughter, the honour would descend. If there are several, it falls into abevance.

But it is needless to multiply words in a case so clear; and indeed it is needless to say anything more about Mr. Sadler's book. We have, if we do not deceive ourselves, completely exposed the calculations on which his ters and no sons. Nay, we know many theory rests; and we do not think that such families. We are as much inclined we should either amuse our readers or as Mr. Sadler to trace the benevolent serve the cause of science if we were to and wise arrangements of Providence rebut in succession a series of futile in the physical world, when once we charges brought in the most angry spirit against ourselves; ignorant imputations of ignorance, and unfair complaints of unfairness,—conveyed in of the highest admiration, that, though long, dreary, declamations, so prolix in families the number of males and that we cannot find space to quote females differs widely, yet in great col- them, and so confused that we cannot

There is much indeed in this foolish doubtedly is, that in a thousand mar- pamphlet to laugh at, from the motto the first page down to some wisdom about cows in the last. One part of it But the chance also is, that several of indeed is solemn enough, we mean a those marriages will produce daughters, certain jeu d'esprit of Mr. Sadler's and daughters only. In every genera- touching a tract of Dr. Arbuthnot's. tion of the pecrage there are several This is indeed "very tragical mirth," such cases. When a peer whose title is as Peter Quince's playbill has it; and limited to male heirs dies, leaving only we would not advise any person who daughters, his peerage must expire, reads for amusement to venture on it unless he have, not only a collateral as long as he can procure a volume heir, but a collateral heir descended of the Statutes at Large. This, howthrough an uninterrupted line of males ever, to do Mr. Sadler justice, is an from the first possessor of the honour. exception. His witticisms, and his If the deceased peer was the first tables of figures, constitute the only nobleman of his family, then, by the parts of his work which can be perused supposition, his peerage will become with perfect gravity. His blunders are extinct. If he was the second, it will diverting, his excuses exquisitely comic. become extinct, unless he leaves a But his anger is the most grotesque

him one word of parting advice. If he credit by it, or from a sense of religious duty, far be it from us to interfere. His peace, his reputation, and his religion are his own concern; and he, like the nobleman to whom his treatise is dedicated, has a right to do what he will with his own. But, if he has adopted his abusive style from a notion that it would hurt our feelings, we must inform him that he is altogether mistaken; and that he would do well in future to give us his arguments, if Bentham. he has any, and to keep his anger for those who fear it.

## MIRABEAU. (July 1832.)

Souvenirs sur Mirabeau, et sur les deux Premières Assemblées Législatives. Par ETIENNE DUMONI, de Genève: ouvrage posthun publié par M. J. L. Duval, Membre du Conseil Représentatif du Canton du Genève. 8vo. Paris : 1832.

This is a very amusing and a very in structive book: but, even if it were less amusing and less instructive, it would still be interesting as a relic of a wise and virtuous man. M. Dumont was one of those persons, the care of whose fame belongs in an especial manner to mankind. For he was one of those persons who have, for the sake up higher. Though no man was more in his style, detractors who could see capable of achieving for himself a nothing but nonsense in his matter. separate and independent renown, he at- He will now have judges. Posterity tached himself to others; he laboured will pronounce its calm and impartial to raise their fame; he was content decision; and that decision will, we to receive as his share of the reward firmly believe, place in the same rank the mere overflowings which redounded with Galileo, and with Locke, the man from the full measure of their glory. who found jurisprudence a gibberish

with a most edifying heartiness of Not that he was of a servile and idolahatred. On this subject we will give trous habit of mind: -not that he was one of the tribe of Boswells,-those raves in this way to ease his mind, or literary Gibeonites, born to be hewers because he thinks that he does himself of wood and drawers of water to the higher intellectual castes. Possessed of talents and acquirements which made him great, he wished only to be useful. In the prime of manhood, at the very time of life at which ambitious men are most ambitious, he was not solicitous to proclaim that he furnished information, arguments, and eloquence to Mirabeau. In his later years he was perfectly willing that his renown should merge in that of Mr.

The services which M. Dumont has rendered to society can be fully appreciated only by those who have studied Mr. Bentham's works, both in their rude and in their finished state. The difference both for show and for use is as great as the difference between a lump of golden ore and a rouleau of sovereigns fresh from the mint. Of Mr. Bentham we would at all times speak with the reverence which is due to a great original thinker, and to a sincere and ardent friend of the human race. If a few weaknesses were mingled with his eminent virtues,-if a few errors insinuated themselves among the many valuable truths which he taught,-this is assuredly no time for noticing those weaknesses or those errors in an unkind or sarcastic spirit. A great man has gone from among us, full of years, of good works, and of deserved honours. In some of the highest of mankind, neglected the care of their departments in which the human inown fame. In his walk through life tellect can exert itself he has not left there was no obtrusiveness, no pushing, his equal or his second behind him. no elbowing, none of the little arts From his contemporaries he has had, which bring forward little men. With according to the usual lot, more or less every right to the head of the board, than justice. He has had blind flathe took the lowest room, and well deterers and blind detractors—flatterers served to be greeted with-Friend, go who could see nothing but perfection

was injured by a vicious arrangement, and the effect of his rhetoric by a vicious style. His mind was vigorous, comprehensive, subtle, fertile of arguspoke in an unknown tongue; and, having the gift of interpretation should expound the invaluable jargon. His oracles were of high import; but they were traced on leaves and flung loose the arts of selection, distribution, and compression, that to persons who formworks in their undigested state he seemed to be the least systematic of whether sound or unsound, is more exact, more entire, and more consistent with itself than any other. Yet to ses,-who threw out many striking Bentham's. combining his doctrines in one harmonious whole.

to supply what was wanting in Mr. Bentham. In the qualities in which the French writers surpass those of all employments more gratifying to perother nations-neatness, clearness, precision, condensation,—he surpassed all works not his own. But he could have never been born, Mr. Bentham would more truly honourable. The book before alone. The fertility of his mind would that he did not become an editor behave resembled the fertility of those cause he wanted the talents which vast American wildernesses in which would have made him eminent as a blossoms and decays a rich but un- writer.

and left it a science. Never was there profitable vegetation, "wherewith the a literary partnership so fortunate as reaper filleth not his hand, neither he that of Mr. Bentham and M. Dumont. that bindeth up the sheaves his bosom." The raw material which Mr. Bentham It would have been with his discoveries curnished was most precious; but it as it has been with the "Century of was unmarketable. He was, assuredly, Inventions." . His speculations on laws at once a great logician and a great would have been of no more practical rhetorician. But the effect of his logic use than Lord Worcester's speculations on steam-engines. Some generations hence, perhaps, when legislation had found its Watt, an antiquarian might have published to the world the curious ments, fertile of illustrations. But he fact, that, in the reign of George the Third, there had been a man called that the congregation might be edified, Bentham, who had given hints of many it was necessary that some brother discoveries made since his time, and who had really, for his age, taken a most philosophical view of the principles of jurisprudence.

Many persons have attempted to into the wind. So negligent was he of terpret between this powerful mind the arts of selection, distribution, and and the public. But, in our opinion, M. Dumont alone has succeeded. It is ed their judgment of him from his remarkable that, in foreign countries, where Mr. Bentham's works are known solely through the medium of the all philosophers. The truth is, that French version, his merit is almost his opinions formed a system, which, universally acknowledged. Even those who are most decidedly opposed to his political opinions—the very chiefs of the Holy Alliance—have publicly tessuperficial readers of his works in their tified their respect for him. In Engoriginal form, and indeed to all readers land, on the contrary, many persons of those works who did not bring great who certainly entertained no prejudice industry and great acuteness to the against him on political grounds were study, he seemed to be a man of a long in the habit of mentioning him quick and ingenious but ill-regulated contemptuously. Indeed, what was said mind,-who saw truth only by glimp- of Bacon's philosophy may be said of It was in little repute hints, but who had never thought of among us, till judgments in its favour came from beyond sea, and convinced us, to our shame, that we had been M. Dumont was admirably qualified abusing and laughing at one of the greatest men of the age.

M. Dumont might easily have found sonal vanity than that of arranging French writers. If M. Dumont had found no employment more useful or 5 still have been a very great man. But us, hastily written as it is, contains he would have been great to himself abundant proof, if proof were needed,

and of its authors. lution, nor at that later period when could bring to its support. severely felt. The benefit was still to not yet been delivered. Europe was swarming with French exile The fleets and armies of the second coalition were victorious. Within France. the reign of terror was over; but the reign of law had not commenced. There had been, indeed, during three or four years, a written Constitution, by which rights were defined and checks provided. But these rights had been repeatedly violated; and those checks had proved utterly inefficient. The laws which had been framed to secure the listinct authority of the executive magistrates and of the legislative asremblies—the freedom of election—the freedom of debate—the freedom of the press—the personal freedom of citizens -were a dead letter. The ordinary mode in which the Republic was governed was by coups d'état. On one man, discouraged by the Revolution." occasion, the legislative councils were This description, at the time when M. placed under military restraint by the Dumont's Memoirs were written, would

Persons who hold democratical opi-nions, and who have been accustomed deposed by the legislative councils. to consider M. Dumont as one of their Elections were set aside by the execuparty, have been surprised and morti-tive authority. Ship-loads of writers fied to learn that he speaks with very and speakers were sent, without a legal little respect of the French Revolution trial, to die of fever in Guiana. France, Some zealous in short, was in that state in which re-Tories have naturally expressed great volutions, effected by violence, almost satisfaction at finding their doctrines, always leave a nation. The habit of in some respects, confirmed by the tes- obedience had been lost. The spell of timony of an unwilling witness. The prescription had been broken. Those date of the work, we think, explains associations on which, far more than every thing. If it had been written on any arguments about property and ten years earlier, or twenty years later, order, the authority of magistrates rests it would have been very different from had completely passed away. The what it is. It was written, neither power of the government consisted during the first excitement of the Revo- merely in the physical force which it the practical good produced by the force it had none. It was itself a go-Revolution had become manifest to the vernment sprung from a recent convulmost prejudiced observers; but in sion. Its own fundamental maxim was, those wretched times when the enthu- that rebellion might be justifiable. Its siasm had abated, and the solid advan- own existence proved that rebellion tages were not yet fully seen. It was might be successful. The people had written in the year 1799, -a year in been accustomed, during several years, which the most sanguine friend of to offer resistance to the constituted liberty might well feel some misgivings authorities on the slightest provocaas to the effects of what the National tion, and to see the constituted autho-Assembly had done. The evils which rities yield to that resistance. The attend every great change had been whole political world was "without form and void "--an incessant whirl come. The price - n heavy price - had of hostile atoms, which, every moment, been paid. The thing purchased had formed some new combination. The only man who could fix the agitated elements of society in a stable form was following a wild vision of glory and empire through the Syrian deserts. The time was not yet come, when

"Confusion heard his voice; and wild Stood ruled:"

when, out of the chaos into which the old society had been resolved, were to rise a new dynasty, a new peerage, a new church, and a new code.

The dying words of Madame Roland, "Oh Liberty! how many crimes are committed in thy name!" were at that time echoed by many of the most upright and benevolent of mankind. M. Guizot has, in one of his admirable pamphlets, happily and justly described M. Laine as "an honest and liberal

beyond all doubt, have applied to M. To that fanatical Dumont himself. worship of the all-wise and all-good people, which had been common a few vears before, had succeeded an uneasy suspicion that the follies and vices of the people would frustrate all attempts to serve them. The wild and joyous exultation, with which the meeting of the States-General and the fall of the Bastile had been hailed, had passed In its place was dejection, and a gloomy distrust of specious appear-The philosophers and philanthropists had reigned. And what had their reign produced? Philosophy had brought with it mummeries as absurd as any which had been practised by the most superstitious zealot of the darkest age. Philanthropy had brought with it crimes as horrible as the massacre of Saint Bartholomew. This was the emancipation of the human mind. These were the fruits of the great vicfreedom against Louis, that she might Condorcet were utterly delusive.

Under the influence of these feelings, M. Dumont has gone so far as to say of uncharitable politicians.

have applied to almost every honest convinced us that very great indulgence and liberal man in Europe; and would, is due to those who, while the Revolution was actually taking place, regarded it with unmixed aversion and horror. We can perceive where their error lay. We can perceive that the evil was temporary, and the good durable. But we cannot be sure that, if our lot had been cast in their times, we should not, like them, have been discouraged and disgusted-that we should not, like them, have seen, in that great victory of the French people, only insanity and crime.

It is curious to observe how some men are applauded, and others reviled, for merely being what all their neighbours are,—for merely going passively down the stream of events,-for merely representing the opinions and passions of a whole generation. The friends of popular government ordinarily speak with extreme severity of Mr. Pitt, and with respect and tenderness of Mr. Canning. Yet the whole difference, we suspect, consisted merely in this,tory of reason over prejudice. France that Mr. Pitt died in 1806, and Mr. had rejected the faith of Pascal and Canning in 1827. During the years Descartes as a nursery fable, that a which were common to the public life courtezan might be her idol, and a mad- of both, Mr. Canning was assuredly man her priest. She had asserted her not a more liberal statesman than his patron. The truth is that Mr. Pitt bow down before Robespierre. For a began his political life at the end of time men thought that all the boasted the American War, when the nation wisdom of the eighteenth century was was suffering from the effects of corfolly; and that those hopes of great ruption. He closed it in the midst of political and social ameliorations which the calamities produced by the French had been cherished by Voltaire and Revolution, when the nation was still strongly impressed with the horrors of anarchy. He changed, undoubtedly. In his youth he had brought in reform bills. that the writings of Mr. Burke on the In his manhood he brought in gagging French Revolution, though disfigured bills. But the change, though lamentby exaggeration, and though contain- able, was, in our opinion, perfectly naing doctrines subversive of all public tural, and might have been perfectly liberty, had been, on the whole, justi- honest. He changed with the great fied by events, and had probably saved body of his countrymen. Mr. Canning, Europe from great disasters. That such on the other hand, entered into public a man as the friend and fellow-labourer life when Europe was in dread of the of Mr. Bentham should have expressed Jacobins. He closed his public life when such an opinion is a circumstance Europe was suffering under the tyranny which well deserves the consideration of the Holy Alliance. He, too, changed These with the nation. As the crimes of the Memoirs have not convinced us that Jacobins had turned the master into the French Revolution was not a great something very like a Tory, the events biessing to mankind. But they have which followed the Congress of Vienna

turned the pupil into something very like a Whig.

So much are men the creatures of circumstances. We see that, if M. Dumont had died in 1799, he would have died, to use the new cant word, a decided "Conservative." If Mr. Pitt had lived in 1832, it is our firm belief that he would have been a decided Reformer.

The judgment passed by M. Dumont in this work on the French Revolution must be taken with considerable allowances. It resembles a criticism on a play of which only the first act has been performed, or on a building from which the scaffolding has not yet been We have no doubt that, taken down. if the excellent author had revised these Memoirs thirty years after the time at which they were written, he would have seen reason to omit a few passages, and to add many qualifications and explanations.

He would not probably have been inclined to retract the consures, just, though severe, which he has passed on the ignorance, the presumption, and the pedantry, of the National Assembly. But he would have admitted that, in spite of those faults, perhaps even by reason of those faults, that Assembly had conferred inestimable benefits on mankind. It is clear that, among the French of that day, political knowledge was absolutely in its infancy. It would indeed have been strange if it had attained maturity in the time of censors, of lettres-de-cachet, and of beds of jus-The electors did not know how The representatives did not to elect. know how to deliberate. M. Dumont taught the constituent body of Montreuil how to perform their functions, and found them apt to learn. He afterwards tried, in concert with Mirabeau, to instruct the National Assembly in that admirable system of Parliamentary tactics which has been long established In the English House of Commons, and which has made the House of Commons, in spite of all the defects in its composition, the best and fairest debatignorant as the mob of Montrueil skilful hand. But the work which was proved much less docile, and cried out then to be done was a work of devasta-

that they did not want to go to school to the English. Their debates consisted of endless successions of trashy pamphlets, all beginning with something about the original compact of society, man in the hunting state, and other such foolery. They sometimes diversified and enlivened these long readings by a little rioting. They bawled; they hooted; they shook their fists. kept no order among themselves. They were insulted with impunity by the crowd which filled their galleries. They gave long and solemn considerations to trifles. They hurried through the most important resolutions with fearful expedition. They wasted months in quibbling about the words of that false and childish Declaration of Rights on which they professed to found their new constitution, and which was at irreconcilable variance with every clause of that constitution. They annihilated in a single night privileges, many of which partook of the nature of property, and ought therefore to have been most delicately handled.

They are called the Constituent Assembly. Never was a name less appropriate. They were not constituent, but the very reverse of constituent. They constituted nothing that stood or that deserved to last. They had not, and they could not possibly have, the information or the habits of mind which are necessary for the framing of that most exquisite of all machines -- a government. The metaphysical cant with which they prefaced their constitution has long been the scoff of all parties. Their constitution itself,-that constitution which they described as absolutely perfect, and to which they predicted immortality,-disappeared in a few months, and left no trace behind it. They were great only in the work

of destruction. The glory of the National Assembly is this, that they were in truth, what Mr. Burke called them in austere irony, the ablest architects of ruin that ever the world saw. They were utterly ining society in the world. But these ac- competent to perform any work which complished legislators, though quites required a discriminating eye and a

tion. They had to deal with abuses so horrible and so deeply rooted that the highest political wisdom could scarcely have produced greater good to mankin than was produced by their flerce and senseless temerity. Demolition is un doubtedly a vulgar task; the highest glory of the statesman is to construct. But there is a time for everything,—a time to set up, and a time to pull down. The talents of revolutionary leaders and those of the legislator have equally their use and their season. It is the natural, the almost universal, law, tha the age of insurrections and proscriptions shall precede the age of good

And how should it be otherwise? It must continue in slavery, because slavery governed for ever. If the system under which they live were so mild and liberal that under its operation they had become humane and enlightened, it would be safe to venture on a change. But, as this system has destroyed morality, and prevented the development of the intellect,—as it has turned men, have formed a virtuous and happy community, into savage and stupid wild The English Revolution, it is said, was truly a glorious Revolution. Practical evils were redressed; no excesses were committed; no sweeping confiscations took place; the authority of the laws was scarcely for a moment suspended; the fullest and freest discussion was tolerated in Parliament; the nation showed, by the calm and temperate manner in which it asserted its liberty, that it was fit to enjoy li- till? Why was the American Revo-

The French Revolution was, on berty. the other hand, the most horrible event recorded in history,—all madness and wickedness,—absurdity in theory, and atrocity in practice. What folly and injustice in the revolutionary laws! What grotesque affectation in the revolutionary ceremonies! What fanatic-What licentiousness! ism! cruelty! Anacharsis Clootz and Marat, -feasts of the Supreme Being, and marriages of the Loire-trees of liberty, and heads dancing on pikes—the whole forms a kind of infernal farce, made up of everything ridiculous, and everything frightful. This it is to give freegovernment, of temperate liberty, and dom to those who have neither wisdom nor virtue.

It is not only by bad men interested is not in swaddling-bands that we in the defence of abuses that arguments learn to walk. It is not in the dark like these have been urged against all that we learn to distinguish colours. It schemes of political improvement. is not under oppression that we learn Some of the highest and purest or how to use freedom. The ordinary so-human beings conceived such scorn phism by which misrule is defended is, and aversion for the follies and crimes when truly stated, this:- The people of the French Revolution that they reinted, in the moment of triumph, has generated in them all the vices of those liberal opinions to which they slaves. Because they are ignorant, they had clung in defiance of persecution. must remain under a power which has And, if we inquire why it was that made and which keeps them ignorant, they began to doubt whether liberty Because they have been made ferocious were a blessing, we shall find that it by misgovernment, they must be mis- was only because events had proved, 'n the clearest manner, that liberty s the parent of virtue and of order. They ceased to abhor tyranny merely because it had been signally shown that the effect of tyranny on the hearts and understandings of men is more demoralising and more stupifying than had ever been imagined by the most who might under different training zealous friend of popular rights. The ruth is, that a stronger argument against the old monarchy of France beasts,-therefore it ought to last for may be drawn from the noyades and he fusillades than from the Bastile and the Parc-aux-cerfs. We believe it to be a rule without an exception, that he violence of a revolution corresponds to the degree of misgovernment which as produced that revolution. was the French Revolution so bloody and destructive? Why was our revoution of 164! comparatively mild? Why was our revolution of 1688 milder

The English under James problem. the First and Charles the First were less oppressed than the French under Louis the Fifteenth and Louis the Sixteenth. The English were less oppressed after the Restoration than before the great Rebellion. And America under George the Third was less oppressed than England under the Stuarts. The re-action was exactly proportioned to the pressure,—the vengeance to the provocation.

When Mr. Burke was reminded in his later years of the zeal which he had displayed in the cause of the Americans, he vindicated himself from the charge of inconsistency, by contrasting the wisdom and moderation of the Colonial insurgents of 1776 with the fanaticism and wickedness of the Jacobins of 1792. cation of thousands of square miles, rule of the Bourbons.

lution, considered as an internal move- buried; -a thousand families were in ment, the mildest of all? There is an mourning; -a hundred thousand citiobvious and complete solution of the zens were in arms. The crime was recent :- the life of the criminal was in the hands of the sufferers ;-and they touched not one hair of his head. In the first revolution, victims were sent to death by scores for the most trifling acts proved by the lowest testimony, before the most partial tribunals. After the second revolution, those ministers who had signed the ordinances, those ministers, whose guilt, as it was of the foulest kind, was proved by the clearest evidence,-were punished only with imprisonment. In the first revolution, property was attacked. In the second, it was held sacred. Both revolutions, it is true, left the public mind of France in an unsettled state. Both revolutions were followed by insurrectionary movements. But, after the first revolution, the insurgents were He was in fact almost always stronger than the law; bringing an argument a fortiori against and, since the second revolution, the himself. The circumstances on which law has invariably been found stronger he rested his vindication fully proved than the insurgents. There is, indeed, that the old government of France much in the present state of France stood in far more need of a complete which may well excite the uneasiness change than the old government of of those who desire to see her free, America. The difference between Wash- happy, powerful, and secure. Yet, if ington and Robespierre, - the differ- we compare the present state of France ence between Franklin and Barère.— with the state in which she was forty the difference between the destruction years ago, how vast a change for the of a few barrels of tea and the confis- better has taken place! How little effect, for example, during the first the difference between the tarring and revolution, would the sentence of a feathering of a tax-gatherer and the judicial body have produced on an massacres of September.—measure the armed and victorious party! If, after difference between the government of the 10th of August, or after the pro-America under the rule of England and scription of the Gironde, or after the the government of France under the 9th of Thermidor, or after the carnage of Vendémiaire, or after the arrests of Louis the Sixteenth made great vo- Fructidor, any tribunal had decided luntary concessions to his people; and against the conquerors in favour of the they sent him to the scaffold. Charles conquered, with what contempt, with tue Tenth violated the fundamental what derision, would its award have laws of the state, established a debeen received! The judges would have spotism, and butchered his subjects for lost their heads, or would have been not submitting quietly to that de- sent to die in some unwholesome colony. spotism. He failed in his wicked at- The fate of the victim whom they had tempt. He was at the mercy of those indeavoured to save would only have whom he had injured. The pavements been made darker and more hopeless of Paris were still heaped up in barri- by their interference. We have lately cades ;-the hospitals were still full of seen a signal proof that, in France, the the wounded;—the dead were still un- law is now stronger than the sword.

events of 1793 and 1794 by saving The bank has kept its credit. tnat the French are naturally frivolous funds have kept their price. the guillotine now standing idle? Not his labour till the evening. surely for want of Carlists, of aristo-Frenchman of 1789,—that his soul has never been galled by the oppressive privileges of a separate caste, -that he has been in some degree accustomed to discuss political questions, and to perform political functions,—that he has lived for seventeen or eighteen years under institutions which, however defective, have yet been far superior to any institutions that had before existed in France?

As the second French Revolution has been far milder than the first, so that great change which has just been effected in England has been milder -still enjoying their possessions and even than the second French Revolution,-milder than any revolution re- as ever in public affairs? corded in history. Some orators have ago they were dominant. They are described the reform of the House of now vanquished. Yet the whole people Commons as a revolution. Others have, would regard with horror any man who denied the propriety of the term. The should dare to propose any vindictive question, though in seeming merely a measure. So common is this feeling,-

We have seen a government, in the curious and interesting matter for revery moment of triumph and revenge. flection. If we look at the magnitude submitting itself to the authority of a of the reform, it may well be called a court of law. A just and independent revolution. If we look at the means sentence has been pronounced—a sen- by which it has been effected, it is tence worthy of the ancient renown of merely an act of Parliament, regularly that magistracy to which belong the brought in, read, committed, and passed. noblest recollections of French history In the whole history of England, there -which, in an age of persecutors, pro- is no prouder circumstance than this,duced L'Hôpital,-which, in an age that a change, which could not, in any of courtiers, produced D'Aguesseau, - other age, or in any other country, which, in an age of wickedness and mad- have been effected without physical ness, exhibited to mankind a pattern violence, should here have been effected of every virtue in the life and in the by the force of reason, and under the death of Malesherbes. The respectful forms of law. The work of three civil manner in which that sentence has wars has been accomplished by three been received is alone sufficient to sessions of Parliament. An ancient show how widely the French of this and deeply rooted system of abuses generation differ from their fathers, has been fiercely attacked and stub-And how is the difference to be ex- bornly defended. It has fallen; and plained? The race, the soil, the cli- not one sword has been drawn: not mate, are the same. If those dull, one estate has been confiscated; not one honest Englishmen, who explain the family has been forced to emigrate. Every and cruel, were in the right, why is man has gone forth to his work and to the fiercest excitement of the contest, crats, of people guilty of incivism, of —during the first fortnight of that people suspected of being suspicious mmortal May,—there was not one characters. Is not the true explanation moment at which any sanguinary act this, that the Frenchman of 1832 has committed on the person of any of the been far better governed than the most unpopular men in England would not have filled the country with horror and indignation.

And, now that the victory is won, has it been abused? An immense mass of power has been transferred from an oligarchy to the nation. Are the members of the vanquished oligarchy nsecure? Does the nation seem disposed to play the tyrant? Are not hose who, in any other state of society, would have been visited with the severest vengeance of the triumphant party,-would have been pining in dungeons, or flying to foreign countries, their honours, still taking part as freely... Two years question of definition, suggests much is o much is it a matter of course among

us,—that many of our readers will clear. The government, the aristocracy, scarcely understand what we see to admire in it.

which the English people have displayed at this great conjuncture? The answer is plain. This moderation, this humanity, are the fruits of a hundred and fifty years of liberty. During many generations we have had legislative assemblies which, however defective their constitution might be, have always contained many members chosen by the people, and many others eager to obtain the approbation of the people; -assemblies in which perfect freedom of debate was allowed; — assemblies in which the smallest minority had a fair hearing;—assemblies in which abuses, even when they were not redressed, were at least exposed. many generations we have had the meeting to discuss public affairs, the right of potitioning the legislature. thoroughly seasoned to political excite- France. submission and open rebellion. England there has always been for centuries a constitutional opposition. of France for several months.

at all the causes of the French Re- the Latin of his mass-book,—though volution within the limits to which we he fell under the control of a cunning must confine ourselves. One thing it Jesuitand of a more cunning old woman, . .

and the church, were rewarded after their works. They reaped that which To what are we to attribute the un- they had sown. They found the nation paralleled modefation and humanity such as they had made it. That the people had become possessed of irresistible power before they had attained the slightest knowledge of the art of government—that practical questions of vast moment were left to be solved by men to whom politics had been only matter of theory-that a legislature was composed of persons who were scarcely fit to compose a debating society--that the whole nation was ready to lend an ear to any flatterer who appealed to its cupidity, to its fears, or to ts thirst for vengeance—all this was the effect of misrule, obstinately continued in defiance of solemn warnings, and of the visible signs of an approaching retribution.

Even while the monarchy seemed to trial by jury, the Habeas Corpus Act, be in its highest and most palmy state, the freedom of the press, the right of the causes of that great destruction had already begun to operate. They may be distinctly traced even under the A vast portion of the population has reign of Louis the Fourteenth. That long been accustomed to the exercise reign is the time to which the Ultraof political functions, and has been Royalists refer as the Golden Age of It was in truth one of those In most other countries there periods which shine with an unnatural is no middle course between absolute and delusive splendour, and which are In rapidly followed by gloom and decay.

Concerning Louis the Fourteenth himself, the world seems at last to have Thus our institutions had been so good formed a correct judgment. He was that they had educated us into a capa- not a great general; he was not a city for better institutions. There is great statesman; but he was, in one not a large town in the kingdom which sense of the words, a great king. Never does not contain better materials for a was there so consummate a master of legislature than all France could fur- what our James the First would have nish in 1789. There is not a spouting- called king-craft,—of all those arts club at any pot-house in London in which most advantageously display the which the rules of debate are not better merits of a prince, and most completely understood, and more strictly observed, hide his defects. Though his internal then in the Constituent Assembly. administration was bad, - though the There is scarcely a Political Union military triumphs which gave splenwhich could not frame in half an hour dour to the early part of his reign were a declaration of rights superior to that not achieved by himself,-though his which occupied the collective wisdom later years were crowded with defeats and humiliations, -though he was so It would be impossible even to glance ignorant that he scarcely understood

—he succeeded in passing himself off or his people as a being above humanity And this is the more extraordinary, be cause he did not seclude himself fron the public gaze like those Oriental des pots whose faces are never seen, an whose very names it is a crime to pronounce lightly. It has been said that no man is a hero to his valet:—and al the world saw as much of Louis th Fourteenth as his valet could see. Five hundred people assembled to see him shave and put on his breeches in the morning. He then kneeled down at the side of his bed, and said his prayer while the whole assembly awaited the end in solemn silence -- the ecclesiastics on their knees, and the laymen with their hats before their faces. He walked about his gardens with a train of two hundred courtiers at his heels. All Versailles came to see him dine and sup. He was put to bed at night in the midst of a crowd as great as that which had met to see him rise in the morning. He took his very emetics in state, and vomited majestically in the presence of all the *grandes* and *petites entrées.* Yet, though he constantly exposed himself to the public gaze in situations in which it is scarcely possible for any man to preserve much personal dignity, he to the last impressed those who surrounded him with the deepest awe and reverence. The illusion which he produced on his worshippers can be compared only to those illusions to which lovers are proverbially subject during the season of courtship. It was an illusion which affected even the senses. The contemporaries of Louis thought them tall. Voltaire, who might have seen him. and who had lived with some of the most distinguished members of his court, speaks repeatedly of his majestic stature. Yet it is as certain as any fact can be, that he was rather below than above the middle size. He had, it seems, a way of holding himself, a way of walking, a way of swelling his chest and rearing his head, which deceived the eyes of the multitude. Eighty years after his death, the royal cemetery was violated by the revolu-tionists; his coffin was opened; his body was dragged out; and it appeared

that the prince, whose majestic figure had been so long and loudly extelled, was in truth a little man.\* That fine expression of Juvenal is singularly applicable, both in its literal and in its metaphorical sense, to Louis the Fourteenth:

## " Mors sola fatetur Quantula sint hominum corpuscula."

His person and his government have had the same fate. He had the art of making both appear grand and august. in spite of the clearest evidence that both were below the ordinary standard. Death and time have exposed both the deceptions. The body of the great king has been measured more justly than it was measured by the courtiers who were afraid to look above his shor-His public character has been scrutinized by men free from the hopes and fears of Boileau and Molière. the grave, the most majestic of princes is only five feet eight. In history, the hero and the politician dwindles into a vain and feeble tyrant,—the slave of priests and women,-little in war,ittle in government,-little in everyhing but the art of simulating great-

He left to his infant successor a famished and miserable people, a peaten and humbled army, provinces urned into deserts by misgovernment and persecution, factions dividing the court, a schism raging in the church, n immense debt, an empty treasury, immeasurable palaces, an innumerable ousehold, inestimable jewels and furture. All the sap and nutriment of he state seemed to have been drawn

feed one bloated and unwholesome rescence. The nation was withered. The court was morbidly flourishing. Yet it does not appear that the associations which attached the people to he monarchy had lost strength during is reign. He had neglected or sacri-

\* Kven M. de Chateaubriand, to whom we bould have thought all the Bourbons would ave seemed at least six feet high, admits this act. "C'est une erreur," says he in his strange nemoirs of the Duke of Berri, "de croire que ouis XIV. étoit d'une haute stature. Une privasse qui vous reste de lui, et les exhumalons de St. Denys, n'ont laissé sur ce point ucun doute."

ficed their dearest interests; but he had | thing almost incredible, that the Duke struck their imaginations. The very things which ought to have made him most unpopular, - the prodigies of luxury and magnificence with which his person was surrounded, while, beyoud the inclosure of his parks, nothing was to be seen but starvation and despair,-seemed to increase the respectful attachment which his subjects felt for him. That governments exist only for the good of the people, appears to be the most obvious and simple of all Yet history proves that it is one of the most recondite. We can scarcely wonder that it should be so seldom present to the minds of rulers, when we see how slowly, and through how much suffering, nations arrive at the knowledge of it.

There was indeed one Frenchman who had discovered those principles which it now seems impossible to miss, -that the many are not made for the use of one,-that the truly good government is not that which concentrates magnificence in a court, but that which diffuses happiness among a people,that a king who gains victory after victory, and adds province to province, may deserve, not the admiration, but the abhorrence and contempt of man-These were the doctrines which Fénélon taught. Considered as an epic poem, Telemachus can scarcely be placed above Glover's Leonidas or Wilkie's Epigoniad. Considered as a treatise on politics and morals, it abounds with errors of detail; and the truths which it inculcates seem trité to a modern reader. But, if we compare the spirit in which it is written with the spirit which pervades the rest of the French literature of that age, we shall perceive that, though in appearance trite, it was in truth one of the most original works that have ever appeared. The fundamental principles of Fénélon's political mornlity, the tests by which he judged of institutions and of men, were absolutely new to his countrymen. He had taught them indeed, with the happiest effect, to his royal pupil. But how incomprehensible they were to most history of France have borne it he had people, we learn from Saint Simon. attained the age of his grandfather or

of Burgundy declared it to be his opinion that kings existed for the good of the people, and not the people for the good of kings. Saint Simon is delighted with the benevolence of this saying; but startled by its novelty and terrified by its boldness. Indeed he distinctly says that it was not safe to repeat the sentiment in the court of Louis. Saint Simon was, of all the members of that court, the least courtly. He was as nearly an oppositionist as any man of his time. His disposition was proud, bitter, and cynical. In religion he was a Jansenist; in politics, a less hearty royalist than most of his neighbours. His opinions and his temper had preserved him from the illusions which the demeanour of Louis produced on others. He neither loved nor respected the king. Yet even this man, -one of the most liberal men in France,-was struck dumb with astonishment at hearing the fundamental axiom of all government propounded, - an axiom which, in our time, nobody in England or France would dispute,-which the stoutest Tory takes for granted as much as the fiercest Radical, and concerning which the Carlist would agree with the most republican deputy of the "extreme left." No person will do justice to Fénélon, who does not constantly keep in mind that Tolemachus was written in an age and nation in which bold and independent thinkers stared to hear that twenty millions of human beings did not exist for the gratification of one. That work is commonly considered as a school-book, very fit for children, because its style is easy and its morality blameless, but unworthy of the attention of statesmen and philosophers. We can distinguish in it, if we are not greatly mistaken, the first faint dawn of a long and splendid day of intellectual light,—the dim promise of a great deliverance,—the undeveloped germ of the charter and of the code.

What mighty interests were staked on the life of the Duke of Burgundy! and how different an aspect might the That almosing writer tells us, as a of his son; -- if he had been permitted

highest fortune! than the descriptions which remain to us of that extraordinary man. The fierce and impetuous temper which he showed in early youth,-the complete change which a judicious education produced in his character, -his fervid away loathing and horror-struck. piety, - his large benevolence, - the strictness with which he judged himjudged others, - the fortitude with which alone, in the whole court, he stood up against the commands of Louis, when a religious scruple was concerned. - the charity with which alone, in the whole court, he defended the profligate Orleans against calumniators,-his great projects for the good of the poople, -his activity in business. -his taste for letters,-his strong domestic attachments, --even the ungraceful person and the shy and awkward manner which concealed from the eyes of the sneering courtiers of his grandfather so many rare endowments, make his character the most interesting that is to be found in the annals of his house. He had resolved, if he came to the throne, to disperse that ostentatious court, which was supported at an expense ruinous to the nation, - to preserve peace, - to correct the abuses which were found in every part of the system of revenue,-to abolish or modify oppressive privileges,—to reform the administration of justice,-to revive the institution of the States-General. If he had ruled over France during forty or fifty years, that great movement of the human mind, which no government could have arrested, which bad government only rendered more violent, would, we are inclined to think, have been conducted, by peaceable means, to a happy termination.

Disease and sorrow removed from the world that wisdom and virtue of which it was not worthy. During two generations France was ruled by men who, with all the vices of Louis the which that magnificent prince passed them for being so.

to show how much could be done for | had now to see tyranny naked. That humanity by the highest virtue in the foul Duessa was stripped of her gor-There is scarcely geous ornaments. She had always anything in history more remarkable been hideous; but a strange enchantment had made her seem fair and glorious in the eyes of her willing slaves. The spell was now broken; the deformity was made manifest; and the lovers, lately so happy and so proud, turned

First came the Regency. The strictness with which Louis had, towards self, - the liberality with which he the close of his life, exacted from those around him an outward attention to religious duties, produced an effect similar to that which the rigour of the Puritans had produced in England. was the boast of Madame de Maintenon, in the time of her greatness, that dovotion had become the fashion. A fashion indeed it was; and, like a fashion, it passed away. The austerity of the tyrant's old age had injured the morality of the higher orders more than even the licentiousness of his youth. Not only had he not reformed their vices, but, by forcing them to be hypocrites, he had shaken their belief in virtue. They had found it so easy to perform the grimace of piety, that it was natural for them to consider all piety as grimace. The times were changed. Pensions, regiments, and abbeys, were no longer to be obtained by regular confession and severe penance: and the obsequious courtiers, who had kept Lent like monks of La Trappe, and who had turned up the whites of their eyes at the edifying parts of sermons preached before the king, aspired to the title of roue as ardently as they had aspired to that of dévot; and went, during Passion Week, to the revels of the Palais Royal as readily as they had formerly repaired to the sermons of Massillon.

The Regent was in many respects the fac-simile of our Charles the Second. Like Charles, he was a good-natured man, utterly destitute of sensibility. Like Charles, he had good natural tulents, which a deplorable indolence rendered useless to the state. Like Charles, he thought all men corrupted Fourteenth, had none of the art by and interested, and yet did not dislike His opinion of off his vices for virtues. The people human nature was Gulliver's 9 but he

able kind of animal. No princes were despised the swindler. ever more social than Charles and Philip of national honour. Charles shut up rence and contempt of the nation. the Exchequer. Philip patronised the were fond of experimental philosophy, which would have been more advantageously passed at the council-table. not perfectly innocent. In personal courage, and in all the virtues which the Regent was indisputably superior regret Madame de Pompadour. to Charles. Indeed Charles but nar-Philip was eminently brave, and, like most brave men, was generally open and sincere. Charles added dissimulation to his other vices.

did not regard human nature with the stock-exchange would have been Gulliver's horror. He thought that he ashamed. France, even while sufferand his fellow-creatures were Yahoos; ing under the most severe calamities, and he thought a Yahoo a very agree- had reverenced the conqueror. She

When Orleans and the wretched of Orleans: yet no princes ever had Dubois had disappeared, the power less capacity for friendship. The tem- passed to the Duke of Bourbon; a pers of these clover cynics were so easy, prince degraded in the public eye by and their minds so languid, that habit the infamously lucrative part which he supplied in them the place of affection, had taken in the juggles of the System, and made them the tools of people for and by the humility with which he whom they cared not one straw. In bore the caprices of a loose and impelove, both were mere sensualists with- rious woman. It seemed to be decreed out delicacy or tenderness. In politics, that every branch of the royal family both were utterly careless of faith and should successively incur the abhor-

Between the fall of the Duke of System. The councils of Charles were Bourbon and the death of Fleury, a swayed by the gold of Barillon; the few years of frugal and moderate gocouncils of Philip by the gold of Wal- vernment intervened. Then recompole. Charles for private objects made menced the downward progress of the war on Holland, the natural ally of monarchy. Profligacy in the court, Philip for private objects extravagance in the finances, schism made war on the Spanish branch of in the church, faction in the Parliathe house of Bourbon, the natural ally, ments, unjust war terminated by ignoindeed the creature, of France. Even minious peace, all that indicates and in trifling circumstances the parallel all that produces the ruin of great emmight be carried on. Both these princes pires, make up the history of that miserable period. Abroad, the French and passed in the laboratory much time were beaten and humbled everywhere, by land and by sea, on the Elbe and on the Rhine, in Asia and in America. Both were more strongly attached to At home, they were turned over from their female relatives than to any other vizier to vizier, and from sultana to human being; and in both cases it sultana, till they had reached that point was suspected that this attachment was beneath which there was no lower abyss of infamy,-till the yoke of Maupeou had made them pine for Choiseul, -till are connected with personal courage, Madame du Barri had taught them to

But, unpopular as the monarchy had rowly escaped the stain of cowardice. become, the aristocracy was more unpopular still; and not without reason. The tyranny of an individual is far more supportable than the tyranny of a caste. The old privileges were gall-The administration of the Regent ing and hateful to the new wealth and was scarcely less pernicious, and infi- the new knowledge. Everything indinitely more scandalous, than that of the cated the approach of no common revodeceased monarch. It was by magnifi- lution, - of a revolution destined to cent public works, and by wars con- change, not merely the form of governducted on a gigantic scale, that Louis ment, but the distribution of property had brought distress on his people. and the whole social system, -of a wevo-The Regent aggravated that distress lution the effects of which were to be by frauds of which a lame duck on felt at every fireside in France, -- of

a new Jaquerie, in which the victory was to remain with Jaques bonhomme, In the van of the movement were the moneyed men and the men of letters,the wounded pride of wealth, and the wounded pride of intellect. An immense multitude, made ignorant and cruel by oppression, was raging in th rear.

We greatly doubt whether any course which could have been pursued by Louis the Sixteenth could have averted a great convulsion. But we are sure that, if there was such a course, it was the course recommended by M. Turgot The church and the aristocracy, with that blindness to danger, that incapacity of believing that anything can be except what has been, which the long possession of power seldom fails to generate, mocked at the counsel which might have saved them. They would not have reform; and they had revolution. They would not pay a small cor tribution in place of the odious corvées: and they lived to see their castles d molished, and their lands sold to stran-They would not endure Turgot; and they were forced to endure Robespierre.

Then the nulers of France, as if smitten with judicial blindness, plunged headlong into the American war. They thus committed at once two great They encouraged the spirit of revolution. They augmented at the same time those public burdens, the pressure of which is generally the immediate cause of revolutions. event of the war carried to the height the enthusiasm of speculative demo-The financial difficulties produced by the war carried to the height the discontent of that larger body of people who cared little about theories. and much about taxes.

The meeting of the States-General was the signal for the explosion of all the hoarded passions of a century. In that assembly, there were undoubtedly very able men. But they had no practical knowledge of the art of governent. All the great English revoluns have been conducted by practical statesmen.

Our constitution has never been so far behind the age as to have become an object of aversion to the people. The English revolutions have therefore been undertaken for the purpose of defending, correcting, and restoring.-never for the mere purpose of destroying. Our countrymen have always, even in times of the greatest excitement, spoken reverently of the form of government under which they lived, and attacked only what they regarded as its corruptions. In the very act of innovating they have constantly appealed to ancient prescription; they have seldom looked abroad for models: they have seldom troubled themselves with Utopian theories; they have not been anxious to prove that liberty is a natural right of men; they have been content to regard it as the lawful birthright of Englishmen. Their social contract is no fiction. It is still extant on the original parchment, scaled with wax which was affixed at Runnymede. and attested by the lordly names of the Marischals and Fitzherberts. No general arguments about the original equality of men, no fine stories out of Plutarch and Cornelius Nepos, have ver affected them so much as their own familiar words,—Mages Charta,
-- Habeas Corpus,—Trial by Jury,—
Bill of Rights. This part of our national character has undoubtedly its disadvantages. An Englishman too ften reasons on politics in the spirit rather of a lawyer than of a philosooher. There is too often something narrow, something exclusive, something Jewish, if we may use the word, in his love of freedom. He is disposed to consider popular rights as the special heritage of the chosen race to which he belongs. He is inclined rather to repel than to encourage the alien proelyte who aspires to a share of his rivileges. Very different was the pirit of the Constituent Assemble. They had none of our narrowness; but hey had none of our practical skill in he management of affairs. They did not understand how to regulate the rder of their own debates; and they The French Revolution thought themselves able to legislate for conducted by mere speculators. he whole world. All the pas? was loath-

associations were connected with th church, the nobility. . They cared noin a bad form; and it was therefore Artois. natural that they should be deluded by sophisms about the equality of men. and interesting a picture of the Natural that they should be deluded by gerated form, the doctrine of the sove- in comparison. reignty of the people.

national recollections and names, have individual, neither god nor demon, but never sought for models in the institu- a man-a Frenchman,—a Frenchman tions of Greece or Rome. The French, of the eighteenth century, with great having nothing in their own history to talents, with strong passions, depraved which they could look back with plea- by bad education, surrounded by tempsure, had recourse to the history of the tations of every kind, -made desperate great ancient commonwealths: they at one time by disgrace, and then again urew their notions of those common-intoxicated by fame. All his opposite wealths, not from contemporary writers, and seemingly inconsistent qualities but from romances written by pedantic are in this representation so blended moralists long after the extinction of together as to make up a harmonious public liberty. They neglected Thucy- and natural whole. Till now, Mirabeau dides for Plutarch. Blind themselves, was to us, and, we believe, to meet they took blind guides. They had no readers of history, not a man, but a

some to them. All their agreeable their opinious concerning it from men who had no more experience of it than future. Hopes were to them all that themselves, and whose imaginations, recollections are to us. , In the insti- inflamed by mystery and privation, tutions of their country they found no- exaggerated the unknown enjoyment; thing to love or to admire. As far -from men who raved about patriotback as they could look, they saw only ism without having ever had a country, the tyranny of one class and the degra- and eulogised tyrannicide while crouchdation of another,-Frank and Gaul, ing before tyrants. The maxim which knight and villein, gentleman and ro- the French legislators learned in this turier. They hated the monarchy, the school was, that political liberty is an end, and not a means; that it is not thing for the States or the Parliament. merely valuable as the great safeguard It was long the fashion to ascribe all of order, of property, and of morality, the follies which they committed to but that it is in itself a high and exthe writings of the philosophers. We quisite happiness to which order, probelieve that it was misrule, and nothing perty, and morality ought without one but misrule, that put the sting into scruple to be sacrificed. The lessons those writings. It is not true that the which may be learned from ancient French abandoned experience for theo- history are indeed most useful and imries. They took up with theories be- portant; but they were not likely to cause they had no experience of good be learned by men who, in all their government. It was because they had rhapsodies about the Athenian demono charter that they ranted about the cracy, seemed utterly to forget that in original contract. As soon as tolerable that democracy there were ten slaves institutions were given to them, they to one citizen; and who constantly debegan to look to those institutions. In corated their invectives against the 1830 their rallying cry was Vive la aristocrats with panegyrics on Brutus Charte. In 1789 they had nothing and Cato, — two aristocrats, fiercer, but theories round which to rally, prouder, and more exclusive, than any They had seen social distinctions only that emigrated with the Count of

They had experienced so much evil tional Assembly as that which M. from the sovereignty of kings that they Dumont has set before us. His Miramight be excused for lending a ready beau, in particular, is incomparable. ear to those who preached, in an exag-Some were merely painted from the imagination—others The English, content with their own were gross caricatures: this is the very experience of freedom; and they took string of antitheses. Henceforth he

will be a real human being, a remarkable and eccentric being indeed, but

perfectly conceivable.

He was fond, M. Dumont tells us, of giving odd compound nicknames. Thus, M. de Lafayette was Grandison-Cromwell; the King of Prussia was Alaric Cottin; D'Espremenil was Crispinown fashion, as a Wilkes-Chatham. He had Wilkes's sensuality, Wilkes's levity, Wilkes's insensibility to shame. sure by the peculiar grossness of his writings. Like Wilkes, c was heedless, not only of the laws of morality but of the laws of honour. Yet he affected, like Wilkes, to unite the character of the demagogue to that of the fine gentleman. Like Wilkes, he conciliated, by his good-humour and his despised his character. Like Wilkes, he was hideously ugly; like Wilkes, he made a jest of his own ugliness; and, like Wilkes, he was, in spite of his ugliness, very attentive to his dress, and very successful in affairs of gal-

Resembling Wilkes in the lower and in his higher qualities, some affinity to Chatham. His eloquence, as far as we can judge of it, bore no inconsiderable lish minister. He was not eminently estate. successful in long set speeches.  $\mathbf{He}$ 

in modern times, exercised such vast personal influence over stormy and divided assemblies. The power of both was as much moral as intellectual. In true dignity of character, in private and public virtue, it may seem absurd to institute any comparison between them; but they had the same haughti-We think that Mirabeau ness and vehemence of temper. In himself might be described, after his their language and manner there was a disdainful self-confidence, an imperiousness, a fierceness of passion, before which all common minds quailed. Like Wilkes, he had brought on him- Even Murray and Charles Townshend, self the censure even of men of plea- though intellectually not inferior to Chatham, were always cowed by him. immorality, and by the obscenity of his Barnave, in the same manner, though the best debater in the National Assembly, flinched before the energy of Mirabeau. Men, except in bad novels, are not all good or all evil. It can scarcely be denied that the virtue of Lord Chatham was a little theatrical. On the other hand there was in Mirahigh spirits, the regard of many who beau, not indeed anything deserving the name of virtue, but that imperfect bstitute for virtue which is found in almost all superior minds,-a sensibility to the beautiful and the good, which sometimes amounted to sincere inthusiasm; and which, mingled with the desire of admiration, sometimes gave to his character a lustre resemgrosser parts of his character, he had, bling the lustre of true goodness, -as the "faded splendour wan" which lingered round the fallen archangel resembled the exceeding brightness of resemblance to that of the great Eng- those spirits who had kept their first

There are several other admirable was not, on the other hand, a close and portraits of eminent men in these Meready debater. Sudden bursts, which moirs. That of Sieyes in particular, seemed to be the effect of inspiration and that of Talleyrand, are master--short sentences which came like pieces, full of life and expression. But lightning, dazzling, burning, striking nothing in the book has interested us down everything before them - sen- more than the view which M. Dumont tences which, spoken at critical mo- has presented to us, unostentatiously, ments, decided the fate of great ques- and, we may say, unconsciously, of his tions—sentences which at once became own character. The sturdy rectifude. proverbs-sentences which everybody he large charity, the good-nature, the still knows by heart-in these chiefly modesty, the independent spirit, the lay the oratorical power both of Chat-ardent philanthropy, the unaffected ham and of Mirabeau. There have ndifference to money and to fame, on far greater speakers, and far make up a character which, while it ater statesmen, than either of them has nothing unnatural, seems to us to ; we doubt whether any men have, pproach nearer to perfection than any

of the Grandisons and Allworthys of owe the biographical preface, is M. suredly such as might have been exnected from his heart.

## BARERE. (APRIL 1844.)

Mémoires de Bertrand Barère ; publiés par MM. HIPPOLYTE CARNOT, Membre de la Chambre des Députés, et DAVID d'Angers, Membre de l'Institut : précédés d'une Notice Historique par H. CARNOT. 4 tomes. Paris: 1848.

This book has more than one title to our serious attention. It is an appeal, full and signal justice.

One of these is M. David of Angers, excitement of conflict, by the maddenmember of the Institute, an eminent member of sympathy, and by ill-sculptor, and, if we have been rightly informed, a favourite pupil, though not With such feelings we read this book. informed, a favourite pupil, though not With such feelings we read this book, a kinsman, of the painter who bore the and compared it with other accounts of

fiction. The work is not indeed pre- Hippolyte Carnot, member of the cisely such a work as we had antici- Chamber of Deputies, and son of the pated—it is more lively, more pic-celebrated Director. In the judgment turesque, more amusing than we had of M. David and of M. Hippolyte promised ourselves; and it is, on the Carnot, Barère was a deserving and an other hand, less profound and philo- ill-used man-a man who, though by sophic. But, if it is not, in all respects, no means faultless, must yet, when due such as might have been expected from allowance is made for the force of cirthe intellect of M. Dumont, it is as- cumstances and the infirmity of human nature, be considered as on the whole entitled to our esteem. It will be for the public to determine, after a full hearing, whether the editors have, by thus connecting their names with that of Barère, raised his character or lowered their own.

We are no conscious that, when we opened this book, we were under the influence of any feeling likely to pervert our judgment. Undoubtedly we had long entertained a most unfavourable opinion of Barère: but to this opinion we were not field by any passion or by any interest. Our dislike was a reasonable dislike, and might have been removed by reason. Indeed our expecsolemnly made to posterity by a man tation was, that these Memoirs would who played a conspicuous part in great in some measure clear Barère's fame. events, and who represents himself as That he could vindicate himself from deeply aggrieved by the rash and ma- all the charges which had been brought levolent censure of his contemporaries. against him, we knew to be impossible; To such an appeal we shall always give and his editors admit that he has not ready audience. We can perform no lone so. But we thought it highly duty more useful to society, or more probable that some grave accusations agreeable to our own feelings, than would be refuted, and that many ofthat of making, as far as our power fences to which he would have been extends, reparation to the slandered forced to plead guilty would be greatly and persecuted benefactors of mankind. extenuated. We were not disposed to We therefore promptly took into our be severe. We were fully aware that consideration this copious apology for temptations such as those to which the the life of Bertrand Barère. We have members of the Convention and of the made up our minds; and we now pur-Committee of Public Safety were expose to do him, by the blessing of God, posed must try severely the strength of the firmest virtue: Indeed our in-It is to be observed that the appellant clination has always been to regard in this case does not come into court with an indulgence, which to some alone. He is attended to the bar of rigid moralists appears excessive, those noublic opinion by two compurgators faults into which gentle and noble who occupy highly honourable stations. spirits are sometimes hurried by the

same name. The other, to whom we the events in which Barers bore a par.

It is now our duty to express the opinion to which this investigation has led us.

Our opinion then is this: that Barère approached nearer than any person mentioned in history or fiction, whether man or devil, to the idea of consummate and universal depravity. In him the qualities which are the proper objects of hatred, and the qualities which are the proper objects of contempt, preserve an exquisite and absolute harmony. In almost every particular sort of wickedness he has had rivals. His sensuality was immoderate; but this was a failing common to him with many great and amiable men. There have been many men as cowardly as he, some as cruel, a few as mean, a fow as impudent. There may also have been as great liars, though we never met with them or read of them. But when we put everything together, sensuality, poltroonery, baseness, cffrontery, mendacity, barbarity, the result is something which in a novel we should condemn as caricature, and to which, we venture to say, no parallel can be found in history.

It would be grossly unjust, we acknowledge, to try a man situated as Barère was by a severe standard. Nor have we done so. We have formed our opinion of him, by comparing him, not with politicians of stainless character, not with Chancellor D'Aguesseau, or General Washington, or Mr. Wilberforce, or Earl Grey, but with his own colleagues of the Moun-That party included a considerable number of the worst men that ever lived; but we see in it nothing like Barère. Compared with him, Fouché seems honest: Billaud seems humane: Hébert seems to rise into dignity. Every other chief of a party, says M. Hippolyte Carnot, has found apologists: one set of men exalts the Girondists; another set justifies Danton; a third deifies Robespierre: but Barère has remained without a defender. We renture to suggest a very simple solution of this phenomenon. All the other chiefs of

ties had some good qualities; and Arère had none. The genius, courage, statesmen more than atoned for misery on his fellow-creatures with

what was culpable in their conduct, and should have protected them from the insult of being compared with such a thing as Barère. Danton and Robespierre were indeed bad men; but in both of them some important parts of the mind remained sound. Danton was brave and resolute, fond of pleasure, of power, and of distinction, with vehement passions, with lax principles, but with some kind and manly feelings. capable of great crimes, but capable also of friendship and of compassion. He, therefore, naturally finds admirers among persons of bold and sanguine dispositions. Robespierre was a vain, envious, and suspicious man, with a hard heart, weak nerves, and a gloomy temper. But we cannot with truth deny that he was, in the vulgar sense of the word, disinterested, that his private life was correct, or that he was incerely zealous for his own system of politics and morals. He, therefore, naturally finds admirers among honest but moody and bitter democrats. no class has taken the reputation of Barère under its patronage, the reason is plain : Barère had not a single virtue, nor even the semblance of one.

It is true that he was not, as far as e are able to judge, originally of a savage disposition; but this circumstance seems to us only to aggravate his guilt. There are some unhappy men constitutionally prone to the darker passions, men all whose blood is gall, and to whom bitter words and harsh ctions are as natural as snarling and iting to a ferocious dog. To come nto the world with this wretched menal disease is a greater calamity than o be born blind or deaf. A man who, 1aving such a temper, keeps it in subection, and constrains himself to beave habitually with justice and humanity towards those who are in his ower, seems to us worthy of the highest dmiration. There have been instance. f this self-command; and they are mong the most signal triumphs of hilosophy and religion. On the other and, a man who, having been blessed y nature with a bland disposition, riotism, and humanity of the Giron 1 radually brings himself to inflict

indifference, with satisfaction, and at felt no loathing: he tasted it again, and length with a hideous rapture, deserves liked it well. Cruelty became with him, to be regarded as a portent of wicked- first a habit, then a passion, at last a ness; and such, a man was Barère, madness. So complete and rapid was The history of his downward progress the degeneracy of his nature, that, is full of instruction. Weakness, cow- within a very few months after the time him; the best quality which he received from nature was a good temper. materials; yet, out of materials as unpromising, high sentiments of piety the pool of seething pitch in Malebolge. martyrs and heroes. Rigid principles he distinguished himself from them all often do for feeble minds what stays do for leeble bodies. But Barere had no principles at all. His character was equally destitute of natural and of acquired strength. Neither in the commerce of life, nor in books, did we ever become acquainted with any mind so unstable, so utterly destitute of tone, so incapable of independent thought man was hurled down from the height and earnest preference, so ready to take of power to hopeless ruin and infamy. impressions and so ready to lose them. He resembled those creepers which fumes of his horrible intoxication passed must lean on something, and which, as soon as their prop is removed, fall down in utter helplessness. He could no more stand up, erect and self-supported, in any cause, than the ivy can rear itself like the oak, or the wild vine shoot to heaven like the codar of Lebanon. It is barely possible that, under good guidance and in favourable circumstances, such a man might have slipped through life without discredit. But the unseaworthy craft, which even in still water would have been in danger of going down from its own rottenness, was launched on a raging ocean, amidst a storm in which a whole armada of think that his baseness was, on the gallant ships was cast away. ... The weakest and most servile of human beings found himself on a sudden an actor in a Revolution which convulsed the whole civilised world. At first he fell under the influence of humane and sizioderate men, and talked the language of humanity and moderation. But he soon found himself surrounded by fierce parts unanswerable: yet we did not and resolute spirits, scared by no dan- know what the accused party might ger and restrained by no scruple. He have to say for himself; and, not being had to choose whether he would be their much inclined to take our fellow-crive-victim or their accomplice. His choice tures either for angels of light or fire

ardice, and fickleness were born with when he had passed for a good-natured man, he had brought himself to look on the despair and misery of his fellow-These, it is true, are not very promising creatures with a glee resembling that of the fiends whom Dante saw watching and of honour have sometimes made He had many associates in guilt; but by the Bacchanalian exultation which he seemed to feel in the work of death. He was drunk with innocent and noble blood, laughed and shouted as he butchered, and howled strange songs and reeled in strange dances amidst the carnage. Then came a sudden and violent turn of fortune. The miserable The shock sobered him at once. The away. But he was now so irrecoverably deprayed that the discipline of adversity only drove him further into wickedness. Ferocious vices, of which he had never been suspected, had been developed in him by power. Another class of vices, less hateful perhaps, but more despicable, was now developed in him by poverty and disgrace. Having appalled the whole world by great crimes perpetrated under the pretence of zeal for liberty, he became the meanest of of all the tools of despotism. It is not easy to settle the order of precedence among his vices, but we are inclined to whole, a rarer and more marvellous thing than his cruelty.

This is the view which we have long taken of Barère's character; but, till we read these Memoirs, we held our opinion with the diffidence which becomes a judge who has only heard one side. The case seemed strong, and in was soon made. He tasted blood, and angels of darkness, we could not but

It was the work of forty years. word of new information respecting the proceedings of the Committee of Public Safety; and, by way of compensation, happened before he emerged from ob- pose of cautioning the reader. scurity, and after he had again sunk is, without doubt, the finest species. It p. 312.) is indeed a superb variety, and quite

feel some suspicion that his offences must, therefore, be perfectly aware that had been exaggerated. That suspicion many of the most important statements is now at an end. The vindication is which these volumes contain are falsebefore us. It occupies four volumes, hoods, such as Corneille's Dorante, or It Molière's Scapin, or Colin d'Harleville's would be absurd to suppose that it Monsieur de Crac would have been does not refute every serious charge ashamed to utter. We are far, indeed, which admitted of refutation. How from holding M. Hippolyte Carnot anmany serious charges, then, are here swerable for Barère's want of voracity; refuted? Not a single one. Most of but M. Hippolyte Carnot has arranged the imputations which have been thrown these Memoirs, has introduced them to on Barère he does not even notice. In the world by a laudatory preface, has such cases, of course, judgment must described them as documents of great go against him by default. The fact is, historical value, and has illustrated that nothing can be more meagre and them by notes. We cannot but think uninteresting than his account of the that, by acting thus, he contracted some great public transactions in which he obligations of which he does not seem was engaged. He gives us hardly a to have been at all aware; and that he ought not to have suffered any monstrous fiction to go forth under the sanction of his name, without adding a tells us long stories about things which line at the foot of the page for the pur-

We will content ourselves at present into it. Nor is this the worst. As soon with pointing out two instances of as he ceases to write trifles, he begins Barère's wilful and deliberate mendato write lies; and such lies! A man city; namely, his account of the death who has never been within the tropics of Marie Antoinette, and his account of does not know what a thunderstorm the death of the Girondists. His acmeans; a man who has never looked count of the death of Marie Antoinette on Niagara has but a faint idea of a is as follows:-"Robespierre in his cataract; and he who has not read turn proposed that the members of the Barere's Memoirs may be said not to Capet family should be banished, and know what it is to lie. Among the that Marie Antoinette should be brought numerous classes which make up the to trial before the Revolutionary Trigreat genus Mendacium, the Mendacium bunal. He would have been better Vasconicum, or Gascon lie, has, during employed in concerting military measome centuries, been highly esteemed as sures which might have repaired our peculiarly circumstantial and peculiarly disasters in Belgium, and might have impudent; and, among the Mendacia arrested the progress of the enemies of Vasconica, the Mendacium Barcrianum the Revolution in the west."-(Vol. ii.

Now, it is notorious that Marie Anthrows into the shade some Mendacia toinette was sent before the Revolutionwhich we were used to regard with ad- ary Tribunal, not at Robespierre's inmiration. The Mendacium Wrarallia- stance, but in direct opposition to num, for example, though by no means Robespierre's wishes. We will cite a to be despised, will not sustain the single authority, which is quite decicomparison for a moment. Seriously, sive. Bonaparte, who had no conceinwe think that M. Hippolyte Carnot is able motive to disguise the truth, who much to blame in this matter. We had the best opportunities of knowing can hardly suppose him to be worse the truth, and who, after his marriage and than ourselves in the history of with the Archduchess, naturally felt le Convention, a history which must an interest in the fate of his wife's sterest him deeply, not only as a kinswoman, distinctly affirmed that Frenchman, but also as a son. He Robespierre opposed the trying of the

teur. † From that valuable record it this matter innocent. mittee of Public Safety, addressed the berate falsehood. Convention in a long and elaborate disreduced to what is necessary for the tion. toinette should be brought to judgment, and should, for that end, be forthwith The motion was carried without debate. Now, who was the person who made

O'Meara's Voice from St. Helena, ii. 170.

Queen.\* Who, then, was the person who this speech and this motion? It was really did propose that the Capet family Barere himself. It is clear, then, that should be banished, and that Marie Barere attributed his own mean inso-Antoinette should be tried? Full in- lence and barbarity to one who, whatformation will be found in the Moni- ever his crimes may have been, was in The only quesappears that, on the first of August tion remaining is, whether Barere was 1793, an orator, deputed by the Com- misled by his memory, or wrote a deli-

We are convinced that he wrote a course. He asked, in passionate lan- deliberate falsehood. His memory is guage, how it happened that the enc- described by his editors as remarkably mies of the republic still continued to good, and must have been bad indeed hope for success. "Is it," he cried, if he could not remember such a fact "because we have too long forgotten as this. It is true that the number of the crimes of the Austrian woman? Is murders in which he subsequently bore it because we have shown so strange an a part was so great that he might well indulgence to the race of our ancient confound one with another, that he tyrants? It is time that this unwise might well forget what part of the apathy should cease; it is time to ex- daily hecatomb was consigned to death tirpate from the soil of the Republic by himself, and what part by his colthe last roots of royalty. As for the leagues. But two circumstances make children of Louis the conspirator, they it quite incredible that the share which are hostages for the Republic. The he took in the death of Marie Antoicharge of their maintenance shall be nette should have escaped his recollec-She was one of his carliest vicfood and keep of two individuals. The tims. She was one of his most illuspublic treasure shall no longer be trious victims. The most hardened lavished on creatures who have too assassin remembers the first time that long been considered as privileged, he shed blood; and the widow of Louis But behind them lurks a woman who was no ordinary sufferer. If the queshas been the cause of all the disasters tion had been about some milliner. of France, and whose share in every butchered for hiding in her garret her project adverse to the revolution has brother who had let drop a word against long been known. National justice the Jacobin club-if the question had claims its rights over her. It is to the been about some old nun, dragged to tribunal appointed for the trial of con- death for having mumbled what were spirators that she ought to be sent. It called fanatical words over her beadsis only by striking the Austrian woman Barere's memory might well have dethat you can make Francis and George, crived him. It would be as unreason-Charles and William, sensible of the able to expect him to remember all the crimes which their ministers and their wretches whom he slew as all the armics have committed." The speaker pinches of snuff that he took. But, concluded by moving that Marie An- though Barère murdered many hundreds of human beings, he murdered only one Queen. That he, a small transferred to the Conciergerio; and country lawyer, who, a few years bethat all the members of the house of fore, would have thought himself ho-Lapet, with the exception of those who noured by a glance or a word from the were under the sword of the law, and daughter of so many Cæsars, should of the two children of Louis, should be call her the Austrian woman, should banished from the French territory, send her from jail to jail, should deliver her over to the executioner, was surely a great event in his life. Whither he had reason to be proud of it of \* Moniteur, and, 7th, and 9th of August, 1793. ashamed of it, is a question on which

we may perhaps differ from his editors but they will admit, we think, that he dared to appeal.\*

could not have forgotten it.

Barère with having written a deliberate contains such falsehoods as those which falsehood; and we have no hesitation we have exposed, can have meant, in saying that we never, in the course of any historical researches that we have happened to make, fell in with a falsehood so audacious, except only the falsehood which we are about to lies about events which took place beexpose.

Of the proceeding against the Girondists, Barère speaks with just severity. He calls it an atrocious injustice perpetrated against the legislators of the republic. He complains that distinguished deputies, who ought to have been readmitted to their scats in the Convention, were sent to the scaffold as conspirators. The day, he exclaims, was a day of mourning for France. It mutilated the national representation it weakened the sacred principle, that the delegates of the people were inviolable. He protests that he had no share in the guilt. "I have had," he says, " the patience to go through the Moniteur, extracting all the charges brought against deputies, and all the decrees for arresting and impeaching deputies. Nowhere will you find

never brought a charge against any of flavour. my colleagues, or made a report against any, ordrew up an impeachment against

any." \*

Now, we affirm that this is a lie. We affirm that Barère himself took the lead in the proceedings of the Convention against the Girondists. We affirm that he, on the twenty-eighth of July 1793, proposed a decree for bringing nine Girondist deputies to trial, and for putting to death sixteen other Girondist deputies without any trial at all. We affirm that, when the accused deputies had been brought to trial, and when some apprehension arose that their eloquence might produce an effect even on the Revolutionary Tribunal, Barère did, on the 8th of Brumaire, second a motion for a decree authorising the tribunal to ride without hearing out the defence; nd, for the truth of every one of these things so affirmed by us, we appeal to

that very Moniteur to which Barère has

What M. Hippolyte Carnot, know-We, therefore, confidently charge ing, as he must know, that this book when he described it as a valuable addition to our stock of historical information, passes our comprehension. When a man is not ashamed to tell fore hundreds of witnesses, and which are recorded in well-known and accessible books, what credit can we give to his account of things done in corners? No historian who does not wish to be laughed at will ever cite the unsupported authority of Barère as sufficient to prove any fact whatever. The only thing, as far as we can see, on which these volumes throw any light, is the exceeding baseness of the author.

So much for the veracity of the Memoirs. In a literary point of view, they are beneath criticism. They are as shallow, flippant, and affected, as Barere's oratory in the Convention. They are also, what his oratory in the Convention was not, utterly insipid. In fact, they are the mere dregs and rinsings of a bottle of which even the first froth was but of very questionable

We will now try to present our readers with a sketch of this man's life. We shall, of course, make very sparing use indeed of his own Memoirs : and never without distrust, except where they are confirmed by other evidence.

Bertrand Barère was born in the year 1755, at Tarbes in Gascony. His father was the proprietor of a small state at Vicuzac, in the beautiful vale of Argeles. Bertrand always loved to be called Barère de Vieuzac, and flattered himself with the hope that, by the help of this feudal addition to his name, he might pass for a gentleman. He was ducated for the bar at Toulouse, the seat of one of the most celebrated pariaments of the kingdom, practised as in advocate with considerable success, and wrote some small pieces, which he ant to the principal literary societies

<sup>\*</sup> Moniteur, 31st of July, 1793, and Nonidi, first Decade of Brumaire, in the year 2.

in the south of France. Among provincial towns. Toulouse seems to have been remarkably rich in indifferent versifiers and critics. It gloried especially in one venerable institution, called the Academy of the Floral Games. This body held every year a grant meeting, which was a subject of intens interest to the whole city, and at which flowers of gold and silver were given a prizes for odes, for idyls, and for some bounties produced of course the ordinary effect of bounties, and turned people who might have been thriving attorneys and useful apothecaries into small wits and bad poets. Barère does not appear to have been so lucky as to obtain any of these precious flowers; but one of his performances was mentioned with honour. At Montanba: he was more fortunate. The academy prizes, one for a panegyric on Louis the Twelfth, in which the blessings of monarchy and the loyalty of the French nation were set forth; and another for a panegyric on poor Franc de Pompignan, in which, as may easily be supposed, the philosophy of the eighteenth century was sharply assailed. Then Barère found an old stone inscribed with three Latin words, and wrote a dissertation upon it, which procured him a seat in a learned Assembly, called the Toulouse Academy of Sciences, Inscriptions, and Polite Literature. At length the doors of the Academy of the Floral Games were opened to so much merit. Barère, in his thirty-third year, took his seat as one of that illustrious brotherhood, and made an inaugural oration which was greatly admired. He apologises for recounting these triumphs of his youthful genius. We own that we cannot blame him for dwelling long on the least disgraceful portion of his existwhee. To send in declamations for prizes offered by provincial academies is indeed no very useful or dignified emaloyment for a bearded man: but it would have been well if Barere had always been so employed

In 1785 he married a young lady of gangiderable fortune. Whether she

was in other respects qualified to make a home happy, is a point respecting which we are imperfectly informed. In a little work, entitled Melancholy Pages, which was written in 1797. Barère avers that his marriage was one of mere convenience, that at the altar his heart was heavy with sorrowful forebodings, that he turned pale as he pronounced the solemn "Yes," that unbidden tears rolled down his cheeks, thing that was called cloquence. These that his mother shared his presentiment, and that the evil omen was accomplished. "My marriage," he says, " was one of the most unhappy of marriages." So romantic a tale, told by so noted a liar, did not command our belief. We were, therefore, not much surprised to discover that, in his Memoirs, he calls his wife a most amiable woman, and declares that, after he had been united to her six years, of that town bestowed on him several he found her as amiable as ever. He complains, indeed, that she was too much attached to royalty and to the old superstition; but he assures us that his respect for her virtues induced him to tolerate her prejudices. Now Barère, at the time of his marriage, was himself a Royalist and a Catholic. He had gained one prize by flattering the Throne, and another by defending the Church. It is hardly possible, thereore, that disputes about politics or religion should have embittered his domestic life till some time after he became a husband. Our own guess is, that his wife was, as he says, a viruous and amiable woman, and that he did her best to make him happy during some years. It seems clear that, when circumstances developed the latent atrocity of his character, she could no onger endure him, refused to see him, and sent back his letters unopened. Then it was, we imagine, that he invented the fable about his distress on his wedding day.

In 1788 Barère paid his first visit to aris, attended reviews, heard Labarre t the Lyczeum, and Condorcet at the Academy of Sciences, stared at the envoys of Tippoo Sahib, saw the Royal 'amilydine at Versailles, and kepta jou ual ir which he noted down adventure nd speculations. Some parts of thi,

iournal are printed in the first volume did Barère enter into public life. of the work before us, and are certainly most characteristic. The worst vices of the writer had not yet shown themselves; but the weakness which was the parent of those vices appears in every line. His levity, his inconwhat they were to the last. All his opinions, all his feelings, spin round and round like a weathercock in a whirlwind. Nay, the very impressions which he receives through his senses are not the same two days together. He sees Louis the Sixteenth, and is so much blinded by loyalty as to find his Majesty handsome. "I fixed my eyes," he says, "with a lively curiosity on his fine countenance, which I thought open and noble." The next time that the king appears, all is altered. His Majesty's eyes are without the smallest expression; he has a vulgar laugh which seems like idiocy, an ignoble figure, an awkward gait, and the look of a big boy ill brought up. It is the same with more important questions. Barère is for the parliaments on the Monday and against the parliaments on the Tuesday, for feudality in the morning and against feudality in the afternoon. One day he admires the English constitution; then he shudders that constitution had been obtained, constitution. into the legislature.

States-General had been summoned. Barère went down to his own province, was there elected one of the representatives of the Third Estate, and returned to Paris in May 1789.

A great crisis, often predicted, had sistency, his servility, were already at last arrived. In no country, we conceive, have intellectual freedom and political servitude existed together so long as in France, during the seventy or eighty years which preceded the last convocation of the Orders. Ancient abuses and new theories flourished in equal vigour side by side. The people, having no constitutional means of checking even the most flagitious misgovernment, were indemnified for oppression by being suffered to luxuriate in anarchical speculation, and to deny or ridicule every principle on which the institutions of the state reposed. those who attribute the downfall of the old French institutions to the public grievances, nor those who attribute it to the doctrines of the philosophers. appear to us to have taken into their view more than one half of the subject. Grievances as heavy have often been endured without producing a revolution; doctrines as bold have often been propounded without producing a revolution. The question, whether the French to think that, in the struggles by which nation was alienated from its old polity by the follies and vices of the Viziers the barbarous islanders had murdered and Sultanas who pillaged and disa king, and gives the preference to the graced it, or by the writings of Voltaire constitution of Bearn, he says, and Rousseau, seems to us as idle as has a sublime constitution, a beautiful the question whether it was fire or gun-There the nobility and powder that blew up the mills at Hounsclergy meet in one house, and the Com- low. Neither cause would have sufficed mons in another. If the houses differ, alone. Tyranny may last through ages the King has the casting vote. A few where discussion is suppressed. Disweeks later we find him raving against cussion may safely be left free by rulers the principles of this sublime and beau- who act on popular principles. But tiful constitution. To admit deputies combine a press like that of London of the nobility and clergy into the legis- with a government like that of St. lature is, he says, neither more nor less Petersburg; and the inevitable effect than to admit enemies of the nation will be an explosion that will shake the world. So it was in France. Despot-In this state of mind, without one ism and License, mingling in unblessed settled purpose or opinion, the slave of union, engendered that mighty Revoluslast word, royalist, aristocrat, demo-tion in which the lineaments of both at, according to the prevailing sentiparents were strangely blended. The aent of the coffee-house or drawing long gestation was accomplished; and om into which he had just looked, Europe saw, with mixed hope and ter-

portentous birth.

all the provinces of France into Paris, Barere made no contemptible figure. professed were popular, yet not extreme. were reported. His character was fair; his personal adhandsome, though we think that we membered. ness very legibly written by the hand Woods and Forests. Louis was exof God. His conversation was lively ceedingly anxious about this matter; and easy; his manners remarkably good for his majesty was a keen sportsman, for a country lawyer. Women of rank and would much rather have gone and wit said that he was the only man without the Veto, or the prerogative of province, had that indescribable air his hunting and shooting. Gentlemen capital as it had been by the ingenious tercession unsuccessful. last. It would, however, be unjust to these reports he had the inconceivable speaking and writing. His rhetoric, motto from Virgil, fit only for such though deformed by every imaginable essays as he had been in the habit of fault of taste, from bombast down to composing for the Floral Gamesbuffoonery, was not wholly without force and vivacity. He had also one quality which, in active life, often gives fourth-rate men an advantage over firstcould do without effort, at any moment, in any abundance, and on any side of any question. There was, indeed, a thoughts furnished by others,

ror, that agonising travail and that tent either of his talents or of his vices. He was indeed eclipsed by Among the crowd of legislators much abler men. He went, as was which at this conjuncture poured from his habit, with the stream, spoke occasionally with some success, and edited a journal called the Point du Jour, in The opinions which he for the moment which the debates of the Assembly

He at first ranked by no means vantages are said to have been consider- among the violent reformers. He was able; and, from the portrait which is not friendly to that new division of the prefixed to these Memoirs, and which French territory which was among the represents him as he appeared in the most important changes introduced by Convention, we should judge that his the Revolution, and was especially unfeatures must have been strikingly willing to see his native province dis-He was entrusted with can read in them cowardice and mean- the task of framing Reports on the who, on his first arrival from a remote making peace and war, than without which it was supposed that Paris alone of the royal household were sent to could give. His eloquence, indeed, was Barere, in order to intercede for the by no means so much admired in the deer and phoasants. Nor was this in-The reports academicians of Montauban and Tou- were so drawn that Barère was after-His style was thought very wards accused of having dishonestly bad; and very bad, if a foreigner may sacrificed the interests of the public to venture to judge, it continued to the the tastes of the court. To one of deny that he had some talents for folly and bad taste to prefix a punning

> "Si canimus sylvas, sylvæ sint Consule dignre."

This literary foppery was one of the rate men. Whatever he could do, he few things in which he was consistent. Royalist or Girondist, Jacobin or Imperialist, he was always a Trissotin.

As the monarchical party became perfect harmony between his moral weaker and weaker, Barère gradually character and his intellectual character. estranged himself more and more from His temper was that of a slave; his it, and drew closer and closer to the chilities were exactly those which republicans. It would seem that, durqualified him to be a useful slave. Of ing this transition, he was for a time thinking to purpose, he was utterly closely connected with the family of incapable; but he had wonderful rea- Orleans. It is certain that he was endiness in arranging and expressing trusted with the guardianship of the celebrated Pamela, afterwards Lacy In the National Assembly he had no 'Edward Fitzgerald; and t was asserte! opportunity of displaying the full exthat he received during some years

pension of twelve thousand francs from all doubt, there was not in France any the Palais Royal.

At the end of September 1791, the labours of the National Assembly terminated, and those of the first and las Legislative Assembly commenced.

It had been enacted that no member of the National Assembly should sit in the Legislative Assembly; a preposterous and mischievous regulation, to which the disasters which followed must in part be ascribed. In England, what would be thought of a Parliament which did not contain one single person who had ever sat in parliament before Yet it may safely be affirmed that the number of Englishmen who, never having taken any share in public affairs, are yet well qualified, by knowledge and observation, to be members of the legislature is at least a hundred times as great as the number of Frenchmen who were so qualified in 1791. How, indeed, should it have been otherwise? people in some measure statesmen. But the real navigator is surgeon. formed on the waves; the real surgeon is formed at bedsides; and the conflicts of free states are the real school of constitutional statesmen. The National Assembly had, however, now served an apprenticeship of two laborious and reconcile his very charitable suppos eventful years. It had, indeed, by no means finished its education; but it the remarkable excellence of Barere's was no longer, as on the day when it memory. met, altogether rude to political functions. Its later proceedings contain sembly were indemnified for the facri-bundant proof that the members had fice of legislative power, by appoint-

equal number of persons possessing in an equal degree the qualities necessary for the judicious direction of public affairs; and, just at this moment, these legislators, misled by a childish wish to display their own disinterestedness, deserted the duties which they had half learned, and which nobody else had learned at all, and left their hall to a second crowd of novices, who had still to master the first rudiments of political business. When Barere wrote his Memoirs, the absurdity of this self-denying ordinance had been proved by events, and was, we believe, acknowledged by He accordingly, with his all parties. usual mendacity, speaks of it in terms implying that he had opposed it. There was, he tells us, no good citizen who did not regret this fatal vote. Nay, all wise men, he says, wished the National Assembly to continue its sittings as the first Legislative Assembly. But In England, centuries of representative no attention was paid to the wishes of government have made all educated the enlightened friends of liberty; and In the generous but fatal suicide was per-France the National Assembly had petrated. Now the fact is, that Barère, probably been composed of as good far from opposing this ill-advised meamaterials as were then to be found. It sure, was one of those who most eagerly had undoubtedly removed a vast mass supported it; that he described it from of abuses; some of its members had the tribune as wise and magnanimous; read and thought much about theories that he assigned, as his reasons for of government; and others had shown taking this view, some of those phrases great oratorical talents. But that kind in which orators of his class delight, of skill which is required for the con- and which, on all men who have the structing, launching, and steering of a smallest insight into politics, produce polity was lamentably wanting; for it an effect very similar to that of ipecais a kind of skill to which practice con- cuanha. "Those," he said, "who have tributes more than books. Books are framed a constitution for their country indeed useful to the politician, as they are, so to speak, out of the pale of that are useful to the navigator and to the social state of which they are the uthors; for creative power is not in he same sphere with that which it has created."

M. Hippolyte Carnot has noticed his untruth, and attributes it to mere lorgetfulness. We leave it to him to ion with what he elsewhere says of

Many members of the National Asfited by their experience. Beyond ments in various departments of the some months in the south of France.

France, the difficulties might still have

and Champagne.

public service. Of these fortunate would probably have produced a speedy persons Barere was one. A high Court and violent reaction. Had tolerable of Appeal had just been instituted, quiet been preserved during a few This court was to sit at Paris: but its years, the constitution of 1791 might jurisdiction was to extend over the perhaps have taken root, might have whole realm; and the departments gradually acquired the strength which were to choose the judges. Barere was time alone can give, and might, with nominated by the department of the some modifications which were un-Upper Pyrenees, and took his seat in doubtedly needed, have lasted down to the Palace of Justice. He asserts, and the present time. The European coaliour readers may, if they choose, believe, tion against the Revolution extinguished that it was about this time in contem- all hope of such a result. The deposiplation to make him Minister of the tion of Louis was, in our opinion, the Interior, and that, in order to avoid so necessary consequence of that coalition. grave a responsibility, he obtained per- The question was now no longer, whemission to pay a visit to his native ther the King should have an absolute place. It is certain that he left Paris Veto or a suspensive Veto, whether early in the year 1792, and passed there should be one chamber or two chambers, whether the members of the In the mean time, it became clear representative body should be re-eligithat the constitution of 1791 would not ble or not; but whether France should work. It was, indeed, not to be ex- belong to the French. The independpected that a constitution new both in ence of the nation, the integrity of the its principles and its details would at territory, were at stake; and we must first work easily. Had the chief ma- say plainly that we cordially approve gistrate enjoyed the entire confidence of the conduct of those Frenchmen who, of the people, had he performed his at that conjuncture, resolved, like our part with the utmost zeal, fidelity, and own Blake, to play the men for their ability—had the representative body country, under whatever form of go-included all the wisest statesmen of vernment their country might fall.

It seems to us clear that the war with been found insuperable. But, in fact, the Continental coalition was, on the the experiment was made under every side of France, at first a defensive war, disadvantage. The King, very natu- and therefore a just war. It was not rally, hated the constitution. In the a war for small objects, or against des-Legislative Assembly were men of ge- picable enemies. On the event were nius and men of good intentions, but staked all the dearest interests of the not a single man of experience. Never- French people. Foremost among the theless, if France had been suffered to threatening powers appeared two great settle her own affairs without foreign and martial monarchies, either of which, interference, it is possible that the ca- situated as France then was, might be lamities which followed might have regarded as a formidable assailant. It been averted. The King, who, with is evident that, under such circummany good qualities, was sluggish and stances, the French could not, without sensual, might have found compensa- extreme imprudence, entrust the sution for his lost prerogatives in his preme administration of their affairs to immense civil list, in his palaces and any person whose attachment to the hunting grounds, in soups, Perigord national cause admitted of doubt. Now, The people, it is no reproach to the memory of themselves secure in the enjoy- Louis to any that he was not attached ment of the valuable reforms which the to the national cause. Had he been so, National Assembly had, in the midst he would have been something more of all its errors, effected, would not than man. He had held absolute power, have been easily excited by demagogues not by usurpation, but by the accident uss of atrocity; or, if acts of atro- of birth, and by the antient polity of had been committed, those acts the kingdom. That power he had, or

the whole, used with lenity. He has nicant well by his people. He had been willing to make to them, of his own mere motion, concessions such made except under duress. He had paid the penalty of faults not his own. of the haughtiness and ambition o. some of his predecessors, of the dissoluteness and baseness of others.  $\mathbf{H}_{\epsilon}$ had been vanquished, taken captive, led in triumph, put in ward. He had escaped; he had been caught; he had been dragged back like a runaway been hackney writers or country attorheads, and addressed him in the easy tone of equality. Conscious of fai intentions, sensible of hard usage, he doubtless detested the Revolution; and, war against the confederates, pined in eagles and the sound of the German drums. We do not blame him for this. But can we blame those who, being prove of the vote of the Convention resolved to defend the work of the which abolished kingly government. National Assembly against the interference of strangers, were not disposed to have him at their head in the fearful have nothing to say in defence or extennation of the insolence, injustice, and cruelty with which, after the vietery of the republicans, he and his family were treated. But this we say, that the French had only one alternative, to deprive him of the powers of first magistrate, or to ground their arms and submit patiently to foreign dictation. The events of the tenth of August sprang inevitably from the league of Pilnitz. The King's palace was stormed; his guards were slaughtered. He was suspended from his regal functions; and the Legislative Assembly invited the nation to elect an extraordinary Convention, with the full powers of their fair proportions for a time, lest ich the confuncture required. To this they be cast away for ever and with

Convention the members of the National Assembly were eligible; and Barère was chosen by his own department.

The Convention met on the 21st of scarcely any other sovereign has ever September 1702. The first proceedings were unanimous. Royalty was abolished by acclamation. No objections were made to this great change; and no reasons were assigned for it. For certainly was that the name of the such apophthegms, as that kind are in the moral world what monstly are in the physical world; and that the history of kings is the matteriors of the state galley-slave to the oar. He was still martyrology of nations. But, though a state prisoner. His quiet was broken the accession was worthy only of a by daily affronts and lampoons. As the ling club of schoolboys, the resocustomed from the cradle to be treated to in to which the Convention came with profound reverence, he was now spens to have been that which sound forced to command his feelings, while policy dictated. In saying this, we do men who, a few months before, had not mean to express an opinion that a republic is, either in the abstract the neys sat in his presence with covered best form of government, or is, under ordinary circumstances, the form of government best suited to the French people. Our own opinion is, that the best governments which have ever exwhile charged with the conduct of the isted in the world have been limited monarchies; and that France, in parsecret for the sight of the German ticular, has never enjoyed so much prosperity and freedom as under a limited monarchy. Nevertheless, we ap-The interference of foreign powers had prought on a crisis which made exraordinary measures necessary. Herestruggle which was approaching? We litary monarchy may be, and we believe that it is, a very useful institution in a country like France. And masts are very useful parts of a ship. But, if the ship is on her beam-ends, it may be necessary to cut the masts away. When once she has righted, she may ome safe into port under jury rigging. and there be completely repaired. But, n the mean time, she must be hacked with unsparing hand, lest that which, nder ordinary circumstances, is an essential part of her fabric should, in her extreme distress, sink her to the ottom. Even so there are political mergencies in which it is necessary hat governments should be mutilated

Frenchman should have been to save most conspicuous among them. entire devotion to the national cause. equal to Vergniaud. which the public mind then was, it lie life lasted barely two years. emigrant camp.

body into two great parties.

the Girondists, and, from the name of one; allies of the Gironde. their most conspicuous leaders, the The errors of the Brusotines we

such an emergency the Convention had Brissotines. In activity and practical to deal. The first object of a good ability, Brissot and Gensonne were the France from the fate of Poland. The parliamentary eloquence, no Frenchfirst requisite of a government was man of that time can be considered as In a foreign That requisite was wanting in Louis; country, and after the lapse of half a and such a want, at such a moment, century, some parts of his speeches are could not be supplied by any public still read with mournful admiration. or private virtues. If the king were No man, we are inclined to believe, set aside, the abolition of kingship ever rose so rapidly to such a height of necessarily followed. In the state in oratorical excellence. His whole pubwould have been idle to think of doing is a circumstance which distinguishes what our ancestors did in 1688, and him from our own greatest speakers, what the French Chamber of Dennties Fox, Burke, Pitt, Sheridan, Windham, did in 1830. Such an attempt would Canning. Which of these celebrated have failed amidst universal derision men would now be remembered as an and execration. It would have dis- orator, if he had died two years after gusted all zealous men of all opinions; he first took his seat in the House of and there were then few men who were Commons?. Condorcet brought to the not zealous. Parties fatigued by long Girondist party a different kind of conflict, and instructed by the severe strength. The public regarded him discipline of that school in which alone with justice as an eminent mathematimankind will learn, are disposed to cian, and, with less reason, as a great listen to the voice of a mediator. But master of ethical and political science; when they are in their first heady youth, the philosophers considered him as devoid of experience, fresh for exertion, their chief, as the rightful heir, by flushed with hope, burning with ani-intellectual descent and by solemn mosity, they agree only in spurning out adoption, of their deceased sovereign of their way the daysman who strives D'Alembert. In the same ranks were to take his stand between them and to found Gaudet, Isnard, Barbaroux, Bulay his hand upon them both. Such zo., Louvet, too well known as the was in 1792 the state of France. On author of a very ingenious and very one side was the great name of the heir licentious romance, and more honourof Hugh Capet, the thirty-third king ably distinguished by the generosity of the third race; on the other side was with which he pleaded for the unfortuthe great name of the republic. There nate, and by the intropidity with which was no rallying point save these two. he defied the wicked and powerful. It was necessary to make a choice; and Two persons whose talents were not those, in our opinion, judged well who, brilliant, but who enjoyed a high repuwaving for the moment all subordinate tation for probity and public spirit, questions, preferred independence to Pétion and Rolland, lent the whole subjugation, and the natal soil to the weight of their names to the Girondist connection. The wife of Roland brought As to the abolition of royalty, and as to the deliberations of her husband's to the vigorous prosecution of the war, friends masculine courage and force of the whole Convention seemed to be thought, tempered by womanly grace united as one man. But a deep and and vivacity. Nor was the splendour broad gulf separated the representative of a great military reputation wanting to this celebrated party. Dumourier, One side were those statesmen who then victorious over the foreign in-explicit, from the name of the depart-vaders, and at the height of popular ment which some of them represented, favour, must be reckoned among the

undoubtedly neither few nor small now lend additional charms to her freeparty which acted or suffered during disgraced the infuriated religious fac-the French Revolution, we are forced tions of the sixteenth century, perpelity except that single quality which in anthropy. They demanded, with elosuch times prevails over every other, quent vehemence, that the authors of decision. They were zealous for the the lawless massacre, which, just beeffected by the National Assembly; been committed in the prisons of Paris, reform was, in some respects, carried ment. They treated with just contempt too far, it was a blessing well worth the pleas which have been set up for even the fearful price which has been that great crime. They admitted that paid for it. They were resolved to the public danger was pressing; but maintain the independence of their they denied that it justified a violation country against foreign invaders; and of those principles of morality on which they were right. For the heaviest of all society rests. The independence all yokes is the yoke of the stranger. and honour of France were indeed to They thought that, if Louis remained be vindicated, but to be vindicated by at their head, they could not carry on triumphs and not by murders. with the requisite energy the conflict against the European coalition. They party which, having been long exe-therefore concurred in establishing a crated throughout the civilised world, republican government; and here, has of late-such is the ebb and flow of again, they were right. For, in that opinion—found not only apologists, but struggle for life and death, it would even eulogists. We are not disposed have been madness to trust a hostile or to deny that some members of the even a half-hearted leader.

Thus far they went along with the spirited men. had been right in moving. For great purpose of attaining great ends. had necessarily produced much evil; wished for a period of boundless license, which had unsettled the public mind; tions of property and law. thought that it was now their duty to liberty associated with order, with justain showed. tice, with mercy, and with civilisation. They were republicans; but they were dists on that occasion was little to their desirous to adorn their republic with honour. Of cruelty, indeed, we fully all that had given grace and dignity to acquit them; but it is impossible to the fallen monarchy. They hoped that acquit them of criminal irresolation the humanity, the courtesy, the taste, which had done much in old times to indeed, from thirsting for the blood of mitigate the slavery of France, would Louis: on the contrary, they were most

but, when we fairly compare their con- dom. They saw with horror crimes, duct with the conduct of any other exceeding in atrocity those which had to admit their superiority in every qua- trated in the name of reason and philgreat social reform which had been fore the meeting of the Convention, had and they were right. For, though that should be brought to condign punish-

Opposed to the Girondists was a Mountain were sincere and public-But even the best of revolutionary movement. At this point them, Carnot for example and Cambon, they stopped; and, in our judgment, were far too unscrupulous as to the they were right in stopping, as they means which they employed for the ends, and under extraordinary circum- the train of these enthusiasts followed stances, they had concurred in mea- a crowd, composed of all who, from sures which, together with much good, sensual, sordid, or malignant motives,

When the Convention met, the mawhich had taken away from govern-jority was with the Girondists, and ment the sanction of prescription; Barère was with the majority. On the which had loosened the very founds. King's trial, indeed, he quitted the They party with which he ordinarily acted, voted with the Mountain, and spoke prop what it had recently been their against the prisoner with a violence duty to batter. They loved liberty, but such as few members even of the Moun-

> The conduct of the leading Gironnd disingenuousness. They were far,

BARÈRE. 246

afraid that, if they went straight for- have been victorious. In the worst their attachment to republican institu- blemished honour. Thus much is cercides. Accordingly, they traced out by their timidity and their stratagems, for themselves a crooked course, by Barère, as we have said, sided with objects. guilty. the question respecting his fate to 11 whole body of the people. Defeated different from those of the Girondists. in this attempt to rescue him, they reluctantly, and with ill-suppressed shame portment was that of men oppressed by and concern, voted for the capital sen- sorrow. It was Vergniaud's duty to tence. Then they made a last attempt proclaim the result of the roll-call. His in his favour, and voted for respiting face was pale, and he trembled with the execution. These zigzag politics emotion, as in a low and broken voice produced the effect which any man he announced that Louis was conconversant with public affairs might demned to death. Barère had not, it is have foreseen. of attaining both their ends, failed of the art of mingling jests and conceits both. The Mountain justly charged with words of death; but he already them with having attempted to save gave promise of his future excellence the King by underhand means. Their in this high department of Jacobin own consciences told them, with equal oratory. He concluded his speech justice, that their hands had been with a sentence worthy of his head dipped in the blood of the most in- and heart. "The tree of liberty," he offensive and most unfortunate of men. spid, "as an ancient author remarks, The direct path was here, as usual, the flourishes when it is watered with the path not only of honour, but of safety. blood of all classes of tyrants." M. The principle on which the Girondists Hippolyte Carnot has quoted this passtood as a party was, that the season sage in order, as we suppose, to do for revolutionary violence was over, honour to his hero. We wish that a and that the reign of law and order note had been added to inform us from ought now to commence. But the pro- what ancient author Barère quoted. ceeding against the King was clearly In the course of our own small reading revolutionary in its nature. not in conformity with the laws. The we have not happened to fall in with only plea for it was, that all ordinary trees of liberty and watering-pots full rules of jurisprudence and morality of blood; nor can we, such is our igwere suspended by the extreme public norance of classical antiquity, even danger. This was the very plea which imagine an Attic or Roman orator emthe Mountain urged in defence of the ploying imagery of that sort. In plain massacre of September, and to which, words, when Barère talked about an When so urged, the Girondists refused ancient author, he was lying, as he to listen. They therefore, by voting generally was when he asserted any fact, great or small. Why he lied on the Mountain the chief point at issue this occasion we cannot guess, unless siven a manful vote against the capital. It is not improbable that, but for sentence, the regicides would have been one circumstance, Barare would, like

desirous to protect him. But they were appeal to force. The Girondists might ward to their object, the sincerity of event, they would have fallen with untions would be suspected. They wished tain, that their boldness and honesty to save the King's life, and yet to ob- could not possibly have produced a tain all the credit of having been regi- worse effect than was actually produced

which they hoped to attain both their the Mountain on this occasion. He They first voted the King voted against the appeal to the people They then voted for referring and against the respite. His demeanour and his language also were widely Their hearts were heavy, and their de-The Girondists, instead true, yet attained to full perfection in It was among the Greek and Latin writers,

minority. It is probable that most of those with whom he ordinarily would have been an immediate acted, have voted for the appeal to the

people and for the respite. But, just city. minality by the Convention; but the fiercer Republicans considered him as reproach was long repeated in the journal of Marat, and in the speeches at the Jacobin club. It was natural that a man like Barère should, under such circumstances, try to distinguish himself among the crowd of regicides by peculiar ferocity. It was because he had been a royalist that he was one of the foremost in shedding blood.

The King was no more. The leading Girondists had, by their conduct towards him, lowered their character in the eyes both of friends and foes. They still, however, maintained the contest against the Mountain, called for vengeance on the assassins of September, and protested against the anarchical and sanguinary doctrines of Marat. For a time they seemed likely to preof the French nation. These advanvention and France were against them; to be the chief object of every patriot. but the mob of Paris, the clubs of Paris, were on their side.

situation, was to subject France to an from domineering over the republic, aristocracy infinitely worse than that and would gladly have seen the Conaristocracy which had emigrated with vention removed for a time to some the Count of Artois—to an aristocracy provincial town, or placed under the not of birth, not of wealth, not of edu- protection of a trusty guard, which

That twenty-five millions of before the commencement of the trial, Frenchmen should be ruled by a hunpapers had been discovered which dred thousand gentlemen and clergyproved that, while a member of the men was insufferable; but that twenty-National Assembly, he had been in five millions of Frenchmen should be communication with the Court respect- ruled by a hundred thousand Parisians ing his Reports on the Woods and was as it should be. The qualifica-Forests. He was acquitted of all cri- tion of a member of the new oligarchy was simply that he should live near the hall where the Convention a tool of the fallen monarch; and this met, and should be able to squeeze himself daily into the gallery during a debate, and now and then to attend with a pike for the purpose of blockading the doors. It was quite agreeable to the maxims of the Mountain that a score of draymen from Santerre's brewery, or of devils from Hébert's printing-house, should be permitted to drown the voices of men commissioned to speak the scuse of such cities as Marseilles, Bordeaux, and Lyons; and that a rabble of half-naked porters from the Fauburg St. Antoine should have nower to annul decrees for which the representatives of fifty or sixty departments had voted. It was necessary to find some pretext for so odious and absurd a tyranny. Such a pretext was found. To the old phrases of liberty and equalvail. As publicists and orators they ify were added the sonorous watchhad no rivals in the Convention. They words, unity and indivisibility. A new had with them, beyond all doubt, the crime was invented, and called by the great majority both of the deputies and name of federalism. The object of the Girondists, it was asserted, was to break tages, it should seem, ought to have up the great nation into little indedecided the event of the struggle. But pendent commonwealths, bound tothe opposite party had compensating gether only by a league like that which advantages of a different kind. The connects the Swiss cantons or the United chiefs of the Mountain, though not States of America. The great obstacle eminently distinguished by cloquence in the way of this pernicious design was or knowledge, had great audacity, the influence of Paris. To strengthen activity, and determination. The Con-the influence of Paris ought therefore

The accusation brought against the and the municipal government of Paris, leaders of the Girondist party was a mere calumny. They were undoubt-The policy of the Jacobins, in this edly desirous to prevent the capital cation, but of mere locality. They might have overawed the Parisian would not hear of privileged orders; mob; but there is not the slightest but they wished to have a privileged reason to suspect them of any design BARÈRE.

against the unity of the state Barère. was unwilling to grant even the rank preference for federal government. He his own Toulouse. was born under the Pyrenees; he was

binds ogether the grave Puritans of drivers of New Orleans. To Paris he neath the tyranny of one ambitious

however, really was a federalist, and, which Washington holds in the United we are inclined to believe, the only States. He thought it desirable that federalist in the Convention. As far the congress of the French federation as a man so unstable and servile can should have no fixed place of meeting, be said to have felt any preference for but should sit sometimes at Rouen, any form of government, he felt a sometimes at Bordeaux, sometimes at

Animated by such feelings, he was, a Gascon of the Gascons, one of a till the close of May 1793, a Girondist, people strongly distinguished by intelif not an ultra-Girondist. He ex-lectual and moral character, by man-claimed against those impure and ners, by modes of speech, by accent, bloodthirsty men who wished to make and by physiognomy, from the French the public danger a pretext for cruelty of the Seine and of the Loire; and he and rapine. "Peril," he said, "could had many of the peculiarities of the be no excuse for crime. It is when the race to which he belonged. When he wind blows hard, and the waves run first left his own province he had high, that the anchor is most needed; attained his thirty-fourth year, and it is when a revolution is raging, that had acquired a high local reputation the great laws of morality are most for eloquence and literature. He had necessary to the safety of a state." Of then visited Paris for the first time. Marat he spoke with abhorrence and He had found himself in a new world. contempt; of the municipal authorities His feelings were those of a banished of Paris with just severity. He loudly man. It is clear also that he had been complained that there were Frenchmen by no means without his share of the who paid to the Mountain that homage small disappointments and humiliations which was due to the Convention alone. so often experienced by men of letters When the establishment of the Revo-who, elated by provincial applause. lutionary Tribunal was first proposed, venture to display their powers before he joined himself to Vergniaud and the fastidious critics of a capital. On Buzot, who strongly objected to that the other hand, whenever he revisited odigus measure. "It cannot be," exthe mountains among which he had claimed Barère, "that men really been born, he found himself an object attached to liberty will imitate the of general admiration. His dislike of most frightful excesses of despotism!" Paris, and his partiality to his native He proved to the Convention, after his district, were therefore as strong and fashion, out of Sallust, that such arbidurable as any sentiments of a mind trary courts may indeed, for a time, be like his could be. He long continued severe only on real criminals, but must to maintain that the ascendency of one inevitably degenerate into instruments great city was the bane of France; of private cupidity and revenge. When, that the superiority of taste and intel- on the tenth of March, the worst part ligence which it was the fashion to of the population of Paris made the ascribe to the inhabitants of that city first unsuccessful attempt to destroy were wholly imaginary; and that the the Girondists, Barère cagerly called n tion would never enjoy a really good for vigorous measures of repression and government till the Alsatian people, the punishment. On the second of April, Breton people, the people of Bearn, the another attempt of the Jacobins of of Provence, should have each Paris to usurp supreme dominion over an madependent existence, and laws the republic was brought to the knowto its own tastes and habits. ledge of the Convention; and again communities he proposed to Barere spoke with warmth against the white by a tie similar to that which new tyranny which afflicted Franc, nd declared that the people of the Connecticut and the dissolute slave- lepartments would never crouch be-

city. He even proposed a resolution government, and above all by foreigners. public, he at this time uniformly spoke Europe, in our own Annual Registers. with strong aversion of the Mountain.

His apparent zeal for the cause of this delusion. humanity and order had its reward. Dumourier's defection. heavy blow to the Girondists. self useful. He brought to the de ideas, never paused for want of words. authority of the Convention. munication between other minds. It Barère. originated nothing; it retained noadministration. He was therefore not deputies whom the wards of the capital unnaturally considered, by persons who had accused. lived at a distance from the seat of During this contest, Barère had been

to the effect that the Convention would who, while the war raged, knew France exert against the demagogues of the only from journals, as the head of that capital the same energy which had administration of which, in truth, he been exerted against the tyrant Louis. was only the secretary and the spokes-We are assured that, in private as in man. The author of the History of appears to have been completely under

The conflict between the hostile par-Early in April came the tidings of ties was meanwhile fast approaching to This was a a crisis. The temper of Paris grew Du-daily flercer and fiercer. Delegates mourier was their general. His vic- appointed by thirty-five of the fortytories had thrown a lustre on the eight wards of the city appeared at the whole party; his army, it had been bar of the Convention, and demandhoped, would, in the worst event, ed that Vergniaud, Brissot, Guadet, protect the deputies of the nation Gensonne, Barbaroux, Buzot, Pétion, against the ragged pikemen of the Louvet, and many other deputies, garrets of Paris. He was now a de-should be expelled. This demand was serter and an exile; and those who disapproved by at least three-fourths had lately placed their chief reliance of the Assembly, and, when known in on his support were compelled to join the departments, called forth a general with their deadliest enemies in exe cry of indignation. Bordeaux declared crating his treason. At this perilous that it would stand by its representaconjuncture, it was resolved to appoint tives, and would, if necessary, defend a Committee of Public Safety, and to them by the sword against the tyranny arm that committee with powers, small of Paris. Lyons and Marseilles were indeed when compared with those which animated by a similar spirit. These it afterwards drew to itself, but still manifestations of public opinion gave great and formulable. The moderate courage to the majority of the Convenparty, regarding Barère as a represention. Thanks were voted to the people tative of their feelings and opinions, of Bordeaux for their patriotic declaelected him a member. In his new ration; and a commission consisting of situation he soon began to make him- twelve members was appointed for the purpose of investigating the conduct of liberations of the Committee, not in- the municipal authorities of Paris, and deed the knowledge or the ability of a was empowered to place under arrest great statesman, but a tongue and a such persons as should appear to have pen which, if others would only supply been concerned in any plot against the His mind was a mere organ of com- measure was adopted on the motion of

A few days of stormy excitement and thing; but it transmitted everything, profound anxiety followed; and then The post assigned to him by his col-came the crash. On the thirty-first of leagues was not really of the highest May the mob of Paris rose; the palace importance; but it was prominent, and of the Tuileries was besieged by a vast drew the attention of all Europe. array of pikes; the majority of the When a great measure was to be deputies, after vain struggles and rebrought forward, when an account was monstrances, yielded to violence, and to be rendered of an important event, suffered the Mountain to carry a decree he sas generally the mouthpiece of the for the suspension and arrest of the

tossed backwards and forwards between the two raging factions. His feelings, languid and unsteady as they always were, drow him to the Girondists; but he was awed by the vigour and determination of the Mountain. At one moment he held high and firm language, complained that the Convention was not free, and protested against the validity of any vote passed under coercion. At another moment he proposed to conciliate the Parisians by abolishing that commission of twelve which he had himself proposed only a few days be-irent. been adopted at his own instance, and eulogising the public spirit of the innot without some symptoms of shame that he read this document from the tribune, where he had so often expressed very different sentiments. It is said that, at some passages, he was even seen to blush. It may have been so; he was still in his novitiate of infamv.

Some days later he proposed that hostages for the personal safety of the accused deputies should be sent to the departments, and offered to be himself one of those hestages. Nor do we in the least doubt that the offer was sincere. He would, we firmly believe, have thought him-elf far safer at Bordeaux or Marseilles than at Paris. His proposition, however, was not carried into effect; and he remained in the power of the victorious Mountain.

This was the great crisis of his life. Hitherto he had done nothing inexpiable, nothing which marked him out as a much worse man than most of his colleagues in the Convention. voice had generally been on the side of moderate measures. Had he bravely cast in his lot with the Girondists, and suffered with them, he would, like them, have had a not dishonourable place, in history. Had he, like the great body of deputies who meant well, but who had not the courage to expose themselves to martyrdom, marched quietly under the dominion the triumphant minority, and suf-

Billaud to pass unopposed, he would have incurred no peculiar ignominy. But it is probable that this course was not open to him. He had been too prominent among the adversaries of the Mountain to be admitted to quarter without making some atonement. It was necessary that, if he hoped to find pardon from his new lords, he should not be merely a silent and passive slave. What passed in private between him and them cannot be accurately related; but the result was soon appa-The Committee of Public Safety fore; and himself drew up a paper con- was renewed. Several of the fiercest demning the very measures which had of the dominant faction, Couthon for xample, and St. Just, were substituted for more moderate politicians, but surgents. To do him justice, it was Barère was suffered to retain his seat at the Board.

The indulgence with which he was treated excited the murmurs of some stern and ardent zealots. Merat, in the very last words that he wrote, words not published till the dagger of Charlotte Corday had avenged France and mankind, complained that a man who had no principles, who was always on the side of the strongest, who had been a royalist, and who was ready, in case of a turn of fortulie, to be a royalfat again, should be entrusted with an important share in the administration. But the chiefs of the Mountain judged more correctly. They knew indeed, as well as Marat, that Barère was a man utterly without faith or steadiness; that, if he could be said to have any political leaning, his leaning was not towards them; that he felt for the Girondist party that faint and wavering sort of preference of which alone his nature was susceptible; and that, if he had been at liberty to make his choice, he would rather have murdered Robespierre and Danton than Vergniaud and Gensonné. But they justly appreciated that levity which made him incapable alike of earnest love and of carnest hatred, and that meanness which made it necessary to him to have a master. In truth, what the planters of Carolina and Louisiana say of black men with flat noses and woolly hair

\* See the Publicists of the 14th July, 1793. every motion of Robespierre and Marat was stabbed on the evening of the 18th.

of Canaan was upon him. He was born with Barère. He was of a nature so The impulse which drove him from a party in adversity to a party in prosperity was as irresistible as that which drives the cuckoo and the swallow towards the sun when the dark and cold months are approaching. The law which doomed him to be the humble attendant of stronger spirits resembled the law which bands the pilot-fish to the shark. "Ken ye," said a shrewd Scotch lord, who was asked his opinion of James the First-"Ken ve a John Apc? If I have Jacko by the collar, I can make him bite you; but, if you havo Jacko, you can make him bite me." Just such a creature was Barère. In the hands of the Girondists he would have been eager to proscribe the Jacobans; he was just as ready, in the gripe of the Jacobins, to prescribe the Girondists. On the fidelity of such a man the heads of the Mountain could not, or course, reckon; but they valued their conquest as the very easy and not very deheate lover in Congreve's lively song valued the conquest of a prostitute of a different kind. Barère was, like that the time was come for taking the Chloc, false and common; but he was, like Chloe, constant while possessed. and they asked no more. They needed a service which he was perfectly competent to perform. Destitute as he was of all the talents both of an active and of a speculative statesman, he could with great facility draw up a report, or make a speech on any subject and on any side. If other people would furnish facts and thoughts, he could always furnish phrases; and this talent was absolutely at the command of his owners for the time being. Nor had he excited any augry passion among those to whom he had hitherto been opposed. They felt no more hatred to him than they felt to the horses which dragged the cannon of the Duke of Brunswick and of the Prince of Saxe-Coburg. The horses had only done tained one gang which, even in that according to their kind, and would, if party, was pre-eminent in every mean they fell into the hands of the French, and every savage vice; a gang so lowdrag with equal vigour and equal doci of minded and so inhuman that, compared lity the guns of the republic, and there with them, Robespierre might be called fore ought not merely to be spared, but magnanimous and merciful. Of these

was strictly true of Barère. The curse to be well fed and curried. So was it Baseness was an instinct in low, that it might be doubted whether he could properly be an object of the hostility of reasonable beings. He had not been an enemy, he was not now a friend. But he had been an annoyance: and he would now be a help.

> But, though the heads of the Mountain pardoned this man, and admitted him into partnership with themselves, it was not without exacting pledges such as made it impossible for him, falso and fickle as he was, ever again to find admission into the ranks which he had deserted. That was truly a terrible sacrament by which they admitted the apostate into their communion. They demanded of him that he should himself take the most prominent part in murdering his old friends. To refuse was as much as his life was worth. But what is life worth when it is only one long agony of remorse and shame? These, however, are feelings of which it is idle to talk, when we are considering the conduct of such a man as B1rère. He undertook the task, mounted the tribune, and told the Convention stern attitude of justice, and for striking at all conspirators without distinction. He then moved that Bu of, Barbaroux, Pétion, and thirteen other deputies, should be placed out of the pale of the law, or, in other words, beheaded without a trial; and that Vergniaud, Guadet, Gensonné, and six others, should be impeached. The motion was carried without debate.

We have already seen with what offrontery Barère has denied, in these Memoirs, that he took any part against the Girondists. This denial, we think, was the only thing wanting to make his infamy complete. The most impudent of all lies was a fit companion for the foulest of all murders.

Barère, however, had not yet carned his pardon. The Jacobin party conagainst the Girondist deputies he again rubbish must be swept away." mounted the tribune, in order to move that the Queen should be brought before the Revolutionary Tribunal. He was improving fast in the society of his When he asked for the new allies. sense of his own guilt and degradation: out for blood in the eager tones of the it. guilt on the guiltless.

wretches Hébert was perhaps the best so much excited that he broke his plate representative. His favourite amuse- in the violence of his gesticulation. ment was to torment and insult the Barère exclaimed that the guillotine miscrable remains of that great family had cut a diplomatic knot which it which, having ruled Ecance during might have been difficult to untie. In eight hundred years, had now become the intervals between the Beaune and an object of pity to the humblest arti-san or peasant. The influence of this thrushes and the partridge with truffles, man, and of men like him, induced the he fervently preached his new political Committee of Public Safety to deter- creed. "The vessel of the revolution," mine that Marie Antoinette should be he said, "can float into port only on sent to the scaffold. Barere was again waves of blood. We must begin with summoned to his duty. Only four days the members of the National Assembly after he had proposed the decrees and of the Legislative Assembly. That

As he talked at table he talked in the Convention. His peculiar style of oratory was now formed. It was not altogether without ingenuity and liveliness. But in any other age or counheads of Vergniaud and Pétion he had try it would have been thought unfit spoken like a man who had some slight for the deliberations of a grave assembly, and still more unfit for state papers. he had said little; and that little had It might, perhaps, succeed at a meeting not been violent. The office of expa- of a Protestant Association in Exeter tiating on the guilt of his old friends he Hall, at a Repeal dinner in Ireland, had left to Saint Just. Very different after men had well drunk, or in an was Barere's second appearance in the American oration on the fourth of July. character of an accuser. He now cried No legislative body would now endure But in France, during the reign true and burning thirst, and raved of the Convention, the old laws of against the Austrian woman with the composition were held in as much convirulence natural to a coward who finds tempt as the old government or the old himself at liberty to outrage that which creed. Correct and noble diction behe has feared and envied. We have longed, like the etiquette of Versailles already exposed the shameless menda- and the solemnities of Notre Dame, to city with which, in these Memoirs, he an age which had passed away. Just attempts to throw the blame of his own as a swarm of ephemeral constitutions, democratic, directorial, and consular, On the day on which the fallen sprang from the decay of the ancient Queen was dragged, already more than monarchy; just as a swarm of new half dead, to her doom, Barere regaled superstitions, the worship of the God-Robespierre and some other Jacobins dess of Reason, and the fooleries of the at a tavern. Robespierre's acceptance Theo-philanthropists, sprang from the of the invitation caused some surprise decay of the ancient Church; even so, to those who knew how long and how out of the decay of the ancient French bitterly it was his nature to hate, eloquence sprang new fashions of elo-"Robespierre of the party!" muttered quence, for the understanding of which Saint Just. "Barere is the only man new grammars and dictionaries were whom Robespierre has forgiven." We necessary. The same innovating spirit have an account of this singular repast which altered the common phrases of from one of the guests. Robespierre salutation, which turned hundreds, of condemned the senseless brutality with Johns and Peters into Scavolas and which Hébert had conducted the pro- Aristogitons, and which expelled Sunceedings against the Austrian woman, day and Monday, January and Februand, in talking on that subject, became ary, Lady-day and Christmas, from the

calendar, in order to substitute Decadi deserved to be celebrated by Tyrtmus: of official correspondence. calm, guarded, and sternly courteous language which governments had long rants, rhetoric worthy only of a schoolboy, scurrility worthy only of a fishhad a greater command than any man of his time, and, during the short and When the fit was over, he was considered as what he really was, a man of quick apprehension and fluent elocution, with no originality, with little information, and with a taste as bad as his heart. His Reports were popularly called Carmagnoles A few months in conveying to an English reader an which this appellation was given. Fortunately a noble and distinguished The eloquent Ellenborough's proclamations 14 able to form a complete idea of a Carmagnole.

The effect which Barère's discourses at one time produced is not to be journed; and a rumour went forth that wholly attributed to the perversion of there would be an acquittal. The Jacothe national taste. The occasions on bins met, breathing vengeance. Robewhich he rose were frequently such as spierre undertook to be their organ. He would have secured to the worst speaker rose on the following day in the Cona favourable hearing. When any military advantage had been gained, he was generally deputed by the Committee of Public Safety to announce the good By this decree the tribunal was emplause as he mounted the tribune, holding the despatches in his hand. Deputies and strangers listened with delight deputy made a faint opposition. Barère while he told them that victory was the instantly sprang up to support Robesorder of the day; that the guineas of pierre—Barère, the federalist; Barère, Pitt had been vainly lavished to hire machines six feet high, carrying guns; that the flight of the En

and Primidi, Nivose and Pluviose, and that the saltpetre dug out of the Feasts of Opinion and Feasts of the cellars of Paris had been turned into Supreme Being, changed all the forms thunder, which would crush the Titan For the brethren, George and Francis.

Meanwhile the trial of the accused Girondists, who were under arrest in been accustomed to employ, were sub- Paris, came on. They flattered themstituted puns, interjections, Ossianic selves with a vain hope of escape. They placed some reliance on their innocence, and some reliance on their wife. Of the phraseology which was eloquence. They thought that shame now thought to be peculiarly well would suffice to restrain any man, howsuited to a report or a manifesto Barero ever violent and cruel, from publicly committing the flagrant iniquity of condemning them to death. The Revosharp paroxysm of the revolutionary lutionary Tribunal was new to its funcdelirium, passed for a great orator, tions. No member of the Convention had yet been executed; and it was probable that the boldest Jacobin would shrink from being the first to violate the sanctity which was supposed to belong to the representatives of the people.

The proceedings lasted some days. ago we should have had some difficulty Gensonne and Brissot defended themselves with great ability and presence exact notion of the state papers to of mind against the vile Hébert and Chaumette, who appeared as accusers. of Vergniaud was person, whom her Majesty's Ministers heard for the last time. He pleaded have thought qualified to fill the most his own cause and that of his friends, important post in the empire, has made with such force of reason and elevation our task easy. Whoever has read Lord of sentiment that a murmur of pity and admiration rose from the audience. Nay, the court itself, not yet accustomed to riot in daily carnage, showed signs of emotion. The sitting was advention, and proposed a decree of such atrocity that even among the acts of that year it can hardly be paralleled. The hall resounded with ap- powered to cut short the defence of the prisoners, to pronounce the case clean and to pass immediate judgment. One he author of that Commission of Twelve which was among the chief causes of the hatred borne by Paris to the Girondenics that he ever took any part to them this honourable testimony, that, against the Girondists; Burere, who being free to choose whether they would has the effrontery to declare that he be oppressors or victims, they delibergreatly loved and esteemed Vergniaud. The decree was passed, and the tribunal, without suffering the prisoners to conclude what they had to say, pro-

nounced them guilty.

The following day was the saddest in the sad history of the Revolution. The a few mon'hs engaged in public affair mean want of political courage - of Barère. that courage which is proof to clamour meusures. St. Just, and such slaves as Barère.

not bear to survive her. siasts from the Rhone whose valour, in his deserts, as the model of republican laws of morality is certain to be joined virtue. We are far from regarding by the most immoral part of the commen the best of the Girondists with munity. This has been repeatedly

dists; Barère, who in these Memoirs unmixed admiration: but history owes ately and firmly resolved rather to suffer injustice then to inflict it.

And now began that strange period known by the name of the Reign of Terror. The Jacobins had prevailed. This was their hour, and the power of darkness. The Convention was subjusufferers were so innocent so brave, so gated and reduced to profound silence eloquent, so accomplished, so young. on the highest questions of state. The Some of them were graceful and hand- sovereignty passed to the Committee of some youths of six or seven and twenty. Public Safety. To the edicts framed Vergniaud and Gensonné were little by that Committee the representative more than thirty. They had been only assembly did not venture to offer even the species of opposition which the In a few months the fame of their ancient parliament had frequently ofgenius had filled Europe; and they fered to the mandates of the ancient were to die for no crime but this, that kings. Six persons held the chief power they had wished to combine order, jus- in the small cabinet which now domitice, and mercy with freedom. Their neered over France-Robespierre, St. great fault was want of courage. We Just, Couthon, Collot, Billaud, and

To some of these men, and of those and obloquy, and which meets great who adhered to them, it is due to say emergencies by daring and decisive that the fanaticism which had emanci-Alas! they had but too pated them from the restraints of jusgood an opportunity of proving that tice and compassion had emancipated they did not want courage to endure them also from the dominion of vulgar with manly cheerfulness the worst that supidity and of vulgar war; that, while could be inflicted by such tyrants as hardly knowing where to find an assignat of a few francs to pay for a They were not the only victims of dinner, they expended with strict inthe noble cause. Madamo Roland fol- tegrity the immense revenue which they lowed them to the scaffold with a spirit collected by every art of rapine; and as heroic as their own. Her husband that they were ready, in support of their was in a safe hiding-place, but could cause, to mount the scaffold with as His body much indifference as they showed when was found on the high-road near they signed the death-warrants of ari-Rouce. He had fallen on his sword, stocrats and priests. But no great party Condorcet swallowed opium. At Bor- can be composed of such materials as deaux the steel fell on the necks of the these. It is the inevitable law that bold and quick-witted Guadet and of such zealots as we have described shall Barbaroux, the chief of those cuthu-collect around them a multitude of

of cowards, and of libertines, the proterisis of the tenth of August, whose savage tempers and licentious had turned back the tide of battle appetites, withheld only by the dread of from the Louvre to the Tuileries. In law and magistracy from the worst exa field near the Garonne was found all cesses, are called into full activity by that the wolves had left of Pétion, the hope of immunity. A faction which, once honoured, greatly indeed beyond from whatever motive, relaxes the great

proved in religious wars. like a mad dog. No outrage committed and so ignoble as Barère.

Then came those days when the most barbarous of all codes was ad- of public danger can justify a system ministered by the most barbarous of like this, we do not say on Christian all tribunals, when no man could greet principles, we do not say on the prinhis neighbours, or say his prayers, or ciples of a high morality, but even on dress his hair, without danger of com- principles of Machiav llian policy. It mutting a capital crime; when spies is true that great emergencies call for lurked in every corner; when the guil- activity and vigilance, it is true that lotine was long and hard at work every they justify severity which, in ordinary morning; when the jail, were filled as times, would deserve the name of close as the hold of a slave-ship; when cruelty. But indiscriminate severity the gutters ran foaming with blood into can never, under any circumstances, be the Seine; when it was death to be useful. It is plain that the whole offigreat-niece of a captain of the royal cacy of punishment depends on the guards, or half-brother of a doctor of care with which the guilty are distinthe Sorbonne, to express a doubt whe- guished. Punishment which strikes ther assignats would not fall, to hint the guilty and the innocent promiscuthat the English had been victorious in ously, operates merely like a pestilenco the action of the first of June, to have or a great convension of nature, and has

The war of locked up in a desk, to laugh at a Jathe Holy Sepulchre, the Albigensian cobin for taking the name of Cassius war, the Huguenot war, the Thirty or Timoleon, or to call the Fifth Sans-Years' war, all originated in pious culottide by its old superstitious name zeal. That zeal inflamed the cham- of St. Matthew's Day. While the daily pions of the Church to such a point that waggon-loads of victims were carried they regarded all generosity to the to their doom through the streets of vanquished as a sinful weakness. The Paris, the Processul's whom the soveinfidel, the heretic, was to be run down reign Committe had sent forth to the departments revelled in an extravaby the Catholic warrior on the miscreant gance of cruelty unknown even in the enemy could deserve punishment. As capital. The knife of the deadly masoon as it was known that boundless chine rose and fell too slow for their license was thus given to barbarity and work of slaughter. Long rows of capdissoluteness, thou ands of wretches tives were moved down with grapewho cared nothing for the sacred cause, shot. Holes were made in the bottom but who were cager to be exempted of crowded barges. Lyons was turned from the police of peaceful cities, and into a desert. At Arras even the cruel the discipline of well-governed camps, mercy of a speedy death was denied to flocked to the standard of the faith, the prisoners. All down the Loire, The men who had set up that stan- from Saumur to the sea, great flocks dard were sincere, chaste, regardless of of crows and kites feasted on naked lucre, and, perhaps, where only them- corpses, twined together in hideous emselve- were concerned, not unforgiving; braces. No mercy was shown to sex but round that standard were assembled or age. The number of young lads such gangs of rogues, ravishers, plun- and of girls of seventeen who were derers, and ferocious bravoes, as were murdered by that execrable governscarcely ever found under the flag of ment is to be reckoned by hundreds. any state engaged in a mere temporal Babies torn from the breast were tossed quarrel. In a very similar way was from pike to pike along the Jacobin the Jacolin party composed. There ranks. One champion of liberty had was a small nucleus of enthusiasts; his pockets well stuffed with cars, round that nucleus was gathered a vast. Another swaggered about with the finmass of ignoble depravity; and in all ger of a little child in his hat. A few that mass there was nothing so depraved months had sufficed to degrade France below the level of New Zealand.

It is absurd to say that any amount a copy of one of Burke's pamphlets; no more tendency to prevent offences

muck through the streets, slashing right and left at friends and foes. Such has never been the energy of truly great Oliver or of Frederick. They were been less scrupulous than they were, the strength and amplitude of their minds would have preserved them from crimes such as those which the small men of the Committee of Public Safety took for daring strokes of policy. The great Queen who so long held her own against foreign and domestic enemies, against temporal and spiritual arms; more than regal power, in despite both of royalists and republicans; the great King who, with a beaten army and an exhausted treasury, defended his little dominions to the last against the united efforts of Russia, Austria, and France; with what scorn would they have heard that it was impossible for them to strike a salutary terror into the disaffected without sending school-boys and boat-loads!

The popular notion is, we believe, that the leading Terrorists were wicked men, but, at the same time, great men. We can see nothing great about them but their wickedness. That their policy was daringly original is a vulgar error. Their policy is as old as the oldest accounts which we have of human misgovernment. It seemed new in France

than the cholera, or an earthquake like we imagine, was superior to any of that of Lisbon, would have. The energy them in their new line. In fact, there for which the Jacobin administration is not a petty tyrant in Asia or Africa is praised was merely the energy of the so dull or so unlearned as not to be Malay who maddens himself with fully qualified for the business of Ja-opium, draws his knife, and runs a cobin police and Jacobin finance. To cobin police and Jacobin finance. To behead people by scores without caring whether they are guilty or innocent; to wring money out of the rich by the rulers; of Elizabeth, for example, of help of jailers and executioners; to rob the public creditor, and to put him not, indeed, scrupulous. But, had they to death if he remonstrates; to take loaves by force out of the bakers' shops; to clothe and mount soldiers by seizing on one man's wool and linen, and on another man's horses and saddles, without compensation; is of all modes of governing the simplest and most obvious. Of its morality we at present say nothing. But surely it requires no capacity beyond that of a the great Protector who governed with barbarian or a child. By means like those which we have described, the Committee of Public Safety undoubtedly succeeded, for a short time, in enforcing profound submission, and in raising immense funds. But to enforce submission by butchery, and to raise funds by spoliation, is not statesmanship. The real statesman is he who, in troubled times, keeps down the turbulent without unnecessarily harassing school-girls to death by cart-loads and the well-affected; and who, when great pecuniary resources are needed, provides for the public exigencies without violating the security of property and drying up the sources of future prosperity. Such a statesman, we are confident, might, in 1793, have preserved the independence of France without shedding a drop of innocent blood. without plundering a single warehouse. Unhappily, the Republic was subject and in the eighteenth century only be- to men who were mere demagogues cause it had been long disused, for and in no sense statesmen. They could excellent reasons, by the enlightened declaim at a club. They could lead a part of mankind. But it has always rabble to mischief. But they had no prevailed, and still prevails, in savage skill to conduct the affairs of an emand half-savage nations, and is the pire. The want of skill they supplied chief cause which prevents such na- for a time by atrocity and blind viotions from making advances towards lence. For legislative ability, fiscal civilisation. Thousands of deys, of ability, military ability, diplomatic beys, of pachas, of rajahs, of nabobs, ability, they had one substitute, the have shown themselves as great man guillotine. Indeed their exceeding sees of statecraft as the members of the ignorance, and the barrenness of their Committee of Public Safety. Djezzar, invention, are the best excuse for their

govern in any other way.

Public Safety, but by the energy, pablunders.

dragged wailing and trembling to his repair the evil which he had wrought, death in the cause of mercy.

Barére who, when one of the old members of the National Assembly had been absolved by the Revolutionary

murders and robberies. We really be- Tribunal, gave orders that a fresh jury here that they would not have cut so should be summoned. "Acquit one of many throats, and picked so many the National Assembly!" he cried. pockets, if they had known how to "The Tribunal is turning against the Revolution." • It is unnecessary to say That under their administration the that the prisoner's head was soon in war against the European Coalition was the basket. It was Barère who moved successfully conducted is true. But that that the city of Lyons should be dewar had been successfully conducted stroyed. "Let the plough," he cried before their elevation, and continued to from the tribune, "pass over her. Let be successfully conducted after their her name cease to exist. The rebels fall. Terror was not the order of the are conquered; but are they all exterday when Brussels opened its gates to minated? No weakness. No morey. Dumourier. Terror had ceased to be Let every one be smitten. Two words the order of the day when Piedmont will suffice to tell the whole. Lyons and Lombardy were conquered by made war on liberty; Lyons is no Bonuparte. The truth is, that France more." When Toulon was taken Barère was saved, not by the Committee of came forward to announce the event. "The conquest," said the apostate triotism, and valour of the French Brissotine, "won by the Mountain over people. Those high qualities were vic- the Brissotines must be commemorated torious in spate of the incapacity of by a mark set on the place where Tourulers whose administration was a lon once stood. The national thunder tissue, not merely of crimes, but of must crush the house of every trader in the town." When Camille Des-We have not time to tell how the moulins, long distinguished among the leaders of the savage faction at length republicans by zeal and ability, dared began to avenge mankind on each to raise his elequent voice against the other; how the craven Hebert was Reign of Terror, and to point out the close analogy between the government doom; how the nobler Danton, moved which then oppressed France and the by a late repentance, strove in vain to government of the worst of the Casars, Barère rose to complain of the weak and half redeemed the great crime of compassion which tried to revive the September by manfully encountering hopes of the aristocracy. "Whoover." he said, "is nobly born is a man to be Our business is with Barère. In all suspected. Every priest, every fre-those things he was not only consent- quenter of the old court, every lawing, but eagerly and joyously forward. yer, every banker, is a man to be Not merely was he one of the guilty suspected. Every person who grumadministration. He was the man to bles at the course which the Revoluwhom was especially assigned the office tion takes is a man to be suspected. of proposing and defending outrages on There are whole castes already tried justice and humanity, and of furnishing and condemned. There are callings to atrocious schemes an appropriate which carry their doom with them. garb of atrocious rodomontade. Barère There are relations of blood which the first proclaimed from the tribune of the law regards with an evil eye. Repub-Convention that terror must be the licans of France!" yelled the renegade order of the day. It was by Barère Girondist, the old enemy of the Moune that the Revolutionary Tribunal of tain—"Republicans of France! the Paris was provided with the aid of a Brissotines led you by gentle means to public accuser worthy of such a court, slavery. The Mountain leads you by the infamous Fouquier Tinville. It was strong measures to freedom. Oh! who an count the evils which a false comussion may produce?" When the riends of Danton mustered courage to

vicegerents of the Committee of Public dead. Safety, had so maddened the people of respect. Laberty is a virgin whose veil neighbours. it is not lawful to lift."

After this, it would be idle to dwell on facts which would indeed, of themselves, suffice to render a name infamous, but which make no perceptible addition to the great infamy of Barere. It would be idle, for example, to relate an Academy of Inscriptions, was foremost in that war against learning art, government; how he recommended a Barère. general conflagration of libraries; how he proclaimed that all records of events cruel man. It was from mere pusilla-

express a wish that the Convention bey of St. Denis, pulled down monuwould at least hear him in his own de- ments consecrated by the veneration of fence before it sent him to certain ages, and scattered on the wind the death, the voice of Barère was the dust of ancient kings. He was, in loudest in opposition to their prayer. truth, seldom so well employed as when When the crimes of Lebon, one of the he turned for a moment from making worst, if not the very worst, of the war on the living to make war on the

Equally idle would it be to dilate on the Department of the North that they his sensual excesses. That in Barerc. resorted to the desperate expedient of as in the whole breed of Neros, Caliguimploring the protection of the Con- las, and Domitians whom he resembled, vention, Barere pleaded the cause of voluptuousness was mingled with cruthe accused tyrant, and threatened the elty; that he withdrew, twice in every petitioners with the utmost vengeance decade, from the work of blood to the of the government. "These charges," smiling gardens of Clichy, and there he said, "have been suggested by wily forgot public cares in the madness of aristocrats. The man who crushes the wine and in the arms of courtesans, has enemies of the people, though he may often been repeated. M. Hippolyte be hurried by his zeal into some ex- Carnot does not altogether deny the cesses, can never be a proper object of truth of these stories, but justly obcensure. The proceedings of Lebon serves that Barcre's dissipation was may have been a little harsh as to not carried to such a point as to interform." One of the small irregularities fere with his industry. Nothing can thus gently censured was this: Lebon be more true. Barère was by no means kept a wretched man a quarter of an so much addicted to debauchery as to hour under the knife of the guillotine, neglect the work of murder. It was in order to torment him, by reading to his boast that, even during his hours of him, before he was despatched, a letter, recreation, he cut out work for the Rethe contents of which were supposed to volutionary Tribunal. To those who be such as would aggravate even the expressed a fear that his exertions bitterness of death. "But what," pro- would hurt his health, he gaily anceeded Barere, "is not permitted to swered that he was less busy than they the natred of a republican against aristhought. "The guillotine," he said, tocracy? How many generous senti- "does all; the guillotine governs.' ments atone for what may perhaps For ourselves, we are much more disseem acrimonious in the prosecution of posed to look indulgently on the pleapublic enemies? Revolutionary mea- sures which he allowed to himself than sures are always to be spoken of with on the pain which he inflicted on his

> "Atque utinam his potius nugis tota illa dedisset \* Tempora seevitie, claras quibus abstult Illustresque animas, impune ac vindice

An immoderate appetite for sensual how he, a man of letters, a member of gratifications is undoubtedly a blemish on the fame of Henry the Fourth, of Lord Somers, of Mr. Fox. But the and history which disgraced the Jacobin vices of honest men are the virtues of

And now Barère had become a hally terior to the Revolution ought to be nimity that he had perpetrated his first ed; how he laid waste the Ab- great crimes. But the whole history

of our race proves that the taste for the peculiar animation to every handsome misery of others is a taste which mind not naturally ferocious may too easily acquire, and which, when once acquired, is as strong as any of the propensities with which we are born. A very few exhibitating effect on him, and inspired free and joyous natures. creaking under its daily freight of victims, ancient men and lads, and fair young girls, the binding of the hands, the thrusting of the head out of the of the axe, the pool of blood beneath the worst statesman of the republic. the scaffold, the heads rolling by scores havock. But, while they murdered, one of them frowned and canted, the other grinned and joked. For our own part, we prefer Jean qui pleure to Jean qui rit.

In the midst of the funeral gloom which overhung Paris, a gaiety stranger morning a crowd of suitors assembled to implore his protection. He came forth in his rich dressing-gown, went tesque combination of the frivolous round the antechamber, dispensed with the horrible, of false locks and smiles and promises among the obse- curling-irons with spouting arteries and quious crowd, addressed himself with recking hatchets.

woman who appeared in the circle, and complimented her in the florid style of Gascony on the bloom of her cheeks and the lustre, of her eyes. When he had enjoyed the fear and anxiety of months had sufficed to bring this man his suppliants he dismissed them, and into a state of mind in which images flung all their memorials unread into of despair, wailing, and death had an the fire. This was the best way, he conceived, to prevent arrears of basihim as wine and love inspire men of ness from accumulating. Here he was The cart only an imitator. Cardinal Dubois had been in the habit of clearing his table of papers in the same way. Nor was this the only point in which we could point out a resemblance between the little national sash-window, the crash worst statesman of the monarchy and

Of Barère's peculiar vein of pleain the panier—these things were to him santry a notion may be formed from what Lalage and a cask of Falernian an anecdote which one of his intimate were to Horace, what Rosette and a associates, a juror of the revolutionary bottle of iced champagne are to De tribunal, has related. A courtesan who Béranger. As soon as he began to bore a conspicuous part in the orgies speak of slaughter his heart seemed to of Clichy implored Barère to use his be enlarged, and his fancy to become power against a head-dress which did unusually fertile of concerts and gas- not suit her style of face, and which a consides. Robespierre, St. Just, and rival beauty was trying do bring into Billaud, whose barbarity was the effect fashion. One of the magistrates of the of earnest and gloomy hatred, were, in capital was summoned and received the his view, men who made a toil of a necessary orders. Aristocracy, Barère pleasure. Cruelty was no such melan said, was again realing its front. These choly business, to be gone about with new wigs were counter-revolutionary. an austere brow and a whining tone; it He had reason to know that they were was a recreation, fitly accompanied by made out of the long fair hair of handsinging and laughing. In truth, Ro- some aristocrats who had died by the bespierre and Barère might be well national chopper. Every lady who compared to the two renowned hang- adorned herself with the relics of crimen of Louis the Eleventh. They were minals might justly be suspected of alike insensible of pity, alike bent on incivism. This ridiculous lie imposed on the authorities of Paris. Female ritizens were solemnly warned against he obnoxious ringlets, and were left to thoose between their head-dresses and their heads. Barère's delight at the success of this facetious fiction was uite extravagant: he could not tell and more ghastly than the horrors of the story without going into such conthe prison and the scaffold distin- valsions of laughter as made his hearers guished the dwelling of Barère. Every hope that he was about to choke. There as something peculiarly tickling and shilarating to his mind in this gro-

But. though Barère succeeded in She was questioned, and spoke of the not show himself within that sacred France. contained one man who was not trustworthy. Robespierre, whose influence been said, but spoke highly of Barere's conduct is perfectly natural. industry and aptitude for business. This seasonable interposition silenced neophyte could venture to appear at the club.

At length a musterpiece of wicked-Barère's great achevements, obtained conclave. The insupportable tyranny of the Committee of Public Safety had at length brought the minds of men, and eft of women, into a fierce and death. The life which might be any morning taken away, in consequence of the whisper of a private enemy, seemed cuse this decree. His abuse of England is of little value. It was something to deal with enemies of a very different sort from die after smiting one of the oppressors; it was something to bequeath to the surviving tyrants a terror not inferior to that which they had themselves inspired. Human nature, hunted and worried to the utmost, now turned Turiously to bay. Fouquier Tinville was afraid to walk the streets; a pistol was snapped at Collot D'Herbois; a soung girl, animated apparently by the spirit of Charlotte Corday, attempted to btain an interview with Robespierry.

earning the honourable nicknames of Jacobin domination with resolute scorn the Witling of Terror, and the Ana- and aversion. It is unnecessary to say creon of the Guhlotine, there was one that she was sent to the guillotine. place where it was long remembered to Barere declared from the tribune that his disadvantage that he had, for a the cause of these attempts was evident. time, talked the language of humanity Pitt and his guineas had done the whole. and moderation. That place was the The English Government had organised Jacobin Club. Even after he had borne a vast system of murder, had armed the the chief part in the massacre of the hand of Charlotte Corday, and had now, Girondists, in the murder of the Queen, by similar means, attacked two of the in the destruction of Lyons, he durst most emment friends of liberty in It is needless to say that precinct. At one meeting of the society, these imputations were, not only false, a member complained that the com- but destitute of all show of truth. mittee to which the supreme direction Nay, they were demonstrably absurd : of affairs was entrusted, after all the for the assassing to whom Barere rechanges which had been made, still ferred rushed on certain death, a sure proof that they were not hirelings. The whole wealth of England would over the Jacobins was boundless, under- not have bribed any same person to do took the defence of his colleague, owned what Charlotte Corday did. But, when there was some ground for what had we consider her as an enthusiast, her those French writers who are childish enough to believe that the English the accuser; but it was long before the Government contrived the infernal machine and strangled the Emperor Paul have fully acquitted Mr. Pitt of all share in the death of Marat and in the ness, unique, we think, even among attempt on Robespicke. Yet on calumvies so futile as those which we have his full pardon even from that rigid mentioned did Barère ground a motion at which all Christendom stood aghast. He proposed a decree that no quarter should be given to any English or Hanoverian soldier.\* His Carmagnolo hard temper, which defied or welcomed was worthy of the proposition with

either himself or his hero. One disgraceful blunder, however, we think it right to notice.

M. Hippolyte Carnot asserts that a motion similar to that of Barero was made in the English Parliament by the late Lord Fitzwilliam. This assertion is false. We defy M. Hippolyte Carnot to state the date and terms of the motion of which he speaks. We do not accuse him of intentional misrepresen-tation; but we confidently accuse him of ex-treme ignorance and temerity. Our readers will be amused to learn on what authority he ang girl, animated apparently by the wind beaming to learn on what authorny her it of Charlotte Corday, attempted quotes, not the Journals of the Lords, hot the bottain an interview with Robespierry. Picions arose; she was searched; of the Executive Directory to the Five Hundred, a message, too, the whole meaning of the River and the will be the will b

\* M. Hippolyte Carnot does his best to ex-

which it concluded. "That one Eng- | the Convention with another Carmaglishman should be spared, that for the nole. slaves of George, for the human machines of York, the vocabulary of our division in red uniform at a distance. generosity, this is what the National bayonet. Not one of them escapes the death against every English soldier. If red-coats have been killed. No mercy, last year, at Dunkirk, quarter had been no indulgence, has been shown towards refused to them when they asked it on the villains. Not an Englishman whom their knees, if our troops had extermi- the Republicans could reach is now nated them all, instead of suffering living. How many prisoners should you them to infest our fortresses by their guess that we have made? One single presence, the English Government prisoner is the result of this great day." would not have renewed its attack on return to the servile soil of Great them a lesson in the art of war."

and silenced, acquiesced in Barère's perish," he cried; "I have already said motion without debate. And now at it from this tribune. It is only the last the doors of the Jacobin Club were dead man who never comes back. thrown open to the disciple who had Kings will not conspire against us in surpassed his masters. He was ad- the grave. Armics will not fight against mitted a member by acclamation, and us when they are annihilated. Let our was soon selected to preside.

tween some French and English troops, been made. Such things happen occa- the galleries laughed again. sionally in all wars. Barère, however, If Barère had been able to effect his attributed the ferocity of this combat purpose, it is difficult to estimate the

"The Republicans," he said, "saw a armies should contain such a word as The red-conts are attacked with the Convention cannot endure. War to the blows of the Republicans. All the

And now this bad man's craving for our frontiers this year. It is only the blood had become insatiable. The more dead man who never comes back. What he quaffed, the more he thirsted. He is this moral pestilence which has in- had begun with the English; but soon troduced into our armies false ideas of he came down with a proposition for humanity? That the English were to new massacres. "All the troops," he be treated with indulgence was the said, "of the coalesced tyrants in garphilanthropic notion of the Brissotines; rison at Condé, Valenciennes, Le Quesit was the patriotic practice of Dumou- noy, and Landrecies, ought to be put to rier. But humanity consists in exter- the sword unless they surrender at disminating our enemies. No mercy to cretion in twenty-four hours. The Engthe execrable Englishman. Such are lish, of course, will be admitted to no the sentiments of the true Frenchman; capitulation whatever. With the Engfor he knows that he belongs to a nation lish we have no treaty but death. As revolutionary as nature, powerful as to the rest, surrender at discretion in freedom, ardent as the saltpetre which twenty-four hours, or death, these are she has just tern from the entrails of our conditions. If the slaves resist, let the earth. Soldiers of liberty, when them feel the edge of the sword." And victory places Englishmen at your then he waxed facetious. "On these mercy, strike! None of them must terms the Republic is willing to give Britain; none must pollute the free that jest, some hearers, worthy of such soil of France."

a speaker, set up a laugh. Then he The Convention, thoroughly tamed became serious again. "Lef the enemy war with them be a war of extermina-For a time he was not without hope tion. What pity is due to slaves whom that his decree would be carried into the Emperor leads to war under the full effect. Intelligence arrived from cane; whom the King of Prussia beats the seat of war of a sharp contest be- to the shambles with the flat of the sword; and whom the Duke of York in which the Republicans had the ad- makes drunk with rum and gin?" And vantage, and in which no prisoners had at the rum and gin the Mountain and

to his darling decree, and entertained extent of the calamity which he would

could, in justice to its own subjects, have giren quarter to enemies who years, respect for the weak and clegave none. Retaliation would have been, not merely justifiable, but a sacred duty. It would have been necessary for Howe and Nelson to make every French sailor whom they took walk the plank. England has no peculiar reason to dread the introduction of such a system. On the contrary, the operation of Barère's new law of war would have been more unfavourable to his countrymen than to ours, for we believe that, from the beginning to the end of the war, there never was a time at which the number of French prisoners in England was not greater than the number of English prisoners in France; and so, we apprehend, it will be in all wars while England retains her maritime superiority. Had the murderous decree of the Convention been in force from 1794 to 1815, we are satisfied that, for every Englishman slain by the French, at least three Frenchmen would have been put to the sword by the English. It is, therefore, not as Englishmen, but as members of the great society of mankind, that we speak with indignation and horzor of the change which Barere attempted to introduce. The mere slaughter would have been the smallest part of the evil. The butchering of a single unarmed man in cold blood, under an act of the legislature, would have produced more evil than the carnage of ten such fields as Albuera. Public law would have been subverted from the foundations: national enmities would have been inflamed to a degree of rage which happily it is not easy for us to conceive; cordial peace would have been impossible. The moral character of the European nations would have been rapidly and deeply corrupted; for in all counthese these men whose calling is to put and eat him too, like savages as they their lifes in jeopardy for the defence are." This was the sentiment of the of the rightic weal enjoy high considera- whole army. Bonaparte, who thoof the mild weal enjoy high considered who were who at Laffa tion, and are considered as the best roughly understood war, who at Laffa arbitrators on points of honour and and elsewhere gave ample proof that he manly bearing.

have brought on the human race. No rality must to a great extent sink or government, however averse to cruelty, rise. It is, therefore, a fortunate circumstance that, during a long course of mency towards the vanquished have been considered as qualities not less essential to the accomplished soldier than personal courage. How long would this continue to be the case, if the slaying of prisoners were a part of the daily duty of the warrior? What man of kind and generous nature would, under such a system, willingly bear arms? Who, that was compelled to bear arms, would long continue kind and generous? And is it not certain that, if barbarity towards the helpless became the characteristic of military men, the taint must rapidly spread to civil and to domestic life, and must show itself in all the dealings of the strong with the weak, of husbands with wives, of employers with workmen, of creditors with debtors?

But, thank God, Barère's decree was a mere dead letter. It was to be executed by men very different from those who, in the interior of France, were the instruments of the Committee of Public Safety, who prated at Jacobin Clubs, and ran to Fenquier Tinville with charges of incivism against women whom they could not seduce, and bankers from whom they could not extort money. The warriors who, under Hoche, had guarded the walls of Dunkirk, and who, under Kléber, had made good the detence of the wood of Monceaux, shrank with horror from an office more degrading than that of the hangman. "The Convention," said an officer to his men, "has sent orders that all the English prisoners shall be shot." "We will not shoot them," answered a stout-hearted sergeant. "Send them to the Convention. If the deputies take pleasure in killing a prisoner, they may kill him themselves, many) bearing. With the standard of was not unwilling to strain the laws of interesting the strain the laws of interesting the strain the laws of his standard of months and the standard of was not unwilling to strain the laws of the standard of was not unwilling to strain the laws of the standard of was not unwilling to strain the laws of the standard of was not unwilling to strain the laws of the standard of was not unwilling to strain the laws of the standard of was not unwilling to strain the laws of the standard o always spoke of Barère's decree with nees and on the Sambre. Brussels had had refused to obey the Convention.

Such disobedience on the part of any instantly punished by wholesale maswhich had tamed the unwarlike popuscores out of a boat, and, when they might prove a little dangerous if tried singed by the smoke of Fleurus.

murdering the English and the Hano- Jacobins. became less and less, and reached the flict was death. full height when there was no longer the guillotine of the capital. In the I would blow my brains out at once."

loathing, and boasted that the army fallen. Prussia had announced her intention of withdrawing from the contest. The Republic, no longer content with other class of citizens would have been defending her own independence, was beginning to meditate conquest beyond sacro; but the Committee of Public the Alps and the Rhine. She was now Safety was aware that the discipline more formidable to her neighbours than ever Louis the Fourteenth had been. lation of the fields and cities might not And now the Revolutionary Tribunal answer in camps. . To fling people by of Paris was not content with forty, fifty, sixty heads in a morning. It was catch hold of it, to chop off their fingers just after a series of victories, which with a hatchet, is undoubtedly a very destroyed the whole force of the single agreeable pastime for a thoroughbred argument which has been urged in de-Jacobin, when the sufferers are, as at fence of the system of terror, that the Nantes, old confessors, young girls, or Committee of Public Safety resolved women with child. But such sport to infuse into that system an energy hitherto unknown. It was proposed to upon grim ranks of grenadiers, marked reconstruct the Revolutionary Tribunal, with the scars of Hondschoote, and and to collect in the space of two pages the whole revolutionary jurisprudence. Barere, however, found some conso- Lists of twelve judges and fifty jurors lation. It he could not succeed in were made out from among the fiercest The substantive law was verians, he was amply indemnified by simply this, that whatever the tribunal a new and vast slaughter of his own should think pernicious to the republic countrymen and countrywomen. If was a capital crime. The law of evithe defence which has been set up for dence was simply this, that whatever the members of the Committee of Pul- satisfied the jurors was sufficient proof. lic Safety had been well founded, if it The law of procedure was of a piece had been true that they governed with with everything else. There was to be extreme severity only because the Re- an advocate against the prisoner, and public was in extreme peril, it is clear no advocate for him. It was expressly that the severity would have diminished declared that, if the jurors were in any as the peril diminished. But the fact manner convinced of the guilt of the is, that those cruelties for which the prisoner, they might convict him withpublic danger is made a plea became out hearing a single witness. The only more and more enormous as the danger punishment which the court could in-

Robespierre proposed this decree. any danger at all. In the autumn of When he had read it, a murmur rose 1793, there was undoubtedly reason to from the Convention. The fear which apprehend that France might be unable had long restrained the deputies from to maintain the struggle against the opposing the Committee was overcome European coalition. The enemy was by a stronger fear. Every man felt the triumphant on the frontiers. More knife at his throat. "The decree," said than half the departments disowned one, "is of grave importance. I move the authority of the Convention. But that it be printed, and that the debate at that time eight or ten necks a day be adjourned. If such a measure were were thought an ample allowance for adopted without time for consideration, suramer of 1794, Bordeaux, Toulon, The motion for adjournment was se-Caen, Lyons, Marseilles, had submitted conded. Then Barère sprang up. "It to the ascendency of Paris. The French is impossible," he said, "that there can arms were victorious under the Pyre- be any difference of opinious among us

as to a law like this, a law so favour- wretched creatures of both sexes to the able in all respects to patriots; a law Revolutionary Tribunal, or, in other which insures the speedy punishment words, to death. This report, however, of conspirators. "If there is to be an he did not dare to read to the Convenadjournment, I must insist that it shall tion himself. Another member, less not be for more than three days." The timid, was induced to farther the cruel opposition was overawed; the decree buffoonery; and the real author enwas passed; and, during the six weeks joyed in security the dismay and vexawhich followed, the havock was such tion of Robespierre. as had never been known before.

and which had, after their full, contented itself with registering in silence the decrees of the Committee of Public despair. Leaders of bold and firm been long conspicuous among the chiefs other, Collot and Billaud. Barère leaned towards these last, but only leaned towards them. As was ever blood of traitors, the dagger of Brutus. Robespierre.

The first attack which was made on Robespierre was indirect.

Barère now thought that he had done And now the evil was beyond endu- enough on one side, and that it was rance. That timid majority which had time to make his peace with the other. for a time supported the Girondists, On the seventh of Thermidor, he pronounced in the Convention a panegyric on Robespierre. "That representative of the people," he said, "enjoys a re-Safety, at length drew courage from putation for patriotism, earned by five years of exertion, and by unalterable character were not wanting, men such fidelity to the principles of indepen-as Fouche and Tallien, who, having dence and liberty." On the eighth of Thermidor, it became clear that a deof the Mountain, now found that their cisive struggle was at hand. Robcown lives, or lives still dearer to them spierre struck the first blow. He mounthan their own, were in extreme peril. ted the tribune, and uttered a long Nor could it be longer kept secret that invective on his opponents. It was there was a schism in the despotic moved that his discourse should be committee. On one side were Robe- printed; and Barère spoke for the spierre, St. Just, and Couthon; on the printing. The sense of the Convention soon appeared to be the other way; and Barère apologised for his former speech, and implored his colleagues to his fashion when a great crisis was at abstain from disputes which could be hand, he fawned alternately on both agreeable only to Pitt and York. On parties, struck alternately at both, and the next day, the ever-memorable ninth held himself in readiness to chant the of Thermidor, came the real tug of war. praises or to sign the death-warrant of Tallien, bravely taking his life in his either. In any event his Carmagnole hand, led the onset. Billaud followed was ready. The tree of liberty, the and then all that infinite hatred which had long been kept down by terror the guineas of perfidious Albion, would burst forth, and swept every barrier do equally well for Billaud and for before it. When at length the voice of Robespierre, drowned by the President's bell, and by shouts of "Down An old with the tyrant!" had died away in woman named Catherine Théot, half hoarse gasping, Barère rose. He bemaniac, half impostor, was protected gan with timid and doubtful phrases, by him, and exercised a stringe in- watched the effect of every word he fluence over his mind; for he was uttered, and, when the feeling of the naturally prone to superstition, and, Assembly had been unequivocally manihaving abjured the faith in which he fested, declared against Robespierre. had been brought up, was looking about But it was not till the people out of for something to believe. Barère drew doors, and especially the gunners of up a report against Catherine, which Paris, had espoused the cause of the Concontained many facetious conceits, and wention, that Barere felt quite at ease. ended, as might be expected, with a Then he sprang to the tribune, poured astion for sending her and some other forth a Carmagnole about Pisistratus

and Catiline, and concluded by moving multitude as Robespierre. He is 1ethat the heads of Robespierre and Ro-bespierre's accomplices should be cut envious, malevolent zealot, but as the the vanquished members of the Com- not by him that the system of terror mittee of Public Safety and their prin- was carried to the last extreme. The cipal adherents suffered death. It was most horrible days in the history of the menced his career of slaughter by mov- those which immediately preceded the ing the proscription of his old allies the uinth of Thermidor. Robespierre had Girondists. We greatly doubt whether then ceased to attend the meetings of any human being has ever succeeded the sovereign Committee; and the diin packing more wickedness into the rection of affairs was really in the hands space of three hundred and sixty-five of Billaud, of Collot, and of Barère. days.

The pleasure.

is selected, and often selected very in- all things," he cried,

off without a trial. The motion was incarnation of Terror, as Jacobinism On the following morning personified. The truth is, that it was vactly one year since Barère had com- Revolutionary Tribunal of Paris were

It had never occurred to those three nth of Thermidor is one of tyrants that, in overthrowing Robethe great epochs in the history of Eu- spierre, they were overthrowing that rope It is true that the three members system of terror to which they were of the Committee of Public Safety who more attached than he had ever been. triumphed were by no means better men Their object was to go on slaying even than the three who fell. Indeed, we more mercilessly than before. But they are inclined to think that of these six had misunderstood the nature of the statesmen the least bad were Robe-spierre and Saint Just, whose cruelty The yoke of the Committee was broken was the effect of sincere fanuticism for ever. The Convention had regained operating on nurrow understandings its liberty, had tried its strength, had and acrimonious tempers The worst vanquished and punished its enemies, of the six was, beyond all doubt, A great reaction had commenced. Barere, who had no faith in any-part Twenty-four hours after Robespierre of the system which he upheld by per- had ceased to live, it was moved and secution; who, while he sent his fellew- carried, amidst loud bursts of applause, creatures to death for being the third, that the sittings of the Revolutionary cousins of royalists, had not in the least Tribunal should be suspended. Billaud mide up his mind that a republic was was not at that moment present. He better than a monarchy; who, while he entered the hall soon after, learned with slow his old friends for federalism, was indignation what had passed, and moved himself far more a federalist than any that the vote should be rescinded. But of them; who had become a murderer loud cries or "No, no!" rose from those merely for his safety, and who con- benches which had lately paid muto tinued to be a murderer merely for his obedience to his commands. Barèro came forward on the same day, and The tendency of the vulgar is to adjured the Convention not to relax embody everything. Some individual the system of terror. Beware, above of that fatal judiciously, as the representative of moderation which talks of peace and every great movement of the public of clemency. Let aristocracy know, mind, of every great revolution in that here she will find only enemies human affairs: and on this individual sternly bent on vengeance, and judges are concentrated all the love and all who have no pity." But the day of the the hatred, all the admiration and all Carmagnoles was over: the restraint of the contempt, which he ought rightfully fear had been relaxed; and the hatred to share with a whole party, a whole with which the nation regarded the sect, a whole nation, a whole generations Jacobin dominion broke forth with un-Perhaps no human being has suffered governable violence. Not more strongly so much from this propensity of the did the tide of public opinion run Bastile, than it now ran against the tions, the revolution of the ninth of tyranny of the Mcuntain. From every Thermidor. dungeon the prisoners came forth, as suppressed without resistance. The of death and torror, humanity, the Cæsars. ened by the remembrance of all the laud, and Barère. tender and all the sublime virtues which women, delicately bred and re- vices, appear to have been men of resoputed frivolous, had displayed during lute natures. They made no submisthe evil days. Refined manners, chiv- sion; but opposed to the hatred of allows sentiments, followed in the train mankind, at first a fierce resistance. of love. The dawn of the Arctic sum- and afterwards a dogged and sullen mer day after the Arctic winter night, endurance. Barère, on the other hand, the great unscaling of the waters, the as soon as he began to understand, the awakening of animal and vegetable real nature of the revolution of Ther life, the sudden softening of the air, midor, attempted to abandon the Mounthe sudden blooming of the flowers, tain, and to obtain admission among the sudden bursting of old forests into his old friends of the moderate party.

against the old monarchy and aristo-verdure, is but a feeble type of that cracy, at the time of the taking of the happiest and most genial of revolu-

But, in the midst of the revival of they had gone in, by hundreds. The all kind and generous sentiments, there decree which forbade the soldiers of was one portion of the community the republic to give quarter to the against which mercy itself seemed to English was repealed by an unanimous cry out for vengeance. The chiefs of vote, amidst loud acclamations; nor, the late government and their tools passed as it was, disobeyed as it was, were now never named but as the men and rescinded as it was, can it be with of blood, the drinkers of blood, the justice considered as a blemish on the cannibals. In some parts of France, fame of the French nation. The Ja- where the creatures of the Mountain cobin Club was refractory. It was had acted with peculiar barbarity, the populace took the law into its own surviving Girondist deputies, who had hands and meted out justice to the concealed themselves from the ven- Jacobins with the true Jacobin meageance of their enemies in caverns and sure; but at Paris the punishments garrets, were readmitted to their seats were inflicted with order and decency, in the Convention. No day passed and were few when compared with the without some signal reparation of in- number, and lenient when compared justice; no street in Paris was without with the enormity, of the crimes. Soon some trace of the recent change. In after the ninth of Thermidor, two of the theatre, the bust of Marat was the vilost of mankind, Fouquier Tinville, pulled down from its pedestal and whom Barère had placed at the Revobroken in pieces, amidst the applause lutionary Tribunal, and Lebon, whom of the audience. His carcass was Barère had defended in the Convention, ejected from the Pantheon. The cele- were placed under arrest. A third brated picture of his death, which had miscreant soon shared their fate, Carhung in the hall of the Convention, rier, the tyrant of Nantes. The trials was removed. The savage inscriptions of these men brought to light horrors with which the walls of the city had surpassing anything that Suctonius and been covered disappeared; and, in place Lampridius have related of the worst But it was impossible to watchword of the new rulers, was punish subordinate agents who, bad as everywhere to be seen. In the mean- they were, had only acted in accordtime, the gay spirit of France recently ance with the spirit of the government subdued by expression, and now clated which they served, and, at the same by the joy of a great deliverance, wan- time, to grant impunity to the heads of toned in a thousand forms. Art, taste, the wicked administration. A cry was luxury, revived. Female beauty re-raised, both within and without the gained its empire—an empire strength- Convention, for justice on Collot, Bil-

Collot and Billaud, with all their

He declared everywhere that he had he had always condemned and lamente deputies had been treated. He now preached mercy from that tribune from which he had recently presched exter-minution. "The time," he said, "has indulged without danger. We may now safely consider temporary impri- had ever been violated. sonment as an adequate punishment since he had crased to send men and women to the guillotine of Paris, at the rate of three hundred a week. He now wished to make his peace with the moderate party at the expense of the Terrorists, as he had, a year before, made his peace with the Terrorists at the expense of the moderate party. But he was disappointed. He had left himself no retreat. His face, his voice, his rants, his jokes, had become hateful to the Convention. When he spoke ter reflections were daily cast on his cowardice and perfidy. On one occaa victory, and so far forgot the gravity of his character as to indulge in the sort of oratory which Barère had affected on similar occasions. He was interrupted by cries of "No more Carmagnoles!" "No more of Barère's puns!"

At length, five months after the revolution of Thermidor, the Convention resolved that a committee of twenty-one members should be appointed to examine into the conduct of Billaud, Collot, and Barère. In some weeks the report was made. From that report we learn that a paper had been discovered, signed by Barère, and containing a proposition for adding the last improvement to the system of terror. Antoine rose, menaced the deputies, France was to be divided into circuits; and demanded with loud cries the libeitinerant revolutionary tribunals, com- ration of the persecuted patriots. But posed of trusty Jacobins, were to move the Convention was no longer such as from department to department; and the "it had been, when similar means were guillotine was to travel in their train. employed too successfully spainst the

Barère, in his defence, insisted that never been in favour of severe mea- no speech or motion which he had sures; that he was a Girondist: that made in the Convention could, without a violation of the freedom of debate, be the manner in which the Brissotine treated as a crime. He was asked how he could resort to such a mode of defence, after putting to death so many deputies on account of opinions expressed in the Convention. He had come at which our clemency may be nothing to say, but that it was much to be regretted that the sound principle

He arrogated to himself a large share for political misdemeanours." It was of the merit of the revolution in Theronly a fortnight since, from the same midor. The men who had risked their place, he had declaimed against the lives to effect that revolution, and who moderation which dared even to talk knew that, if they had failed, Barère of clemency; it was only a fortnight would, in all probability, have moved the decree for beheading them without a trial, and have drawn up a proclamation announcing their guilt and their punishment to all France, were by no means disposed to acquiesce in his claims. He was reminded that, only forty-eight hours before the decisive onflict, he had, in the tribune, been profuse of adulation to Robespierre. His answer to this reproach is worthy of himself. "It was necessary," he said, "to dissemble. It was necessary he was interrupted by murmurs. Bit- to flatter Robespierre's vanity, and, by pancgyric, to impel him to the attack. This was the motive which induced me sion Carnot rose to give an account of to load him with those praises of which you complain. Who ever blamed Bruus for dissembling with Tarquin?"

The accused triumvirs had only one chance of escaping punishment. There was severe distress at that moment imong the working people of the capi-This distress the Jacobins attrial. buted to the reaction of Thermidor, to he lenity with which the aristocrats were now treated, and to the measures which had been adopted against the hiefs of the late administration. Nohing is too absurd to be believed by a populace which has not breakfasted, nd which does not know how it issto line. The rabble of the Faubourg St.

Girondists. Its spirit was roused. Its strength had been proved. Military fered cruelly from the Jacobin tyranny, means were at its command. The tu-the three deputies were surrounded by mult was suppressed: and it was de- a mob bent on tearing them to pieces. creed that same evening that Collot, All the national guards of the neigh-Billaud, and Barere should instantly bourhood were assembled; and this finement.

The next day the order of the Convention was executed. The account Blois. which Barère has given of his journey

he was hated and despised.

flight of steps before the church of St Roch stood rows of eager spectators. conducted at his own request to a pub- ing wheels. lic office, where he hoped that he might find shelter till the crowd should disperse. In the meantime, another disfrom taking this course.

It was now night; and the streets safety to Rochelle. gradually became quiet. The clock stood there. In one of them was Billand, soldier. rère. Collot was already on the road. and soon after their arrival they were

At Orleans, a city which had sufbe removed to a distant place of con- force was not greater than the emergency required; for the multitude pursued the carriages far on the road to

At Amboise the prisoners learned that is the most interesting and the most Tours was ready to receive them. The trustworthy part of these Memoirs. stately bridge was occupied by a throng There is no witness so infamous that a of people, who swore that the men under court of justice will not take his word whose rule the Loire had been choked against himself; and even Barere may with corpses should have full personal be believed when he tells us how much experience of the nature of a noyade. In consequence of this news, the offi-The carriage in which he was to cers who had charge of the criminals travel passed, surrounded by armed made such arrangements that the carmen, along the street of St. Honoré. A riages reached Tours at two in the crowd soon gathered round it and in-morning, and drove straight to the creased every moment. On the long post-house. Fresh fibrees were instantly ordered; and the travellers started again at full gallop. They had It was with difficulty that the coach in truth not a moment to lose; for the could make its way through those who alarm had been given; lights were hung upon it, hooting, cursing, and seen in motion; and the yells of a great striving to burst the doors. Barère multitude, disappointed of its revenge, thought his life in danger, and was mingled with the sound of the depart-

At Poitiers there was another narrow escape. As the prisoners quitted the post-house, they saw the whole popucussion on his fate took place in the lation pouring in fury down the steep Convention. It was proposed to deal declivity on which the city is built. with him as he had dealt with better They passed near Niort, but could not men, to put him out of the pale of the venture to enter it. The inhabitants law, and to deliver him at once without came forth with threatening aspect, any trial to the headsman. But the and vehemently cried to the postillions humanity which, since the ninth of to stop; but the postillions urged the Thermidor, had generally directed the horses to full speed, and soon left the public councils restrained the deputies town behind. Through such dangers the men of blood were brought in

Oleron was the place of their destistruck twelve; and Farere, under a nation, a dreary island beaten by the strong guard, again set forth on his raging waves of the Bay of Bucay. He was conducted over the The prisoners were confined in the casriver to the place where the Orleans tle; each had a single chamber, at the road branches off from the southern door of which a guard was placed; and Two travelling carriages each was allowed the ration of a single They were not allowed to attended by two officers; in the other communicate either with the garrison wo more officers were waiting to receive or with the population of the island;

drilled.

They had not been long in this situation when news came that the Jacobins of Paris had made a last attempt to regain ascendency in the state, that the by a furious crowd, that one of the deof the logislature had not been ashamed much blood had been shed in the streets to join the rioters. But troops had ar- of Paris. The vigilance of the public the inhabitants of the disaffected quar-rigour with which the Jacobins had ters of the capital had been disarmed, lately been treated was somewhat rethe guilty deputies had suffered the laxed. The Convention, indeed, again just punishmen of their treason; and resolved that Barère should be sent to the power of the Mountain was broken Guiana. But this decree was not carfor ever. These events strengthened ried into effect. The prisoner, probathe aversion with which the system of bly with the connivance of some powerterror and the authors of that system ful persons, made his escape from were regarded. One member of the Saintes and fled to Bordeaux, where he Convention had moved that the three remained in concealment during some prisoners of Oleron should be put to years. There seems to have been a death; another that they should be kind of understanding between him and brought back to Paris, and tried by a the government, that, as long as he hid council of war. These propositions himself, he should not be found, but were rejected. But something was conthat, if he obtruded himself on the ceded to the party which called for public eye, he must take the conseseverity. A vessel which had been quences of his rashness. fitted out with great expedition at Rochefort touched at Oleron; and it its Executive Directory, its Council of was announced to Collot and Billaud Elders, and its Council of Five Hunthat they must instantly go on board. dred, was in operation, he continued to They were forthwith conveyed to live under the ban of the law. It was Guiana, where Collot soon drank himself to death with brandy. Billaud moments when the politics of the lived many years, shunning his fellow- Mountain seemed to be again in the creatures and shunned by thom; and ascendant, a remission of the sentence diverted his lonely hours by teaching pronounced by the Convention. Even parrots to talk. Why a distinction was his fellow-regigides, even the authors made between Barero and his compa- of the slaughter of Vendémiaire and of nions in guilt, neither he nor any other the arrests of Fructidor, were ashaned writer, as far as we know, has explained. of him. It does not appear that the distinction was meant to be at all in his favour; cape from prison, his name was again for orders soon arrived from Paris, that brought before the world. In his own he should be brought to trial for his province he still retained some of his crimes before the criminal court of the early popularity. He had, indeed, department of the Upper Charents. never been in that province

denied the indulgence of walking on He was accordingly brought back to the ramparts. The only place where the continent, and confined during some they were suffered to take exercise was months at Saintes, in an old convent the esplanade where the troops were which had lately been turned into a ∍jail.

While he lingered here, the reaction which had followed the great crisis of Thermidor met with a temporary check. The friends of the house of Bourbon, hall of the Convention had been forced presuming on the indulgence with which they had been treated after the full of puties had been murdered and his head Robespierre, not only ventured to avow fixed on a pike, that the life of the their opinions with little disguise, but President had been for a time in immi- at length took arms against the Connent danger, and that some members vention, and were not put down till rived in time to prevent a massacre. authorities was therefore now directed The insurgents had been put to flight; chiefly against the Royalists; and the

While the constitution of 1795, with

vain that he solicited.

About eighteen months after his es-

downfall of the monarchy. The moun- of his friend Lebon, described as sub-All France was amazed to learn back into the city. still at large.

He tried to make his peace with the Lirectory, by writing a bulky libel on almost broke his heart; that he could England, entitled, The Liberty of the not bear to see France again subject to Seas. He seems to have confidently a master; and that, if the representaexpected that this work would produce tives had been worthy of that honour-Barere is to be believed, of the villainy handsome copy of the essay on The of Mr. Pitt, who bribed the Directory Liberty of the Seas. to order the Reviewers not to notice greatness of perfidious Albion.

to him what he had, in his defence were disposed to support his govern-

taineers of Gascony were far removed stantial justice under forms a little from the seat of government, and were harsh. It was necessary for him to but imperfectly informed of what passed disguise himself in clothes such as there. They knew that their country- were worn by the carpenters of the man had played an important part, and dock. In this garb, with a bundle of that he had on some occasions promoted wood shavings under his arm, he made their local interests; and they stood by his escape into the vineyards which him in his adversity and in his disgrace surround the city, lurked during some with a constancy which presents a sin- days in a peasant's hut, and, when the gular contrast to his own abject fickle- dreaded anniversary was over, stole A few months that the department of the Upper later he was again in danger. He now Pyrences had chosen the proscribed thought that he should be nowhere so tyrant a member of the Council of safe as in the neighbourhood of Paris. Five Hundred. The council, which, He quitted Bordeaux, hastened undelike our House of Commons, was the tected through those towns where four judge of the election of its own mem- years before his life had been in ex-bers, refused to admit him. When his treme danger, passed through the capiname was read from the roll, a cry tal in the morning twilight, when none of indignation rose from the benches. were in the streets except shop-boys "Which of you," exclaimed one of the taking down the shutters, and arrived members, "would sit by the side of safe at the pleasant vinage of St. Ouen such a monster?" "Not I, not I!" on the Seine. Here he remained in answered a crowd of voices. One seclusion during some months. In the deputy declared that he would vacate meantime Bonaparte returned from his seat if the hall were polluted by Egypt, placed himself at the head of the presence of such a wretch. The a coalition of discontented parties, election was declared null on the covered his designs with the authority ground that the person elected was a of the Elders, drove the Five Hundred criminal skulking from justice; and out of their hall at the point of the many severe reflections were thrown bayonet, and became absolute monarch on the lenity which suffered him to be of France under the name of First Consul.

Barère assures us that these events a great effect. He printed three thou- able name, they would have arrested sand copies, and in order to defray the the ambitious general who insulted expense of publication, sold one of his them. There feelings, however, did farms for the sum of ten thousand not prevent him from soliciting the francs. The book came out; but no-protection of the new government, and body bought it, in consequence, if from sending to the First Consul a

The policy of Bonaparte was to cover so formidable an attack on the maritime all the past with a general oblivion. He belonged half to the Revolution and half Barère had been about three years at to the reaction. He was an upstart and Bordenux when he received intelligence a sovereign; and had therefore somethat the mob of the town designed him thing in common with the Jacobin, and the honour of a visit on the ninth of something in common with the Royalist. nider, and would probably admin- All, whether Jacobins or Royalists, who

Reign of Terror, and men who had the Council of Five Hundred. ter of France.

was offered and what was demanded.

would have been wholly inconsistent furnish such information than Barère. with his policy; but, of all the classes | For these reasons the First Consul

ment, were readily received—all, whe- of men whom his comprehensive system ther Jacobins or Royalists, who showed included, he liked them the least; and hostility to his government, were put Barère was the worst of them. This down and punished. Men who had wretch had been branded with infamy, borne a part in the worst crimes of the first by the Convention, and then by fought in the army of Conde, were to inhabitants of four or five great cities be found close together, both in his had attempted to tear him limb from antechambers and in his dungeons. He limb. Nor were his vices redeemed by decorated Fouché and Maury with the eminent talents for administration or lesame cross. He sent Aréna and Georges gislation. It would be unwise to place Carloudal to the same scaffold. From a in any honourable or important post a government acting on such principles man so wicked, so odious, and so little Barère easily obtained the indulgence qualified to discharge high political which the Directory had constantly duties. At the same time, there was a refused to grant. The sentence passed way in which it seemed likely that he by the Convention was remitted; and might be of use to the government. he was allowed to reside at Paris. His The First Consul, as he afterwards pardon, it is true, was not granted in acknowledged, greatly overrated Bathe most honourable form; and he re- rere's powers as a writer. The effect mained, during some time, under the which the Reports of the Committee special supervision of the police. He of Public Safety had produced by the hastened, however, to pay his court at camp fires of the Republican armics the Luxemburg palace, where Bonaparte had been great. Napoleon himself. then resided, and was honoured with a when a young soldier, had been de-few dry and careless words by the mas-lighted by those compositions, which had much in common with the rhapso-Here begins a new chapter of Barere's dies of his favourite poet, Macpherson. history. What passed between him and The taste, indeed, of the great warrior the Consular government cannot, of and statesman was never very pure. course, be so accurately known to us as His bulletins, his general orders, and the speeches and reports which he made his proclamations, are sometimes, it is in the Convention. It is, however, not true, masterpieces in their kind; but difficult, from notorious facts, and from we too often detect, even in his best the admissions scattered over these lying writing, traces of Fingal, and of the Memoirs, to form a tolerably accurate Carmagnoles. It is not strange, therenotion of what took place. Bonaparte fore, that he should have been desirous wanted to buy Barère: Barère wanted to secure the aid of Barère's pen. Nor to sell himself to Bonaparte. The only was this the only kind of assistance question was one of price; and there which the old member of the Comwas an immense interval between what mittee of Public Safety might render to the Consular government. He was is offered and what was demanded. to the Consular government. He was Bonaparte, whose vehemence of will, likely to find admission into the gloomy fixedness of purpose, and reliance on dens in which those Jacobins whose his own genius were not only great but constancy was to be overcome by no extravagant, looked with scorn on the reverse, or whose crimes admitted of most effeminate and dependent of hu- no expiation, hid themselves from the man minds. He was quite capable of curses of mankind. No enterprise was perpetrating crimes under the influence too bold or too atrocious for miffds either of ambition or of revenge: but crazed by fanaticism, and familiar with he had no touch of that accursed mono- misery and death. The government mania, that craving for blood and tears, was anxious to have information of which raged in some of the Jacobin what passed in their secret councils; To proscribe the Terrorists and no man was better qualified to

was disposed to employ Barère as a made tribunes and legislators, ambasat Cadız. runners.

"Virtue, I grant you, is an empty boast; But shall the dignity of vice be lost?"

So sang Pope; and so felt Barère. When it was proposed to him to publish a journal in defence of the Consular government, rage and shame inspired Those statesmen were required, indeed, gyrics on Bonaparte. to make large sacrifices of principle; but they were not called on to sacrifice not on what grounds, that Barbre was what, in the opinion of the vulgar, con- employed by the government not only stitutes personal dignity. They were as a writer, but as a censor of the

writer and as a spy. But Barere-was sadors and counsellors of state, minisit possible that he would submit to ters senators, and consuls. They might such a degradation? Bad as he was, reasonably expect to rise with the rishe had blayed a great part. He had ing fortunes of their master; and, in belonged to that class of criminals who truth, many of them were destined to filled the world with the renown of wear the badge of his Legion of Hotheir crimes; he had been one of a ca- nour and of his order of the Iron binet which had ruled France with ab- Crown; to be arch-chancellors and solute power, and made war on all arch-treasurers, counts, dukes, and Europe with signal success. Nay, he princes. Barère, only six years before, had been, though not the most power- had been far more powerful, far more ful, yet, with the single exception of widely renowned, than any of them; Robespierre, the most conspicuous and now, while they were thought member of that cabinet. His name worthy to represent the majesty of had been a household word at Moscow France at foreign courts, while they and at Philadelphia, at Edinburgh and received crowds of suitors in gilded The blood of the Queen of antechambers, he was to pass his life France, the blood of the greatest ora- in measuring paragraphs, and scolding tors and philosophers of France, was correctors of the press. It was too on his hands. He had spoken; and much. Those lips which had never it had been decreed that the plough before been able to fashion themselves should pass over the great city of to a No, now murmured expostulation Lyons. He had spoken again; and it and refusal. "I could not"-these had been decreed that the streets of are his own words -"abase myself to Toulon should be razed to the ground. such a point as to serve the First Con-When depravity is placed so high as sul merely in the capacity of a journalhis, the hatred which it inspires is ist, while so many insignificant, low, mingled with awe. His place was and servile people, such as the Trealwith great tyrants, with Critias and hards, the Rederers, the Lebruns, the Sylla, with Eccelino and Borgia; not Marets, and others whom it is superwith hireling scribblers and police fluous to name, held file first place in tlks government of upstarts."

This outbreak of spirit was of short Napoleon was inexorable. duration. It is said indeed that he was, for a moment, half inclined to admit Barcre into the Council of State; but the members of that body remonstrated in the strongest terms, and declared that such him for the first and last time with a nomination would be a disgrace to something like courage. He had filled them all. This plan was therefore reas large a space in the eyes of mankind linquished. "Thenceforth Barère's only as Mr. Pitt or General Washington; chance of obtaining the patronage of and he was coolly invited to descend the government was to subdue his at once to the level of Mr. Lewis Gold- pride, to forget that there had been a smith. He saw, too, with agonies of time when, with three words, he might envy, that a wide distinction was made have had the heads of the three conbetween himself and the other states- suls, and to betake himself, humbly men of the Revolution who were sum- and industriously, to the task of commoned to the aid of the government. posing lampoons on England and pane-

It has been often asserted, we know

writings of other men. This imputaagree with us in thinking that his denial leaves the question exactly where it was.

Thus much is certain, that he was not restrained from exercising the office of censor by any scraple of conscience or honour; for he did accept an office, compared with which that of censor. odious as it is, may be called an august and beneficent magistracy. He began to have what are delicately called relations with the police. We are not sure that we have formed, or that we can convey, an exact notion of the nature of Barere's new calling. It is a calling unknown in our country. It has indeed has been revealed to the government by one of the conspirators. The informer has sometimes been directed to carry it fair towards his accomplices, and to let the evil design come to full maturity. As soon as his work is done, he is generally snatched from the public gaze, and sent to some obscure village or to some remote colony. The use of spics, even to this extent, is in the highest degree unpopular in England; but a political spy by profession is a creature from which our island in as free as it is from wolves. In France the race is well known, and was never more numerous, more greedy, more cunning, or more savage, than under the government of Bonaparte.

Our idea of a gentleman in relations may perhaps be incorrect. Such as it is, we will try to convey it to our readers. We image to ourselves a wellaffable manners. himself, but a little stronger. He often indignation, that what passes in private that he is not prefect of a department.

In a gallery of the Palais Royal he tion he vehemently denies in his Me- overhears two friends talking earnestly moirs; but our readers will probably about the king and the Count of Artois. He follows them into a coffee-house, sits at the table next to them, calls for his half-dish and his small glass of cognac, takes up a journal, and seems occupied with the news. His neighbours go on talking without restraint, and in the style of persons warmly attached to the exiled family. They depart; and he follows them half round the boulevards till he fairly tracks them to their apartments, and learns their names from the porters. From that day every letter addressed to either of them is sent from the post-office to the police, and opened. Their corresponoften happened in England that a plot dents become known to the government, and are carefully watched. Six or eight honest families, in different parts of France, find themselves at once under the frown of power without being able to guess what offence they have given. One person is dismissed from

public office; another learns with dismay that his promising son has been turned out of the Polytechnic school.

Next, the indefatigable servant of he state falls in with an old republican, who has not changed with the times, who regrets the red cap and the ree of liberty, who has not unlearned the Thee and Thou, and who still subscribes his letters with "Health and Fraternity." Into the ears of this sturdy politician our friend pours forth a long series of complaints. What evil with the Consular and Imperial police times! What a change since the days when the Mountain governed France! What is the First Consul but a king under a new name? What is this dressed person, with a soft voice and Legion of Honour but a new aristo-His opinions are cracy? The old superstition is revivthose of the society in which he finds ing with the old tyranny. There is a treaty with the Pope, and a provision complains, in the language of honest for the clergy. Emigrant nobles are returning in crowds, and are better reconversation finds its way strangely to ceived at the Tuileries than the men of the government, and cautions his asso- the 10th of August. This cannot last. ciates to take care what they say when What is life without liberty? What they are not sure of their company. As terrors has death to the true patriot? for himself, he owns that he is indis- The old Jacobin catches fire, bestows creet. He can never refrain from speak- and receives the fraternal hug, and ing his mind; and that is the reason hints that there will soon be great news, and that the breed of Harmodius . and Brutus is not quite extinct. The that the member of the Committee of government.

ninth, that Robestierre should be bepublican throats to the guillotine.

was a Gascon named Demerville, who he received a letter which deserves to had been employed in an office of high be inserted. It was from Duroc, who trust under the Committee of Public is well known to have enjoyed a large Safety. This man was fanatically at- share of Napoleon's confidence and fatached to the Jacobin system of politics, your. and, in conjunction with other enthusi- g "The First Consul, having been informed asts of the same class, formed a design against the First Consul. A hint of this design escaped him in conversation with Barère. Barère carried the intelligence to Lannes, who commanded the Consular Guards. Demerville was arrested, tried, and beheaded; and among the witnesses who appeared against him was his friend Barère.

The account which Barère has given of these transactions is studiously confused and grossly dishonest. We think however, that we can discern, through much falsehood and much artful obscurity, some truths which he labours to concept. It is clear to us that the government suspected him of what the who saves a citizen. Was it possible harm in his power to the returned

next day he is close prisoner, and all Public Safety, the king-killer, the queenhis papers are in the hands of the killer, could in earnest mean to deliver 'his old confederates, his bosom friends, To this vocation, a rocation com- to the executioner, solely because they pared with which the life of a beggar, had planned an act which, if there were of a pickpocket, of a pimp, is honour- any truth in his own Carmagnoles, was able, did Barère now descend. It was in the highest degree virtuous and glo-his constant practice, as often as he en-rious? Was it not more probable that rolled himself in a new party, to pay he was really concerned in the plot, his tooting with the heads of old friends. and that the information which he gave He was at first a Royalist; and he made was merely intended to lull or to misatonement by watering the tree of li-lead the police? Accordingly, spie-berty with the blood of Louis. He was were set on the spy. He was ordered then a Girondist; and he made atone- to quit Paris, and not to come within ment by murdering Vergniaud and Gen-twenty leagues till he received further He fawned on Robespierre up orders. Nay, he ran no small risk of to the eighth of Thermidor; and he being sent, with some of his old friends, made atonement by moving, on the to Madagascar.

He made his peace, however, with headed without a trial. He was now the government so far, that he was not enlisted in the service of the new mo- only permitted, during some years, to narchy; and he proceeded to atone for live unmolested, but was employed in his republican heresies by sending re- the lowest sort of political drudgery. In the summer of 1803, while he was Among his most intimate associates preparing to visit the south of France,

that Citizen Barere is about to set out for the

country, desires that he will stay at Beris.
"Citizen Barère will every week draw up a report on the state of public opinion on the proceedings of the government, and generally on everything which, in his judgment, it will be interesting to the First Consul to learn.

"He may write with perfect freedom:
"He will deliver his reports under seal into
General Duroc's own hand, and General Duroc
will deliver them to the First Consul. But it is absolutely necessary that nobody should suspect that this species of communication takes place; and, should any such assolicion get abroad, the First Consul will cease to receive the reports of Citizen Barère.

"It will also be proper that Citizen Barrie should frequently insert in the journals articles tending to animate the public mind, particularly against the English."

During some years Barère continued Malians call a double treason? It was to discharge the functions assigned to natural that such a suspicion should him by his master. Secret reports, attach to him. He had, in times not filled with the talk of coffee-houses, very remote, zealously preached the Ja- were carried by him every week to the cobin doctrine, that he who smites a Tuileries. His friends assure us that tyrant deserves higher praise than he he took especial pains to do all the

emigrants. It was not his fault if Paris revived in all its fury. Napoleen was not apprised of every city, he says, has no sympathy with murmur and every sarcasm which old France. No Parisian cares to subscribe marquesses who had lost their estates, to a journal which dwells on the real and old clergymen who had lost their wants and interests of the country. benefices, uttered against the imperial To a Parisian nothing is so ridiculous grieve to say, is so much blinded by the capital have always been devoted party spirit that he seems to reckon to Eugland. A corporal from London this dirty wickedness among his hero's is better received among them than a titles to public esteem.

defatigable journalist and pamphleteer. of their support. He set up a paper directed against A much better explanation of the England, and called the Mémorial failure of the Mémorial was given by Antibritannique. He planned a work Bonaparte at St. Helena. "Barère, even among the crowd of flatterers by the peculiar fulsomeness of his adulacontemptible volume of Italian verses, entitled. "The Poetic Crown, composed on the glorious accession of Napoleon the First, by the Shepherds of Arcadia." He commenced a new series of Carmagnoles very different from those which had charmed the Mountain. The title of Emperor of the French, he said, was mean, Napole on ought to be Emperor of Europe. King of Italy was too humble an appellation; Napoleon's style ought to be King of Kings.

pose in both his vocations. Neither as jurisprudence and of social morality.

A writer nor as a spy was he of much But there had now been a literary as paper did not sell. While the Journal again a throne and a court, a magisdes Dibats, then flourishing under the tracy, a chivalry, and a hierarchy, so able management of Geoffroy, had a was there a revival of classical taste. circulation of at least twenty thousand Honour was again paid to the prose of copies, the Miniorial Antibritannique Pascal and Massillon, and to the verse never, in its most prosperous times, of Racine and La Fontaine. The orahad more than fifteen hundred sub- tory which had delighted the galleries scribers, and these subscribers were, of the Convention was not only as much with scarcely an exception, persons re- out of date as the language of Villesiding far from Paris, probably Gas- hardouin and Joinville, but was assocons, among whom the name of Barère ciated in the public mind with images had not yet lost its influence.

That M. Hippolyte Carnot, we as patriotism. The higher classes of French general. A journal therefore, Barère was, at the same time, an in- which attacks England has no chance

A much better explanation of the entitled, "France made great and illussial he to Barry O'Meara, "had the trious by Napoleon." When the Im-reputation of being a man of talent: perial government was established, the but I did not find him so. I employed old regicide made himself conspicuous him to write; but he did not display ability. He used many flowers of rhetoric, but no solid argument; no-He translated into French a thing but coglionerie wrapped up in

high-sounding language." The truth is that, though Barère was a man of quick parts, and could do with case what he could do at all, he had never been a good writer. In the day of his power he had been in the habit of haranguing an excitable audience on exciting topics. The faults of his style passed uncensured; for it was a time of literary as well as of civil lawlessness, and a patriot was licensed to violate the ordinary rules of compo-But Barère laboured to small pur- sition as well as the ordinary rules of He complains bitterly that his well as a civil reaction. As there was of horror. All the peculiarities of the A writer who cannot find readers Anacreon of the guillotine, his words generally attributes the public neglect unknown to the Dictionary of the to any cause rather than to the true Academy, his conceits and his jokes, one; and Barere was no exception to his Gascon idioms and his Gascon the general rule. His old hatred to hyperboles, had become as odious as

the cant of the Puritans was in Eng. of Argeles ventured to name him a canland after the Restoration.

ceased to fear them. He was all-powerful and at the height of glory; they were weak and universally abhorred. He was a sovereign; and it is probable that he already meditated a matrimonial alliance with sovereigns. He was naturally unwilling, in his new position, to hold any intercourse with the the government, personal aversion In the whole senate he had not a single might have yielded to considerations vote. of policy, but there was no motive for who had also proved a worthless writer. Bonaparte, therefore, gave loose to his feelings. Barère was not gently dropped, not sent into an honourable retirement, but spurned and scourged away like a troublesome dog. He had been in the habit of sending six copies of his journal on fine paper daily to the Instead of receiving the Tuilcries. thanks and praises which he expected, he was dryly told that the great man had ordered five copies to be sent back. Still he toiled on; still he cherished a hope that at last Napoleon would relent, and that at last some share in the much assiduity and so much obsequioussenate. On this Napoleon expressed the highest displeasure, and the president of the electoral college was directed to tell the voters, in plain terms, that such a choice would be disgraceful to the department. All thought of naming Baters a candidate for the senate was configuratly dropped. But the people

didate for the legislative body. Bonaparte, who had never loved the body was altogether destitute of weight men of the Reign of Terror, had now and dignity; it was not permitted to debate; its only function was to vote in silence for whatever the government proposed. It is not easy to understand how any man, who had sat in free and powerful deliberative assemblies, could condescend to bear a part in such a mummery. Barère, however, was desirous of a place even in this mock worst class of Jacobins. Had Barère's legislature; and a place even in this literary assistance been important to mock legislature was refused to him.

Such treatment was sufficient, it might keeping terms with a worthless man have been thought, to move the most abject of mankind to resentment. Still, however, Barère cringed and fawned on. His letters came weekly to the Tuileries till the year 1807. At length, while he was actually writing the two hundred and twenty-third of the series, a note was put into his hands. It was from Duroc, and was much more perspicuous than polite. Barère was requested to send no more of his Reports to the palace, as the Emperor was too busy to read them.

Contempt, says the Indian proverb, pierces even the shell of the tortoise. and the contempt of the Court was felt honours of the state would reward so to the quick even by the callous heart of Burere. He had humbled himself to ness. He was bitterly undeceived. Un- the dust; and he had humbled himself der the Imperial constitution the elec- in vain. Having been eminent among toral colleges of the departments did the rulers of a great and victorious not possess the right of choosing sens- state, he had stooped to serve a master tors or deputies, but merely that of in the vilest capacities; and he had presenting candidates. From among been told that, even in those capacities, these candidates the Emperor named he was not worthy of the pittance which members of the senate, and the senate had been disdainfully flung to him. named members of the legislative body. He was now degraded below the level The inhabitants of the Upper Pyrenees even of the hirelings whom the governwere still strangely partial to Barère, ment employed in the most infamous In the year 1805, they were disposed offices. He stood idle in the marketto present him as a candidate for the place, not because he thought any office oo infamous, but because none would hire him.

Yet he had reason to think himself fortunate; for, had all that is avowed n these Memoirs been known, he would have received very different tokens of he Imperial displeasure. We learn rom himself that, while publishing daily

while carrying weekly budgets of calconnection with the agents whom the Emperor Alexander, then by no means favourably disposed towards France, employed to watch all that passed at Paris was permitted to read their secret despatches; was consulted by them as t the temper of the public mind and the character of Napoleon; and did his bes to persuade them that the governmen was in a tottering condition, and that the new sovereign was not, as the world supposed, a great statesman and soldier. Next, Barère, still the flatterer and talebearer of the Imperial Court, connected himself in the same manner with the Spanish envoy. He owns that with that envoy he had relations which he took the greatest pains to conceal from his own government; that they met twice a day; and that their conversation chiefly turned on the vices of Napoleon, on his designs against Spain, and on the best mode of rendering those designs abortive. In truth, Barère's baseness was unfathomable. In the lowest deeps of shame he found out lower deeps. It is bad to be a sycophant; it is bad to be a spy. But even among sycophants and spies there are degrees of meanness. The vilest sycophant is he who privily slanders the master on whom he fawns; the vilest spy is he who serves foreigners against the government of his native land.

From 1807 to 1814 Barère lived in obscurity, railing as bitterly as his craven cowardice would permit against the Imperial administration, and coming sometimes unpleasantly across the po-When the Bourbons returned, he, as might have been expected, became a royalist, and wrote a pamphlet setting forth the horrors of the system from which the Restoration had delivered France, and magnifying the wisdom and goodness which had dictated the charter. He who had voted for the death of Louis, he who had moved the elected for the trial of Marie Antoinette. he whose hatred of monarchy had led him to make war even upon the sepulchres of ancient monarchs, assures us, with great complacency, that "in this rifle, abandoned him on the first

columns of flattery on Bonaparte, and work monarchical principles and attachment to the House of Bourbon are noumny to the Tuileries, he was in close bly expressed." By this apostasy he got nothing not even any additional infamy; for his character was already too black to be blackened.

During the hundred days he again emerged for a very short time into public life; he was chosen by his native district a member of the Chamber of Representatives. But, though that assembly was composed in a great measure of men who regarded the excesses of the Jacobins with indulgence, he found himself an object of general aversion. When the President first informed the Chamber that M. Barère requested a hearing, a deep and indignant murmur ran round the benches. After the battle of Waterloo, Barère proposed that the Chamber should save France from the victorious enemy, by putting forth a proclamation about the pass of Thermopylæ and the Lacedæmonian custom f wearing flowers in times of extreme Whether this composition, if danger. it had then appeared, would have stopped the English and Prussian armies, is a question respecting which we are left to conjecture. The Chamber refused to adopt this last of the Carmagnoles.

The Emperor had abdicated. The Bourbons returned. The Chamber of Representatives, after burlesquing during a few weeks the proceedings of the National Convention, retired with the well-earned character of having been the silliest political assembly that had met in France. Those dreaming pedants and praters never for a moment comprehended their position. could never understand that Europe must be either conciliated or vanquished; that Europe could be conciiated only by the restoration of Louis, nd vanquished only by means of a lictatorial power entrusted to Napo-They would not hear of Louis; yet they would not hear of the only neasures which could keep him out. They incurred the enmity of all foreign powers by putting Napoleon at their ead; yet they shackled him, thwarted im, quarrelled with him about every

reverse. victor whether they should have a his paternal house. country; and were at last interrupted, in the midst of their babble about the the people, by the soldiers of Wellington and Blucher.

should be banished for life from France. his eighty-sixth year. Barere fell within this description. He sentatives during the hundred days.

and resided there, forgotten by all racter? If we were writing about any mankind, till the year 1830. After of his colleagues in the Committee of the revolution of July he was at liberty Public Safety, about Carnot, about to return to France; and he fixed his Robespierre, or St. Just, nay, even residence in his native province. But about Couthon, Collot, or Billaud, we he was soon involved in a succession of might feel it necessary to go into a full lawsuits with his nearest relations - examination of the arguments which "three fatal sisters and an ungrateful have been employed to vindicate or to brother," to use his own words. Who excuse the system of Terror. We could, was in the right is a question about we think, show that France was seved

some points in his favour and the plea of the violent policy of the

They then opposed declama- some against him. The natural infertions and disquisitions to eight hundred ence is, that there were faults on all thousand hayonets; played at making sides. The result of this litigation was a constitution for their country, when that the old man was reduced to exit depended on the indulgence of the treme poverty, and was forced to sell

As far as we can judge from the few facts which remain to be mentioned, rights of man and the sovereignty of Barère continued Barère to the last. After his exile he turned Jacobin again, and, when he came back to France, A new Chamber of Deputies was joined the party of the extreme left in elected, so bitterly hostile to the Revo- railing at Louis Philippe, and at all lution that there was no small risk of Louis Philippe's ministers. M. Casia new Reign of Terror. It is just, mir Périer. M. De Broglie, M. Guizot, however, to say that the king, his and M. Thiers, in particular, are hoministers, and his allies exerted them- noured with his abuse; and the King selves to restrain the violence of the himself is held up to execration as a fanatical royalists, and that the punish- hypocritical tyrant. Nevertheless, Baments inflicted, though in our opinion rere had no accuple about accepting unjustifiable, were few and lenient a charitable donation of a thousand when compared with those which were france a year from the privy purse of demanded by M. de Labourdonnaye the sovereign whom he hated and reand M. Hyde de Neuville. We have viled. This pension, together with always heard, and are inclined to be- some small sums occasionally doled lieve, that the government was not dis- out to him by the department of the posed to treat even the regicides with Interior, on the ground that he was a severity. But on this point the feeling distressed man of letters, and by the of the Chamber of Deputies was so department of Justice, on the ground strong that it was thought necessary to that he had formerly held a high judimake some concession. It was enacted, cial office, saved him from the necessity therefore, that whoever, having voted of begging his bread. Having sur-in January 1793 for the death of Louis vived all his colleagues of the renowned the Sixteenth, had in any manner given Committee of Public Safety, and almost in an adhesion to the government of all his colleagues of the Convention, he Bonaparte during the hundred days died in January 1841. He had attained

We have now laid before our readers had voted for the death of Louis; and what we believe to be a just account of he had sat in the Chamber of Repre- this man's life. Can it be necessary for us to add any thing for the purpose He accordingly retired to Belgium, of assisting their judgment of his chawhich we have no means of judging, from her foreign enemies, not by the pad certainly shall not take Barire's system of Terror, but in spite of it;

The Courts appear to have de- and that the perils which were made

Mountain were to a great extent cre- manner in which writers like M. Hipand more favourable to civil and relidays of Terror, a change of which the traces are still to be distinctly perccived. It was natural that there saw that those who called themselves compressed into the space of twelve months more crimes than the Kings of France, Merovingian, Carlovingian, and Capetian, had perpetrated in twelve centuries. Freedom was regarded as a great delusice. Men were willing to submit to the government of hereditary princes, of fortunate soldiers, of nobles, of priests; to any government but that of philosophers and philanthropists. Hence the imperial despotism, with its enslaved press and its silent tribune, its dungeons stronger than the old Bastile, and its tribunals more obsequious than the old parliaments. Hence the restoration of the Bourbons 1815 with its categories of proscription, the revival of the feudal spirit, the encroachments of the clergy, the not sufficed to obliterate the stain calamity that could be all France. Will ness has left on the noblest of causes.

ated by that very policy. We could, polyte Carnot defend or excuse the we think, also show that the evils pro- Jacobin administration, while they duced by the Jacobin administration declaim against the reaction which did not terminate when it fell; that it followed. That the reaction has probequeathed a long series of calamities duced and is still producing much evil, to France and to Europe; that public is perfectly true. But what produced opinion, which had during two genera- the reaction? The spring flies up with tions been constantly becoming more a force proportioned to that with which it has been pressed down. The pendugious freedom, underwent, during the lum which is drawn far in one direction swings as far in the other. The joyous madness of intoxication in the evening is followed by languor and nausea on the should be such a change, when men morrow. And so, in politics, it is the sure law that every excess shall genethe champions of popular rights had rate its opposite; nor does he deserve the name of a statesman who strikes a great blow without fully calculating the effect of the rebound. But such calculation was infinitely beyond the reach of the authors of the Reign of Terror. Violence, and more violence, blood, and more blood, made up their whole policy. In a few months these poor creatures succeeded in bringing about a reaction, of which none of them saw, and of which none of us may see, the close; and, having brought it about, they marvelled at it; they bewailed it; they execrated it: they ascribed it to everything but the real cause-their own immorality and their own profound inand of the Jesuits, the Chamber of capacity for the conduct of great affairs.

These, however, are considerations to which, on the present occasion, it is hardly necessary for us to advert; for, persecution of the Protestants, the be the defence which has been set up appearance of a new breed of De for the Jacobin policy good or bad, it Montforts and Dominics in the full is a defence which cannot avail Barère. light of the nineteenth century. Hence From his own life, from his own pen, the admission of France into the Holy from his own mouth, we can prove Alliance, and the war waged by the that the part which he took in the work old soldiers of the tricolor against the of blood is to be attributed, not even to liberties of Spain. Hence, too, the sincere fanaticism, not even to misdiapprehensions with which, even at the rected and ill-regulated patriotism, but present day, the most temperate plans either to cowardice, or to delight in for widening the narrow basis of the human misery. Will it be pretended French representation are regarded by that it was from public spirit that he those who are especially interested in murdered the Girondists? In these the security of property and the main-very Memoirs he tells us that he always tenance of order. Half a century has regarded their death as the greatest which one year of depravity and made it be pretended that it was from public spirit that he raved for the head of Nothing is more ridiculous than the the Austrian woman? In these very

BARERE. 280

Memoirs he tells us that the time spent government was always laying plans measures of national defence. Will it be pretended that he was induced by sincere and earnest abhorronce of kingly outrage the dead; he who invited Napoleon to take the title of King of ers. the Restoration he expressed in noble language his attachment to monarchy. and to the house of Bourbon? Had he been less mean, something might have been said in extenuation of his cruelty. Had he been less cruel, something might have been said in extenuation of his meanness. But for him, regicide and court-spy, for him who patronised Lebon and betrayed Demerville, for him who wantoned alternately in gasconades of Jacobinism and gasconades of servility, what excuse has the largest charity to offer?

something about two parts of his character, which his biographer appears to consider as deserving of high admiration. Barère, it is admitted, was somewhat fickle; but in two things he was consistent, in his love of Christianity, and in his hatred to England. If this were so, we must say that England is much more beholden to him than Chris-

tianity.

It is possible that our inclinations entertaining.

in attacking her was ill spent, and ought to torment him. If he was hooted at to have been employed in concerting Saintes, probably by people whose relations he had murdered, it was because the cabinet of St. James's had hired the mob. If nobody would read his government to butcher the living and to bad books, it was because the cabinet of St. James's had secured the Review-His accounts of Mr. Fox, of Mr. Kings, he who assures us that after Pitt, of the Duke of Wellington, of Mr. Canning, swarm with blunders surpassing even the ordinary blunders committed by Frenchmen who write about England. Mr. Fox and Mr. Pitt, he tells us, were ministers in two different reigns. Mr. Pitt's sinking fund was instituted in order to enable England to pay subsidies to the powers allied against the French republic. The Duke of Wellington's house in Hyde Park was built by the nation, which twice voted the sum of 200,000% for the purpose. This, however, ise-exclusive of the cost of .the frescoes, which were We cannot conclude without saying also paid for out of the public purse. Mr. Canning was the first Englishman whose death Europe had reason to lament; for the death of Lord Ward, a relation, we presume, of Lord Greaten and Mr. Coefhis, had been an immense benefit to mankind.

Ignorant, however, as Barère was, ie knew enough of us to hate us; and we persuade ourselves that, had he known us better, he would have hated us more. The nation which has commay bias our judgment; but we think bined, beyond all example and all hope, that we do not flatter ourselves when the blessings of liberty with those of we say that Barère's aversion to our order, might well be an object of avercountry was a sentiment as deep and sion to one who had been false alike to constant as his mind was capable of the cause of order and to the cause of The value of this com- liberty. We have had amongst us inpliment is indeed somewhat diminished temperate zeal for popular rights; we by the circumstance that he knew very have had amongst us also the intemlittle about us. His ignorance of our perance of loyalty. But we have never institutions, manners, and history is the been shocked by such a spectacle as less excusable, because, caccording to the Barère of 1794, or as the Barère of his own account, he consorted much, 1804. Compared with him, our florcest during the peace of Amiens, with Eng- demagogues have been gentle; comlishmen of note, such as that eminent pared with fiim, our meanest courtiers nobleman Lord Greaten, and that not have been manly. Mix together Thisless eminent philosopher Mr. Mackensie tlewood and Bubb Dodington; and Coeffig. In spite, however, of his con- you are still far from having Barore. nection with these well-known orna- The antipathy between him and us is our country, he was so ill-in- such, that neither for the crimes of his about us as to fancy that our earlier nor for those of his later life

does our language, rich as it is, furnish ful, whatsoever things are of evil reus with adequate names. We have port, if there be any vice, and if there found it difficult to relate his history be any infamy, all these things, we without having perpetual recourse to knew, were blended in Barère. But the French vocabulary of horror, and one thing was still wanting; and that to the French vocabulary of baseness. M. Hippolyte Carnot has supplied. It is not easy to give a notion of his When to such an assemblage of qualiconduct in the Convention, without ties a high profession of piety is added. using those emphatic terms, quillotin- the effect becomes overpowering. We ade, noyade, fusillade, mitraillade. It sink under the contemplation of such is not easy to give a notion of his con- exquisite and manifold perfection; and duct under the Consulate and the Em- feel, with deep humility, how presumppire without borrowing such words as tuous it was in us to think of composmouchard and mouton.

against us much better than anything magnoles. else that he has written; and dwell on may all who hate her be!

Another consists of meditations on the single virtue. console and edify the Church.

things are unjust, whatsoever things will not easily take it down. are impure, whatsoever things are hate-

ing the legend of this beatified athlete We therefore like his invectives of the faith, St. Bertrand of the Car-

Something more we had to say about them, not merely with complacency, him. But let him go. We did not but with a feeling akin to gratitude. seek him out, and will not keep him It was but little that he could do to longer. If those who call themselves promote the honour of our country; his friends had not forced him on our but that little he did strenuously and notice we should never have vouchsafed constantly. Renegade, traitor, slave, to him more than a passing word of coward, liar, slanderer, murderer, hack scorn and abhorrence, such as we might writer, police-spy-the one small ser- fling at his brethren, Hébert and Fouvice which he could render to England quier Tinville, and Carrier and Lebon. was to hate her: and such as he was We have no pleasure in seeing human nature thus degraded. We turn with We cannot say that we contemplate disgust from the filthy and spiteful with equal satisfaction that fervent and Yahoos of the fiction; and the filthiest constant zeal for religion which, accord- and most spiteful Yahoo of the fiction ing to M. Hippolyte Carnot, distin- | was a noble creature when compared guished Barère; for, as we think that with the Barère of history. But what whatever brings dishonour on religion is no pleasure M. Hippolyte Carnot has is a serious evil, we had, we own, in- made a duty. It is no light thing that dulged a hope that Barère was an a man in high and honourable public atheist. We now learn, however, that trust, a man who, from his connections he was at no time even a ceptic, that and position, may not unnaturally be he adhered to his faith through the supposed to speak the sentiments of a whole Revolution, and that he has left large class of his countrymen, should several manuscript works on divinity. come forward to demand approbation One of these is a pious treatise, entitled for a life black with every sort of "Of Christianity, and of its Influence." wickedness, and unrodeemed by a This M. Hippolyte Psalms, which will doubtless greatly Carnot has done. By attempting to enshrine this Jacobin carrion, he has This makes the character complete. forced us to gibbet it; and we venture Whatsoever things are false, whatso- to say that, from the eminence of inever things are dishonest, whatsoever famy on which we have placed it, he

## CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE ENCYCLOPÆDIA BRITANNICA.

•

## FRANCIS ATTERBURY. (DECEMBER 1853.)

Francis Attrebury, a man who holds a conspicuous place in the political, ecclesiastical, and literary history of England, was born in the year 1662, at Middleton in Buckinghamshire, a parish of which his father was rector. Francis was educated at Westminster School, and carried thence to Christ-Church a stock of learning which, though really scanty, he through life exhibited with such judicious ostentation that superficial observers believed his attainments to be immense. At Oxford, his parts, his taste, and his bold, contemptuous, and imperious spirit, soon made him conspicuous. Here he published, at twenty, his first work, a lom and Achitophel into Latin verse. Neither the style nor the versification of the young scholar was that of the Augustan age. In English composition he succeeded much better. In 1687 he distinguished himself among many able men who wrote in defence of the Church of England, then persecuted by James II., and calumniated by apostates who had for lucre quitted her communion. Among these apostates none was more active or malignant than Obadiah Walker, who was master of University College, and who had set up there, under the royal patronage, a press for printing tracts against the established religion. In one of these tracts, written apparently by Walker himself, many

ther. Atterbury undertook to defend the great Saxon Reformer, and performed that task in a manner singularly characteristic. Whoever examines his reply to Walker will be struck by the contrast between the feebleness of those parts which are argumentative and defensive, and the vigour of those parts which are rhetorical and aggressive. The Papists were so much galled by the sarcasms and invectives of the young polemic that they raised a cry of treason, and accused him of having, by implication, called King James a Judas.

After the Revolution, Atterbury, though bred in the doctrines of nonresistance and passive obedience, readily swore fealty to the new government. In no long time he took holy orders. He occasionally preached in London translation of the noble poem of Absa- with an eloquence which raised his reputation, and soon had the honour of being appointed one of the royal chaplains. But he ordinarily resided at Oxford, where he took an active part in academical business, directed the classical studies of the under-graduates of his college, and was the chief adviser and assistant of Dean Aldrich. a divine now chiefly remembered by his catches, but renowned among his contemporaries as a scholar, a Tory, and a high-churchman. It was the practice, not a very judicious practice, of Aldrich to employ the most promising youths of his college in editing Greek and Latin books. Among tue . studious and well-disposed lads who were, unfortunately for themselves, inaspensions were thrown on Martin Lu-duced to become teachers of philology

when they should have been contento be learners, was Charles Boyle, son of the Earl of Orrery, and nephew of Robert Boyle, the great experimenta. philosopher. The task assigned to Charles Boyle was to prepare a nev edition of one of the most worthles books in existence. It was a fashion. among those Greeks and Romans who cultivated rhetoric as an art, to compose epistles and harangues in the names of eminent men. Some of these counterfeits are fabricated with sucl exquisite taste and skill that it is the highest achievement of criticism to distinguish them from originals. Others are so feebly and rudely executed that they can hardly impose on an intelligent school-boy. The best specimen which has come down to us is perhaps the oration for Marcellus, such an imi-tice. would himself have read with wonder about them. and delight. perhaps a collection of letters purporting to have been written by that Phalaris who governed Agrigentum more than 500 years before the Christian The evidence, both internal and external, against the genuineness of these letters is overwhelming. When, in the fifteenth century, they emerged, in company with much that was far more valuable, from their obscurity, they were pronounced spurious by Politian, the greatest scholar of Italy, and by Erasmus, the greatest scholar on our side of the Alps. In truth, it would be as easy to persuade an educated Englishman that one of Johnson's Ramblers was the work of William Wallace as to persuade a man like Erasmus that a pedantic exercise, composed in the trim and artificial Attic of the time of Julian, was a despatch written by a crafty and ferocious Dorian, who roasted people alive many years before there existed a volume of prose in the Greekelanguage. But, though Christ-Church could boast of many good Latinists, of many good English writers, and of a greater number of clever and fashionable men of the world than be-longed to any other academic body, there was not then in the college a single man capable of distinguishing esy. Bentley revenged himself, by a

between the infancy and the dotage of Greek literature. So superficial indeed was the learning of the rulers of this celebrated society that they were charmed by an essay which Sir William Temple published in praise of the ancient writers. It now seems strange that even the eminent public services, the deserved popularity, and the graceful style of Temple should have saved so silly a performance from universal Of the books which he contempt. most vehemently eulogised his eulogies proved that he knew nothing. In fact, he could not read a line of the language in which they were written. Among many other foolish things, he said that the letters of Phalaris were the oldest letters and also the best in the world. Whatever Temple wrote attracted no-People who had never heard of tation of Tully's eloquence as Tully the Epistles of Phalaris began to inquire Aldrich, who knew very The worst specimen is little Greek, took the word of Temple who knew none, and desired Boyle to prepare a new edition of these admirable compositions which, having long slept in obscurity, had become on a udden objects of general interest.

The edition was prepared with the help of Atterbury, who was Boyle's utor, and of some other members of he college. It was an edition such as • i might be expected from people who would stoop to edite such a book. The notes were worthy of the text; the Latin version worthy of the Greek oririnal. The volume would have been orgotten in a month, had not a misunderstanding about a manuscript arisen etween the young editor and the greatest scholar that had appeared in Europe since the revival of letters. Richard Bentley. The manuscript was in Bentley's keeping. Boyle wished to be collated. A mischief-making bookseller informed him that Bentley ad refused to lend it, which was false, and also that Bentley had spoken conemptuously of the letters attributed o Phalaris, and of the critics who were aken in by such counterfeits, which vas perfectly true. Boyle, much prooked, raid, in his preface, a bitterly ironical compliment to Bentley's courthat the epistles were spurious, and powers than any of those works to which the new edition of them worthless; but he put his name. That he was altohopes, whose love of learning was highly springing out of it, that his knowledge have had better instructors.

be put down. Atterbury.

drawing-rooms of Soho Square Covent Garden. Even the\_

ettes of that age, the

short dissertation, in which he proved piece, and gives a higher notion of his he treated Beyle personally with civi- gether in the wrong on the main queslity as a young gentleman of great tion, and on all the collateral questions commendable, and who deserved to of the language, the literature, and the history of Greece was not equal to Few things in literary history are what many freshmen now bring up more extraordinary than the storm every year to Cambridge and Oxford, which this little dissertation raised, and that some of his blunders seem Bentley had treated Boyle with for- rather to deserve a flogging than a rebearance; but he had treated Christ- futation, is true; and therefore it is Church with contempt; and the Christ- that his performance is, in the highest Church-men, wherever dispersed, were degree, interesting and valuable to a as much attached to their college as a judicious reader. It is good by reason Scotchman to his country, or a Jesuit of its exceeding badness. It is the to his order. Their influence was great. most extraordinary instance that exists They were dominant at Oxford, power- of the art of making much show with ful in the Inns of Court and in the little substance. There is no difficulty, College of Physicians, conspicuous in says the steward of Molière's miser, in Parliament and in the literary and giving a fine dinner with plenty of fashionable circles of London. Their money: the really great took is heunanimous cry was, that the honour who can set out a banquet with no of the college must be vindicated, that money at all. That Bentley should the insolent Cambridge pedant must have written excellently on ancient Poor Boyle was un- chronology and geography, on the deequal to the task, and disinclined to it. velopment of the Greek language, and It was, therefore, assigned to his tutor the origin of the Greek drama, is not strange. But that Atterbury should, The answer to Bentley, which bears during some years, have been thought the name of Boyle, but which was, in to have treated these subjects much truth, no more the work of Boyle than better than Bentley is strange indeed. the letters to which the controversy It is true that the champion of Christrelated were the work of Phalaris, is Church had all the help which the most now read only by the curious, and will celebrated members of that society in all probability never be reprinted could give him. Smalridge contributed again. But it had its day of noisy some very good wit; Friend and others popularity. It was to be found, not some very bad archeology and philoonly in the studies of men of letters, logy. But the greater part of the vobut on the tables of the most brilliant lume was entirely Atterbury's: what was not his own was revised and retouched by him; and the whole bears the mark of his mind, a mind inex-Lady Lurewells, the Mirabells and haustibly rich in all the resources of the Millaments, congratulated each controversy, and familiar with all the other on the way in which the gay artifices which make falsehood look like young gentleman, whose erudition sate truth, and ignorance like knowledge. so easky upon him, and who wrote with He had little gold; but he beat that so much pleasantry and good breeding little out to the very thinnest leaf, and about the Attic dialect and the anapæs- spread it over so vast a surface that to tic measure, Sicilian talents and The- those who judged by a glance, and who rields cups, had bantered the queer did not resort to balances and tests, g of a doctor. Nor was the applause the glittering heap of worthless matter of the multitude undeserved. The which he produced seemed to be an book is, indeed, Atterbury's master- inestimable treasure of massy bullion.

Such arguments as he had he placed the Lower House of Convocation. Atin the clearest light. Where he had terbury thrust himself eagerly into the no arguments, he resorted to personalities, sometimes serious, generally ludicrous, always clever and cutting. But, whether he was grave or merry, whether he reasoned or sneered, his style was always pure, polished, and easy.

Party spirit then ran high; yet, though Bentley ranked among Whigs, and Christ-Church was a stronghold of Toryism, Whigs joined with Tories in applauding Atterbury's volume. Garth insulted Bentley, and extolled Boyle in lines which are now never quoted except to be laughed at. Swift, in his "Buttle of the Books," introduced with much pleasantry Boyle, clad in armour, the gift of all the gods, and directed by Apollo in the form of a human friend, for whose name a blank is left which may easily be filled up. The youth, so accoutred, and so assisted, gains an easy victory over his uncourteous and boastful antagonist. Bentley, meanwhile, was supported by the consciousness of an immeasurable superiority, and encouraged by the voices of the few who were really competent to judge the combat. "No man," he said, the combat. justly and nobly, "was ever written down but by himself." He spent two years in preparing a reply, which will never cease to be read and prized while the literature of ancient Greece is studied in any part of the world. This reply proved, not only that the letters ascribed to Phalaris were spurious, but that Atterbury, with all his wit, his eloquence, his skill in controversial fence, was the most audacious pretender that ever wrote about what he did not understand. But to Atterbury this exposure was matter of indifference. He was now engaged in a dispute about matters far more important and exciting than the laws of Zaleucus and the laws of Charondas. The rage moted to the deanery of Carlisle. of religious factions was extreme. High church and Low church divided the ferment, the Whig party rose to ascendclergy were on the high-church side; could expect no favour. the majority of King William's bishops elapsed before a change of fortune took were inclined to latitudinarianism. A place. At length, in the year 1710, dispute arose between the two parties the prosecution of Sacheverell produced touching the extent of the powers of a formidable explosion of high-church

front rank of the high-churchmen. Those who take a comprehensive and impartial view of his whole career will not be disposed to give him credit for religious zeal. But it was his nature to be vehement and pugnacious in the cause of every fraternity of which he was a member. He had defended the genuineness of a spurious book simply because Christ-Church had put forth an edition of that book; he now stood up for the clergy against the civil power, simply because he was a clergyman, and for the priests against the episcopal order, simply because he was as yet only a priest. He asserted the pretensions of the class to which he belonged in several treatises written with much wit, ingenuity, audacity, and acrimony. In this, as in his first controversy, he was opposed to antagonists whose knowledge of the subject in dispute was far superior to his; but in this, as in his first controversy, he imposed on the multitude by bold assertion, by sarcasm, by declamation, and, above all, by his peculiar knack of exhibiting a little erudition in such a manner as to make it look like a great deal. Having passed himself off on the world as a greater master of clasical learning than Bentley, he now passed himself off as a greater master of ecclesiastical learning than Wake or Gibson. By the great body of the clergy he was regarded as the ablest and most intrepid tribune that had wer defended their rights against the oligarchy of prelates. The Lower House of Convocation voted him thanks for his services: the University of Oxford created him a doctor of divinity; and soon after the accession of Anne. while the Tories still had the chief weight in the government, he was pro-

Soon after he had obtained this pre-The great majority of the ency in the state. From that party he Six years Whig ministry and the Whig parliamark of honour.

fanaticism. At such a moment Atter- probrious words were exchanged; and bury could not fail to be conspicuous. there was reason to fear that the great His inordinate zeal for the body to Tory cellege would be ruined by the which he belonged his turbulent and tyranny of the great Tory doctor. He aspiring temper, his rare talents for was soon removed to the bishopric of assistion and for controversy, were Rochester, which was then always again signally displayed. He bore a united with the deanery of Westminchief part in framing that artful and ster. Still higher dignities seemed to eloquent speech which the accused be before him. For, though there were divine pronounced at the bar of the many able men on the episcopal bench, Lords, and which presents a singular there was none who equalled or apcontrast to the absurd and scurrilous proached him in parliamentary talents. sermon which had very unwisely been Had his party continued in power, it honoured with impeachment. During is not improbable that he would have the troubled and anxious months which been raised to the archbishopric of followed the trial, Atterbury was among Canterbury. The more splendid his the most active of those pamphleteers prospects, the more reason he had to who inflamed the nation against the dread the accession of a family which was well known to be partial to the ment. When the ministry had been Whigs. There is every reason to bechanged and the parliament dissolved, lieve that he was one of those politirewards were showered upon him. The cians who hoped that they might be Lower House of Convocation elected able, during the life of Anne, to prepare him prolocutor. The Queen appointed matters in such a way that at her de-him Dean of Christ-Church on the cease there might be little difficulty in death of his old friend and patron setting aside the Act of Settlement and Aldrich. The college would have pre-placing the Pretender on the throne. ferred a gentler ruler. Nevertheless, Her sudden death confounded the prothe new head was received with every jects of these conspirators. Atterbury, A congratulatory who wanted no kind of courage, imoration in Latin was addressed to him plored his confederates to proclaim in the magnificent vestibule of the hall; James III., and offered to accompany and he in reply professed the warmest the Keralds in lawn sleeves. But he attachment to the venerable house in found even the bravest soldiers of his which he had been educated, and paid party irresolute, and exclaimed, not, it many gracious compliments to those is said, without interjections which ill over whom he was to preside. But it became the mouth of a father of the was not in his nature to be a mild or church, that the best of all causes and an equitable governor. He had left the most precious of all moments had the chapter of Carlisle distracted by been pusillanimously thrown away. He quarrels. He found Christ-Church at acquiesced in what he could not prepeace; but in three months his de-vent, took the oaths to the House of spotic and contentious temper did at Hanover, and at the coronation offici-Christ-Church what it had done at ated with the outward show of zeal, Carlisle. He was succeeded in both and did his best to ingratiate himself his deancries by the humane and accomplished Smalridge, who gently complished Smalridge, who gently complished with cold contempt. plained of the state in which both had No creature is so revengeful as a proud beens left. "Atterbury goes before, man who has humbled himself in vain. and sets everything on fire. I come Atterbury became the most factious after him with a bucket of water." It and pertinacious of all the opponents was said by Atterbury's enemies that of the government. In the House of he was made a bishop because he was Lords his oratory, lucid, pointed, lively, so bad a dean. Under his administra- and set off with every grace of pronuntion Christ-Church was in confusion, clation and of gesture, extorted the at-scandalous Marcations took place, op- tention and admiration even of a hostile

majority. Some of the most remark able protests which appear in the journals of the peers were drawn up by him; and, in some of the bitterest of those pamphlets which called on the English to stand up for their country against the aliens who had come from beyond the seas to oppress and plunder her, critics easily detected his style. When the rebellion of 1715 broke out. he refused to sign the paper in which the bishops of the province of Canterbury declared their attachment to the Protestant succession. He busied him self in electioneering, especially a Westminster, where, as dean, he possessed great influence; and was, indeed, strongly suspected of having once Whig fellow-citizens from polling.

After having been long in indirect communication with the exiled family, he, in 1717, degan to correspond directly with the Pretender. letter of the correspondence is extant. In that letter Atterbury boasts of having, during many years past, neglected no opportunity of serving th Jacobite cause. "My daily prayer," he says, "is that you may have success. May I live to see that day, and live no longer than I do what is in my power to forward it." It is to be remembered that he who wrote thus was a man bound to set to the church of which he was overseer an example of strict probity; that he had repeatedly sworn allegiance to the House of Brunswick; that he had assisted in placing the crown on the head of George I., and that he had abjured James III., "without equivocation or mental reservation on the true faith of a Christian."

It is agreeable to turn from his public to his private life. His turbulent spirit, wearied with faction and treason, now and then required repose, and found it in domestic endearments, and in the society of the most illustrious of the living and of the dead. Of his wife little is known: but between him and his daughter there was an affection singularly close and tender. The gen b o be surprised; King George; his tleness of his manners when he was in family, and his chief captains and the company of a few friends was such ouncillors, were to be arrested; and

as seemed hardly credible to those who knew him only by his writings and speeches. The charm of his "softer hour" has been commemorated by one of those friends in imperishable verse. Though Atterbury's classical attainments were not great, his taste in English literature was excellent; and his admiration of genius was so strong that it overpowered even his political and religious antipathies. His fondness for Milton, the mortal enemy of the Stuarts and of the church, was such as to many Tories seemed a crime. On the sad night on which Addison was laid in the chapel of Henry VII., the Westminster boys remarked that Atterbury read the set on a riotous mob to prevent his funeral service with a peculiar tenderness and solemnity. The favourite companions, however, of the great Tory prelate were, as might have been expected, men whose politics had at least The first a tinge of Toryism. He lived on friendly terms with Swift, Arbuthnot, and Gay. With Prior he had a close intimacy, which some misunderstanding about public affairs at last dissolved. Pope found in Atterbury, not only a warm admirer, but a most faithful, fearless, and judicious adviser. The poet was a frequent guest at the episcopal palace among the clms f Bromley, and entertained not the slightest suspicion that his host, now leclining in years, confined to an easy chair by gout, and apparently devoted o literature, was deeply concerned in criminal and perilous designs against he government.

The spirit of the Jacobites had been wed by the events of 1715. It re-The failure of the vived in 1721. South Sea project, the panic in the money market, the downfall of great mmercial houses, the distress from which no part of the kingdom was exmpt, had produced general discontent. t seemed not improbable that at Auch a moment an insurrection might be sucessful. An insurrection was planned. The streets of London were to be baricaded; the Tower and the Bank were

terms of friendship with the House of Hanover. He put the English government on its guard. Some of the chief malcontents were committed to prison; and among them was Atterbury. No bishop of the Church of England had been taken into custody since that memorable day when the applauses and prayers of all London had followed the seven bishops to the gate of the Tower. The Opposition entertained some hope that it might be possible to excite among the people an enthusiasm resembling that of their fathers, who rushed into the waters of the Thames to implore the blessing of Sancroft. Pictures of the heroic confessor in his cell were exhibited at the shop windows. Verses in his praise were sung The restraints by about the streets. which he was prevented from communicating with his accomplices were represented as cruelties worthy of the dungeons of the Inquisition. Strong appeals were made to the priesthood. Would they tamely permit so gross an insult to be offered to their cloth? Would they suffer the ablest, the most eloquent member of their profession, the man who had so often stood up for their rights against the civil power, to be treated like the vilest of mankind? There was considerable excitement; but it was allayed by a temperate and artful letter to the clergy, the work, in all probability, of Bishop Gibson, who stood high in the favour of Walpole, and shortly after became minister for occlesiastical affairs.

Atterbury remained in close confinement during some months. He had carried on his correspondence with the exiled family so cautiously that the circumstantial proofs of his guilt, though sufficient to produce entire moral conviction, were not sufficient to justify legal conviction. He could be reached only by a bill of pains and penalties. Such a bill the Whig party, then de- eighty-three votes to forty-three. The cidedly predominant in both houses, bishops, with a single exception, were washinite prepared to support. Many in the majority. Their conduct drew has headed members of that party were on them a sharp taunt from Lord Bath-

King James was to be proclaimed. The had been set in the case of Sir John design became known to the Duke of Fenwick, and to pass an act for cutting Orleans, regent of France, who was on off the bishop's head. Cadogan, who commanded the army, a brave soldier, but a headstrong politician, is said to have exclaimed with great vehemence: "Fling him to the lions in the Tower." But the wiser and more humane Walpole was always unwilling to shed blood; and his influence prevailed. When parliament met, the evidence against the bishop was laid before committees of both houses. Those committees reported that his guilt was proved. In the Commons a resolution, pronouncing him a traitor, was carried by nearly two to one. A bill was then introduced which provided that he should be deprived of his spiritual dignities, that he should be banished for life, and that no British subject should hold any intercourse with him except by the royal pers.ission.

This bill passed the Commons with little difficulty. For the bishop, though invited to defend himself, chose to reserve his defence for the assembly of which he was a member. In the Lords the contest was sharp. The young Duke of Wharton, distinguished by his parts, his dissoluteness and his versatility, spoke for Atterbury with great effect; and Atterbury's own voice was heard for the last time by that unfriendly audience which had so often listened to him with mingled aversion and delight. He produced few witnesses; nor did those witnesses say much that could be of service to him. Among them was Pope. He was called to prove that, while he was an inmate of the palace at Bromley, the bishop's time was completely occupied by literary and domestic matters, and that no leisure was left for plotting. But Pope, who was quite unaccustomed to speak in public, lost his head, and, as he afterwards owned, though he had only ten words to say, made two or three blunders.

The bill finally passed the Lords by ger to follow the precedent which urst, a warm friend of Atterbury and a zealous Tory. "The wild Indians," he said, "give no quarter, because they believe that they shall inherit the skill and prowess of every adversary whom they destroy. Perhaps the animosity of the right reverend prelates to their brother may be explained in the same way."

Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, they destroy. Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, they destroy as a bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, they destroy as a bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had been before him, the prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had found before him, the prime minister of a

Atterbury took leave of those whom he loved with a dignity and tenderness worthy of a better man. Three finlines of his favourite poet were often in his mouth:—

"Some natural tears he dropped, but wiped them soon:

The world was all before him, where to chuse His place of rest, and Providence his guide."

At parting he presented Pope with a Bible, and said, with a disingenuousness of which no man who had studied the Bible to much purpose would have been guilty: "If ever you learn that I have any dealings with the Pretender, I give you leave to say that my punishment is just." Pope at this time really believed the bishop to be an injured man. Arbuthnot seems to have been Swift, a few of the same opinion. months later, ridiculed with great bitterness, in the "Voyage to Laputa," the evidence which had satisfied the two Houses of Parliament. Soon, however, the most partial friends of the banished prelate ceased to assert his innocence, and contented themselves with lamenting and excusing what they could not defend. After a short stay at Brussels, he had taken up his abode at Paris, and had become the leading man among the Jacobite refugees who were assembled there. He was invited to Rome by the Pretender, who then held his mock court under the immediate protection of the Pope. But Atterbury felt that a bishop of the Church of England would be strangely out of place at the Vatican, and de-During some clined the invitation. months, however, he might fatter himself that he stood high in the good graces of James. The correspondence between the master and the servant was constant. Atterbury's merits were warmly acknowledged; his advice was respectfully received; and he was, as

prime minister of a king without a kingdom. But the new favourite found, as Bolingbroke had found before him, that it was quite as hard to keep the shadow of power under a vagrant and mendicant prince as to keep the reality of power at Westminster. James had neither territories nor revenues, neither army nor navy, there was more faction and more intrigue among his courtiers than among those of his successful rival. Atterbury soon perceived that his counsels were disregarded, if not distrusted. His proud spirit was deeply wounded. He quitted Paris, fixed his residence at Montpellier, gave up politics, and devoted himself entirely to letters. In the sixth year of his exile he had so severe an illness that his daughter, herself in very delicate health, determined to run all risks that she might see him once more. Having obtained a license from the English Government, she went by sea to Bordeaux, but landed there in such a state that she could travel only by boat or in a litter. Her father, in spite of his infirmities, set out from Montpellier to meet her; and she, with he impatience which is often the sign of approaching death, hastened towards him. Those who were about her in vain implored her to travel slowly. She said that every hour was precious, that the only wished to see her papa and to She met him at Toulouse, emraced him, received from his hand the acred bread and wine, and thanked Fod that they had passed one day in ach other's society before they parted or ever. She died that night.

It was some time before even the trong mind of Atterbury recovered from this cruel blow. As soon as he was himself again he became eager for action and conflict; for grief, which disposes gentle natures to retirement, o inaction, and to meditation, only makes restless spirits more restless. The Pretender, dull and bigoted as he as, had found out that he had not cted wisely in parting with one who, hough a heretic, was, in abilities and accomplishments, the foremost man of he Jacobite party. The bahop was

to a close. been saved from oblivion by the Dun- tory of England. ciad, of having, in concert with other Christ-Church-men, garbled Clarendon's History of the Rebellion. charge, as respected Atterbury, had not the slightest foundation: for he was not one of the editors of the History, and never saw it till it was printed. He published a short vindication of himself, which is a model in its kind, luminous, temperate, and digwas impossible, the old man said, that that of Clarendon. ever been banished from their country with their friends by act of parliament. reading and writing were taught. But here the resemblance ended. One pleted his seventieth year.

and laid, with great privacy, under the Before he was ten, his sports were innave of Westminster Abbey. three mourners followed the coffin. No and his sleep was disturbed by dreams inscription marks the grave. That the of fiends trying to fly away with him. epitaph with which Pope honoured the As he grew older, his mental conflicts memory of his friend does not appear became still more violent. The strong on the wells of the great national ceme- language in which he described them tery is no subject of regret : for nothing has strangely misled all his biographers worse was ever written by Colley except Mr. Southey. It has long been Cilmer.

courted back, and was without much collect it from his sermons and his condifficulty induced to return to Paris troversial writings, from the report of and to become once more the phantom the parliamentary proceedings against minister of a phantom monarchy. But him, which will be found in the State his long and troubled life was drawing Trials, from the five volumes of his To the last, however, his correspondence, edited by Mr. Nichols, intellect retained all its keenness and and from the first volume of the Stuart vigour. He learned, in the ninth year papers, edited by Mr. Glover. A very of his banishment, that he had been indulgent but a very interesting account accused by Oldmixon, as dishonest and of the bishop's political career will be malignant a scribbler as any that has found in Lord Mahon's valuable His-

### JOHN BUNYAN. (MAY 1851.)

John Bunyan, the most popular religious writer in the English language, was born at Elstow, about a mile from nified. A copy of this little work he Bedford, in the year 1528. He may sent to the Pretender, with a letter be said to have been born a tinker. The singularly eloquent and graceful. It tinkers then formed an hereditary caste. hich was held in no high estimation. he should write anything on such a They were generally vagrants and pilsubject without being reminded of the ferers, and were often confounded with resemblance between his own fate and the gipsies, whom in truth they nearly They were the resembled. Bunyan's father was more only two English subjects that had respectable than most of the tribe. He had a fixed residence, and was able to and debarred from all communication send his son to a village school where

The years of John's boyhood were of the exiles had been so happy as to those during which the puritan spirit bear a chief part in the restoration of was in the highest vigour all over Engthe Royal house. All that the other land; and nowhere had that spirit could now do was to die asserting the more influence than in Bedfordshire. rights of that house to the last. A few It is not wonderful, therefore, that a weeks after this letter was written lad to whom nature had given a power-Atterbury died. He had just com- ful imagination, and sensibility which amounted to a disease, should have His body was brought to England, been early haunted by religious terrors. Only terrupted by fits of remorse and despair; an ordinary practice with pious writers Those who wish for more complete to cite Bunyan as an instance of the information about Atterbury may easily supernatural power of divine grace to

rescue the human soul from the lowes: depths of wickedness. He is called in one book the most notorious of profligates; in another, the brand plucked from the burning. Ho is designated in Mr. Ivimey's History of the Baptist: as the deprayed Bunyan, the wicker tinker of Elstow. Mr. Ryland, a ma: once of great note among the Dissent ers, breaks out into the following rhapsody :-- "No man of common sense and common integrity can deny that Bun yan was a practical atheist, a worthless contemptible infidel, a vile rebel to God and goodness, a common profligate, a soul-despising, a soul-murdering, soul-damning, thoughtless wretch as could exist on the face of the earth Now be astonished, O heavens, to eternity! and wonder, O earth and hell while time endures. Behold this very man become a miracle of mercy, a mirror of wisdom, goodness, holiness, truth, and love." But whoever takes the trouble to examine the evidence will find that the good men who wrote this had been deceived by a phraseology which, as they had been hearing it and using it all their lives, they ought to have understood better. There cannot be a greater mistake than to infer, from the strong expressions in which a devout man bemoans his exceeding sinfulness, that he has led worse life than his neighbours. Many excellent persons, whose moral character from boyhood to old age has been free from any stain discernible to their fellow-creatures, have, in their autobiographies and diaries, applied to themselves, and doubtless with sincerity. epithets as severe as could be applied to Titus Oates or Mrs. Brownrigg. It is quite certain that Bunyan was, at cighteen, what, in any but the most austerely puritanical circles, would have been considered as a young man of singular gravity and innocence. Indeed, it may be remarked that he, like many other penitents who, in general terms, acknowledge themselves to have been the worst of mankind, fired up and stood vigorously on his defence, whenever any particular charge was from the town. Bunyan ever after brought against him by others. He onsidered himself as having been declares, it is true, that he had let saved from death by the special inter-

loose the reins on the neck of his lusts, that he had delighted in all transgressions against the divine law, and that he had been the ringleader of the youth of Elstow in all manner of vice. But, when those who wished him ill accused him of licentious amours, he called on God and the angels to attest his purity. No woman, he said, in heaven, earth, or hell, could charge him with having ever made any improper advances to Not only had he been strictly faithful to his wife; but he had, even before his marriage, been perfectly spotless. It does not appear from his own confessions, or from the railings of his enemies, that he ever was drunk in his life. One bad habit he contracted, that of using profane language; but he tells us that a single reproof cured him so effectually that he never offended again. The worst that can be laid to the charge of this poor youth, whom it has been the fashion to represent as the most desperate of reprobates, as a village Rochester, is that he had a great liking for some diversions, quite harmless in themselves, but condemned by the rigid precisians among whom he lived, and for whose opinion he had a great respect. The four chief sins of which he was guilty were dancing, ringing the bells of the parish hurch, playing at tipcat, and reading he history of Sir Revis of Southamp-A rector of the school of Laud would have held such a young man up o the whole parish as a model. But Bunyan's notions of good and evil had been learned in a very different school; nd he was made miserable by the conflict between his tastes and his scruples.

When he was about seventeen, the rdinary course of his life was interrupted by an event which gave a lastng colour to his thoughts. He enisted in the parliamentary army, and erved during the decisive campaign of 645. All that we know of his military career is that, at the siege of Lcicester, one of his comrades, who had aken his post, was killed by a shot

ference of Providence. impressed by the glimpse which he had last he loved to draw his illustrations of sacred things from camps and fortresses, from guns, drums, trumpets. flags of truce, and regiments arrayed, each under its own banner. His Greatheart, his Captain Boanerges, and his Captain Credence, are evidently portraits, of which the originals were among those martial saints who fought and expounded in Fairfax's army.

In a few months Bunyan returned home and married. His wife had some pious relations, and brought him as her only portion some pious books. And now his mind, excitable by nature, very imperfectly disciplined by education, and exposed, without any protection, to the infectious virulence of the enthusiasm which was then epidemic in England, began to be fearfully disordered. In outward things he soon became a strict Pharisce. He was constant in attendance at prayers and sermons. His favourite amusements were one after another relinquished, though not without many painful struggles. In the middle of a in his hand. He had heard a voice asking him whether he would leave his sins and go to heaven, or keep his sins and go to hell; and he had seen an awful countenance frowning on him from the sky. The odious vice of bellringing he renounced: but he still for er. a time ventured to go to the church tower and look on while others pulled the ropes. But soon the thought struck him that, if he persisted in such wickedness, the steeple would fall on his head; months clapsed before he had the fortitede to part with this darling sin. When this last sacrifice had been made, he was, even when tried by the maxims

It may be ob- reformation, yet finding in religion no served that his imagination was strongly pleasures to supply the place of the juvenile amusements which he had recaught of the pomp of war. To the linquished, he began to apprehend that he lay under some special malediction; and he was tormented by a succession of fantasies which seemed likely to drive him to suicide or to Bedlam.

> At one time he took it into his head. that all persons of Israelite blood would be saved, and tried to make out that he partook of that blood; but his hopes were speedily destroyed by his father, who seems to have had no ambition to be regarded as a Jew.

> At another time Bunyan was disturbed by a strange dilemma: "If I have not faith, I am lost; if I have faith, I can work miracles." He was tempted to cry to the puddles between Elstow and Bedford, "Be ye dry," and to stake his eternal hopes on the event.

> Then he took up a notion that the day of grace for Bedford and the neighbouring villages was past; that all who were to be saved in that part of England were already converted; and that he had begun to pray and strive some months too late.

Then he was harassed by doubts game at tipcat he paused, and stood whether the Turks were not in the staring wildly upwards with his stick right, and the Christians in the wrong. Then he was troubled by a maniacal impulse which prompted him to pray to the trees, to a broomstick, to the parish bull. As yet, however, he was only entering the Valley of the Shadow of Death. Soon the darkness grew thick-Hideous forms floated before him. Sounds of cursing and wailing were in his ears. His way ran through stench and fire, close to the mouth of the bottomless pit. He began to be haunted by a strange curiosity about the unparand he fled in terror from the accursed donable sin, and by a morbid longing place. To give up dancing on the vil- to commit it. But the most frightful lage green was still harder; and some of all the forms which his disease took was a propensity to utter blasphemy, and especially to renounce his share in the benefits of the redemption. Night and day, in bed, at table, at work, evil of that austere time, faultless. All spirits, as he imagined, were repeating Elstow talked of him as an eminently close to his ear the words, "Sellhim, sell pious youth. But his own mind was thim." He struck at the hobgoblins; he more unquiet than ever. Having no- pushed them from him; but still they thing more to do in the way of visible were ever at his side. He cried out in an-

sign set on the worst reprobates, the sign which God had put on Cain/ The in moral as in physical diseases. type.

well have produced fatal consequences. fanatic. "I am afraid that you have."

God. Years clapsed, however, before his gift; but that his real gift was skill his nerves, which had been so perilin repairing old kettles. He was comously overstrained, recovered their tone. pared to Alexander the coppersmith.

swer to them, hour after hour: "Never, When he had joined a Baptist society never; not for thousands of worlds; at Bedford, and was for the first time not for thousands." At length, worn admitted to partake of the Eucharist, out by this long agony, he suffered the it was with difficulty that he could refatal words to escape him, "Let him frain from imprecating destruction on go, if he will." Then his misery be- his brethren while the cup was passing came more fearful than ever. He had from hand to hand. After he had been done what could not be forgiven. He some time a member of the congregahad forfeited his part of the great sa- tion, he began to preach; and his ser-Like Esau, he had sold his mons produced a powerful effect. He birthright; and there was no longer was indeed illiterate; but he spoke to any place for repentance. "None," he illiterate men. The severe training afterwards wrote, "knows the terrors through which he had passed had given of those days but myself," He has him such an experimental knowledge described his sufferings with singular of all the modes of religious melancholy energy, simplicity, and pathos. He as he could never have gathered from envied the brutes; he envied the very books; and his vigorous genius, anistones in the street, and the tiles on the mated by a fervent spirit of devotion, houses. The sun seemed to withhold enabled him, not only to exercise a its light and warmth from him. His great influence over the vulgar, but body, though cast in a sturdy mould, even to extort the half contemptuous and though still in the highest vigour admiration of scholars. Yet it was of youth, trembled whole days together long before he ceased to be tormented with the fear of death and judgment. by an impulse which urged him to utter He fancied that this trembling was the words of horrible impicty in the pulpit.

Counter-irritants are of as great use unhappy man's emotion destroyed his should seem that Bunyan was finally power of digestion. He had such pains relieved from the internal sufferings that he expected to burst asunder like which had embittered his life by sharp Judas, whom he regarded as his proto- persecution from without. He had been five years a preacher, when the Restora-Neither the books which Bunyan tion put it in the power of the Cavalier read, nor the advisers whom he con- gentlemen and clergymen all over the sulted, were likely to do much good in country to oppress the Dissenters ; and, a case like his. His small library had of all the Dissenters whose history is received a most unseasonable addition, known to us, he was perhaps the most the account of the lamentable end of hardly treated. In November 1660, Francis Spira. One ancient man of he was flung into Bedford gaod; and high repute for piety, whom the sufferer there he remained, with some intervals consulted, gave an opinion which might of partial and precarious liberty, during twelve years. His persecutors tried "I am afraid," said Bunyan, "that I to extort from him a promise that he have committed the sin against the would abstain from preaching; but he Holy Ghost," "Indeed," said the old was convinced that he was divinely set apart and commissioned to be a teacher At length the clouds broke; the of righteousness; and he was fully delight became clearer and clearer; and termined to obey God rather than man. the enthusiast, who had imagined that He was brought before several toibuhe was branded with the mark of the nals, laughed at, caressed, reviled, first murderer, and destined to the end menaced, but in vain. He was faceof the arch traitor, enjoyed peace and tiously told that he was quite right a cheerful confidence in the mercy of in thinking that he ought not to hide

answer was, "If you let me out to-day, senters. I will preach again to-morrow." Year after year he lay patiently in a dun as somewhat too fond and indulgent a twelfth month. parent. He had several small children, do nothing in the way of his old trade alehouse. of these articles were furnished by him to the hawkers. While his hands were Bishop of Gloucester, an excellent man, thus busied, he had other employment for his mind and his lips. He gave religious instruction to his fellow-caphave been called a living concordance; Independents. mystical Babylon.

covered where his strength lay, his no polemical writer has ever surpassed. writings were not unsuccessful. They were coarse, indeed; but they showed confinement seems to have been strict.

He was told that, if he would give up the homely mother tongue, an intimate preaching, he should be instantly libe- knowledge of the English Bible, and a rated. He was warned that, if he per- vast and dearly bought spiritual expesisted in disobeying the law, he would rience. They therefore, when the corbe liable to banishment, and that, if he rector of the press had improved the were found in England after a certain syntax and the spelling, were well retime, his neck would be stretched. His ceived by the humbler class of Dis-

Much of Bunyan's time was spent in controversy. He wrote sharply against geon, compared with which the worst the Quakers, whom he seems always to prison now to be found in the island is have held in utter abhorrence. It is, a palace. His fortitude is the more ex- however, a remarkable fact that he traordinary, because his domestic feel- adopted one of their peculiar fashions: ings were unusually strong. Indeed, he his practice was to write, not November was considered by his stern brethren or December, but eleventh month and

He wrote against the liturgy of the and among them a daughter who was Church of England. No two things, blind, and whom he loved with peculiar according to him, had less affinity than tenderness. He could not, he said, bear the form of prayer and the spirit of even to let the wind blow on her; and prayer. Those, he said with much now she must suffer cold and hunger; point, who have most of the spirit of she must beg; she must be beaten; prayer are all to be found in gaol; and "yet," he added, "I must, I must do those who have most zeal for the form While he lay in prison he could of prayer are all to be found at the The doctrinal articles, on for the support of his family. He de- the other hand, he warmly praised, and termined, therefore, to take up a new defended against some Arminian cler-He learned to make long tag- gymen who had signed them. The most ged thread laces; and many thousands acrimonious of all his works is his an-

to Edward Fowler, afterwards but not free from the taint of Pelagianism.

Bunyan had also a dispute with tives, and formed from among them a some of the chiefs of the sect to which little flock, of which be was himself the he belonged. He doubtless held with paston. He studied indefatigably the perfect sincerity the distinguishing few books which he possessed. His two tenet of that sect; but he did not chief companions were the Bible and consider that tenet as one of high im-Fox's Book of Martyrs. His knowledge portance, and willingly joined in comof the Bible was such that he might munion with quiet Presbyterians and The sterner Baptists, and on the margin of his copy of the therefore, loudly pronounced him a Rook of Markyrs are still legible the false brother. A controversy arose ill spelt lines of doggrel in which he ex- which long survived the original compressed his reverence for the brave suf- batants. In our own time the cause ferees, and his implacable enmity to the which Bunyan had defended with rude logic and thetoric against Kiffin and At length he began to write; and, Danvers was pleaded by Robert Hall though it was some time before he dis- with an ingenuity and eloquence such as of his persecutors. Like his own Christian in the cage, he found protectors The bishop of the diocese, Dr. Barlow, is said to have interceded for him. At length the prisoner was suffered to pass seem, that he remained within the town of Bedford.

He owed his complete liberation to one of the worst acts of one of the worst governments that England has ever seen. In 1671 the Cabal was in power. Charles II. had concluded the treaty by which he bound himself to set up the Roman Catholic religion in England. The first step which he took towards that end was to annul, by an unconstitutional exercise of his prerogative, all the penal statutes against the Roman Catholics; and, in order to disguise his real design, he annulled at the same time the penal statutes against Protestant renconformists. Bunyan was consequently set at large. In the first warmth of his gratitude he published a tract in which he compared Charles to that humane and generous Persian king who, though not himself blessed with the light of the true religion, favoured the chosen people, and permitted them, after years of captivity, to rebuild their beloved temple. To candid men, who consider how much Bunyan had suffered, and how little he could guess the secret designs of the court, the unsuspicious thankfulness with which he accepted the precious boon of freedom will not appear to require any apology.

Before he left his prison he had begun the book which has made his name immortal. The history of that book is remarkable. The author was, as he tells us, writing a treatise, in which he

But, as the passions of 1660 cooled, as compared it, to a pilgrimage. Soon the hatred with which the Puritans had his quick wit discovered innumerable been regarded while their reign was points of similarity which had escaped recent gave place to pity, he was less his predccessors. Images came crowd-and less harshly treated. The distress ing on his mind faster than he could of his family, and his own patience, put them into words, quagmires and courage, and piety softened the hearts pits, steep hills, dark and horrible glens, soft vales, sunny pastures, a gloomy castle of which the courtyard even among the crowd of Vanity Fair, was strewn with the skulls and bones of murdered prisoners, a town all bustle and splendour, like London on the Lord Mayor's Day, and the narrow most of his time beyond the walls of path, straight as a rule could make it, the gaol, on condition, as it should running on up hill and down hill, through city and through wilderness, to the Black River and the Shining Gate. He had found out, as most people would have said, by accident, as he would doubtless have said, by the guidance of Providence, where his powers lay. He had no suspicion, indeed, that he was producing a masterpiece. He could not guess what place his allegory would occupy in English literature; for of English literature he knew nothing. Those who suppose him to have studied the Fairy Queen might easily be confuted, if this were the proper place for a detailed examination of the passages in which the two allegories have been thought to resemble each other. The only work of fiction, in all probability, with which he could compare his Pilgrim, was his old favourite, the legend of Sir Bevis of Southampton. He would have thought it a sin to borrow any time from the serious business of his life, from his expositions, his controversies, and his lace tags, for the purpose of amusing himself with what he considered merely as a trifle. It was only, he assures us, at spare moments that he returned to the House Beautiful, the Delectable Mountains, and the Euchanted Ground. He had no assist-Nobody but himself saw a line till the whole was complete. He then consulted his pious friends. Some were pleased. Others were much scandalised. It was a vain story, a mere romance, about giants, and lions, and goblins, had occasion to speak of the stages of and warriors, sometimes fighting with the Christian progress. He compared monsters and sometimes regard by that progress, as many others had fair ladies in stately palaces. The loo

atheistical wits at Will's might write represented Clristian thrusting his fiction to make truth clear and goodness attractive, he was only following the example which every Christian ought to propose to himself; and he determined to print.

The Pilgrim's Progress stole silently into the world. Not a single copy of the first edition is known to be in existence. The year of publication has not been ascertained. It is probable that, during some months, the little volume circulated only among poor and obscure sectaries. But soon the irresistible charm of a book which gratified the imagination of the reader with all the action and scenery of a fairy tale, which exercised his ingenuity by setting him to discover a multitude of curious analogies, which interested his feelings for human beings, frail like himself, and struggling with temptations from within and from without, which every moment drew a smile from him by some stroke of quaint yet simple pleasantry, and nevertheless left on his mind a sentiment of reverence for God and of sympathy for man, began to produce its effect. In puritanical circles, from which plays and novels were strictly excluded, that effect was such as no work of genius, though it were superior to the Iliad, to Don Quixote, or to Othello, can ever produce on a mind accustomed to indulge in literary edition with additions; and then the been called in; and tens of thousands quarrels. The magistrates seem in of children looked with terror and dejencial to have given him little troulight on execrable copper plates, which ble. But there is reason to believe

such stuff to divert the painted Jeze- sword into Apollyon, or writhing in bels of the court: but did it become a the grasp of Giant Despair. In Scotminister of the gospel to copy the evil land, and in some of the colonies, the fashions of the tworld? There had Pilgrim was even more popular than been a time when the cant of such fools in his native country. Bunyan has would have made Bunyan miscrable. told us, with very pardonable vanity, But that time was passed; and his that in New England his dream was mind was now in a firm and healthy the daily subject of the conversation of He saw that, in employing thousands, and was thought worthy to appear in the most superb binding. He had numerous admirers in Holland, and among the Huguenots of France. With the pleasures, however, he experienced some of the pains of eminence. Knavish booksellers put forth volumes of trash under his name; and envious scribblers maintained it to be impossible that the poor ignorant tinker should really be the author of the book which was called his.

He took the best way to confound both those who counterfeited him and those who slandered him. He continued to work the gold-field which he had discovered, and to draw from it new treasures, not indeed with quite such ease and in quite such abundance as when the precious soil was still virgin, but yet with success which left all competition far behind. In 1681 appeared the second part of the Pilgrim's Progrets." It was soon followed by the "Holy War," which, if the "Pilgrim's Progress" did not exist, would be the best allegory that ever was written.

Bunyan's place in society was now very different from what it had been. There had been a time when many Dissenting ministers, who could talk Latin and read Greek, had affected to treat him with scorn. But his fame and influence now far exceeded theirs. He had so great an authority among luxury. In 1678 came forth a second the Baptists that he was popularly alled Bishop Bunyan. His episcopal demand became immense. In the four visitations were annual. From Bedford following years the book was reprinted he rode every year to London, and six times. The eighth edition, which preached there to large and attentive contains the last improvements made congregations. From Londor he went by the author, was published in 1682, his circuit through the country, animatthe ninth in 1684, the tenth in 1685. ing the zeal of his brethren, collecting The help of the engraver had early and distributing alms, and making up

that, in the year 1685, he was in some to ride through heavy rain. rash and wicked enterprise of Monmouth gave the Government a pretext for prosecuting the Nonconformists and scarcely one eminent divine of the Presbyterian, Independent, or Baptis persuasion remained unmolested. Baxter was in prison: Howe was driven into exile: Henry was arrested. Two eminen Baptists, with whom Bunyan had been engaged in controversy, were in great danger of being hanged; and Kaffin's grandsons were actually hanged. The tradition is that, during those evil days. Progress." Bunyan was forced to disguise himsel frock, with a cart-whip in his hand sary to court the Dissenters. Some of of great literary eminence. to secure the aid of Bunyan. They the wretched D'Urfey. servation, and commerce with the world him. fessed Papist. The object of Charles's cottage and the servants' hall. of James's indulgence was patent. Bunyan was not deceived. He exhorted his hearers to prepare themselves by fasting and prayer for the danger which menaced their civil and religious liberties, and refused even to speak to the courtier who came down to remodel the corporation of Bedford, and who, as was supposed, had it in charge to offer some municipal dignity to the Bishop of the Baptists.

Bunyanedid not live to see the Revolution. In the summer of 1698 he under- not to be numbered. It has been done took to plead the cause of a son with an into verse: it has been done into modern angry father, and at length prevailed English. "The Pilgrimage of Tender on the old man not to disinherit the Conscience," the "Pilgrimage of Good young one. This good work cost the Intent," "The Pilgrimage of Treek benevolent intercessor his life. He had Truth," "The Pilgrimage of Theoryi-

He came danger of again occupying his old quar- drenched to his lodgings on Show Hill, ters in Bedford gaol. In that year the was seized with a violent fever, and died in a few days. He was buried in Bunhill Fields; and the spot where he lies is still regarded by the Nonconformists with a feeling which seems scarcely in narmony with the stern spirit of their theology. Many puritans, to whom the respect paid by Roman Catholics to the reliques and tombs of saints seemed childish or sinful, are said to have begged with their peril and distress. Danvers was in dying breath that their coffins might be placed as near as possible to the office of the author of the "Pilgrim's

The fame of Bunyan during his life. as a waggoner, and that he preached to and during the century which followed his congregation at Bedford in a smock- his death, was indeed great, but was almost entirely confined to religious fa-But soon a great change took place. milies of the middle and lower classes. James the Segond was at open war Very seldom was he during that time with the Church, and found it neces- mentioned with respect by any writer the creatures of the government tried coupled his prose with the poetry of In the Spiriprobably knew that he had written in tual Quixote, the adventures of Chrispraise of the indulgence of 1672, and tian are ranked with those of Jack the therefore hoped that he might be Giant-Killer and John Hickathrift. equally pleased with the indulgence of Cowper ventured to praise the great 1687. But fifteen years of thought, ob- allegorist, but did not venture to name It is a significant circumstance had made him wiser. Nor were the that, till a recent period, all the nume-cases exactly parallel. Charles was a rous editions of the "Pilgrim's Proprofessed Protestant: James was a pro- | gress" were evidently meant for the indulgence was disguised: the object paper, the printing, the plates, were all of the meanest description. In general, when the educated minority and the common people differ about the merit of a book, the opinion of the educated minority finally prevails. The "Pilgrim's Progress" is perhaps the only book about which, after the lapse of a hundred years, the educated minority has come over to the opinion of the common people.

The attempts which have been myde to improve and to imitate this book are

lus," "The Infant Pilgrim," "The Hindoo Pilgrim," are among the many feeble copies of the great original. But the peculiar glory of Bunyan is that Virgin in the title page. On the other hand, those Antinomians for whom his Calvinism is not strong enough may study the pilgrimage of Hephzibah, in which nothing will be found which can be construed into an admission of free agency and universal redemption. But the most extraordinary of all the acts of Vandalism by which a fine work of art was ever defaced was committed so late as the year 1853. It was determined to transform the "Pilgrim's Progress "into a Tractarian book. The task was not easy: for it was necessary to make the two sacraments the most prominent objects in the allegory; and of all Christian theologians, avowed Quakers excepted, Bunyan was the one in whose system the sacraments held the least prominent place. However, the Wicket Gate became a type of Baptism, and the House Beautiful of the Eucharist. The effect of this change is such as assuredly the ingenious person who made it never contemplated. For, as not a single pilgrim passes through the Wicket Gate in infancy, and as l'aithful hurries past the House Brautiful without stopping, the lesson, which the fable in its altered shape teaches, is that none but adults ought to be baptised, and that the Eucharist may safely be neglected. Nobody would have discovered from the original "Pilgrim's Progress" that the author was not a Pædobaptist. To turn his book into a book against Pædobaptism was an achievement reserved for an Anglo-Catholic divine. Such blunders must necessarily be committed by every man who mutilates parts of a great work, without taking a comprehensive view of the whole.

# OLIVER GOLDSMITH.

(FEBRUARY 1856.)

those who most hated his doctrines OLIVER GOLDSMITH, one of the most have tried to borrow the help of his pleasing English writers of the eigh-genius. A Catholic version of his par-teenth century, He was of a Protesable may be seen with the head of the tant and Saxon family which had been long settled in Ircland, and which had, like most other Protestant and Saxon families, been, in troubled times, harassed and put in fear by the native population. His father, Charles Goldsmith, studied in the reign of Queen Anne at the diocesan school of Elphin, became attached to the daughter of the schoolmaster, married her, took orders, and settled at a place called Pallas in the county of Longford. There he with difficulty supported his wife and children on what he could earn, partly as a curate and partly as a farmer.

"At Pallas Oliver Goldsmith was borne in November 1728. That spot was then, for all practical purposes, almost as remote from the busy and splendid capital in which his later years were passed, as any clearing in Upper Canada or any sheep-walk in Australasia now is. Even at this day those enthusiasts who venture to make a pilgrimage to the birthplace of the poet are forced to perform the latter part of their journey on foot. The hamlet lies far from any high road, on a dreary plain which, in wet weather, is often a lake. The lanes would break any jaunting car to pieces; and there are ruts and sloughs through which the most strongly built wheels cannot be

dragged.

While Oliver was still a child, his father was presented to a living worth about 2001. a year, in the county of Westmeath. The family accordingly quitted their cottage in the wilderness for a spacious house on a frequented road, near the village of Lissoy. Here the boy was taught his letters by a maid-servant, and was sent in his seventh year to a village school kept by an old quartermaster on half-pay, who professed to teach nothing but reading, writing, and arithmetic, but who hadan inexhaustible fund of stories about ghoses, banshees and fairies, about the great Rapparee chiefs, Baldearg O'Don-

nell and galloping Hog: In, and about the exploits of Peterborough and Stanhope, the surprise of Monjuich, and the glorious disaster of Bribuega. This man must have been of the Protestant religion; but he was of the aboriginal race, and not only spoke the Irish language, but could pour forth unpremeditated Irish verses. Oliver early became, and through life continued to be, a passionate admirer of the Irish music, and especially of the compositions of Carolan, some of the last notes of whose .harp he heard. It ought to be added that Oliver, though by birth one of the Englishry, and though connected by numerous ties with the Established Church, never showed the least sign of that contemptuous antipathy with which, in his days, the ruling minority in Ireland too generally regarded the subject majority. So far indeed was he from sharing in the opinions and feelings of the caste to which he belonged, that he conceived an aversion to the Glorious and Immortal Memory, and, even when George the Third was on the throne, maintained that nothing but the restoration of the banished dynasty could save the country.

From the humble academy kept by the old soldier Goldsmith was removed in his ninth year. He went to several grammar-schools, and acquired some knowledge of the ancient languages. His life at this time seems to have been far from happy. He had, as appears ifrom the admirable portrait of him at Knowle, features harsh even to ugli-The small-pox had set its mark on him with more than usual severity. His stature was small, and his limbs ill put together. Among boys little tenderness is shown to personal defects; and the ridicule excited by poor Oliver's appearance was heightened by a peculiar simplicity and a disposition to blunder which he retained to the last. He became the common butt of boys and musters, was pointed at as a fright in the play-ground, and flogged as a When he dunce in the school-room. When he are glass on which the name is written had risen to eminence, those who had has, as we are informed by a writer in lotes once derided him ransacked their mediand Queries (2nd S. ix. p. 91), been inclosed in dunge in the school-room. once derided him ransacked their meand recited repartees and couplets Jeen.

which had dropped from him, and which, though little noticed at the time, were supposed, a quarter of a century later, to indicate the powers which produced the Vicar of Wakefield" and the "Deserted Village."

In his seventeenth year Oliver went up to Trinity College, Dublin, as a sizar. The sizars paid nothing for food and tuition, and very little for lodging; but they had to perform some menial services from which they have long been relieved. They swept the court: they carried up the dinner to the fellows' table, and changed the plates and poured out the ale of the rulers of the society. Goldsmith was quartered, not alone, in a garret, on the window of which his name, scrawled by himself, is still read with interest.\* such garrets many men of less parts than his have made their way to the woolsack or to the episcopal bench. But Goldsmith, while he suffered all the humiliations, threw away all the advantages, of his situation. He neglected the studies of the place, stood low at the examinations, was turned down to the bottom of his class for playing the buffoon in the lecture room, was severely reprimanded for pumping on a constable, and was caned by a brutal tutor for giving a ball in the attic story of the college to some gay youths and damsels from the city.

While Oliver was leading at Dublin a life divided between squalid distress and squalid dissipation, his father died. leaving a mere pittance. The youth obtained his bachelor's degree, and left the university. During some time the humble dwelling to which his widowed mother had retired was his home. was now in his twenty-first year ; it was necessary that he should do something; and his education seemed to have fitted him to do nothing but to dress himself in gaudy colours, of which he was as fond as a magpie, to take a hand at cards, to sing Irish airs, to play the flute, to angle in summer, and to tell

mory for the events of his early years, of the College Library, where it is still to be

tried five or six professions in turn without success. He applied for ordination; but, as he applied in scarlet clothes, he was speedily turned out of the episcopal palace. He then became tutor in an opulent family, but soon quitted his situation in consequence of a dispute about play. Then he determined to emigrate to America. relations, with much satisfaction, saw him set out for Cork on a good horse with thirty pounds in his pocket. But in six weeks he came back on a miserable hack, without a penny, and informed his mother that the ship in which he had taken his passage, having got a fair wind while he was at a party of pleasure, had sailed without him. Then he resolved to study the A generous kinsman advanced fifty pounds. With this sum Goldsmith went to Dublin, was enticed into a gaming house, and lost every shilling. He then thought of medicine. A small purse was made up; and in his twentyfourth year he was sent to Edinburgh. At Edinburgh he passed eighteen months in nominal attendance on lectures, and picked up some superficial information about chemistry and natural history. Thence he went to Leyden, still pretending to study physic. He left that celebrated university, the third university at which he had resided, in his twenty-seventh year, without a degree, with the merest smattering of medical knowledge, and with no property but his clothes and his flute. His flute, however, proved a useful friend. He rambled on foot through Flanders, France, and Switzerland, playing tunes which everywhere set the peasantry dancing, and which often procured for him a supper and a bed. He wandered as far as Italy. musical performances, indeed, were not to the taste of the Italians; but he contriged to live on the alms which he obtained at the gates of convents. It stories which he told about this part part of a bed was no more. great caution; for strict veracity was drudgery of literature. Goldsmith took new rone of his virtues; and a many a garret in a miscrable court, to which who is a marrial in a marrial he had to climb from the brink of

ghost stories by the fire in winter. He tion is likely to be more than ordinarily inaccurate when he talks about his own travels. Goldsmith, indeed, was so regardless of truth as to assert in print that he was present at a most interesting conversation between Voltaire and Fontenelle, and that this conversation took place at Paris. Now it is certain that Voltaire never was within a hundred leagues of Paris during the whole time which Goldsmith passed on the Continent.

In 1756 the wanderer landed at Do-

ver, without a shilling, without a friend, and without a calling. He had, indeed, if his own unsupported evidence may be trusted, obtained from the University of Padua a doctor's degree; but this dignity proved utterly useless to him. In England his flute was not in request: there were no convents; and he was forced to have recourse to a series of desperate expedients. turned strolling player; but his face and figure were ill suited to the boards even of the humblest theatre. pounded drugs and ran about London with phials for charitable chemists. He joined a swarm of beggars, which made its nest in Axe Yard. He was for a time usher of a school, and felt the miseries and humiliations of this situation so keenly that he thought it a promotion to be permitted to earn his bread as a bookseller's hack; but he soon found the new yoke more galling than the old one, and was glad to become an usher again. He obtained a medical appointment in the service of the East India Company; but the appointment was speedily revoked. Why it was revoked we are not told. subject was one on which he never liked to talk. It is probable that he was incompetent to perform the duties of the place. Then he presented himself at Surgeons' Hall for examination, as mate to a naval hospital. Even to so humble a post he was found unequal. By this time the schoolmaster whom he had should, however, be observed that the served for a morsel of food and the third of his life ought to be received with remained but to return to the lowest

#### OLIVER GOLDSMITH.

Fleet Ditch by a dizzy ladder of flag- he had seen than some grotesque inci-. court and the ascent have long disappeared; but old Londoners will relike a galley slave.

to the press some things which have survived and many which have perished. He produced articles for reviews, magazines, and newspapers; children's adorned with hideous woodcuts, ap- turesque, his humour rich and joyous, peared in the window of the once farfamed shop at the corner of Sa the State of Polite Learning in Europe," but very readable, "History of Eng- proach of great capitals. land," in a series of letters purporting son; and some very lively and amusing widened. He was introduced to John-"Sketches of London Society," in a son, who was then considered as the series of letters purporting to be ad- first of living English writers; to Reydressed by a Chinese traveller to his nolds, the first of English painters; friends. All these works were anonymous: but soule of them were well parliament, but had distinguished himknown to be Goldsmith's; and he gradually rose in the estimation of the eloquence of his conversation. booksellers for whom he drudged. II was, indeed, emphatically a popular intimate. In 1763 he was one of the writer. For accurate research or grave disquisition he was not well qualified by nature or by education. He knew been called the Literary Club, but nothing accurately: his reading had been desultory; nor had he meditated thet, and still glories in the simple deeply on what he had read. He had name of The Club. seen much of the world; but he had no-

\* A gentleman, who states that he has known the neighbourhood for thirty years, corrects this account, and informs the present publisher that the Breakneck Steps, thirty-two in number, divided into two flights, are still in existence, and that, according to tradi-tion, Goldsmith's house was not on the steps, but was the first house at the head of the court, on the left hand, going from the Old Bailes. See Notes and Queries (2nd S. ix. 280). † Mr. Black has pointed out that this is in-

accurate: the life of Nash has been twice re- and Johnson, slways friendly, tho printed; once in Mr. Prior's edition (vol. iii. often, surly sent back the messed p. 249), and once in Mr. Cunningham's edition

(vol. iv. p. 35).

stones called Breakneck Steps. The dents and characters which had happened to strike his faucy. But, though his mind was very scantily stored with member both.\* Hore, at thirty, the materials, he used what materials he unlucky adventurer sat down to toi had in such a way as to produce a wonderful effect. There have been many In the succeeding six years he sent greater writers; but perhaps no writer was ever more uniformly agreeable. His style was always pure and easy, and, on proper occasions, pointed and energetic. His narratives were always books which, bound in gilt paper and amusing, his descriptions always picyet not without an occasional tinge of amiable sadne About everything Paul's Churchyard; "An Inquiry into that he wrote, serious or sportive, there was a certain natural grace and decowhich, though of little or no value, is rum, hardly to be expected from a still reprinted among his works; a man a great part of whose life had been "Life of Beau Nash," which is not passed among thieves and beggars, reprinted, though it well deserves to street-walkers and merry andrews, in be so; † a superficial and incorrect those squalid dens which are the re-

As his name gradually became to be addressed by a nobleman to his known, the circle of his acquaintance and to Burke, who had not yet entered self greatly by his writings and by the these eminent men Goldsmith became nine original members of that celebrated fraternity which has sometimes which has always disclaimed that epi-

By this time Goldsmith had quitted ticed and retained little more of what his miserable dwelling at the top of Breakneck Steps, and had taken chambers in the more civilized region of the Inns of Court. But he was still often reduced to pitiable shifts. Towards Towards the close of 1764 his rent was so ling in arrear that his landlady one morning called in the help of a sheriff's offi-The debtor, in great perplexity, despatched a messenger to Johns? often surly, sent back the messel

with a guinea, and promised to fol.

speedily. He came, and found tha Goldsmith had changed the guinea, and was railing at the landlady over a bottle of Madeira. Johnson put the cork into the bottle, and entreated his friend to consider calmly how money was to be procured. Goldsmith said that he had a novel ready for the press. Johnson glanced at the manuscript, saw that there were good things in it, took it to a bookseller, sold it for 60l., and The soon returned with the money. rent was paid; and the sheriff's officer withdrew. According to one story, Goldsmith gave his landlady a sharp reprimand for her treatment of him; according to another, he insisted on her joining him in a bowl of punch. Both stories are probably true. The novel which was thus ushered into the world was the "Vicar of Wakefield."

But, before the "Vicar of Wakefield" appeared in print, came the great crisis of Goldsmith's literary life. In Christmas week, 1764, he published a poem, entitled the "Traveller." It was the first work to which he had put his name; and it at once raised him to the rank of a legitimate English classic. The opinion of the most skilful critics was, that nothing finer had appeared in verse since the fourth book of the "Dunciad." In one respect the "Traveller" differs from all Goldsmith's other writings. In general his designs were bad, and his execution good. the "Traveller," the execution, though deserving of much praise, is far inferior to the design. No philosophical poem, ancient or modern, has a plan so noble, and at the same time so simple. English wanderer, seated on a crag among the Alps, near the point where three great countries meet, looks down on the boundless prospect, reviews his long pilgrimage, recalls the varieties of scenery, of climate, of government, of religion, of national character, which he has observed, and comes to the conclusion, just or unjust, that our happiness depends little on political instiregulation of our own minds.

booksellers, the "Vicar of Wake-was all the mode.

field" appeared; and rapidly obtained a popularity which has lasted down to our own time, and which is likely to last as long as our language. fable is indeed one of the worst that ever was constructed. It wants, not merely that probability which ought to be found in a tale of common English life, but that consistency which ought to be found even in the wildest fiction about witches, giants, and fairies. But the earlier chapters have all the sweetness of pastoral poetry, together with all the vivacity of comedy. Moses and his spectacles, the vicar and his monogamy, the sharper and his cosmogony, the squire proving from Aristotle that relatives are related, Olivia preparing. herself for the arduous task of converting a rakish lover by studying the controversy between Robinson Crusoc and Friday, the great ladies with their scandal about Sir Torikyn's amours and Dr. Burdock's verses, and Mr. Burchell with his "Fudge," have caused as much harmless mirth as has ever been caused by matter packed into so small a number of pages. The latter part of the tale is unworthy of the beginning. As we approach the catastrophe, the absurdities he thicker and thicker; and the gleams of pleasantry become rarer and rarer.

The success which had attended Goldsmith as a novelist emboldened him to try his fortune as a dramatist. He wrote the "Goodnatured Man," a piece which had a worse fate than it deserved. Garrick refused to produce it at Drury Lane. It was acted at Covent Garden in 1768, but was coldly received. The author, however, cleared by his benefit nights, and by the sale of the copyright, no less than 500l., five times as much as he had made by the "Traveller" and the "Vicar of Wakefield" together. The plot of the "Goodnatured Man" is, like almost all Goldsmith's plots, very ill constructed. But some passages are exquisitely ludicrous; much more ludicrous, indeed, tations, and much on the temper and than suited the taste of the town at that time. A canting, mawkish play, ~ while the fourth edition of the intitled "False Delicacy," had just weller was on the counters of had an immense run. Sentimentality

more tears were shed at comedies than at tragedies; and a pleasantry which moved the audience to anything more than a grave smile was reprobated as low. It is not strange, therefore, that the very best scene in the "Goodnatured Man," that in which Miss Richa land finds her lover attended by the bailiff and the bailiff's follower in full court dresses, should have been mercilessly hissed, and should have been unitted after the first night.

In 1770 appeared the "Deserted Village." In mere diction and versification this celebrated poem is fully equal, perhaps superior, to the "Traveller;" and it is generally preferred to the "Traveller" by that large class of readers who think, with Bayes in the "Rehearsal," that the only use of a plan is to bring in fine things. More discerning judges, however, while they admire the beauty of the details, are shocked by one unpardonable fault which pervades the whole, The fault we mean is not that theory about wealth and luxury which has so often in en censured by political economists. The theory is indeed false: but the poem, considered merely as a poem, is not necessarily the worse on that ac-The finest poem in the Latin language, indeed the finest didactic poem in any language, was written in defence of the silliest and meanest of all systems of natural and moral philosophy. A poet may easily be pardoned for reasoning ill; but he cannot be pardoned for describing ill, for observing the world in which he lives so carelessly that his portraits bear no resemblance to the originals, for exhibiting as copies from real life monstrous combinations of things which never were and never could be found together. What would be thought of a painter who should mix August and January in one landscape, who should introduce a frozen river into a harvest scene? Would it be a sufficient defence of such a picture to say that every part was exquisitely coloured, that the green hedges, the apple-trees loaded with fruit, the waggons reeling under the But much profit. He compiled for yellow sheaves, and the sun-burned use of schools a "History of Rome, reapers wiping their forcheads, were by which he made 300%, a "History

very fine, and that the ice and the boys sliding were also very fine? To such a picture the "Deserted Village" bears a great resemblance. • It is made up of incongruous parts. The village in its happy days is a true English village. The village in its decay is an Irish village. The felicity and the misery which Goldsmith has brought close together belong to two different countries, and to two different stages in the progress of society. He had assuredly never seen in his native island such a rural paradise, such a seat of plenty, content, and tranquillity, as his "Auburn." He had assuredly never seen in England all the inhabitants of such a paradise turned out of their homes in one day and forced to emigrate in a body to America The hamlet he had probably seen in Kent; the ejectment he had probably seen in Munster: but, by joining the two, he has produced something which never was and never will be seen in any part of the world.

In 1773 Goldsmith tried his chance at Covent Garden with a second play. " She Stoops to Conquer." The manager was not without great difficulty induced to bring this piece out. The sent mental comedy still reigned; and Goldsmith's comedies were not sentimental. The "Goodnatured Man" had been too funny to succeed; yet the mirth of the "Goodnatured Man" was sober when compared with the rich drollery of "She Stoops to Conquer," which is, in truth, an incomparable farce in five acts. On this occasion, however, genius triumphed. Pit, boxes, and gallevies, were in a constant roar of laughter. If any bigoted admirer of Kelly and Cumberland ventured to hiss or groan, he was speedily silenced by a general cry of "turn him out," or "throw him over." Two generations have since confirmed the verdict which was pronounced on that night

While Goldsmith was writing the "Deserted Village," and "She Stoops to Conquer," he was employed on works of a very different kind, works from which he derived little reputation?

received 250l., a "Natural History," he produced without any elaborate research, by merely selecting, abridging, and translating into his own clear, pure, books well known to the world, but too bulky or too dry for boys and girls. He committed some strange blunders; for he knew nothing with accuracy. Thus in his "History of England," he tells us that Naseby is in Yorkshire; nor did he correct this mistake when the book was reprinted. He was very nearly hoaxed into putting into the "History of Greece" an account of a battle between Alexander the Great and Montezuma. In his "Animated Nature" he relates, with faith and with perfect gravity, all the most absurd lies which he could find in books of travels about gigantic Patagonians. monkeys that preach sermons, nightingales that repeat long conversations. "If he can tell a horse from a cow," said Johnson, "that is the extent of his knowledge of zoology." How little Goldsmith was qualified to write about the physical sciences is sufficiently proved by two anecdotes. He on one occasion denied that the sun is longer in the northern than in the southern signs. It was vain to cite the authority of Maupertuis. "Maupertuis!" he cried, "I understand those matters betfer than Maupertuis." On another of his own senses, maintained obstinately, and even angrily, that he chewed his dinner by moving his upper jaw.

writers have done more to make the first steps in the laborious road to knowledge easy and pleasant. His from the compilations of ordinary book-makers. haps an unequalled, muster of the arts such rivers the minds of such men as of selection and condensation. In these Burke and Johnson may be compared.

of England," by which he made 600%, less attractive han an epitome: but a "History of Greece," for which he the epitomes of Goldsmith, even when most concise, are always amusing; and for which the bpoksellers covenanted to read them is considered by intellito pay him 800 guineas. These works gent children, not as a task, but as a pleasure.

Goldsmith might now be considered as a prosperous man. He had the and flowing language what he found in means of living in comfort, and even in what to one who had so often slept in barns and on bulks must have been luxury. His fame was great and was constantly rising. He lived in what was intellectually far the best society of the kingdom, in a society in which no talent or accomplishment was wanting, and in which the art of conversation was cultivated with splendid success. There probably were never four talkers more admirable in four different ways than Johnson, Burke, Beauclerk, and Gerrick; and Goldsmith was on terms of intimacy with all the four. He aspired to share in their colloquial renown; but never was ambition more unfortunate. It may seem strange that a man who wrote with so much perspicuity, vivacity, and grace, should have been, whenever he took a part in conversation, an empty, noisy, blundering rattle. But on this point the evidence is overwhelming. So extraordinary was the contrast between Goldsmith's published works and the silly things which he said, that Horace Walpole described him as an inspired idiot. \* "Noll," said Garrick, "wrote like an angel, and talked like poor Pol." Chamier declared that it was a occasion he, in defiance of the evidence hard exercise of faith to believe that so foolish a chatterer could have really written the "Traveller." Even Bosdinner by moving his upper jaw. well could say, with contemptuous Yet, ignorant as Goldsmith was, few compassion, that he liked very well to hear honest Goldsmith run on. "Yes, sir," said Johnson, "but he should not like to hear himself." Minds differ as compilations are widely distinguished rivers differ. There are transparent and sparkling rivers from which it is He was a great, per- delightful to drink as they flow; to respects his histories of Rome and of But there are rivers of which the water. Eggland, and still more his own abridge when first drawn is turbid and noisome, Ints of these histories, well deserve but becomes pellucid as crystal, and to be studied. In general nothing is delicious to the taste, if it be suffered

to stand till it has deposited a sediment; and such a river is a type of the mind of Goldsmith. His first thoughts on every subject were confused even to absurdity; but they required only a little time to work themselves clear. When he wrote they had that time; him a man of genius: but when he talked he talked nonsense, and made himself the laughing-stock of his He was painfully sensible of his inferiority in conversation; he felt every failure keenly; yet he had not sufficient judgment and self-command to hold his tongue. His animal spirits and vanity were always impelling him to try to do the one thing which he could not do. After every attempt he felt that he had exposed vexation; yet the next moment he be-

His associates seem to have regarded their admiration of his writings, was not unmixed with contempt. In truth. there was in his character much to love. but very little to respect. His heart was soft even to weakness: he was so his neighbours. His heart was on his lips. All those small jealousies, which ence, instead of damning with faint miscuous amours or promiscuous cha

and in the dark, he told everybody that he was envious. "Do not, pray, do not talk of Johnson in such terms," he said to Boswell; "you harrow up my very soul." George Steevens and Cumberland were men far too cunning to say such a thing. They would have echoed and therefore his readers pronounced the praises of the man whom they envied, and then have sent to the newspapers anonymous libels upon him. Both what was good and what was bad in Goldsmith's character was to his associates a perfect security that he would never commit such villany. He was neither ill-natured enough, nor longheaded enough, to be guilty of any malicious act which required contrivance and disguise.

Goldsmith has sometimes been represented as a man of genius, cruelly himself, and writhed with shame and treated by the world, and doomed to struggle with difficulties which at last broke his heart. But no representation can be more remote from the truth. him with kindness, which, in spite of He did, indeed, go through much sharp misery before he had done anything considerable in literature. But, after his name had appeared on the titlepage of the "Traveller," he had none but himself to blame for his distresses. generous that be quite forgot to be His average income, during the last just; he forgave injuries so readily seven years of his life, certainly exthat he might be said to invite them; ceeded 400% a year; and 400% a year and was so liberal to beggars that he ranked, among the incomes of that day, had nothing left for his tailor and his at least as high as 800%, a year would butcher. He was vain, sensual, frivo- rank at present. A single man living lous, profuse, improvident. One vice in the Temple with 400/. a year might of a darker shade was imputed to him, then be called opulent. Not one in ten envy. But there is not the least rea- of the young gentlemen of good famison to believe that this bad passion, lies who were studying the law there though it sometimes made him wince had so much. But all the wealth which and utter fretful exclamations, ever im- Lord Clive had brought from Bengal, pelled him to injure by wicked arts the and Sir Lawrence Dundas from Gerreputation of any of his rivals. The many, joined together, would not have truth probably is, that he was not more sufficed for Goldsmith. He spent twiceenvious, but merely less prudent, than as much as he had. He were fine clothes, gave dimners of several courses, paid court to venal beauties. He had are but too common among men of also, it should be remembered, to the letters, but which a man of letters who honour of his heart, though not of his is also a man of the world does his best head, a guinea, or five, or ten, accordto conceal, Goldsmith avowed with the ng to the state of his purse, ready for simplicity of a child. When he was any tale of distress, true or false. But envious, instead of affecting indiffer & was now in dress or feasting, in papraise, instead of doing injuries slily ties, that his chief expense lay. Ho

practise," he once said; "I make it a as the sketches of Burke and Garrick. rule to prescribe only for my friends." Goldsmith. He died on the third of company with great weakne April 1774, in his forty-sixth year. He the list of poets to whose for the day.

ith his own. It has already been nently interesting work of Mr. Forster.

had been from boyhood a gambler, and mentioned that he sometimes felt at once the most sanguine and the most keenly the sarcasm which his wild unskilful of gamblers. For a time he blundering talk brought upon him. He put off the day of inevitable ruin by was, not long before his last illness, temporary expedients. He obtained provoked into retaliating. He wisely advances from booksellers, by promising to execute works which he never weapon he proved himself a match for began. But at length this source of all his assailants together. Within a supply failed. He owed more than small compass he drew with a singu-2000%; and he saw no hope of extrica- larly easy and vigorous pencil the chation from his embarrassments. His racters of nine or ten of his intimate spirits and health gave way. He was associates. Though this little work attacked by a nervous fever, which he did not receive his last touches, it must thought himself competent to treat. It always be regarded as a masterpiece. would have been happy for him if his It is impossible, however, not to wish medical skill had been appreciated as that four or five likenesses which have justly by himself as by others. Not- no interest for posterity were wanting to withstanding the degree which he pre- that noble gallery, and that their places tended to have received at Padua, he were supplied by sketches of Johncould procure no patients. "I do not son and Gibbon, as happy and vivid

Some of Goldsmith's friends and ad-"Pray, dear Doctor," said Beauclerk, mirers honoured him with a cenotaph "alter your rule; and prescribe only in Westminster Abbey. Nollekens was for your enemies." Goldsmith now, in the sculptor; and Johnson wrote the spite of this excellent advice, prescribed inscription. It is much to be lamented for himself. The remedy aggravated that Johnson did not leave to posterity the malady. The sick man was induced a more durable and a more valuable to call in real physicians; and they at memorial of his friend. A life of Goldone time imagined that they had cured smith would have been an inestimable the disease. Still his weakness and addition to the Lives of the Poets. No restlessness continued. He could get man appreciated Goldsmith's writings no sleep. He could take no food. "You more justly than Johnson: no man was are worse," said one of his medical attendants, "than you should be from the character and habits; and no man was degree of fever which you have. Is more competent to delineat with truth your mind at ease?" "No, it is not," and spirit the peculiarities a mind were the last recorded words of Oliver 'n which great powers were found in But Johnwas laid in the churchyard of the Tem- son was requested by the booksellers to ple; but the spot was not marked by furnish prefeces ended with Lyttleton, any inscription, and is now forgotten. who died in 1773. The line seems to The coffin was followed by Burke and have been drawn expressly for the pur-Reynolds. Both these great men were pose of excluding the person whose porsincere mourners. Burke, when he trait would have most fitly closed the heard of Goldsmith's death, had burst series. Goldsmith, however, has been into a flood of tears. Reynolds had fortunate in his biographers. Within been so much moved by the news that a few years his life has been written by he had flung aside his brush and palette Mr. Prior, by Mr. Washington Irving, and by Mr. Forster. The diligence of A short time after Goldsmith's death, Mr. Prior deserves great praise; the a little poem appeared, which will, as style of Mr. Washington Irving is allong as our language lasts, associate ways pleasing; but the highest place the names of his two illustrious friends must, in justice, be assigned to the emi-

## SAMUEL JOHNSON. (DECEMBER 1856.)

SAMUEL JOHNSON, one of the most eminent English writers of the eighteenth century, was the son of Michael Johnson, who was, at the beginning of that century, a magistrate of Lichfield, and a bookseller of great note in the mid-Iand counties. Michael's abilities and attainments seem to have been considerable. He was so well acquainted with the contents of the volumes which he exposed to sale, that the country rectors of Staffordshire and Worcestersurgeon, prayed over by the court chaplains, and stroked and presented with cating himself, his family was sinking a piece of gold by Queen Anne. One into hopeless poverty. Old Michael of his earliest recollections was that of Johnson was much better qualified to a stately lady in a diamond stomaches pore upon books, and to talk about and a long black hood. Her hand was them, than to trade in them. applied in vain. The boy's features, business declined; his debts increased; which were originally noble and not it was with difficulty that the daily irregular, were distorted by his malady. expenses of his household were de-His cheeks were deeply scaredd. He frayed. It was out of his power to lost for a time the sight of one eye; support his son at either university;

and he saw but very imperfectly with the other. But the force of his mind overcame every impediment/. Indolent as he was, he acquired knowledge with such ease and rapidity that at every school to which he was sent he was soon the best scholar. From sixteen to eighteen he resided at home, and was left to his own devices. He learned much at this time, though his studies were without guidance and without plan. He ransacked his father's shelves, dipped into a multitude of books, read what was interesting, and passed over what was dull. An ordinary lad would have acquired little or no useful knowshire thought him an oracle on points ledge in such a way: but much that was of learning. Between him and the dull to ordinary lads was interesting to clergy, indeed, there was a strong reli- Samuel. He read little Greek; for his gious and political sympathy. He was proficiency in that language was not a zealous churchman, and, though he such that he could take much pleasure had qualified himself for municipal in the masters of Attic poetry and elooffice by taking the oaths to the sove- quence. But he had left school a good reigns in possession, was to the last a Latinist; and he soon acquired, in the Jacobite in heart. At his house, a large and miscellaneous library of which house which is still pointed out to every he now had the command, an extensive traveller who visits Lichfield, Samuel knowledge of Latin literature. That was born on the 18th of September 1709. Augustan delicacy of taste which is the In the child, the physical intellectual, boast of the great public schools of and moral peculiarities which after- England he never possessed. But he wards distinguished the man were was early familiar with some classical plainly discersible; great muscular writers who were quite unknown to the strength accompanied by much awk-best scholars in the sixth form at Eton. wardness and many infirmities; great He was peculiarly attracted by the quickness of parts, with a morbid pro- works of the great restorers of learning. pensity to sloth and procrastination; a Once, while searching for some apples, kind and generous heart, with a gloomy he found a huge folio volume of Peand irritable temper. He had inhe- trarch's works. The name excited his rited from his ancestors a scrofulous curiosity; and he eagerly devoured taint, which it was beyond the power hundreds of pages. Indeed, the diction of medicine to remove. His parents and versification of his own Latin comwere weak enough to believe that the positions show that he had paid at royal touch was a specific for this ma- least as much attention to modern lady. In his third year he was taken copies from the antique as to the ori-up to London, inspected by the court ginal models.

While he was thus irregularly edu-

information which he had picked up during many months of desultory but not unprofitable study. On the first day of his residence he surprised his teachers by quoting Macrobius; and one of the most learned among them twenty pounds.

declared that he had never known a His life, during the thirty years freshman of equal attainments.

At Oxford, Johnson resided during with poverty. to raggedness; and his appearance exency. with pleasure by Pope himself.

things, have become a Bachelor of would distinctly hear his mother, who

but a wealthy neighbour offered assistance; and, in reliance on promises resources. Those promises of support which proved to be of very little vaoon which he had relied had not been lue. Samuel was entered at Pem kept. His family could do nothing for broke College, Oxford. When the him. His debts to Oxford tradesmen young scholar presented himself to were small indeed, yet larger than he the rulers of that society, they were could pay. In the autumn of 1731, he amazed not more by his ungainly was under the necessity of quitting the figure and eccentric manners than by university without a degree. In the the quantity of extensive and curious following winter his father died. The old man left but a pittance; and of that pittance almost the whole was appropriated to the support of his widow. The property to which Samuel succeeded amounted to no more than

which followed, was one hard struggle The misery of that about three years. He was poor, even struggle needed no aggravation, but was aggravated by the sufferings of cited a mirth and a pity which were an unsound body and an unsound equally intolerable to his haughty mind. Before the young man left the spirit. He was driven from the quadrangle of Christ Church by the sneer-broken forth in a singularly cruel form. ing looks which the members of that He had become an incurable hypoaristocratical society cast at the holes chondriac. He said long after that he in his shoes. Some charitable person had been mad all his life, or at least placed a new pair at his door; but he not perfectly sane; and, in truth, spurned them away in a fury. Dis-eccentricities less strange than his tress made him, not servile, but reck- have often been thought grounds sufless and ungovernable. No opulent ficient for absolving félons, and for, gentleman commoner, panting for one- settkig aside wills. His grimaces, his and-twenty, could have treated the aca- gestures, his mutterings, sometimes didemical authorities with more gross verted and sometimes terrified people disrespect. The needy scholar was who did not know him. At a dinner generally to be seen under the gate of table he would, in a fit of absence, Pembroke, a gate now adorned with stoop down and twitch off a lady's his effigy, haranguing a circle of lads, shoc. He would amaze a drawing over whom, in spite of his tattered room by suddenly ejaculating a clause gown and dirty linen, his wit and au- of the Lord's Prayer. He would condacity gave him an undisputed ascend- ceive an unintelligible aversion to a In every mutiny against the particular alley, and perform a great discipline of the college he was the circuit rather than see the hateful ringleader. Much was pardoned, how-ever, to a youth so highly distinguished touching every post in the streets by abilities and acquirements. He had through which he walked. If by any early made himself known by turning chance he missed a post, he would go Popels Messiah into Latin verse. The back a hundred yards and repair the style and rhythm, indeed, were not omission. Under the influence of his exactly Virgilian; but the translation disease, his senses became morbidly found many admirers, and was read torpid, and his imagination morbidly active. At one time he would stand The time drew near at which John-poring on the town clock without being son fould, in the ordinary course of able to tell the hour. At another, he

he was under no temptation to commit appeared. suicide. He was sick of life; but he to struggle through a disturbing mecheer him.

of mind, this celebrated man was left, at two-and-twenty, to fight his way through the world. of the neighbourhood to laughter or to disgust. At Lichfield, however, Johnson could find no way of earning a grammar school in Leicestershire; he resided as a humble companion in the

was many miles off, calling him by his town he printed a translation, little But this was not the worst, noticed at the time, and long forgotten. A deep melancholy took possession of a Latin book about Abyssinia. He him, and gave a dark tinge to all his then put forth proposals for publishing views of human nature and of human by subscription the poems of Politian, destiny. Such wretchedness as he en- with notes containing a history of dured has driven many men to shoot modern Latin verse: but subscriptions themselves or drown themselves. But did not come in; and the volume never

While leading this vagrant and was afraid of death; and he shuddered miserable life, Johnson fell in love. at every sight or sound which reminded The object of his passion was Mrs. him of the inevitable hour. In religion Elizabeth Porter, a widow who had he found but little comfort during his children as old as himself. To ordilong and frequent fits of dejection; for nary spectators, the lady appeared to his religion partook of his own charac- be a short, fat, coarse woman, painted ter. The light from heaven shone on him half an inch thick, dressed in gaudy indeed, but not in a direct line, or with colours, and fond of exhibiting provinits own pure splendour. The rays had cial airs and graces which were not exactly those of the Queensberrys and dium; they reached him refracted, Lepels. To Johnson, however, whose dulled and discoloured by the thick passions were strong, whose eyesight gloom which had settled on his soul; was too weak to distinguish ceruse and, though they might be sufficiently from natural bloom, and who had selclear to guide him, were too dim to dom or never been in the same room with a woman of real fashion, his Titty, With such infirmities of body and as he called her, was the most beautiful, graceful and accomplished of her sex. That his admiration was un-He remained feigned cannot be doubted; for she during about ave years in the midland was as poor as himself. She accepted, counties. At Lichfield, his birth-place with a readiness which did her little and his early home, he had inherited honour, the addresses of a suitor who some friends and acquired others. He might have been her son. The marwas kindly noticed by Henry Hervey, riage, however, in spite of occasional a gay officer of noble family, who hap- wranglings, proved happier than might pened to be quartered there. Gilbert have been expected. The lover con-Walmesley, registrar of the ecclesias- tinued to be under the illusions of the tical court of the diocese, a man of wedding-day till the lady died in her distinguished parts, learning, and sixty-fourth year. On her monument knowledge of the world, did himself he placed an inscription extolling the honour by patronising the young ad- charms of her person and of her manventurer, whose repulsive person, un- ners; and, when, long after her depolished manners and squalid garb cease, he had occasion to mention her. moved many of the petty aristocracy he exclaimed, with a tenderness half udicrous, half pathetic, "Pretty crea-.ure!"

His marriage made it necessary for livelihood. He became usher of a him to exert himself more strenuously han he had hitherto done. He took house in the neighbourhood of his house of a country gentleman; but a native town, and advertised for pupils. life of dependence was insupportable But eighteen months passed away; and to his haughty spirit. He repaired to only three pupils came to his academy. Birmingham, and there earned a few Indeed, his appearance was so strange, guineas by literary drudgery. In that and his temper so violent, that his

dearments of this extraordinary pair.

At length Johnson, in the twenty literary adventurer. He set out with of Ireno in manuscript, and two or three letters of introduction from his

friend Walmesley.

received forty thousand pounds from an alchouse near Drury Lane. the booksellers. But Johnson entered glad to obtain, by pawning his best oo long, or a meat pic made with

schoolroom must have resembled an coat, the means of dining on tripe at a ogre's den. Nor was the tawdry painted cookshop underground, where he could grandmother whom he called his Titty wipe his hands, after his greasy meal. well qualified to make provision for the on the back of a Newfoundland dog. comfort of young gentlemen. David It is easy, therefore, to imagine what Garrick, who was one of the pupils, humiliations and privations must have used, many years later, to throw the awaited the novice who had still to best company of London into convul- earn a name. One of the publishers to sions of laughter by mimicking the en- whom Johnson applied for employment measured with a scornful eye that athletic though uncouth frame, and exeighth year of his age, determined to claimed, "You had better get a porter's seek his fortune in the capital as a knot, and carry trunks." Nor was the advice bad; for a porter was likely to a few guineas, three acts of the tragedy be as plentifully fed, and as comfortably lodged, as a poet.

Some time appears to have elapsed before Johnson was able to form any Never, since literature became a call-literary connection from which he could ing in England, had it been a less expect more than bread for the day gainful calling than at the time when which was passing over him. He never Johnson took up his residence in Lon- forgot the generosity with which Herdon. In the preceding generation a vey, who was now residing in London, writer of eminent merit was sure to be relieved his wants during this time of munificently rewarded by the govern- trial. "Harry Hervey," said the old ment. The least that he could expect philosopher many years later, "was a was a pension or a sinecure place; and, vicious man; but he was very kind to if he showed any aptitude for politics, me. If you call a dog Hervey I shall he might hope to be a member of par- love him." At Hervey's table Johnson liament, a lord of the treasury, an am- sometimes enjoyed feasts which were bassador, a secretary of state. It would made more agreeable by contrast. But be easy, on the other hand, to name in general he dined, and thought that several writers of the mineteenth con- he dined well, on sixpenny worth of tury of whom the least successful has meat, and a pennyworth of bread, at

The effect of the privations and sufon his vocation in the most dreary part ferings which he endured at this time of the dreary interval which separated was discernible to the last in his temtwo ages of prosperity. Literature had per and his deportment. His manners ceased to flourish under the patronage had never been courtly. They now beof the great, and had not begun to came almost savage. Being frequently flourish under the patronage of the under the necessity of wearing shabby public. One man of letters, indeed, coats and dirty shirts, he became a Pope, had acquired by his pen what confirmed sloven. Being often very was then considered as a kandsome hungry when he sat down to his meals, fortune, and lived on a footing of equa- he contracted a habit of eating with lity with nobles and migisters of state. ravenous greediness. Even to the end But this was a solitary exception. Even of his life, and even at the tables of an author whose reputation was estahe great, the sight of food affected him
blished, and whose works were popular, as it affects wild beasts and birds of such an author as Thomson, whose prey. His taste in cookery, formed in Seasons were in every library, such an subterranean ordinaries and alamode author as Fielding, whose Pasquin had beefshops, was far from delicate. Whenhadle greater run than any drama since over he was so fortunate as to have The Beggar's Opera, was sometimes near him a hare that had been kept

while it was defensive, was pardonable and in some sense respectable, accom-He was repeatedly provoked into strik puff the Harleian Library.

indeed, the chief source of parliamen- continued to weep.

rancid butter, he gorged himself with conviction-for his serious opinion was such violence that his veins swelled, that one form of government was just moisture broke out of his as good or as bad as another—but from
The affronts which his po-mere passion, such as inflamed the emboldened stupid and low- Capulets against the Montagues, or the minded men to offer to him would Blues of the Roman circus against the have broken a mean spirit into syco- Greens. In his infancy he had heard phancy, but made him rude even to fe- so much talk about the villanies of the rocity. Unhappily the insolence which, Whigs, and the dangers of the Church, that he had become a furious partisan when he could scarcely speak. Before panied him into societies where he was he was three he had insisted on being treated with courtesy and kindness. taken to hear Sacheverell preach at Lichfield Cathedral, and had listened ing those who had taken liberties with to the sermon with as much respect, him. All the sufferers, however, were and probably with as much intelligence, wise enough to abstain from talking as any Staffordshire squire in the conabout their beatings, except Osborne, gregation. The work which had been the most rapacious and brutal of book- begun in the nursery had been comsellers, who proclaimed everywhere pleted by the university. Oxford, when that he had been knocked down by Johnson resided there, was the most the huge fellow whom he had hired to Jacobitical place in England; and Pembroke was one of the most Jaco-About a year after Johnson had be- bitical colleges in Oxford. The pregun to reside in London, he was fortu- judices which he brought up to London nateenough to obtain regular employ- were scarcely less absurd than those of ment from Cave, an enterprising and in- his own Tom Tempest. Charles II. telligent bookseller, who was proprietor and James II. were two of the best and editor of the "Gentleman's Maga- kings that ever reigned. Laud, a poor zine." That journal, just entering on creature who never did, said, or wrote the ninth year of its long existence, was anything indicating more than the the only periodical work in the king- ordinary capacity of an old woman, dom which then had what would now was a prodigy of parts and learning be called a large circulation. It was, over whose tomb Art and Genius still Hampden detary intelligence. It was not then safe, served no more honourable name even during a recess, to publish an ac- than that of "the zealot of rebellion." count of the proceedings of either House Even the ship money, condemned not without some disguise. Cave, however, less decidedly by Falkland and Clarenventured to entertain his readers with don than by the bitterest Roundheads, what he called "Reports of the Debates Johnson would not pronounce to have of the Senate of Lilliput." France been an unconstitutional impost. Under was Blefuscu London was Mildendo: a government, the mildest that had ever pounds were sprugs: the Duke of been known in the world-under a Newcastle was the Nardac secretary government, which allowed to the of State: Lord Hardwicke was the people an unprecedented liberty of Hurgo Hickrad; and William Pulteney speech and action—he fancied that he was Wingul Pulnub. To write the was a slave; he assailed the ministry speeches was, during several years, the with obloquy which refuted itself, and business of Johnson. He was gene- regretted the lost freedom and happirally furnished with notes, meagre in- ness of those golden days in which a deed, and inaccurate, of what had been writer who had taken but one-tenth said; but sometimes he had to find part of the license allowed to him arguments and eloquence both for the would have been pilloried, mangled ministry and for the opposition. He with the shears, whipped at the part's was himself a Tory, not from rational tail, and flung into a noiseme dungeon to die. He hated dissenters and stock-mous satirist was superior to Pope in jobbers, the excise and the army, sep-Pope's own peculiar department of ment, but which, he owned, had prothe conduct of the nation during the Great Rebellion. It is easy to guess in what manner debates on great party questions were likely to be reported by a man whose judgment was so much disordered by party spirit. A show of prosperity of the Magazine. But Johnson long afterwards owned that, though he had saved appearances, he had taken care that the Whig dogs should not have the best of it; and, in fact, every passage which has lived, every passage which bears the marks of his higher faculties, is put into the mouth of some member of the opposition.

A few weeks after Johnson had entered on these obscure labours, he published a work which at once placed him high among the writers of his age. It is probable that what he had suffered during his first year in London had often reminded him of some parts had described the misery and degraamong the pigcons' nests in the totterof Rome. Pope's admirable imitations of Horace's Satires and Epistles had recently appeared, were in every hand, superior to the originals. between Pope and Horace.

Those small critics who are always de-sirous to lower established reputations fortune, sunk at last into abject and run about proclaiming that the anony-hopeless poverty. His pen had failed

tennial purliaments, and continental literature. It ought to be remembered, connections. He long had an aversion to the honour of Pope, that he joined to the Scotch, an eversion of which he heartily in the applause with which the could not remember the commence- appearance of a rival genius was welcomed. He made inquiries about the bably originated in his abhorrence of author of London. Such a man, he said, could not long be concealed. The name was soon discovered; and Pope, with great kindness, exerted himself to obtain an academical degree and the mustership of a grammar school for the poor young poet. The attempt failed; fuirness was indeed necessary to the and Johnson remained a bookseller's hack.

It does not appear that these two men, the most eminent writer of the generation which was going out, and the most eminent writer of the generation which was coming in, ever saw each other. They lived in very different circles, one surrounded by dukes and earls, the other by starving pamphleteers and indexmakers. Among Johnson's associates at this time may be mentioned Boyse, who, when his shirts were pledged, scrawled Latin verses sitting up in bed with his arms through two holes in his blanket; who composed very respectable sacred poetry when he of that noble poem in which Juvenal was sober; and who was at last run ovef by a hackney coach when he was dation of a needy man of letters, lodged drunk: Hoole, surnamed the metaphysical tailor, who, instead of attending garrets which overhung the streets ing to his measures, used to trace geometrical diagrams on the board where he sate cross-legged; and the penitent impostor, George Psalmanazar, who, and were by many readers thought after poring all day, in a humble lodg-What Pope ing, on the folios of Jewish rabbis and had done for Horace, Johnson aspired Christian fathers, indulged himself at to do for Juvenal. The enterprise was night with literary and theological conbold and yet judicious. For between versation at an alchouse in the city. Johnson and Juvenal there was much But the most remarkable of the persons in common, much more certainly than with whom at this time Johnson consorted was Richard Savage, an earl's Johnson's London appeared without son, a shoemaker's apprentice, who had his name in May 1738. He received seen life in all its forms, who had only ten guineas for this stately and feasted among blue ribands in Saint . vigorous poem: but the sale was rapid, James's Square, and had lain with fifty and the success complete. A second pounds' weight of irons on his legs in edition was required within a week, the condemned ward of Newgate. This

him. His patrons had been taken away ing the three years which followed, he by death, or estranged by the riotous produced no important work; but he profusion with which he squandered was not, and indeed could not be, idle. inexhaustible store of anecdotes about bler parts of his task. that gay and brilliant world from which he was now an outcast. He had ob- addressed to the Earl of Chesterfield. hours of careless relaxation, had seen the leaders of opposition without the mask of patriotism, and had heard the prime minister roar with laughter and familiarity with Johnson; and then the friends parted, not without tears. Johnson remained in London to drudge for Cave. Savage went to the West of England, lived there as he had lived less and heart-broken, in Bristol gaol.

Soon after his death, while the pubhis extraordinary character, and his not less extraordinary adventures, a life of him appeared widely different from the catchpenny lives of eminent men which were then a stuple article of manufacture in Grub Street. The style was indeed deficient in case and variety; and the writer was evidently too partial to the Latin element of our lanits faults, was a masterpiece. No finer specimen of literary biography existed himself at the inhospitable door. in any language, living or dead; and a discerning critic might have confidently he should have completed his Dictionpredicted that the author was destined to be the founder of a new school of till 1755 that he at length gave his English eloquence.

The Life of Savage was anonymous;

their bounty, and the ungrateful inso- The tame of his abilities and learning lence with which he rejected their ad- continued to grow. Warburton provice. He now lived by begging. He nounced him a man of parts and genius; dined on venison and champagne when- and the praise of Warburton was then ever he had been so fortunate as to no light thing. Such was Johnson's borrow a guinea. If his questing had reputation that, in 1747, several emibeen unsuccessful, he appeased the rage nent booksellers combined to employ of hunger with some scraps of broken him in the arduous work of preparing meat, and lay down to rest under the a Dictionary of the English Language. Piazza of Covent Garden in warm in two folio volumes. The sum which weather, and, in cold weather, as near they agreed to pay him was only fifteen as he could get to the furnace of a glass hundred guineas; and out of this sum Yet, in his misery, he was still he had to pay several poor men of an agreeable companion. He had an letters who assisted him in the hum-

served the great men of both parties in Cheserfield had long been celebrated for the politeness of his manners, the brilliancy of his wit, and the delicacy of his taste. He was acknowledged to be the finest speaker in the House of tell stories not over decent. During Lords. He had recently governed Iresome months Savage lived in the closest land, at a momentous conjuncture, with eminent firmness, wisdom, and humanity; and he had since become Secretary of State. He received Johnson's homage with the most winning affability, and requited it with a few everywhere, and, in 1743, died, pani- guineas, bestowed doubtless in a very graceful manner, but was by no means desirous to see all his carpets blackened lic curiosity was strongly excited about with the London mud, and his soups and wines thrown to right and left over the gowns of fine ladies and the waistcoats of fine gentlemen, by an absent, awkward scholar, who gave strange starts and uttered strange growls, who dressed like a scarecrow, and ate like a cormorant. During some time Johnson continued to call on his patron, but, after being repeatedly told by the porguage. But the little work, with all ter that his lordship was not at home, took the hint, and ceased to present

Johnson had flattered himself that ary by the end of 1750; but it was not huge volumes to the world. During the seven years which he passed in the but it was well known in literary cife drudgery of penning definitions, and cles that Johnson was the writer. Dur- marking quotations for transcription,

Wishes, an excellent imitation of the Tenth Satfre of Juvenal. It is in truth not easy to say whether the palm belongs to the ancient or to the modern poet. The couplets in which the fall of Wolsey is described, though lofty and sonorous, are feeble when compared before us all Rome in tumuit on the day of the fall of Sejanus, the laurels on the doorposts, the white bull stalking towards the Capitol, the statues rolling down from their pedestals, the flatterers of the disgraced minister running to see him dragged within hook through the streets, and to have kick at his carcase before it is hund into the Tiber. It must be owned too that in the concluding passage the Christian moralist has not made the most of his advantages, and has fallen decidedly short of the sublimity of his Pagan model. On the other hand, Juvenal's Hannibal must yield to Johnson's Charles; and Johnson's vigorous and pathetic enumeration of the mileges of a literary life must be allowed to be superior to Juvenal's lamentation over the fate of Demosthenes and Cigero.

fifteen guineas.

A few days after the publication of this poem, his tragedy, begun many years before, was brought on the stage. His papil, David Garrick, had, in 1741, made his appearance on a humble stage in Goodman's Fields, had at once risen to the first place among actors, and was now, after several years of almost uninterrupted success, manager of Drury Lane Theatre. The relation between him and his old preceptor was of a very singular kind. They repelled each \_other strongly, and yet attracted each otherstrongly. Nature had made them of very different clay; and circumstances had fully brought out the na- of the Spectator. A crowd of small tural peculiarities of both. Sudden writers had vainly attempted to Aval prosperity had turned Garrick's head. son'r temper. Johnson saw with more Dealer, the Champion, and other works envy than became a man the of the same kind, had had their short

he sought for relaxation in literary villa, the plate, the china, the Brussels labour of a more agreeable kind. In carpet, which the little mimic had got 1749 he published the Vanity of Human by repeating, with grimaces and gesticulations, what wiser men had written; and the exquisitely sensitive vanity of Garrick was galled by the thought that, while all the rest of the world was applauding him, he could obtain from one morose cynic, whose opinion it was impossible to despise, scarcely any comwith the wonderful lines which bring pliment not acidulated with scorn. Yet the two Lichfield men had so many early recollections in common, and sympathised with each other on so many points on which they sympathised with nobody else in the vast population of the capital, that, though the master was often provoked by the monkey-like impertinence of the pupil, and the pupil by the bearish rudeness of the master, they remained friends till they were parted by death. Garrick now brought Irene out, with alterations sufficient to displease the author, yet not sufficient to make the piece pleasing to the audience. The public, however, listened with little emotion, but with much civility, to five acts of monotonous declamation. After nine representations the play was withdrawn. It is, indeed, altogether unsuited to the stage, and, even when perysed in the closet, will be found For the copyright of the Vanity of hardly worthy of the author. He had Human Wishes Johnson received only not the slightest notion of what blank verse should be. A change in the last syllable of every other line would make the versification of the Vanity of Human Wishes closely resemble the versification of Irene. The poet, however, cleared, by his benefit nights, and by the sale of the copyright of his tragedy, about three hundred pounds, then a great sum in his estimation.

About a year after the representation of Irene, he began to publish a series of short essays on morals, manners, and literature. This species of composition had been brought into fashion by the success of the Tatler, and by the still more brilliant success Addison. The Lay Monastery, the Continued adversity had soured John- Censor, the Freethinker, the Plain libraries of the curious.

thusiastically admired by a few emithe acquaintance of the writer. Leicester House. But these overtures sad fate of Aningait and Ajut. seem to have been very coldly received. Johnson had had enough of the payron- sad and gloomy hour. Mrs. Johnson -age of the great to last him all his life, had been given over by the physicians. and was not disposed to haunt any Three days later she died. She left her other door as he had haunted the door husband almost broken-hearted. Many of Chesterfield.

first very coldly received. Though the price of a number was only twopence, the sale did not amount to five hunsmall. But as soon as the flying leaves cepted with but little gratitude. were collected and reprinted they be- all his affection had been concentrated came popular. The author lived to see on her. He had neither brother nor thirteen thousand copies spread over sister, neither son nor daughter. To England alone. Separate editions were him she was beautiful as the Gunnings, published for the Scotch and Irish and witty asaLady Mary. Her opinion markets. A large party pronounced of his writings was more important to the style perfect, so absolutely perfect him than the voice of the pit of Drury that in some essays it would be impos- Lane Theatre or the judgment of the sible for the writer himself to alter a Monthly Review. The chief support single word for the better. Another which had sustained him through the party, not less numerous, vehemently most arduous labour of his life was the accused him of having corrupted the hope that she would onjoy the fama purity of the English tongue. The and the profit which he anticipated best critics admitted that his diction from his Dictionary. She was gone:

None of them had obtained a was too monotonous, too obviously arpermanent place in our literature; and tificial, and now and then turgid even they are now to be found only in the to absurdity. But they did justice to At length the ucuteness of his observations on Johnson undertook the adventure in morals and manners, to the constant which so many aspirants had failed. precision and frequent brilliancy of his In the thirty-sixth year after the ap- language, to the weighty and magnifipearance of the last number of the cent eloquence of many serious pas-Spectator appeared the first number of sages, and to the solemn yet pleasing the Rambler. From March 1750 to humour of some of the lighter papers. March 1752 this paper continued to On the question of precedence between come out every Tuesday and Saturday. Addison and Johnson, a question which, From the first the Rambler was en- seventy years ago, was much disputed, posterity has pronounced a decision nent men. Richardson, when only five from which there is no appeal. Sir numbers had appeared, pronounced it Roger, his chaplain and his butler, equal, if not superior, to the Spectator. Will Wimble and Will Honeycomb, Young and Hartley expressed their ap- the Vision of Mirza, the Journal of probation not less warmly. Bubb Dod- the Retired Citizen, the Everlasting ington, among whose many faults in- Club, the Dunmow Flitch, the Loves difference to the claims of genius and of Hilpah and Shalum, the Visit to the learning cannot be reckoned, solicited Exchange, and the Visit to the Abbey, In are known to everybody. But many consequence probably of the good offices men and women, even of highly cultiof Dodington, who was then the con- vated minds, are unacquainted with fidential advisor of Prince Fredoric, Squire Bluster and Mrs. Busy, Quistwo of his Royal Highness's gentlemen quilius and Venustulus, the Allegory carried a gracious message to the print- of Wit and Learning, the Chronicle of ing office, and ordered seven copies for the Revolutions of a Garret, and the

The last Rambler was written in a people had been surprised to see a man By the public the Rambler was at of his genius and learning stooping to overy drudgery, and denying himself almost every comfort, for the purpose of supplying a silly, affected old wo-The profits were therefore very man with superfluities, which she achuman beings, he was alone. was necessary for him to set himself, Dictionary was at length complete.

this great work would be dedicated to the eloquent and accomplished nobleman to whom the prospectus had been addressed. He well knew the value of such a compliment; and therefore, when the day of publication drew near, he exerted himself to soothe, by a show of zealous and at the same time of delicate and judicious kindness, the pride which he had so cruelly wounded. Since the Ramblers had ceased to appear, the town had been entertained by a journal called the World, to which many men of high rank and fashion contributed. In two successive numbers of the World the Dictionary was, to use the modern phrase, puffed with wonderful skill. The writings of Johnson were warmly praised. It was pro- and spent before the last sheets issue posed that he should be invested with from the press. decisions about the meaning and the great work, he was arrested and carra tears.

Johnson full justice, and something Nature and Origin of Evil more than justice. The best lexico In the spring of 1758 Johnson put more than justice. The best lexicographer mey well be content if his pro- forth the first of a series of essays, enti-

and in that vast labyrinth of streets, ductions are received by the world with peopled by eight hundred thousand cold esteem. But Johnson's Dictionary Yet it was hailed with an enthusiasm such as no similar work has ever excited. It as he expressed it, doggetly to work. was indeed the first dictionary which After three more laborious years, the could be read with pleasure. The definitions show so much acuteness of It had been generally supposed that thought and command of language, and the passages quoted from poets, divines, and philosophers are so skilfully selected, that a leisure hour may always be very agreeably spent in turning over the pages. The faults of the book resolve themselves, for the most part, into one great fault. Johnson was a wretched etymologist. He knew little or nothing of any Teutonic language except English, which indeed, as he wrote it, was scarcely a Teutonic language; and thus he was absolutely at the mercy of Junius and Skinner.

The Dictionary, though it raised Johnson's fame, added nothing to his pecuniary means. The fifteen hundre guineas which the booksellers had agreed to pay him had been advance l It is painful to relate the authority of a Dictator, nay, of a that, twice in the course of the year Pope, over our language, and that his which followed the publication of the spelling of words should be received as to spunging-houses, and that he was His two folios, it was said, twice indebted for his liberty to his would of course be bought by every- excellent friend Richardson. It was body who could afford to buy them. still necessary for the man who had It was soon known that these papers been formally saluted by the highest were written by Chesterfield. But the authority as Dictator of the English just resentment of Johnson was not to language to supply his wants by conbe so appeased. In a letter written stant toil. He abridged his Dictionary. with singular energy and dignity of He proposed to bring out an edition of thought and language, he repelled the Shakspeare by subscription; and many tardy advances of his patron. The subscribers sent in their names, and Dictionary came forth without a de-laid down their money; but he soon dication. In the preface the author found the task so little to his taste that truly declared that he awed nothing to he turned to more attractive employthe great, and described the difficulties ments. He contributed many papers with which he had been left to strug- to a new monthly journal, which was gle so forcibly and paraetically that called the Literary Magazine. Few of the ablest and most malevolent of all these papers have much interest; but the enemies of his fame, Horne Tooke, among them was the very best thing never could read that passage without that he ever wrote, a masterpiece both of reasoning and of satirical pleasantry, The public, on this occasion, did the review of Jenyns's Inquiry into the

Rambler, somewhat livelier and somewhat weaker than the first part.

Idlers, his mother, who had accom plished her ninetieth year, died at eightcenth century: for the Europe Lichfield. seen her; but he had not failed to con- the eighteenth century; and the intribute largely, out of his small means, mates of the Happy Valley talk famito her comfort. In order to defray the liarly of that law of gravitation which charges of her funeral, and to pay some Newton discovered, and which was not debts which she had left, he wrote a fully received even at Cambridge till little book in a single week, and sent the eighteenth century. What a real off the sheets to the press without company of Abyssinians would have reading them over. A hundred pounds been may be learned from Bruce's Trathe purchasers had great cause to be turning filthy savages, ignorant of their pleased with their bargain; for the letters, and gorged with raw steaks cut ook was Rasselas.

taken them up. The style was the sub- youth and maiden meeting by chance, ject of much eager controversy. The or brought together by artifice, exview took different sides. Many readers home, and dream of each other. Such, two syllables where it was possible to and may still be, in London, but asventures without balancing every noun little right to blame the poet who made with another epithet. Another party, Julio Romano as flourishing in the days not less zealous, cited with delight of the oracle of Delphi. numerous passages in which weighty merited.

tled the Idler. During two years these About the plan of Rasselas little was essays continued to appear weekly. said by the critics; and yet the faults They were eagerly read, widely circu- of the plan might seem to invite selated, and, indeed, impudently pirated, vere criticism. Johnson has frequently while they were still in the original blamed Shakspeare for neglecting the form, and had a large sale when col- proprieties of time and place, and for aslected into volumes. The Idler may cribing to one age or nation the manners be described as a second part of the and opinions of another. Yet Shakspeare has not sinned in this way more nat weaker than the first part.

While Johnson was busied with his Imlac, Nekayah and Pekuah, are evidently meant to be Abvssinians of the It was long since he had which Imlac describes is the Europe of were paid him for the copyright; and vels. But Johnson, not content with from living cows, into philosophers as The success of Rasselas was great, eloquent and emightened as himself or hough such ladies as Miss Lydia Land his friend Burke, and into ladies as aish must have been grievously distable lightly accomplished as Mrs. Lennox or pointed when they found that the Mrs. Sheridan, transferred the whole aw volume from the circulating light domestic system of England to Egypt. For your was little more than a dissertation of land of harems, a land of polyation on the author's favourite theme, gamy, a land where women are married the Vanity of Human Wishes; that the without ever being seen, he introduced Prince of Abyssinia was without a mis- the flirtations and jealousies of our balltress, and the princess without a lover; rooms. In a land where there is bound-and that the story set the hero and less liberty of divorce, wedlock is dethe heroine down exactly where it had scribed as the indissoluble compact. "A Monthly Review and the Critical Re- change glances, reciprocate civilities, go pronounced the writer aspompous pe- says Rasselas, "is the common process dant, who would never use a word of of marriage." Such it may have been, use a word of six, and who could not suredly not at Cairo. A writer who make a waiting woman relate her ad- was guilty of such improprieties had with another noun, and every epithet Hector quote Aristotle, and represented

By such exertions as have been demeaning was expressed with accuracy scribed, Johnson supported himself till and illustrated with splendour. And the year 1762. In that year a great both the censure and the praise were change in his circumstances took place. He had from a child been an enemy of

the leigning dynasty. His Jacobite bound himself to perform, his country; a pensioner as a slave of are made up of self-reproaches. hesitation accepted.

afternoon, and to sit up talking till politics to insult, celebrated the Cock four in the morning, without fearing Lane Ghost in three cantos, nicknamed either the printer's devil or the sheriff's Johnson Pomposo, asked where the officer.

He had prejudices had been exhibited with little received large subscriptions for his disguise both in his works and in his promised edition of Shakspeare; he conversation. Even in his massy and had lived on those subscriptions durelaborate Dictionary, he had, with a ing some years; and he could not withstrange want of taste and judgment, out disgrace omit to perform his part inserted bitter and contumelious reflec- of the contract. His friends repeatedly tions on the Whig party. The excise, exhorted him to make an effort; and which was a favourite resource of Whig he repeatedly resolved to do so. But, financiers, he had designated as a hate- notwithstanding their exhortations and ful tax. He had railed against the his resolutions, month followed mouth, commissioners of excise in language so year followed year, and nothing was coarse that they had seriously thought done. He prayed fervently against of prosecuting him. He had with diffi- his idleness; he determined, as often as culty been prevented from holding up he received the sacrament, that he the Lord Privy Seal by name as an ex- would no longer doze away and trifle ample of the meaning of the word "rene- away his time; but the spell under gade." A pension he had defined as which he lay resisted prayer and sapay given to a state hireling to betray crament. His private notes at this time state hired by a stipend to obey a mas-indolence," he wrote on Easter eve in ter. It seemed unlikely that the author 1764, "has sunk into grosser sluggishof these definitions would himself be ness. A kind of strange oblivion has pensioned. But that was a time of won- overspread me, so that I know not what ders. George the Third had ascended has become of the last year." Easter the throne; and had, in the course of 1765 came, and found him still in the a few months, disgusted many of the same state. "My time," he wrote, "has old friends and conciliated many of the been unprofitably spent, and seems as old enemies of his house. The city was a dream that has left nothing behind. becoming mutinous. Oxford was be- My memory grows confused, and I coming loyal. Cavendishes and Ben-tincks were murmuring. Somersets Happily for his honour, the charm which and Wyndhams were hastening to kiss held him captive was at length broken hands. The head of the treasury was now by no gentle or friendly hand. He had Lord Bute, who was a Tory, and could been weak enough to pay serious attenhave no objection to Johnson's Toryism. tion to a story about a ghost which Bute wished to be thought a patron of haunted a house in Cock Lane, and men of letters; and Johnson was one had actually gone himself with some ? of the most eminent and one of the of his friends, at one in the morning, most-needy men of letters in Europe. to St. John's Church, Clerkenwell, in A pension of three hundred a year was the hope of receiving a communication graciously offered, and with very little from the perturbed spirit. But the spirit, though adjured with all solem-This event produced a change in nity, remained obstinately silent; and Johnson's whole way of life. For the it soon appeared that a naughty girl of first time since his keyhood he no eleven had been amusing herself by longer felt the daily goad urging him making fools of so many philosophers. to the daily toil. He was at liberty, Churchill, who confident in his powers, after thirty years of anxiety and drud- drunk with popularity, and burning gery? to include his constitutional in-with party spirit, was looking for some dolence, to lie in bed till two in the man of established fame and Tory chook was which had been so long pre One laborious task indeed he had mised and so liberally paid for, and

effectual; and in October 1765 ap- an edition of Sophocles.

new edition of Shakspeare.

examination of Hamlet. the task which he had undertaken, because he had, as a lexicographer, been view of the English language than any of his predecessors. That his knowledge of our literature was extensive his tongue was active. The influence is indisputable. But, unfortunately, her xercised by his conversation, directly had altogether neglected that very part upon those with whom he lived, and of our literature with which it is especially desirable that an editor of Shakspeare should be conversant. It is dangerous to assert a negative. Yet little will be risked by the assertion, that in the two folio velumes of the English Dictionary there is not a single passage quoted from any dramatist of the Elizabethan age, except Shakspeare and Ben. Even from Ben the quotations are few. Johnson might easily, in a few months, have made himself balanced period of the Rambler. But well acquainted with every old play in his talk there were no pompous that was extent. But it never seems triads, and little more than a fair proto have occurred to him that this was portion of words in osity and ation. All a necessary preparation for the work was simplicity, ease, and vigour. He which he had undertaken. He would uttered his short, weighty, and pointed doubtless have admitted that it would sentences with a power of voice, and be the height of absurdity in a man a justness and energy of emphasis, of

directly accused the great moralist of who was not familiar with the works cheating. This terrible word proved of Æschylus and Euripides to publish Yet he venpeared, after a delay of nine years, the tured to publish an edition of Shakspeare, without having ever in his life, This publication saved Johnson's as far as can be discovered, read a character for honesty, but added no- single scene of Massinger, Ford, Decker, thing to the fame of his abilities and Webster, Marlow, Beaumont, or Fletlearning. The preface, though it con- cher. His detractors were noisy and tains some good passages, is not in his scurrilous. Those who most loved and best manner. The most valuable notes honoured him had little to say in praise are those in which he had an opportunity of the manner in which he had disof showing how attentively he had charged the duty of a commentator. He during many years observed human life had, however, acquitted himself of a and human nature. The best specimen debt which had long lain heavy on his is the note on the character of Polo- conscience; and he sank back into the nius. Nothing so good is to be found repose from which the sting of satire even in Wilhelm Meister's admirable had roused him. He long continued But here to live upon the fame which he had alpraise must end. It would be difficult ready won. He was honoured by the to name a more slovenly, a more worth- University of Oxford with a Doctor's less, edition of any great classic. The degree, by the Royal Academy with a reader may turn over play after play professorship, and by the King with an without finding one happy conjectural interview, in which his Majesty most emendation, or one ingenious and satis- graciously expressed a hope that so factory explanation of a passage which excellent a writer would not cease to had baffled preceding commentators. write. In the interval, however, be-Johnson had, in his Prospectus, told the tween 1765 and 1775 Johnson published world that he was peculiarly fitted for only two or three political tracts, the longest of which he could have produced in forty-eight hours, if he had worked under the necessity of taking a wider as he worked on the Life of Savage and on Rasselas.

But, though his pen was now idle, idirectly on the whole literary world, was altogether without a parallel. His colloquial talents were indeed of the highest order. He had strong sense, quick discerument, wit, humour, immense knowledge of literature and of life, and an infinite store of curious anecdotes. As respected style, he spoke far better than he wrote. Every sentence which dropped from his lips was as correct in structure as the most nicely

which the effect was rather increased in Greek literature, by the orthodoxy of tainment orally. body who would start a subject, on a Club. fellow-passenger in a stage coach, or But his conversation was nowhere so of letters. sheets to the service of the trunkacquirements met in the little fra- idolater. net Langton, distinguished by his kill against Boswell's country. To a man

than diminished by the rollings of his his opinions, and by the sanctity of huge form, and by the asthmatic gasp- his life; and Topham Beauclerk, reings and puffings in which the peals of nowned for his amours, his knowledge his eloquence generally ended. Nor of the gay world, his fastidious taste, did the laziness which made him un- and his surcastic wit. To predominate willing to sit down to his desk prevent over such a society was not easy. Yet him from giving instruction or enter- even over such a society Johnson pre-To discuss ques- dominated. Burke might indeed have tions of taste, of learning, of casuistry, disputed the supremacy to which others in language so exact and so forcible that were under the nefessity of submitting. it might have been printed without But Burke, though not generally a very the alteration of a word, was to him no patient listener, was content to take exertion, but a pleasure. He loved, as the second part when Johnson was he said, to fold his legs and have his present; and the club itself, consisting talk out. He was ready to bestow the of so many eminent men, is to this overflowings of his full mind on any- day popularly designated as Johnson's

Among the members of this celeon the person who sate at the same brated body was one to whom it has table with him in an eating house, owed the greater part of its celebrity, yet who was regarded with little rebrilliant and striking as when he was spect by his brethren, and had not surrounded by a few friends, whose without difficulty obtained a seat abilities and knowledge enabled them, among them. This was James Bosas he once expressed it, to send him well, a young Scotch lawyer, heir to an back every ball that he threw. Some honourable name and a fair estate. of these, in 1764, formed them-cives That he was a coxcomb, and a bore, into a club, which gradually became a weak, vam, pushing, curious, garrulous, formidable power in the commonwealth was obvious to all who were acquainted of letters. The verdicts pronounced with him. That he could not reas in, by this conclave on new books were that he had no wit, no humour, no speedily known over all London, and eloquence, is apparent from his were sufficient to sell off a whole writings. And yet his writings are edition in a day, or to condemn the read beyond the Mississippi, and under the Southern Cross, and are likely to maker and the pastry-cook. Nor shall be read as long as the English exists, we think this strange when we consider other as a living or as a dead language. what great and various talents and Nature had made him a slave and an His mind resembled those ternity. Goldsmith was the represen- creepers which the botanists call paratative of poetry and light literature, sites, and which can subsist only by Reynolds of the arts, Burke of political eloquence and political philosophy
There, too, were Gibbon, the greatest have fastened himself on somebody. historian, and Jones, the greatest lin- He might have fastened himself on guist, of the age. Garrick brought to Wilkes, and have become the fiercest the meetings his inexhaustible plea-patriot in the Bill of Rights Society. santar, his incomparable mimicry, and He might have fastened himself on his consummate knowledge of stage Whitfield, and have become the loudest Among the most constant field preacher among the Calvinistic attendants were two high-born and Methodists. In a happy hour the high-bred gentlemen, closely bound fastened himself on Johnson. The together by friendship, but of widely pair might seem ill matched. For different characters and habits; Ben-Johnson had early been prejudiced

of Johnson's strong understanding and ripered fast into friendship. They were than a habitual sot. distance from each other. 'ical work in the world,

his connection with Boswell. Henry

irritable temper, the silly egotism and astonished and delighted by the briladulation of Boswell must have been liancy of his conversation. They were as teasing as the constant buzz of a fly. flattered by finding that a man so Johnson hated to be questioned; and widely celebrated preferred their house Boswell was eternally catechising him to any other in London. Even the pcon all kinds of subjects, and sometimes cultarities which seemed to unfit him propounded such questions as "What for civilised society, his gesticulations, would you do, sir, if you were locked his rollings, his puffings, his mutterup in a tower with a baby?" Johnson ings, the strange way in which he put was a water-drinker; and Boswell was on his clothes, the ravenous eagerness a wine-bibber, and indeed little better with which he devoured his dinner, his It was im- fits of melancholy, his fits of anger, his possible that there should be perfect frequent rudeness, his occasional feroharmony between two such companions. city, increased the interest which his Indeed, the great man was sometimes new associates took in him. For these provoked into fits of passion in which things were the cruel marks left behind he said things which the small man, by a life which had been one long conduring a few hours, seriously resented. flict with disease and with adversity. Every quarrel, however, was soon made In a vulgar hack writer such oddities up. During twenty years the disciple would have excited only disgust. But continued to worship the master: the in a man of genius, learning, and virtue master continued to scold the disciple, their effect was to add pity to admirato sneer at him, and to love him. The tion and esteem. Johnson soon had an two friends ordinarily resided at a great apartment at the brewery in South-Boswell wark, and a still more pleasant apartpractised in the Parliament House of ment at the villa of his friends on Edinburgh, and could pay only occa- Streatham Common. A large part of sional visits to London. During those every year he passed in those abodes, visits his chief business was to watch abodes which must have seemed mag-Johnson, to discover all Johnson's nificent and luxurious indeed, when habits, to tuen the conversation to compared with the dens in which he subjects about which Johnson was had generally been lodged. But his likely to say something remarkable, chief pleasures were derived from what and to fill quarto note books with the astronomer of his Abyssinian tale minutes of what Johnson had said. In called "the endearing elegance of fethis way were gathered the materials male friendship." Mrs. Thrale rallied out of which was afterwards con- him, soothed him, coaxed him, and, if structed the most interesting biograph- she sometimes provoked him by her flippancy, made ample amends by lis-Soon after the club began to exist, tening to his reproofs with angelic Johnson formed a connection less im- sweetness of temper. When he was portant indeed to his fame, but much diseased in body and in mind, she was more important to his happiness, than the most tender of nurses. No comfort hat wealth could purchase, no contriv-Thrale, one of the most opulent brewers ance that womanly ingenuity, set to in the kingdom, a man of sound and work by womanly compassion, could cultivated understanding, rigid princi- devise, was wanting to his sick room. ples, and liberal spirit, was married to He requited her kindness by an affect one of these clever, kind-hearted, en- ion pure as the affection of a father, gaging, vain, pert young women, who jet delicately tinged with a gallantry, are perpetually doing or saying what is which, though awkward, must have not exactly right, but who, do or say been more flattering than the attentions what they may, are slways agreeable. of a crowd of the fools who gloried in In 1765 the Thrales became acquainted the names, now obsolete, of Buck and with Johnson; and the acquaintance Maccaroni. It should seem that a full

sixteen years, was passed under the gone to the workhouse, insults more roof of the Thrales. He accompanied provoking than those for which he had the family sometimes to Bath, and knocked down Osborne and bidden desometimes to Brighton, once to Wales, flance to Chesterfield. Year after year and once to Paris. But he had at the same time a house in one of the narrow Polly and Levett, continued to torment and gloomy courts on the north of him and to live upon him. Fleet Street. In the garrets was his library, a large and miscellaneous collection of books, falling to pieces and begrimed with dust. On a lower floor he sometimes, but very rarely, regaled a friend with a plain dinner, a veal pie, or a leg of lamb and spinage, and a rice pudding. Nor was the dwelling uninassemblage of inmates that ever was poor as herself, Mrs. Desmoulins, whose squire. family he had known many years before in Staffordshire. Room was found plunged courageously into what? servant Frank. them, and railed or maundered till book is still read with pleasure.

half of Johnson's life, during about who, but for his bounty, must have Mrs. Williams and Mrs. Desmoulins,

The course of life which has been described was interrupted in Johnson's sixty-fourth year by an important event. He had early read an account of the Hebrides, and had been much interested by learning that there was so near him a land peopled by a race which was still as rude and simple as in the midhabited during his long absences. It dle ages. A wish to become intimately was the home of the most extraordinary acquainted with a state of society so utterly unlike all that he had ever seen brought together. At the head of the frequently crossed his mind. But it is establishment Johnson had placed an not probable that his curiosity would old lady named Williams, whose chief have overcome his habitual sluggishrecommendations were her blindness ness, and his love of the smoke, the and her poverty. But, in spite of her mud, and the cries of Loudon, had not murmurs and reproaches, he gave an Boswell importuned him to attempt asylum to another lady who was as the adventure, and offered to be his At length, in August 1773, Johnson crossed the Highland line, and for the daughter of Mrs. Desmoulins, then considered, by most Englishmen. and for another destitute damsel, who as a dreary and perilous wilderness. was generally addressed as Miss Car- After wandering about two months michael, but whom her generous host through the Celtic region, sometimes called Polly. An old quack doctor in rude boats which did not protect him named Levett, who bled and dosed from the rain, and sometimes on small coal-heavers and hackney coachmen, shaggy ponies which could hardly bear and received for fees crusts of bread, his weight, he returned to his old bits of bacon, glasses of gin, and some-times a little copper, completed this strange menagerie. All these poor ing year he employed himself different creatures were at constant war with ing his adventures. About the begineach other, and with Johnson's negro ning of 1775, his Journey to the He-Sometimes, indeed, brides was published, and was, during they transferred their hostilities from some weeks, the chief subject of conthe servant to the master, complained versation in all circles in which any that a better table was not kept for attention was paid to literature. The their benefactor was glad to make his narrative is entertaining; the speculaescape to Streatham, or to the Mitre tions, whether sound or unsound, are Taven. And yet he, who was gene- always ingenious; and the style, though rally the haughtrest and most irritable too stiff and pompous, is somewhat of mankind, who was but too prompt easier and more graceful than that of to resent anything which looked like a his early writings. His prejudice slight on the part of a purse-proud against the Scotch had at length bebookseller, or of a noble and powerful come little more than matter of jest; patron, bore patiently from mendicants, and whatever remained of the old feel-

him whom they chose to consider as the to MacNicol or Henderson. written. They published paragraphs in meter. the newspapers, articles in the magazines, sixpenny pamphlets, five-shilling books. One scribbler abused Johnson for being blear-oyed; another for being a pensioner; a third informed the world that one of the Doctor's uncles had been convicted of felony in Scotland, and had found that there was in that country one tree capable of supporting the weight of an Englishman. Macpherson, whose Fingal had been proved in the Journey to be an impudent forgery, threatened to take vengeance with a cane. The only effect of this threat was that Johnson reiterated the charge of forgery in the most contemptuous time, with a cudgel, which, if the impostor had not been too wise to encounter it, would assuredly have delanguage of his own epic poem, "like himself. a hammer on the red son of the furnace."

Of other assailants Johnson took no notice whatever. He had early resolved never to be drawn into controversy; and he adhered to his resolution ing himself down. The disputes bewith a steadfastness which is the more tween England and her American extraordinary, because he was, both colonies had reached a point at which intellectually and morally, of the stuff no amicable adjustment was possible. of which controversialists are made. Civil war was evidently impending; In conversation, he was a singularity and the ministers seem to have thought

ing had been effectually removed by the eager, acute, and pertinacious dispu-kind and respectful hospitality with tant. When at a loss for good reasons, which he had been received in every he had recourse to sophistry; and, when part of Scotland. It was, of course, heated by altercation, he made unsparnot to be expected that an Oxonian ing use of sarcasm and invective. But, Tory should praise the Presbyterian when he took his pen in his hand, his polity and ritual, or that an eye accus- whole character seemed to be changed tomed to the hedgerows and parks of A hundred bad writers misrepresented England should not be struck by the him and reviled him; but not one of the bareness of Berwickshire and East hundred could boast of having been Lothian. But even in censure John- thought by him worthy of a refutation, son's tone is not unfriendly. The most or even of a retort. The Kenricks, enlightened Scotchmen, with Lord Campbells, MacNicols, and Hendersons, Mansfield at their head, were well did their best to annoy him, in the pleased. But some foolish and igno- hope that he would give them imporrant Scotchmen were moved to anger tance by answering them. But the by a little unpalatable truth which was reader will in vain search his works for mingled with much eulogy, and assailed any allusion to Kenrick or Campbell, enemy of their country with libels much Scotchman, bent on vindicating the more dishonourable to their country fame of Scotch learning, defied him to than anything that he had ever said or the combat in a detestable Latin hexa-

Maxime, si tu vis, cupio contendere tecum.'.

But Johnson took no notice of the challenge. He had learned, both from his own observation and from literary history, in which he was deeply read, that the place of books in the public estimation is fixed, not by what is written about them, but by that is written in them; and that an author whose works are likely to live is very unwise if he stoops to wrangle with detractors whose works are certain to die. He always maintained that fame was a shuttlecock which could be kept up only by being beaten back, as well as beaten terms, and walked about, during some forward, and which would soon fall if there were only one battledore. saying was oftener in his mouth than that fine apophthegm of Bentley, that scended upon him, to borrew the sublime no man was ever written down but by

> Unhappily, a few months after the appearance of the Journey to the Hebrides, Johnson did what none of his envious assailants could have done, and to a certain extent succeeded in writ

with advantage be employed to in- to intellectual decay. flame the nation against the opposition the Atlantic. ing sock ties. more.

But this was a great mistake. Johnson had failed, not because his mind was less vigorous than when he wrote Rasselas in the evenings of a week, but suffered others to choose for him, a subject such as he would at no time have been competent to treat. He was in no sense a statesman. He never willingly read or thought or talked about affairs ful to him. The question at issue between the colonies and the mother remaining six in 1781. country was a question about which he

that the cloquence of Johnson might that his failure was not to be ascribed

On Easter Eve 1777, some persons, here, and against the rebels beyond deputed by a meeting which consisted He had already written of forty of the first booksellers in Lontwo or three tracks in defence of the don, called upon him. Though he had foreign and domestic policy of the some scruples about doing business at government; and those tracts, though that season, he received his visitors hardly worthy of him, were much su- with much civility. They came to inperior to the crowd of pamphlets which form him that a new edition of the lay on the counters of Almon and English poets, from Cowley downwards, Stockdale. But his Taxation No Ty- was in contemplation, and to ask him ranny was a pitiable failure. The very to furnish short biographical prefaces. title was a silly phrase, which can have He readily undertook the task, a task been recommended to his choice by for which he was pre-eminently qualinothing but a jingling alliteration which fied. His knowledge of the literary he ought to have despised. The argu- history of England since the Restoraments were such as boys use in debat- tion was unrivalled. That knowledge The pleasantry was as he had derived partly from books, and awkward as the gambols of a hippopo- partly from sources which had long Even Boswell was forced to been closed; from old Grub Street own that, in this unfortunate piece, he traditions; from the talk of forgotten could detect no trace of his master's poetasters and pamphleteers who had powers. The general opinion was that long been lying in parish gaults; from the strong faculties which had produced the recollections of such men as Gilbert the Dictionary and the Rambler were Walmesley, who had conversed with beginning to feel the effect of time and the wits of Button; Cibber, who had of disease, and that the old man would mutilated the plays of two generations best consult his credit by writing no of dramatists; Orrery, who had been admitted to the society of Swift; and Savage, who had rendered services of no very honourable kind to Pope. The biographer therefore satt down to his task with a mind full of matter. He because he had foolishly chosen, or had at first intended to give only a paragraph to every minor poet, and only four or five pages to the greatest name. But the flood of anecdote and criticism overflowed the narrow channel. The work, which was originally of state. He loved biography, literary meant to consist only of a few sheets, history, the history of manners; but swelled into ten volumes, small volpolitical history was positively distaste- umes, it is true, and not closely printed. The first four appeared in 1779, the

The Lives of the Poets are, on the had really nothing to say. He failed, whole, the best of Johnson's works. therefore, as the greatest men must The narratives are as entertaining as fail when they attempt to do that for any novel. The remarks onelife and which they are unfit; as Burke would on human nature are emmently shrewd have failed if Burke had tried to write and profound. The criticisms are often comedies like those of Sheridan; as excellent, and, even when grossly and Reynolds would have failed if Reynolds provokingly unjust, well deserve to be had tried to paint landscapes like those studied. For, however erroneous they of Wilson. Happily, Johnson soon had may be, they are never silly. They are an opportunity of proving most signally the judgments of a mind trammelled by prejudice and deficient in sensibility, Yet several writers of his time sold but vigorous and acute. They there- their copyrights for sums such as he fore generally contain a portion of valu- never ventured to ask. able truth which deserves to be separated from the alloy; and, at the very worst, they mean something, a praise to which much of what is called criticism in our time has no pretensions.

nearly as it had appeared in 1744. Whoever, after reading that life, will turn to the other lives will be struck by the difference of style. Johnson had been at ease in his circumstances he had written little and When, therefore, had talked much. he, after the lapse of years, resumed his pen, the mannerism which he had contracted while he was in the constant habit of elaborate composition was less perceptible than formerly; and his diction frequently had a colloquial case which it had formerly The improvement may be discerned by a skilful critic in the Journey to the Hobrides, and in the Lives of the Poets is so obvious that it cannot escape the notice of the most careless reader.

Among the lives the best are perhaps those of Cowley, Dryden, and Pope. The very worst is, beyond all doubt, that of Grav.

This great work at once became There was, indeed, much just and much unjust censure: but even those who were londest in blame were attracted by the book in spite of themselves. Malone computed the gains of the publishers at five or six thousand pounds. But the writer was very poorly remunerated. Intending at first to write very short prefaces, ho had stipulated for only two hundred guineas. The booksellers, when they saw how far his performance had surTo give a

instance, Robertson received four thousand five hundred pounds for the History of Charles V.; and it is no disrespect to the memory of Robertson to say that the History of Charles V. Savage's Life Johnson reprinted is both a less valuable and a less amusing book than the Lives of the Poets.

Johnson was now in his seventy-Since second year. The infirmities of age his cir-were coming fast upon him. That in-ile and evitable that of which henever thought erefore, without florror was brought near to him: and his whole life was darkened by the shadow of death. He had often to pay the cruel price of longevity. Every year he lost what could never be replaced. The strange dependents to whom he had given shelter, and to whom, in spite of their faults, he was strongly attached by habit, dropped off one by one; and, in the silence of his home, he regretted even the noise of their scolding matches. The kind and generous Thrale was no more; and it would have been well if his wife had been laid beside him. But she survived to be the laughing-stock of those who had envied her, and to draw from the eyes of the old man who had loved her beyond anything in the world tears far more bitter than he would have shed over her grave. With some estimable and many agrecable qualities, she was not made to be independent. The control of a mind more steadfast than her own was necessary to her respectability. While she was restrained by her husband, a man of sense and firmness, indulgent to her taste in trifles, but always the undisputed master of his house, her worst offences had been impertinent jokes, white lies, and short fits of pettishness passed his promise, added only another ending in sunry good humour. But he hundred. Indeed, Johnson, though he was gone; and she was left an opulent did not despise, or affect to despise, widow of forty, with strong sensibility, money, and though his strong sense volatile fancy, and slender judgment. and long experience ought to have She soon fell in love with a music-qualified him to protect his own master from Breseis, in whom nobody interests, seems to have been singularly but herself could discover anything to unskilful and unlucky in his literary admire. Her pride, and perhaps some bargains. He was generally reputed better feelings, struggled hard against the first English writer of his time. this degrading passion. But the strug-

gle irritated her nerves, soured her temper, and at length endangered her health. much bodily affliction, clung vehement-Conscious that her choice was one which ly to life. The feeling described in Johnson could not approve, she became that fine but gloomy paper which closes desirous to escape from his inspection. the series of his Idlers seemed to grow Her manner towards him changed. She stronger in him as his last hour drew was sometimes cold and sometimes pe- near. He fancied that he should be tulant. She did not conceal her joy able to draw his breath more easily in when he' left Streatham; she never a southern climate, and would probably pressed him to return; and, if he came unbidden, she received him in a me ner which convinced him that he was longer a welcome guest. He took t very intelligible hin He read, for the

the Greek Testament in the abrary which had been formed by himself. In a solemn and tender prayer he commended the house and its inmates to in June 1783, he had a paralytic stroke, shorter; the fatal water gathered fast, which does not appear to have at all ous against pain, but timid against impaired his intellectual faculties. But death, urged his surgeons to make other maladies came thick upon him, deeper and deeper. Thoughthe tender His asthma tormented him day and care which had mitigated his sufferings night. Diopsical symptoms made their during months of sickness at Streatham appearance. complication of diseases, he heard that The ablest physicians and surgeons the woman whose friendship had been attended him, and refused to accept the chief happiness of sixteen years of fees from him. his life had married an Italian fiddler; him with deep emotion. that all London was crying shame upon sate much in the sick-room, arranged her; and that the newspapers and ma- the pillows and sent his own servant gazines were filled with allusions to the to watch a night by the bed. Frances Ephesian matron, and the two pictures Burney, whom the old man had cherishin Hamlet. He vehemently said that ed with fatherly kindness, stood weephe would try to forget her existence. ing at the door; while Langton, whose He never uttered herename. Every piety eminently qualified him to be an memorial of her which met his eye he adviser and comforter at such a time, flung into the fire. fled from the laughter and hisses of hand within. her Countrymen and countrywomen to moment, dreaded through, so many a land where she was unknown, hasten-years, came close, the dark cloud passed ed across Mount Cenis, and learned, away from Johnson's mind. His temper while passing a merry Christmas of became unusually patient and gehtle; concerts and lemonade parties at Milan, he ceased to think with terror of death, that the great man with whose name and of that which lies beyond death; hers is inseparably associated had and he spoke much of the mercy of essed to exist.

He had, in spite of much mental and have set out for Rome and Naples, but for his fear of the expense of the journey. That expense, indeed, he had the means of defraying; for he had laid up about two thousand pounds, the fruit of labours which had made the fortune of several publishers. But he was unwilling to break in upon this hoard: and he seems to have wished even to keep its existence a secret. the Divine protection, and, with emo- Some of his friends hoped that the tions which choked his voice and con- government might be induced to invulsed his powerful frame, left for ever crease his pension to six hundred pounds that beloved home for the gloomy and a year: but this hope was disappointdesolate house behind Fleet Street, ed; and he resolved to stand one English where the few and evil days which still winter more. That winter was his last. remained to him were to run out. Here, His legs grew weaker; his breath grew from which, however, he recovered, and in spite of incisions which he, courage-While sinking under a was withdrawn, he was not left desolate. Burke parted from She meanwhile received the last pressure of his friend's When at length the

In this serene frame of mind he died miration and terror. During the first on the 13th of December, 1784. He was year of his life, every month had its laid, a week later, in Westminster Abbey, among the eminent men of whom wind brought some messenger charged he had been the historian,—Cowley with joyful tidings and hostile stanand Denham, Dryden and Congreve,

Gay, Prior, and Addison.

works—the Lives of the Poets, and, perhaps, the Vanity of Human Wishes, excepted—has greatly diminished. His Dictionary has been altered by editors till it can scarcely be called his. An allusion to his Rambler or his Idler is not readily apprehended in literary The fame even of Rasselas circles. has grown somewhat dim. But, though the colebrity of the writings may have declined, the celebrity of the writer, strange to say, is as great as ever. Boswell's book has done for him more than the best of his own books could The memory of other authors is kept alive by their works. But the memory of Johnson keeps many of his works alive. The old philosopher is still among us in the brown coat with the metal buttons and the shirt which ought to be at wash, blinking, puffing, rolling his head, drumming with his fingers, tearing his meat like a tiger, and swallowing his tea in occans. human being who has been more than seventy years in the grave is so well known to us. And it is but just to say that our intimate acquaintance with what he would himself have called the anfractuosities of his intellect and of his temper serves only to strengthen our conviction that he was both a great and a good man.

## WILLIAM PITT. (JANUARY 1869.)

WILLIAM PITT, the second son of William Pitt, Earl of Chatham, and of Lady Hester Grenville, daughter of Hester, Counters Temple, was born on the 28th of May, 1769. The child inherited a name which, at the time of his birth, was the most illustrious in the civilised world, and was pronounced by every Englishman with pride, and by every enemy of England with mingled ad-

illuminations and bonfires, and every In Westphalia the English dards. infantry won a great battle which ar-Since his death the popularity of his rested the armies of Louis the Fifteenth in the midst of a career of conquest; Boscawen defeated one French fleet on the coast of Portugal; Hawke put to flight another in the Bay of Biscay: Johnson took Niagara; Amherst took Ticonderoga; Wolfe died by the most enviable of deaths under the walls of Quebec: Clive destroyed a Dutch armament in the Hooghly, and established the English supremacy in Bengal: Coote routed Lally at Wandewash, and established the English supremacy in the Carnatic. The nation, while loudly applauding the successful warriors, considered them all, on sea and on land, in Europe, in America, and in Asia, merely as instruments which received their direction from one superior mind. It was the great William Pitt, the great commoner, who had vanquished French marshals in Germany. and French admirals on the Atlantic: who had conquered for his country one great empire on the frozen shores of Ontario, and another under the tropical un near the mouths of the Ganges. It was not in the nature of things that popularity such as he at this time enjoyed should be permanent. That popularity had lost its gloss before his hildren were old enough to understand that their father was a great man. He was at length placed in situations in which neither his talents for adminisration nor his talents for debate appeared to the best advantage. The energy and decision which had eminently fitted him for the direction of war were not needed in time of peace. The lofty and spirit-stirring eloquence which had made him supreme in the House of Commons often fell dead on he House of Lords. A cruel malady acked his joints, and left his joints filly to fall on his nerves and on his rain. During the closing years of his life, he was odious to the court, ind yet was not on cordial terms with ٠\

the great body of the opposition, served at Chevening, and is in some Coliseum. statesman was eminently happy. Whatever might be the vicissitudes of his public life, he never failed to find peace and love by his own hearth. He loved all his children, and was loved by them, was his second son.

The child's genius and ambition displayed themselves with a rare and althe interest which he took in grave subjects, the ardour with which he pursued his studies, and the sense and vivacity of his remarks on books and on events, amazed his parents and instructors. One of his sayings of this date was reported to his mother by his tutor. In August, 1766, when the world was agitated by the news that Mr. Pitt had become Earl of Chatham, little William exclaimed: "I am glad that I am not the eldest con. I want to speak in the House of Commons like papa." A letter is extant in which Lady Chatham, a woman of considerable abilities, remarked to her lord, that their younger son at twelve had left far behind him his elder brother, who was fifteen. "The fineness," she wrote, "of Willi im's mind makes him enjoy with the greatest pleasure what would be above the reach of any other creature of his small age." At fourteen the lad was him at Lyme in the summer of 1773, was astonished, delighted, and somewhat overawed, by hearing wit and his shyness had prevented him from wards opposed or allied, North, Fox, submitting the plan of an extensive Shelburne, Windham, Grey, Wellesley, literary work, which he was then medi-Grenville, Sheridan, Canning, went tating, to the judgment of this extra- through the training of great public ordinary boy. The boy, indeed, had schools. Lord Chatham had himself already written a tragedy, bad of been a distinguished Etonian; and it course, but not worse than the tragedies is seldom that a distinguished Etonian of his friend. This piece is still pre- forgets his obligations to Eton. But

Chatham was only the ruin of Pitt, respects highly curious. There is no but an awful and majestic ruin, not to love. The whole plot is political; and be contemplated by any man of sense it is remarkable that the interest, such and feeling without emotions resem- as it is, turns on a contest about a rebling those which are excited by the gency. On one side is a faithful servant remains of the Parchenon and of the of the Crown, on the other an ambitious In one respect the old and unprincipled conspirator. At length the King, who had been missing, reappears, resumes his power, and rewards the faithful defender of his rights. reader who should judge only by internal evidence would have no hesitation and, of all his children, the one of in pronouncing that the play was writwhom he was fondest and proudest ten by some Pittite poetaster at the time of the rejoicings for the recovery of George the Third in 1789.

The pleasure with which William's most unnatural precocity. At seven, parents observed the rapid development of his intellectual powers was alloyed by apprehensions about his health. He shot up alarmingly fast; he was often ill, and always weak; and it was feared that it would be impossible to rear a stripling so tall, so slender, and so feeble. Port wine was prescribed by his medical advisers: and it is said that he was, at fourteen, accustomed to take this agreeable physic in quantities which would, in our abstemious age, be thought much more than sufficient for any full-grown man. This regimen, though it would probably have killed ninety-nine boys out of a hundred, seems to have been well suited to the peculiarities of William's constitution; for at fifteen he ceased to be molested by disease, and, though never a strong man, continued, during many years of labour and anxiety, of nights passed in debate and of summers passed in London, to in intellect a man. Hayley, who met be a tolerably healthy one. It was probably on account of the delicacy of his frame that he was not educated like other boys of the same rank. wisdom from so young a mouth. The Almost all the eminent English statespoet, indeed, was afterwards sorry that men and orators to whom he was after-

William's infirmities required a vigi lance and tenderness such as could b found only at home. He was there fore bred under the paternal roof. H studies were superintended by a clergyman named Wilson; and those studies though often interrupted by illness were prosecuted with extraordinar success. Before the lad had complete his fifteenth year, his knowledge bot! of the ancient languages and of mathematics was such as very few men o eighteen then carried up to college. He was therefore sent, towards th close of the year 1773, to Pembroke Hall, in the university of Cambridge. So young a student required much more than the ordinary care which a college tutor bestows on undergraduates. The governor, to whom the direction of William's academical life was confided, was a bachelor of arts named Pretyman. who had been senior wrangler in the preceding year, and who, though not a man of prepossessing appearance or brilliant parts, was eminently acute and laborious, a sound scholar, and At Caman excellent geometrician. bridge, Pretyman was, during more than two years, the inseparable conpanion, and indeed almost the only companion, of las pupil. A close and lasting friendship sprang up betwe≹n the pair. The disciple was able, before he completed his twenty-eighth year, to make his preceptor Bishop of Lincoln and Dean of St. Paul's; and the preceptor showed his gratitude by writing a life of the disciple, which enjoys the distinction of being the worst biographical work of its size in the world.

Pitt, till he graduated, had scarcely one acquaintance, attended chapel regularly morning and evening, dined every day in hall, and never went to a single evening parly. At seventeen, he was admitted, after the bad fashion of those times, by right of birth, without any examination, to the degree of Master of Arts. But he continued during some years to reside at college, and to apply himself vigorously, under Pretyman's direction, to the studies of the place, while mixing freely in the best academic society.

The stock of learning which Pitt laid in during this part of his life was certainly very extraordinary. In fact, it was all that he ever possessed; for he very early became too busy to have any spare time for books. The work in which he took the greatest delight was Newton's Principia. His liking for mathematics, indeed, amounted to a passion, which, in the opinion of his instructors, themselves distinguished mathematicians, required to be checked rather than encouraged. The acuteness and readiness with which he ness and resumess what which he solved problems was pronounced by one of the ablest of the mederators, who in those days the add over the disputations in the schools, and conducted the examinations of the Senate House, to be unrivalled in the university. Nor was the youth's proficiency in classical learning less remarkable. In one respect, indeed, he appeared to disadvantage when compared with even second-rate and third-rate men from public schools. He had never, while under Wilson's care, been in the habit of composing in the ancient languages; and he thereore never acquired that knack of versification which is sometimes posessed by clever boys whose knowledge of the language and literature of dreece and Rome is very superficial. t would have been utterly out of his power to produce such charming elegiac nes as those in which Wellesley bade arewell to Eton, or such Virgilian exameters as those in which Canning escribed the pilgrimage to Mecca. But it may be doubted whether any cholar has ever, at twenty, had a nore solid and profound knowledge of he two great tongues of the old ivilised world. The facility with which he penetrated the meaning of he most intricate sentences in the Attic writers astonished veteran critics. Ie had set his heart on being istinately acquainted with all the extant oetry of Greece, and was not satisd till he had mastered Lycophron's assandra, the most obscure work in he whole range of ancient literature. This strange rhapsody, the difficulties f which have perplexed and repelled

many excellent scholars, "he read," meaning, and then to read the passage says his preceptor, "with an ease at straightforward into his own language. first sight, which, if I had not witnessed This practice, begun under his first it, I should have thought beyond the teacher Wilson, was continued under compass of human intellect."

To modern literature Pitt paid com-young man of great abilities, who had paratively little attention. He knew been exercised daily in this way during he was intimate, particularly with words well selected and well arranged. Shakspeare and Milton. The debate

on a stool." culiar manner, and had the effect of the precacity of a lad who; through the the art of constructing correct English could be answered. sentences. His practice was to look. One of the young man's visits to the over a page or two of a Greek or Latin House of Lords was a sad and memoauthor, to make himself master of the rable era in his life. He had not quite

Pretyman. It is not strange that a no living language except French; and ten years, should have acquired an French he knew very imperfectly, almost unrivalled power of putting his With a few of the best English writers thoughts, without premeditation, into

Of all the remains of antiquity, the in Pandemonium was, as it well de- orations were those on which he beserved to be, one of his favourite pas- stowed the most minute examination. sages; and his early friends used to His favourite employment was to comtalk long after his death, of the just pare harangues on opposite sides of the emphasis and the melodious cadence same question, to analyse them, and to with which they had heard him recite observe which of the arguments of the the incomparable speech of Belial. He first speaker were refuted by the second, had indeed been carefully trained from which were evaded, and which were left infancy in the art of managing his untouched. Nor was it only in books voice, a voice naturally clear and deep- that he at this time studied the art of toned. His father, whose oratory owed parliamentary fencing. When he was no small part of its effect to that art, at home, he had frequent opportunities had been a most skilful and judicious of hearing important debates at Westinstructor. At a later period, the wits minster; and he heard them, not only of Brookes's, irritated by observing, with interest and enjoyment, but with night after night, how powerfully Pitt's a close scientific attention resembling sonorous elecution fascinated the rows that with which a diligent pupil at of country gentlemen, reproached him Gar's Hospital watches every turn of with having been "taught by his dad the hand of a great surgeon through a difficult operation. On one of these His education, indeed, was well occasions, Pitt, a youth whose abilities adapted to form a great parliamentary were as yet known only to his own speaker. One argument often urged family and to a small knot of college against those classical studies which friends, was introduced on the steps of occupy so large a part of the early life the throne in the House of Lords to of every gentleman bred in the south of Fox, who was his senior by eleven ous island is, that they prevent him years, and who was already the greatest from acquiring a command of his mother debater, and one of the greatest orators, tongue, and that it is not unusual to that had appeared in England. Fox meet with a youth of excellent parts, used afterwards to relate that, as the who writes Cicronian Latin prose and discussion proceeded, Pitt repeatedly Horatian Latin Alcaics, but who would turned to him, and said, "But surely, find it impossible to express his thoughts Mr. Fox, that might be met thus;" or, in pure, perspicuous, and forcible Eng- "Yes; but he lays himself open to this lish. There may perhaps be some truth retort." What the particular criticisms in this observation. But the classical were Fox had forgotten; but he said statlies of Pitt were carried on in a pe- that he was much struck at the time by enriching his English vocabulary, and whole sitting, seemed to be thinking of making him wonderfully expert in only how all the speeches on both sides

completed his nineteenth year, when, into Parliament by Sir James Lowther on the 7th of April, 1778, he attended for the borough of Appleby. his father to Westminster. A great that France had recognised the independence of the United States. The Duke of Richmond was about to declare his opinion that all thought of subjugating those states ought to be relinquished. Chatham had always maintained that the resistance of the colonies to the mother country was justifiable. But he conceived, very erroneously, that on the day on which their independence should be acknowledged the not to be subjugated. Meanwhile the greatness of England would be at an House of Bourbon, humbled to the dust of years and infirmities, he determined, vigour of Chatham, had seized the opin spite of the entreaties of his family, portunity of revenge. France and Spain to be in his place. His son supported him to a seat. The excitement and been joined by Holland. The command exertion were too much for the old of the Mediterranean had been for a man. In the very act of addressing time lost. The British flag had been the peers, he fell back in convulsions. scarcely able to maintain itself in the A few weeks later his corpse was borne, with gloomy pomp, from the Painted Chamber to the Abbey. The favourite child and namesake of the deceased statesman followed the coffin as chief mourner, and saw it deposited in the transept where his own was destined to the ramparts of Fort Saint George. lie.

His elder brother, now Earl of Chatham, had means sufficient, and barely sufficient, to support the dignity of the family were poorly provided for. William had little more than three hundred a year It was necessary for him to follow a profession. He had already of 1780 he came of age. He then quitted Cambridge, was called to the bar, took chambers in Lincoln's Inn, and joined the western circuit. In the autumn of that year a general election took place; and he offered himself as a candidate for the university; but he was at the bottom of the poll. It is said that the grave doctors, who then

The dangers of the country were at debate was expected. It was known that time such as might well have disturbed even a constant mind. Army afterarmy had been sent in vain against the rebellious colonists of North America. On pitched fields of battle the advantage had been with the disciplined troops of the mother country. But it was not on pitched fields of battle that the event of such a contest could be decided. An armed nation, with hunger and the Atlantic for auxiliaries, was end. Though sinking under the weight a few years before by the genius and were united against us, and had recently British Channel. The northern powers professed neutrality; but their neutrality had a menacing aspect. In the East, Hyder had descended on the Carnatic, had destroyed the little army of Baillie, and had spread terror even to The discontents of Ireland threatened nothing less than civil war. land the authority of the government had sunk to the lowest point. The peerage. The other members of the King and the House of Commons were alike unpopular. The cry for parliamentary reform was scarcely less loud and vehement than in the autumn of 1830. Formidable associations, headed, begun to eat his terms. In the spring not by ordinary demagogues, but by men of high rank, stainless character. and distinguished ability, demanded a revision of the representative system. The populace, emboldened by the impotence and irresolution of the government, had recently broken loose from all restraint, besieged the chambers of the legislature, hustled peers, hunted bishops, attacked the residences of amsate, robed in scarlet, on the benches bassadors, opened prisons, burned and of Golgotha, thought it great pre- pulled down houses. London had presumption in so young a man to solicit sented during some days the aspect of so high a distinction. He was, how a city token by storm; and it had been ever, at the request of a hereditary necessary to form a camp among the friend, the Duke of Rutland, brought trees of Saint James's Park.

In spite of dangers and difficulties motives. . He remained at a post from tracted. which he had long wished and had rehour of extremity.

The opposition consisted of two parother, and which had been very slowly, fectly reconciled, but which at this conjuncture seemed to act together with the old block itself." "Pitt will be consisted of the great body of the Whig said a member of the opposition to Fox. and virtue, and in wealth and parlia- a curious fact, well remembered by mentary interest equalled by very few some who were very recently living, of the English nobles, but afflicted with that soon after this debate Pitt's name a nervous timidity which prevented was put up by Fox at Brookes's. him from taking a prominent part in lamented the errors of his private life. the bench, and by Dunning at the bar. Burks, superior to Fox in largeness of comprehension, in extent of knowledge, ment reassembled. Only forty-eight and in splendour of imagination, but hours before had arrived tidings of the less skilled muthat kind of logic and in surrender of Cornwallis and his amy; that kind of rhetoric which convince and it had consequently been necessary and persuade great assemblies, was to rewrite the royal speech. Kvery man wiking to be the lieutenant of a young in the kingdom, except the Ring, was now convinced that it was mere mad-

A smaller section of the opposition abroad and at home, George the Third, was composed of the old followers of with a firmness which had little affinity Chatham. At their head was William, with virtue, or with wisdom, persisted Earl of Shelburne, distinguished both in his determination to put down the as a statesman and as a lover of science American rebels by force of arms; and and letters. With him were leagued his ministers submitted their judgment Lord Camden, who had formerly held to his. Some of them were probably the Great Seal, and whose integrity, actuated merely by selfish cupidity; ability, and constitutional knowledge but their chief, Lord North, a man of commanded the public respect; Barré, high honour, amiable temper, winning an eloquent and acrimonious declaimer; manners, lively wit, and excellent and Dunning, who had long held the talents both for business and for de- first place at the English bar. It was bate, must be acquitted of all sordid to this party that Pitt was naturally at-

On the 26th of February 1781 he peatedly tried to escape, only because made his first speech, in favour of he had not sufficient fortitude to resist Burke's plan of economical reform. the entreaties and reproaches of the Fox stood up at the same moment, but King, who silenced all arguments by instantly gave way. The lofty yet anipassionately asking whether any gentle- mated deportment of the young memman, any man of spirit, could have the ber, his perfect self-possession, the heart to desert a kind master in the readiness with which he replied to the orators who had preceded him, the silver tones of his voice, the perfect structies which had once been hostile to each ture of his unpremeditated sentences, astonished and delighted his hearers. and, as it soon appeared, very imper- Burke, moved even to tears, exclaimed, 'It is not a chip of the old block; it is cordiality. The larger of these parties one of the first men in Parliament," aristocracy. Its head was Charles, Mar- "He is so already," answered Fox, in quess of Rockingham, a man of sense whose nature envy had no place. It is

On two subsequent occasions during debate. In the House of Commons, the that session Pitt addressed the House, adherents of Rockingham were led by and on both fully sustained the reputa-Fox, whose dissipated habits and tion which he had acquired on his first ruined fortunes were the talk of the appearance. In the summer, after the whole town, but whose commanding prorogation, he again went the western genius, and whose sweet, generous, and circuit, heldseveral briefs, and acquitted effectionate disposition, extorted the himself in such a manner that he was admiration and love of those who most highly complimented by Buller from

On the 27th of Novemberthe Parlia-

ness to think of conquering the United States. In the debate on the report of the address, Pitt spoke with even more energy and brilliancy than on any former occasion. He was warmly applauded by his allies; but it was remarked that no person on his own side of the house was so loud in eulogy as Henry Dundas, the Lord Advocate of Scotland, who able and versatile politician distinctly foresaw the approaching downfall of the government with which he was connected, and was preparing to make his own escape from the ruin. From that night dates his connection with Pitt, a connection which soon became a close intimacy, and which lasted till it was dissolved by death.

About a fortnight later, Pitt spoke in the committee of supply on the army the direction of the war in America, the First Lord of the Treasury. Pitt no-Achilles."

hardly to be distinguished from defeats. the ministry resigned. The King, reto accept Rockingham as first minister.

TooPitt was offered, through Melburne, the Vice-Treasurership of Ireland, one of the easiest and most highly paid places in the gift of the crown; but the offer was, without hesitation, declined. The young statesman had resolved to accept no post which did not entitle him to a seat in the cabinet: and, a few days later, he announced spoke from the ministerial ranks. That that resolution in the House of Commons. It must be remembered that the cabinet was then a much smaller and more select body than at present. We have seen cabinets of sixteen. In the time of our grandfathers a cabinet of ten or eleven was thought inconveniently large. Seven was an usual number. Even Burke, who had taken the lucrative office of paymaster, was not in the cabinet. Many therefore thought Pitt's declaration indecent. estimates. Symptoms of dissension had He himself was sorry that he had made begun to appear on the Treasury bench. it. The words, he said in private, had Lord George Cermaine, the Secretary of escaped him in the heat of speaking: State who was especially charged with and he had no sooner uttered them than he would have given the world to had held language not easily to be recall them. They, however, did him reconciled with declarations made by no harm with the public. The second William Pitt, it was said, had shown ticed the discrepancy with much force that he had inherited the spirit, as and keenness. Lord George and Lord well as the genius, of the first. In the North began to whisper together; and son, as in the father, there might per-Welbore Ellis, an ancient placeman haps be too much pride; but there was who had been drawing salary almost nothing low or sordid. It might be every quarter since the days of Henry called arrogance in a young barrister, Pelham, bent down between them to put living in chambers on three hundred a in a word. Such interruptions some- year, to refuse a salary of five thousand times discompose veteran speakers, a year, merely because he did not Pitt stopped, and, looking at the group, choose to bind himself to speak or vote said, with admirable readiness, "I for plans which he had no share in shall wait till Nestor has composed framing; but surely such arrogance the dispute between Agamemnon and was not very far removed from virtue.

Pitt gave a general support to the After several defeats, or victories administration of Rockingham, but omitted, in the meantime, no opportunity of courting that Ultra-Whig luctantly and ungraciously, consented party which the persecution of Wilkes and the Middlegex election had called Fox and Shelburne became Secretaries into existence, and which the disastrous of State. Lord John Cavendish, one events of the war, and the triumph of the most upright and honourable of republican principles in America, had men, was made Chancellor of the Ex- made formidable both in numbers and chequer. Thurlow, whose abilities and in temper. He supported a motion for force of character had made him the shortening the duration of Parliaments. dictator of the House of Lords, con, He made a motion for a committee to tinued to sold the great scal. xamine into the state of the representhe enemy of the close boroughs, the third year. strongholds of that corruption to which than three hundred members. division till the year 1831.

in abilities, and was more popular than remained uninjured. the cabinet had existed three months, Rockinghum died.

In an instant, all was confusion. The adherents of the deceased statesas their chief. The King placed Shelburne at the head of the Treasury. offered the great place of Chancellor was undoubtedly a coalition between

tatio, and, in the speech by which that of the Exchequer; and he accepted it. motion was introduced, avowed himself He had scarcely completed his twenty-

The Parliament was speedily prorohe attributed all the calamities of the gued. During the recess, a negotiation nation, and which, as he phrased it in for peace which had been commenced one of those exact and sonorous sen- under Rockingham was brought to a tences of which he had a boundless successful termination. England accommand, had grown with the growth knowledged the independence of her of England and strengthened with her revolted colonies; and she ceded to her strength, but had not diminished with European enemies some places in the her diminution or decayed with her Mediterranean and in the Gulf of Mexdecay. On this occasion he was sup-ico. But the terms which she obtained ported by Fox. The motion was lost were quite as advantageous and honourby only twenty votes in a house of more able as the events of the war entitled The her to expect, or as she was likely reformers never again had so good a to obtain by persevering in a contest against immense odds. All her vital The new administration was strong parts, all the real sources of her power, She preserved any administration which had held even her dignity: for she ceded to the office since the first year of George the House of Bourbon only part of what Third, but was hated by the King, she had won from that House in prehesitatingly supported by the Parlia- vious wars. She retained her Indian ment, and torn by internal dissensions. empire undiminished; and, in spite of The Chancellor was disliked and dis- the mightiest efforts of two great montrusted by almost all his colleagues. archies, her flag still waved on the rock The two Secretaries of State regarded of Gibraltar. There is not the slightest each other with no friendly feeling. reason to believe that Fox, if he had The line between their departments had remained in office, would have hesitated not been traced with precision; and one moment about concluding a treaty there were consequently jealousies, en- on such conditions. Unbappily that croachments, and complaints. It was great and most amiable man was, at all that Rockingham could do to keep this crisis, hurried by his passions into the peace in his cabinet; and, before an error which made his genius and his virtues, during a long course of years, almost useless to his country.

He saw that the great body of the House of Commons was divided into man looked on the Duke of Portland three parties, his own, that of North, and that of Shelburne; that none of those three parties was large enough Fox, Lord John Cavendish, and Burke, to stand alone; that, therefore, unless immediately resigned their offices; and two of them united, there must be a the new prime minister was left to con- miserably feeble administration, or, stitute a government out of very de- more probably, a rapid succession of fective materials. His own parliamen- miserably feeble administrations, and tary talents were great; but he could this at a time when a strong governnot be in the place where parliamentary ment was essential to the prosperity talefits were most needed. It was ne- and respectability of the nation. It cessary to find some member of the was then necessary and right that House of Commons who could confront there should be a coalition. To every the great orators of the opposition; and possible coalition there were objections. Pitt alone had the eloquence and the But, of all possible coalitions, that to courage which were required. He was which there were the fewest objections

been generally applauded by the followers of both. It might have been made without any sacrifice of public principle on the part of either. Unhappily, recent bickerings had left in the mind of Fox a profound dislike and distrust of Shelburne. Pitt attempted to mediate, and was authorised to invite Fox to return to the service of the "Is Lord Shelburne." said Fox, "to remain prime minister?" Pitt answered in the affirmative. is impossible that I can act under him,' "Then negotiation is at an said Fox. end," said Pitt; "for I cannot betray him." Thus the two statesmen parted. They were never again in a private room together.

As Fox and his friends would not treat with Shelburne, nothing remained to them but to treat with North. That fatal coalition which is emphatically called "The Coalition" was formed, Not three quarters of a year had elapsed since Fox and Burke had threatened North with impeachment, and had described him, night after night, as the most arbitrary, the most corrupt, the most incapable of ministers. They now allied themselves with him for the purpose of driving from office a statesman with whom they cannot be said to have differed as to any important question. Nor had they even the prudence and the patience to wait for some occasion on which they might, without inconsistency, have combined with their old enemics in opposition to the government. That nothing might be wanting to the scandal, the great orators, who had, during seven years, thundered against the war, determined to join with the authors of that war in passing a vote of censure on the peace.

The Parliament met before Christmas 1782. But it was not till January 1783 that the preliminary treaties were signed. On the 17th of February they were taken into consideration by the House of Commons. Thereshad been. daring some days, floating rumours that Fox and North had coalesced; and the debate indicated but too clearly that complaining of Pitt's faintheartedness, those rumours were not unfounded tried to break the coalition. Every

Shelburne and Fox. It would have he did not rise till his own strength and that of his hearers were exhausted; and he was consequently less successful than on any former occasion. His admirers owned that his speech was feeble and petulant. • He so Air forget himself as to advise Sheridan to confine himself to amusing theatrical audiences. ignoble sarcasm gave Sheridan an opportunity of retorting with great feli-city. "After what I have seen and heard to-night," he said, "I really feel strongly tempted to venture on a competition with so great an artist as Ben Jonson, and to bring on the stage a second Angry Boy." On a division, the address proposed by the supporters of the government was rejected by a majority of sixteen.

But Pitt was not a man to be disheartened by a single failure, or to be put down by the most lively repartee. When, a few days later, the opposition proposed a resolution directly censuring the treaties, he spoke with an eloquence, energy, and dignity, which raised his fame and popularity higher To the coalition of Fox than ever. and North he alluded in language which drew forth tumultuous applause "If," he said. from his followers. "this ill-omened and unnatural marriage be not yet consummated, I know of a just and lawful impediment; and, in the name of the public weal, I forbid the banns."

The ministers were again left in a minority; and Shelburne consequently tendered his resignation. It was accepted; but the King struggled long and hard before he submitted to the terms dictated by Fox, whose faults he detested, and whose high spirit and powerful intellect he detested still more. The first place at the board of Treasury was repeatedly offered to Pitt; but the offer, though tempting, was steadfastly declined. The young man, whose judgment was as precocious as his eloquence, sawthat his ime was coming, but was not come, and was deaf to royal importunities and reproaches. His Majesty, bitterly reproaches. Pitt was suffering from indisposition; fart of seduction was practised on

North, but in vain. During severa weeks the country remained without a government. It was not till all devices had failed, and till the aspect of the House of Commons became threatening. that the King gave way. The Duke of Portland was declared First Lord of the Treasury. Thurlow was dismissed. Fox and North became Secretaries of State, with power ostensibly equal. But Fox was the real prime minister.

The year was far advanced before the new arrangements were completed; and nothing very important was done during the remainder of the session. Pitt, now seated on the opposition bench. brought the question of parliamentary reform a second time under the consideration of the Commons. He proposed to add to the House at once a hundred county members and several members for metropolitan districts, and to enact that every borough of which that the majority of voters appeared to be corrupt should lose the franchise. The motion was rejected by 293 votes to 149.

After the prorogation, Pitt visited the Continent for the first and last time. His travelling companion was one of his most intimate friends, a young man of his own age, who had already distinguished himself in Parliament by an engaging natural elequence, set off by the sweetest and most exquisitely modulated of human voices, and whose affectionate heart, caressing manners, and brilliant wit, made him the most delightful of companions, William Wilberforce. That was the time of Anglomania in France; and at Paris the son of the great Chatham was absolutely hunted by men of letters and women of fashion, and forced, much against his will, into political disputation. One remarkable saying which dropped from him during this tour has been preserved. A French gentiaman expressed some surprise at the immense influence which Fox, a man of pleasure, ruined by the dicebox and the turf, exercised over the "You have not," English nation. the magician."

In November 1783 the Parliament met again. The government had irresistible strength in the House of Commons, and seemed to be scarcely less strong in the House of Lords, but was, in truth, surrounded on every side by dangers. The King was impatiently waiting for the moment at which he could emancipate himself from a yoke which galled him so severely that he had more than once seriously thought of retiring to Hanover; and the King was scarcely more eager for a change than the nation. Fox and North had committed a fatal error. They ought to have known that coalitions between parties which have long been hostile can succeed only when the wish for coalition pervades the lower ranks of both. If the leaders unite before there is any disposition to union among the followers, the probability is that there will be a mutiny in both samps, and an election committee should report that the two revolted armies will make a truce with each other, in order to be revenged on those by whom they think that they have been betrayed. Thus it was in 1783. At the beginning of that eventful year, North had been the recognised head of the old Tory party, which, though for a moment prostrated by the disastrous issue of the American war, was still a great power in the state. that large body of country gentlemen To him the clergy, the universities, and whose rallying cry was "Church and King," had long looked up with respect and confidence. Fox had, on the ther hand, been the idol of the Whigs, and of the whole body of Protestant dissenters. The coalition at once alienated the most zealous Tories from North, and the most zealous Whigs from Fox. The University of Oxford, which had marked its approbation of North's orthodoxy by electing him hancellor, the city of London, which and been during two and twenty years at war with the Court, were equally disgusted. Squires and rectors, who had inherited the principles of the car valiers of the preceding century, could not forgive their old leader for combining with disloyal subjects in order to said Pitt, "been under the wand of put a force on the sovereign. The members of the Bill of Rights Society

and of the Reform Associations were which ought undoubtedly to have been enraged by learning that their favourite considered as paramount to every other preacher of divine right, and by Jenkinson, the captain of the Prætorian cation, ventured to appeal from the lish topics. body. The ministers, therefore, notwithstanding the sullen looks and muttheir suggestions were received in the closet, not withstanding the roar of obloquy which was rising louder and the island, thought themselves secure.

strength that, as soon as the Parliathe government of the British territories in India. What was proposed till that time had been exercised over he was hateful alike to King and peothose territories by the East India ple; and he had devised a plan which Company, should be transferred to would make him independent of both. seven Commissioners who were to be Some nicknamed him Cromwell, and named by Parliament, and were not to ome Carlo Khan. Wilberforce, with be removable at the pleasure of the his usual felicity of expression, and Crown. Earl Fitzwilliam, the most with very unusual bitterness of feeling, intimate personal friend of Fox, was to described the scheme as the genuine le chairman of this board; and the offspring of the coalition, as marked Adest son of North was to be one of with the features of both its parents, the members.

were known, all the hatred which the however the bill was supported in every

orator now called the great champion was, whether the proposed change was of tyranny and corruption his noble likely to be beneficial or injurious to friend. Two great multitudes were at the thirty millions of people who were once left without any head, and both subject to the Company. But that at once turned their eyes on Pitt. One question cannot be said to have been party saw in him the only man who even seriously discussed. Burke, who, could rescue the King; the other saw whether right or wrong in the concluin him the only man who could purify sions to which he came, had at least the Parliament. He was supported on the merit of looking at the subject in one side by Archbishop Markham, the the right point of view, vainly reminded his hearers of that mighty population whose daily rice might depend on a vote band of the King's friends; on the other of Le British Parliament. He spoke, side by Jebb and Priestley, Sawbridge wi h e en more than his wonted power and Cartwright, Jack Wilkes and Horne of thought and language, about the de-Tooke. On the benches of the House solution of Rohilcund, about the spoliof Commons, however, the ranks of the ation of Benares, about the evil policy ministerial majority were unbroken; which had suffered the tanks of the and that any state-man would venture Carnatic to go to ruin; but he could to brave such a majority was thought scarcely obtain a hearing. The con-impossible. To prince of the Hanove-tending parties, to their shame it must rian line had ever, under any provo- be said, would listen to none but Eng-Out of doors the cry representative body to the constituent against the ministry was almost universal. Town and country were united. Corporations exclaimed against the tered words of displeasure with which violation of the charter of the greatest corporation in the realm. Tories and democrats joined in pronouncing the proposed board an unconstitutional louder every day from every corner of body. It was to consist of Fox's nominees. The effect of his bill was to give, Such was their confidence in their not to the Crown, but to him personlly, whether in office or in opposition. ment had met, they brought forward a an enormous power, a patronage suffisingularly bold and original plan for cient to counterbalance the patronage of the Treasury and of the Admiralty, and to decide the elections for fifty was that the whole authority, which boroughs. He knew, it was said, that the corruption of one and the violence As soon as the outlines of the scheme of the other. In spite of all opposition, coalition had excited burst forth with stage by great majorities, was rapidly. an astounding explosion. The question passed, and was sent up to the Lords.

To the general astonishment, when the Chatham. But in the other House Exchequer.

government from a great load of unpodislike of the India Bill, disapproved illuminated their houses in his honour prime minister preserved its whiteness. He could declare with perfect truth that, if unconstitutional machinations had been employed, he had been no party to them.

ficulties and dangers. In the House The opposition demanded, as a of Lords, indeed, he had a majority; liminary article of the treaty, that in that assembly be considered as a this demand Pitt steadfastly refused to match for Thurlow, who was now again comply. While the contest was raging, Chancellor, or for Camden, who cordi-the Clerkship of the Pells, a sinceure ally sufferted the son of his old friend place for life, worth three thousand a

second reading was moved in the Upper' there was not a single eminent speaker House, the opposition proposed an ad- among the official men who sate round journment, and carried it by eighty- Pitt. His most useful assistant was seven votes to seventy-nine. The cause Dundas, who, though he had not eloof this strange turn of fortune was soon quence, bad sense, knowledge, readiness, known. Pitt's cousin, Earl Temple, had and boldness. On the opposite benches been in the royal closet, and had there was a powerful majority, led by Fox, been authorised to let it be known that who was supported by Burke, North, His Majesty would consider all who and Sheridan. The heart of the young voted for the bill as his enemies. The minister, stout as it was, almost died ignominious commission was per- within him. He could not once close formed; and in tantly a troop of Lords his eyes on the night which followed of the Bedchamber, of Bishops who Temple's resignation. But, whatever wished to be translated, and of Scotch his internal emotions might be, his peers who wished to be re-elected, language and deportment indicated nomade haste to change sides. On a thing but unconquerable firmness and later day, the Lords rejected the bill. haughty confidence in his own powers. Fox and North were immediately His contest against the House of Comdirected to send their seals to the mons lasted from the 17th of December. palace by their Under Socrétaries; 1783, to the 8th of March, 1784. In and Pitt was appointed First Lord of sixteen divisions the opposition trithe Treasury and Chancellor of the umphed. Again and again the King was requested to dismiss his ministers. The general opinion was, that there But he was determined to go to Gerwould be an immediate dissolution. many rather than yield. Pitt's resolu-But Pitt wisely determined to give the tion never wavered. The cry of the public feeling time to gather strength. nation in his favour became vehement On this point he differed from his kins- and almost furious. Addresses as-urman Temple. The consequence was, ing him of public support came up that Temple, who had been appointed daily from every part of the kingdom. one of the Secretaries of State, resigned The freedom of the city of London was his office forty-eight hours after he had presented to him in a gold box. He accepted it, and thus relieved the new went in state to receive this mark of distinction. He was sumptuously pularity; for all men of sense and feasted in Grocers' Hall, and the shophonour, however strong might be their keepers of the Strand and Fleet Street of the manner in which that bill had These things could not but produce an been thrown out. Temple carried away effect within the walls of Parliament. with him the scandal which the best The ranks of the majority began to friends of the new government could waver; a few passed over to the enemy; not but lament. The fame of the young some skulked away; many were for . capitulating while it was still possible to capitulate with the honours of war. Negotiations were opened with the view of forming an administration on a wide basis; but they had scarcely He was, however, surrounded by dif- been opened when they were closed. nor could any orator of the opposition should resign the Treasury; and with

House of Commons became vacant. The he would appoint himself; and nobody gave the Pells to his father's old adherent, Colonel Barré, a man disti the Rockingham administration had granted to Barré was saved to the public. Never was there a happier rough, stroke of policy. About treaties, wars, expeditions, tariffs, budgets, there will point, beyond which a full history of always be room for dispute. The the life of Pitt would be a history of the life of Pitt wou pared with the public interest and the of the character of the man on whom public esteem. Pitt had his reward so much depended. No minister was ever more rancorously If we wish to realm were soliciting him for marquisates and garters, his bitterest enemies unlawful gain.

ended. A final remonstrance, drawn governed great communities were of up by Burke with admirable skill, was quite a different kind from those which carried on the 8th of March by a single Pitt was under the necessity of employvote in a full House. Had the experiment been repeated, the supporters of the coalition would probably have been In a minority. But the supplies had heen voted; the Mutiny Bill had been qualities, on the other hand, to which passed; and the Parliament was dis- they owe a large part of their fame, he solved.

year, and tenable with a seat in the thusiastic on the side of the new government. A hundred and sixty of appointment was with the Chancellor of the supporters of the coalition lots, the Exchequer: nobody doubted that their seats. The Pirst Lord of the Treasury himself came in at the head could have blamed him if he had done of the poll for the University of Camso for such sinecure offices had always bridge. His young friend, Wilberforce, been defended on the ground that they was elected knight of the great shire enabled a few men of eminent abilities of York, in opposition to the whole in-and small uncomes to live without any fluence of the Fitzwilliams, Cavendishes, profession, and to devote themselves to Dundases, and Siviles. In the midst the service of the state. Pitt, in spite of such triumphs Pitt completed his of the remonstrances of his friends, twenty-fifth year. He was now the greatest subject that England had seen during many generations. He domiguished by talent and eloquence, but neered absolutely over the cabinet, and poor and afflicted with blindness. By was the favourite at once of the Sovethis arrangement a pension which reign, of the Parliament, and of the nation. His father had never been so powerful, ner Walpole, nor Marlbo-

policy which is applauded by half the England, or rather of the whole civination may be condemned by the other lised world, and for such a history this half. But pecuniary disinterestedness is not the proper place. Here a very everybody comprehends. It is a great slight sketch must suffice; and in that thing for a man who has only three sketch prominence will be given to such hundred a year to be able to show that points as may enable a reader who is he considers three thousand a year as already acquainted with the general mere dirt beneath his feet, when course of events to form a just notion

If we wish to arrive at a correct libelled; but, even when he was known judgment of Pitt's merits and defects, to be overwhelmed with debt, when we must never forget that he belonged millions were passing through his hands, to a peculiar class of statesmen, and when the wealthiest magnates of the that he must be tried by a peculiar standard. It is not easy to compare um fairly with such men as Ximenes did not dare to accuse him of touching and Sully, Richelieu and Oxenstiern, John de Witt and Warren Hastings. At length the hard fought fight The means by which those politicians Some talents, which they never ng. and any opportunity of showing that hey possessed, were developed in him o an extraordinary degree. In some lved.
The popular constituent bodies all ransacted business in their closets, or over the country were in general en- at boards where a few confidential

councillors sate. It was his lot to be which parliamentary government was established; his whole train-

infancy was such as fitted him to bear a part in parliamentary government; and, from the prime of his manhood to his death, all the powers of his vigorous mind were almost constantly exerted in the work of parliamentary government. He accordingly became the greatest master of the whole art of parliamentary government that has ever existed, a greater than Montague or Walpole, a greater than his father Chatham or his rival Fox, a greater than either of his illustrious successors Can-

ning and Peel.

Parliamentary government, like every other contrivance of man, has its advantages and its disadvantages. On th advantages there is no need to dilate. The history of England during the hundred and seventy years which have elapsed since the House of Commons became the most powerful body in the state, her immense and still growing prosperity, her freedom, her tranquillity, her greatness in arts, in sciences, and in arms, her maritime ascendency, the marvels of her public credit, her American, her African, her Australian. her Asiatic empires, sufficiently prove the excellence of her institutions. those institutions, though excellent, are assuredly not perfect. Parliamentary government is government by speaking. In such a government, the power of speaking is the most highly prized of all the qualities which a politician can possess; and that power may exist, in the highest degree, without judgment, without fortitude, without skill in reading the characters of men or the signs of the times, without any knowledge of the principles of legislation or of political economy, and without any skill in diplomacy or in the administration of war. Nay, it may well happen that those very intellectual qualities which give a peculiar charm to the speeches of a public man may be incompatible with the qualities which would fit him to neet a pressing emergency with promptitude and firmness. It was thus with Charles Townshend. It was thus

ith Windham. It was a privilege to born in an age and in a country in listen to those accomplished and ingenious orators. But in a perilous crisis they would have been found far inferior in all the qualities of rulers to such a man as Oliver Cromwell, who talked nonsense, or as William the Silent, who did not talk at all. When parliamentary government is established, a Charles Townshend or a Windham will almost always exercise much greater influence han such men as the great Protector of England, or as the founder of the Batavian commonwealth. In such a zovernment, parliamentary talent. hough quite distinct from the talents of a good executive or judicial officer, will be a chief qualification for execuive and judicial office. From the Book of Dignities a curious list might be made out of Chancellors ignorant of he principles of equity, And First Lords of the Admiralty ighorant of the rinciples of navigation, of Colonial ministers who could not repeat the ames of the Colonies, of Lords of the Treasury who did not know the differnce between funded and unfunded ebt, and of Secretaries of the India Board who did not know whether the Mahrattas were Mahometans or Hinloos. On these grounds, some persons, ncapable of seeing more than one side of a question, have pronounced parliamentary government a positive evil, and have maintained that the adminisration would be greatly improved if he power, now exercised by a large ssembly, were transferred to a single erson. Men of sense will probably hink the remedy very much worse than he disease, and will be of opinion that here would be small gain in exchangng Charles Townshend and Windham or the Prince of the Peace, or the poor ave and dog Steenie.

Pitt was emphatically the man of arliamentary government, the type of is class, the minion, the child, the poiled child, of the House of Com ons. For the House of Commons ha ad a hereditary, an infantine love. hrough his whole boyhood, the House of Commons was never out of his houghts, or out of the thoughts of his nstructors. Reciting at his father's

into English, analysing the great Attic for the conflicts of the House of Commons. He was a distinguished member of the House of Commons at twentyone. The ability which he had displayed in the House of Commons made him the most powerful subject in Europe before he was twenty-five. would have been happy for himself and for his country if his elevation had been deferred. Eight or ten years, during which he would have had leisure and opportunity for reading and reflection, for foreign travel, for social intercourse and free exchange of thought on equal terms with a great variety of companions, would have supplied what, without any fault on his part, was wanting to his powerful intellect. He had all the knowledge that he could be expected to have; that is to say, all the knowledge that a man can acquire while he is a student at Cambridge, and all the knowledge that a man can acquire when he is First Lord of the Treasury and Chancellor of the Exchequer. But the stock of general information which he brought from college, extraordinary for a boy, was far inferior to what Fox possessed, and beggarly when compared with the massy the splendid, the various treasures laid up in the large mind of Burke. After Pitt became minister, he had no leisure to learn more than was necessary for the purposes of the day which was passing over him. What was necessary for those purposes such a man could learn with little difficulty. He was surrounded by experienced and able public servants. He could at any moment command their best assistance. From the stores which they produced his vigorous mind rapidly collected the materials for a good parliamentary case: and that was enough. Legislation and administration were with him To the work of secondary matters. framing statutes, of negotiating treatics, of organising fleets and armics, of sending forth expeditions, he gave any modern; and of this formidable weapon the leavings of his time and the dregs he made merciless use. In two parts of his fine intellect. The strength and of the orntorical art which are of the

knee, reading Thucydides and Cicero sap of his mind were all drawn in a different direction. It was when the speeches on the Embassy and on the House of Commons was to be convinced Crown, he was constantly in training and persuaded that he put forth all his powers.

Of those powers we must form our estimate chiefly from tradition: for of all the eminent speakers of the last age Pitt has suffered most from the reporters. Even while he was still living, critics remarked that his eloquence could not be preserved, that he must be heard to be appreciated. They more than once applied to him the sentence in which Tacitus describes the fate of a senator whose rhetoric was admired in the Augustan age: "Haterii canorum illud et profluens cum ipso simul exstinctum est." There is, however. abundant evidence that nature had bestowed on Pitt the talents of a great orator; and those talents had been developed in a very peculiar manner, first by his education, and secondly by the high official position to which he rose early, and in which he passed the greater part of his public life.

At his first appearance in Parliament he showed himself superior to all his contemporaries in command of language. He could pour forth a long succession of round and stately periods, without premeditation, without ever pausing for a word, without ever repeating a word, in a voice of silver clearness, and with a pronunciation so articulate that not a letter was slurred over. He had less ' amplitude of mind and less richness of imagination than Burke, less ingenuity than Windham, less wit than Sheridan, less perfect mastery of dialectical fence, and less of that highest sort of eloquence which consists of reason and passion fused together, than Fox. Yet the almost unanimous judgment of those who were in the habit of listening to that remarkable race of men placed Pitt, as a speaker, above Burke, above-Windham, above Sheridan, and not below Fox. His declamation was copious, polished, and splendid. power of sarcasm he was probably not surpassed by any speaker, ancient or

highest value to a minister of state he he sate, in which he stood, and, above was singularly expert. No man knew hetter how to be luminous or how to be obscure. When he wished to be understood he never failed to make himself understood. He covid with ease present to his audience, not perhaps an exact or profound, but a clear, popular, and plausible view of the most extensive and complicated subject. Nothing was out of place; nothing was forgotten, minute details, dates, sums of money, were all faithfully preserved in his memory. Even intricate questions of finance, when explained by him, seemed rlear to the plainest man among his On the other hand, when he did not wish to be explicit, --- and no man who is at the head of affairs always wishes to be explicit,-he had a marvellous power of saying nothing in language which left on his audience the impression that he had said a great deal. He was at once the only man who could open a budget without notes. and the only man who, as Windham said, could speak that most elaborately evasive and unmeaning of human compositions, a King's speech, without premeditation.

The effect of oratory will always to a great extent depend on the character of the orator. There perhaps never were two speakers whose eloquence had more of what may be called the race, more of the flavour imparted by moral qualities, than Fox and Pitt. speeches of Fox owe a great part of their charm to that warmth and softness of heart, that sympathy with human suffering, that admiration for everything great and beautiful, and that hatred of cruelty and injustice, which interest and delight us even in the most defective reports. No person, on the other hand, could hear Pitt without perceiving him to be a man of ·high, intrepid, and commanding spirit, proudle conscious of his own rectitude and of his bwn intellectual superiority, incapable of the low vices of fear and envy, but too prone to feel and to show disdain. Pride, indeed, pervaded the while man, was written in the harsh, rigid Knes of his face, was marked by the way in which he walked, in which added much to the dignity of his

all, in which he bowed. Such pride, of course, inflicted many wounds. It may confidently be affirmed that there cannot be found, in all the ten thousand invectives written against Fox, a word indicating that his demeanour had ever made a single personal enemy. On the other hand, several men of note who had been partial to Pitt, and who to the last continued to approve his public conduct and to support his administration, Cumberland, for example, Boswell, and Matthias, were so much irritated by the contempt with which he treated them, that they complained in print of their wrongs. But his pride, though it made him bitterly disliked by individuals, inspired the great body of his followers in Parliament and throughout the country with respect and confidence. They took him at his own aluation. They saw that his self-ester m was not that of an upstart, who was drunk with # good luck and with applause, and who, if fortune turned, would sink from arrogance into abject humility. It was that of the magnanimous man so finely described by Aristotle in the Ethics, of the man who thinks himself worthy of great things, being in truth worthy. It sprang from a consciousness of great powers and great virtues, and was never so conspicuously displayed as in the midst of difficulties and dangers which would have unnerved and bowed down any ordinary mind. It was closely connected, too, with an ambition which had no mixture of low cupidity. There was something noble in the cynical disdain with which the mighty minister scattered riches and titles to right and left among those who valued them, while he spurned them out of his own way. Poor himself, he was surrounded by friends on whom he had bestowed three thousand, six thousand, ten thousand a year. Plain Mister himself, he had made more lords than any three ministers that had preceded him. The garter for which the first dukes in the kingdom were contending was repeatedly offered to him, and offered in vain.
The correctness of his private life

circle of his intimate associates, he was amiable, affectionate, even playful. They loved him sincerely; they regretted him long; and they would hardly admit that he who was so kind and gentle with them could be stern and haughty with others. He indulged, indeed, somewhat too freely in wine, which he had early been directed to take as a medicine, and which use had made a necessary of life to him. But it was very seldom that any indication of undue excess could be detected in his tones or gestures; and, in truth, two bottles of port were little more to him than two dishes of tea. He had. when he was first introduced into the clubs of Saint James's Street, shown a strong task for play; but he had the prudence and the resolution to stop before this taste had acquired the strength of habit. From the passion which generally exercises the most tyrannical dominion over the young he possessed an mmunity, which is probably to be ascribed partly to his temperament and partly to his situation. His constitution was feeble; he was very shy; and he was very busy. The strictness of his morals furnished such buffoons as Peter Pindar and Captain Morris with an inexhaustible theme for merriment of no very delicate kind. But the great body of the middle class of Englishmen could not see the joke. They warmly praised the young statesman for commanding his passions, and for covering his frailties. if he had frailties, with decorous obscurity, and would have been very far indeed from thinking better of him if he had vindicated himself from the taunts of his enemies by taking under his protection a Nancy Parsons or a Marianne Clark.

No part of the immense popularity which Pitt long enjoyed is to be attributed to the eulogies of wifs and poets It might have been naturally expected that a man of genius, of learning, of taste, an orator whose diction was often compared to that of Tully, the repres highest eminence, who had made very sentative, too, of a great university, little by his writings, and who was

public character. In the relations of in befriending eminent writers, to whatson, brother, uncle, master, friend, his ever political party they might have conduct was exemplary. In the small belonged. The love of literature had induced Augustus to heap benefits on Pompeians, Somers to be the protector of nonjurors, Harley to make the fortunes of Whige. But it could not move Pitt to show any favour even to Pittites. He was doubtless right in thinking that, in general, poetry, history and philosophy ought to be suffered, like calico and cutlery, to find their proper price in the market, and that to teach men of letters to look habitually to the state for their recompense is bad for the state and bad for letters. Assuredlynothing can be more absurd or mischievous than to waste the public money in bounties for the purpose of inducing people who ought to be weighing out grocery or measuring out drapery to write bad or middling books. Rut, though the sound rule is that authors should be left to be remunerated by their readers, there will, in every generation, be a few exceptions to this rule. To distinguish these special cases from the mass is an employment well worthy of the faculties of a great and accomplished ruler; and Pitt would assuredly have had little difficulty in finding such cases. While he was in power, the greatest philologist of the age, his own contemporary at Cambridge, was reduced to earn a livelihood by the lowest literary drudgery, and to spend in writing squibs for the Morning Chronicle years to which we might have owed an all but perfect . text of the whole tragic and comic drama of Athens. The greatest historian of the age, forced by poverty to leave his country, completed his immortal work on the shores of Lake Leman. The political heterodoxy of Porson, and the religious heterodoxy of Gibbon, may perhaps be pleaded in defence of the minister by whom those eminent men were neglected. Bet there were other cases in which no such excuse could be set up. Scarcely had Pitt obtained possession of unbounded power when an aged writer of the would have taken a peculiar pleasure sinking into the grave under a load of

six hundred pounds to enable him, during the winter or two which might still remain to him, to draw his breath more easily in the woft climate of Italy. Not a farthing was to be obtained; and before Christmas the author of the English Dictionary and of the Lives of the Poets had gasped his last in the river fog and coal smoke of Fleet Street. A few months after the death of Johnson appeared the Task, incomparably the best poem that any Englishman then living had produced—a poem, too, which could hardly fail to excite in a well constituted mind a feeling of esteem and compassion for the poets, a man of genius and virtue, whose means were scanty, and whom the most cruel of all the calamities incident to humanity had made incapable of supporting himself by vigorous and sustained exertion. Nowhere had Chatham been praised with more enthusiusm, or in verse more worthy of the subject, than in the Task. The sou of Chatham, however, contented himself with reading and admiring the book, and left the author to starve. The pension which, long after, enabled poor Cowper to close his melancholy life, unmolested by duns and bailiffs, was obtained for him by the strenuous kindness of Lord Spencer. What a contrast between the way in which Pitt acted towards Johnson and the way in which Lord Grey acted towards his political enemy Scott, when Scott, worn out by misfortune and disease, was advised to try the effect of the Italian air! What a contrast between the way in which Pitt acted towards Cowper and the way in which Burke, a poor man and out of place, acted towards Crabbe! Even Dundas, who made no pretensions to literary taste, and was content to be considered as a hardheaded and somewhat coarse man of Firen those who may think that it is, able of contending: but his eloquence

infirmities and sorrows, wanted five or in general, no part of the duty of a government to reward literary merit will hardly deny that a government, which has much lucrative church preferment in its gift, is bound, in distributing that preferment, not to overlook divines whose writings have rendered great service to the cause of religion. But it seems never to have occurred to Pitt that he lay under any such obligation. All the theological works of all the numerous bishops whom he made and translated are not, when put together, worth fifty pages of the Horæ Paulinæ, of the Natural Theology. or of the View of the Evidences of Christianity. But on Paley the allpowerful minister never bestowed the smallest benefice. Artists Pitt treated' as contemptuously as writers. painting he did simply nothing. Sculptors, who had been selected to execute monuments voted by Parliament, had to haunt the ante-chambers of the Treasury during many years before they could obtain a farthing from him. One of them, after vainly soliciting the minister for payment during fourteen years, had the courage to present a memorial to the King, and thus obtained tardy and ungracious justice. Architects it was absolutely necessary to employ; and the worst that could be found seem to have been employed. Not a single fine public building of any kind or in any style was creeted during his long administration. It may be confidently affirmed that no ruler whose abilities and attainments would bear any comparison with his has ever shown such cold disdain for what is excellent in arts and letters.

His first administration lasted seventeen years. That long period is divided by a strongly marked line into two almost exactly equal parts. The first part ended and the second began in the autumn of 1792. Throughout both parts business, was, when compared with Pitt displayed in the highest degree his election and classically educated the talents of a parliamentary leader. friend, a Mæcenas or a Leo. Dundas During the first part he was a fortumade Burns an exciseman, with seventy nate and, in many respects, a skilful portads a year; and this was more than administrator. With the difficulties Pitt, during his long tenure of power, which he had to encounter during the did for the encouragement of letters. second part he was altogether incapand his perfect mastery of the tactics | mestic virtues were acknowledged. But of the House of Commons concealed his

incapacity from the multitude.

The eight years which followed the general election of 1784 were as tranquil and prosperous as any eight years in the whole history of England. Neighbouring nations which had lately been in arms against her, and which had tlattered themselves that, in losing her American colonies, she had lost a chief source of her wealth and of her power, saw, with wonder and vexation, that she was more wealthy and more power-Her trade increased. ful than ever. Her manufacture flourished. Her exchequer was full to overflowing. Very idle apprehensions were generally entertained, that the public debt, though much less than a third of the debt which we now bear with ease, would be found too heavy for the strength of the nation. Those apprehensions might not perhaps have leen easily quieted by reason. But Pitt quieted them by a juggle. He succeeded in persuading first himself, and then the whole nation, his opponents included, that a new sinking fund, which, so far as it differed from former sinking funds. differed for the worse, would, by virtue of some mysterious power of propagation belonging to money, put into the pocket of the public creditor great sums not taken out of the pocket of the taxpayer. The country, terrified by a danger which was no danger, hailed with delight and boundless confidence a remedy which was no remedy. The minister was almost universally extolled as the greatest of financiers. Meanwhile both the branches of the House of Bourbon found that England was as formidable an antagonist as she had ever been. France had formed a plan for reducing Holland to vassalage. But England interposed; and Franco recoded. Spain interrupted by violence the trade of our merchants with the regions near But England armed; the Oregon. and Spain receded. Within the island there was profound tranquillity. The of power. Any attempt to undermine King was, for the first time, popular. him at Court, any mutinous movement. During the twenty-three years which among his followers in the House of had followed his accession he had not Commons, was certain to be at once

it was generally thought that the good qualities by which he was distinguished in private life were wanting to his political character. As a Sovereign, he was resentful, unforgiving, stubborn, cunning. Under his rule the country had sustained cruel disgraces and disasters; and every one of those disgraces and disasters was imputed to his strong antipathies, and to his perverse obstinacy in the wrong. One statesman after another complained that he had been induced by royal caresses, entreaties, and promises, to undertake the direction of affairs at a difficult conjuncture, and that, as soon as he had, not without sullying his fame and alienating his best friends, served the turn for which he was wanted, his ungrateful master began to intrigue against him, and to canvass against him. Grenville, Rockingham, Chatham, men of widely different characters. but all three upright and high-spirited, agreed in thinking that the Prince under whom they had successively held the highest place in the government was one of the most insincere of mankind. His confidence was reposed, they said, not in those known and responsible counsellors to whom he had delivered the seals of office, but in secret advisers who stole up the back stairs into his closet. In Parliament his ministers, while defending themselves against the attacks of the opposition in front, were perpetually, at his instigation, assailed on the flank or in the rear by a vile band of mercenaries who called themselves his friends. These men constantly, while in possession of lucrative places in his service. spoke and voted against bills which he had authorised the First Lord of the Treasury or the Secretary of State to bring in. But from the day on which Pitt was placed at the head of affairs there was an end of secret influence. His haughty and aspiring spirit was not to be satisfied with the mere show been loved by his subjects. His do- put down. He had only to tender his

own terms. For he, and he alone, stood between the King and the Coali-He was therefore little less than Mayor of the Palace. The nation loudly applauded the King for having the wisdom to repose entire confidence in so excellent a minister. His Majesty's private virtues now began to produce their full effect. He was generally regarded as the model of a respectable country gentleman, honest, good-natured, sober, religious. He rose early: he dined temperately: he was strictly faithful to his wife: he never missed church; and at church he never missed a response. His people heartily prayed that he might long reign over them; and they prayed the more heartily because his virtues were set off to the best advantage by the vices and follies of the Prince of Wales, who lived in close intimacy with the chiefs of the opposition.

How strong this feeling was in the public mind appeared signally on one great occasion. In the autumn of 1788 the King became insane. The opposition, eager for office, committed the great indiscretion of asserting that the heir apparent had, by the fundamental laws of England, a right to be Regent with the full powers of royalty. Pitt, on the other hand, maintained it to be the constitutional doctrine that, when a Sovereign is, by reason of infancy, disease, or absence, incapable of exercising the regal functions, it belongs to the estates of the realm to determine who shall be the vicegerent and with what portion of the executive authority such vicegerent shall be entrusted. A long and violent contest followed, in which Pitt was supported by the great body of the people with as much enthusiasm ts during the first months of his administration. Tories with one voice applauded him for defending the sick-bed of a virtuous and unhappy Sovereign against a disloyal faction and an undutiful son. Not a few Whigs applauded him for asserting the authority of Parliaments and the principles of the Revolution, in opposition to a doctrine which seemed

resignation; and he could dictate his | right. The middle class, always zealous on the side of decency and the domestic virtues, looked forward with dismay to a reign resembling that of Charles II. The palace, which had now been, during thirty years, the pattern of an English home, would be a public nuisance, a school of profligary. To the good King's repast of mutton and lemonade, despatched at three o'clock, would succeed midnight banquets, from which the guests would be carried home speechless. To the backgammon board at which the good King played for a little silver with his equerries, would succeed faro tables from which young patricians who had sate down rich would rise up beggars. The drawingroom, from which the frown of the Queen had repelled a whole generation of frail beauties, would now be again what it had been in the delts of Barbara Palmer and Louisa de Queroualle. Nay, severely as the public reprobated the Prince's many illicit attachments, his one virtuous attachment was reprobated more severely still. Even in grave and pious circles his Protestant mistresses gave less scandal than his Popish wife. That he must be Regent nobody ventured to deny. But he and his friends were so unpopular that Pitt could, with general approbation, propose to limit the powers of the Regent by restrictions to which it would have been impossible to subject a Prince beloved and trusted by the country. Some interested men, fully expecting a change of administration, went over to the opposition. But the majority. purified by these desertions, closed its ranks, and presented a more firm array than ever to the enemy. In every division Pitt was crictorious. When at length, after a stormy interregnum of three months, it was announced, on the very eve of the inauguration of the Regent, that the King was himself again, the nation was wild with delight. On the evening of the day on which His Majesty resumed his functions, a spontaneous illumination, the most general that had ever been seen in England, brightened the whole vast space to have to much affinity with the ser- from Highgate to Tooting, and from the theory of indefensible hereditary Hammersmith to Greenwich. On the

day on which he returned thanks in discoloured by his passions and his the cuthedral of his capital, all the horses and carriages within a hundred miles of London were too few for the multitudes which flocked to see him pass through the streets A second illumination followed, which was even superior to the first in magnificence. Pitt with difficulty escaped from the tumultuous kindness of an innumerable multitude which insisted on drawing his coach from Saint Paul's Churchyard to Downing Street. This was the moment at which his fame and fortune may be said to have reached the zenith. His influence in the closet was as great as that of Carr or Villiers had been. His dominion over the Parliament was more absolute than that of Walpole or l'elham had been. He was at the same time as high in the favour of the populace as evel. Wilkes or Sacheverell had Nothing did more to raise his character than his noble poverty. It was well known that, if he had been dismissed from office after more than five years of boundless power, he would hardly have carried out with him a sum sufficient to furnish the set of chambers m which, as he cheerfully declared, he meant to resume the practice of the His admirers, however, were by no means disposed to suffer him to depend on daily toil for his daily bread. The voluntary contributions which were awaiting his acceptance in the city of London alone would have sufficed to make him a rich man. But it may be doubted whether his haughty spirit would have stooped to accept a provision so honourably earned and so nonourably bestowed.

To such a height of power and glory had this extraordinary man risen at twenty-nine years of age. And now the tide was on the turn. Only ten days after the triumphal procession to Saint Paul's, the States-General of France, after an interval of a hundred and seventy-four years, met at Versailles.

which followed was long very imperfeetly understood in this country.

Burke asw much further than any of
his contemporaries: but whatever his

Saugetty description.

imagination. More than three years clapsed before the principles of the English administration underwent any Nothing could as material change. yet be milder or more strictly constitutional than the minister's domestic policy. Not a single act indicating an arbitrary temper or a jealousy of the people could be imputed to him. He had never applied to Parliament for any extraordinary powers. He had never used with harshness the ordinary powers entrusted by the constitution to the executive government. Not a single state prosecution which would even now be called oppressive had been instituted by him. Indeed, the only oppressive state prosecution instituted during the first eight years of his administration was that of Stockdale, which is to be attributed, not to the government, but to the chiefs of the opposition. In office, Pitt had redeemed the pledges which he had, at his entrance into public life, given to the supporters of parliamentary reform. He had, in 1785, brought forward a judicious plan-for the improvement of the representative system, and had prevailed on the King, not only to refrain from talking against that plan, but to recommend it to the Houses in a speech from the throne.\* This attempt failed; but there can be little doubt that, if the French Revolution had not produced a violent reaction of public feeling, Pitt would have performed, with little difficulty and no danger, that great work which, at a later period, Lord Grey could accomplish only by means which for a time loosened the very foundations of the commonwealth. When the atrocities of the slave trade were first brought under the consideration of Parliament, no abolitionish was more zealous than Pitt. Whon sickness prevented Wilberforce from appearing in public, his place was most efficiently supplied by

sagacity descried was refracted and Pitt's Reform Bill.

his friend the minister. bill, which mitigated the horrors of the middle passage, was, in 1788, carried by the eloquence and determined spirit of Ritt, in spite of the exposition of some of his own colleagues; and it ought always to be remembered to his honour that, in order to carry that bill, he kept the Houses sitting, in spite of many murmurs, long after the business of the government had been done, and the Appropriation Act passed. In 1791 he cordially concurred with Fox in maintaining the sound constitutional doctrine, that an impeachment is not terminated by a dissolution. In the course of the same year the two great rivals contended side by side in a far more important cause. They are fairly entitled to divide the high honour of having added to our statute-book the inestimablelaw which places the liberty of the press under the protection of juries. On one occasion, and one alone, Pitt, during the first half of his long administration, acted in a manner unworthy of an enlightened Whig. the debate on the Test Act, he stooped to gratify the master whom he served, the university which he represented, and the great body of clergymen and country gentlemen on whose support he rested, by talking, with little heartiness, indeed, and with no asperity, the language of a Tory. With this single exception, his conduct from the end of 1783 to the middle of 1792 was that of an honest friend of civil and religious liberty.

Nor did anything, during that period, indicate that he loved war, or harboured any malevolent feeling against any neighbouring nation. Those French writers who have represented him as a Hannibal sworn in childhood by his father to bear eternal hatred to France, as having, by mysterious intrigues and lavish bribes, instigated the leading Jacobing to commit those excesses which dishonoured the Revolution, as having been the real author of the first scalition, know nothing of his character | While the Jacobins were dominant, it of his history. So far was he from | was he who had corrupted the Gironde. being a deadly enemy to France, that who had raised Lyons and Bordeaux chis laudable attempts to bring about a against the Convention, who had subcloser connection with that country by orned Paris to assassinate Lepelletier,

A humane means of a wise and liberal treaty of commerce brought on him the severe censure of the opposition. He was told in the House of Commons that he position of was a degenerate son, and that his partiality for the hereditary foes of our island was enough to make his great father's bones stir under the pavement of the Abbey.

of the Abbey. And this man, whose name, if he had been so fortunate as to die in 1792, would now have been associated with peace, with freedom, with philanthropy, with temperate reform, with mild and constitutional administration, lived to associate his name with arbitrary government, with harsh laws harshly executed, with alien bills, with gagging bills, with suspensions of the Habcas Corpus Act, with cruel punishments inflicted on some political agitators, with unjustifiable prosecutions instituted against others, and with the most costly and most sanguenary wars of modern times. He lived to be held up to oblique as the stern oppressor of England, and the indefatigable disturber of Europe. Poets, contrasting his earlier with his later years, likened him sometimes to the apostle who kissed in order to betray, and sometimes to the evil angels who kept not their first estate. A satirist of great genius introduced the fiends of Famine, Slaughter, and Fire, proclaiming that they had received their commission from One whose name was formed of four letters, and promising to give their employer ample proofs of gratitude. mine would gnaw the multitude till they should rise up against him in madness. The demon of slaughter would impel them to tear him from ! limb to limb. But Fire boasted that she alone could reward him as he deserved, and that she would cling round him to all eternity. By the French press and the French tribune every crime that disgraced and every calamity that afflicted France was ascribed to the monster Pitt and his guineas.

and Cecilia Regnault to assassinate | coats on their backs, became eager and Robespierre. When the Thermidorian reaction came, all the atrocities of the Reign of Terror were imputed to him. Collet D'Herbois and Fouquier Tinville had been his pensioners. It was he who had hired the murderers of September, who had dictated the pamphlets of Marat and the Carmagnoles of Barère, who had paid Lebon to deluge Arras with blood, and Carrier to choke the Loire with corpses.

The truth is, that he liked neither war nor arbitrary government. He was a lover of peace and freedom, driven, by a stress against which it was hardly possible for any will or any intellect to struggle, out of the course to which his inclinations pointed, and for which his abilities and acquirements fitted him, and forced into a policy repugnant to his feeling and unsuited to his talents.

The charge of apostasy is grossly unjust. A man ought no more to be called an apostate because his opinions alter with the opinions of the great body of his contemporaries than he ought to be called an oriental traveller because he is always going round from west to east with the globe and everything that is upon it. Between the spring of 1789 and the close of 1792, the public mind of England underwent a great change. If the change of Pitt's sentiments attracted peculiar notice, it was not because he changed more than his neighbours; for in fact he changed less than most of them; but because his position was far more conspicuous than theirs, because he was, till Bonaparte appeared, the individual who filled the greatest space in the eves of the inhabitants of the civilised world. During a short time the nation, and Pitt, as one of the nation, looked with interest and approbation on the French Revolution. But soon vast confiscations, the violent sweeping away of ancient institutions, the domination of clubs, the barbarties of mobs fnaddened by famine and hatred, produced a reaction here. The court, the nobility, the gentry, the clergy, the the cry of Deus vult at Clermont.

intolerant Antijacobins. This feeling was at least as strong among the minister's adversaries as among his supporters. Fox in wain attempted to restrain his followers. All his genius, all his vast personal influence, could not prevent them from rising up against him in general mutiny. Burke set the example of revolt; and Burke was in no long time joined by Portland, Spencer, Fitzwilliam, Loughborough, Carlisle, Malmesbury, Windham, Elliot. In the House of Commons, the followers of the great Whig statesman and orator diminished from about a hundred and sixty to fifty. In the House of Lords he had but ten or twelve adherents left. There can be no doubt that there would have been a similar mutiny on the ministerial benches if Pitt had obstinately resisted the general wish. Pressed at once by his master and by his colleagues, by old friends and by old opponents, he abandoned, slowly and reluctantly, the policy which was dear to his heart. He laboured hard to avert the European war. When the European war broke out, he still flattered himself that it would not be necessary for this country to take either side. In the spring of 1792 he congratulated the Parliament on the prospect of long and profound peace, and proved his sincerity by proposing large remissions of taxation. Down to the end of that year he continued to cherish the hope that England might be able to preserve-neutrality. But the passions which raged on both sides of the Channel were not to be restrained. The republicans who ruled France were inflamed by a fanaticism resembling that of the Mussulmans who, with the Koran in one hand and the sword in the other, went forth, conquering and converting, eastward to the Bay of Bengal, and westward to the Pillars of Hercules The higher and middle classes of England were animated by zeal not less flery than that of the Crusaders who raised manufacturers, the merchants, in shart impulse which drove the two nations nineteen twentieths of those who had to a collision was not to be arrested by good roofs over their heads and good the abilities or by the authority of any

single man. As Pitt was in front of been at par. his fellows, and towered high above them, he seemed to lead them. But in fact he was violently pushed on by them, and had ha held back but a little more than he did, would have been thrust out of their well or trampled under their feet.

He yielded to the current: and from that day his misfortunes began. The truth is that there were only two con sistent courses before him. Since he did not choose to oppose himself, side by side with Fox, to the public feeling, he should have taken the advice of Burke, and should have availed himself of that feeling to the full extent. If it was impossible to preserve peace, he should have adopted the only policy which could lead to victory. He should have proclaimed a Holy War for religion, morality, property, order, public law, and should have thus opposed to the Jacobins an energy equal to their own. Unhappily he tried to find a middle path; and he found one which united all that was worst in both extremes. He went to war: but he would not understand the peculiar character of that war. He was obstinately blind to the plain fact, that he was contending against a state which was also a sect, and that the new quarrel between England and France was of quite a different kind from the old quarrels about colonies in America and fortresses in the Netherlands. He had to combat frantic enthusiasm, boundless ambition, restless activity, the wildest and most audacious spirit of innovation, and he acted as if he had to deal with the harlots and fops of the old Court of Versailles, with Madame de Pompadour and the Abbé de Bernis. It was pitiable to hear him, year after year, proving to an admiring audience that the wicked Republic was exhausted. that she could not hold out, that her creditowas gone, and her assignats were not worth more than the paper of which the were made; as if credit was necessary to a government of which do was done. The Earl of Challiam, the principle was rapine, as if Alboin without a single qualification for high could not term Italy into a describility without a single qualification for high could not term. Italy into a describility for the partiality, First Lord of the Administry, partiality, First Lord of the Administry, and the partiality of Attile land was kept in that great post during

It was impossible that a man who so completely mistook the nature of a contest could carry on that contest successfully. Great as Pitt's abilities were, his military administration was that of a driveller. He was at the head of a nation engaged in a struggle for life and death, of a nation, eminently distinguished by all the physical and all the moral qualities which make excellent soldiers. The resources at his command were unlimited. The Parliament was even more ready to grant him men and money than he was to ask for them. In such an emergency, and with such means, such a statesman as Richelieu, as Louvois, as Chatham, as Wellesley, would have created in a few months one of the finest armies in the world, and would soon have discovered and brought forward generals worthy to command such an army Germany might have been saved by another Blenheim; Flanders recovered by another Ramilies; another Poitiers might have delivered the Royalist and Catholic provinces of France from a yoke which they abhorred, and might have spread terror even to the barriers of Paris. But the fact is, that, after eight years of war, after a vast destruction of life, after an expenditure of wealth far exceeding the expenditure of the American war, of the Seven Yours' War, of the war of the Austrian Succession, and of the war of the Spanish Succession, united, the English army under Pitt, was the laughing-stock of all Furope. It could not boast of one ingle brilliant exploit. It had never shown itself on the Continent but to be beaten, chased, forced to reembark, or forced to capitulate. To take some sugar island in the West Indies, to scatter some mob of half-naked Irish peasants, such were the most splendid victories won by the British troops under Pitt's auspices.

The English navy no mismanagement could ruin. But during a long period whatever mismanagement could

two years of a war in which the very mutihy in the fleet, a panic in the city, the public service, till the whole mercantile body, though generally disposed to support the government, complained bitterly that our flag gave no protection to our trade. Fortunately he was succeeded by George Earl Spencer, one of those chiefs of the Whig party who, in the great schism caused by the French Revolution, had followed Burke. Lord Spencer, though inferior to many of hi colloagues as an orator, was decidedly the best administrator among them. To him it was owing that a long and gloomy succession of days of fasting. and, most emphatically, of humiliation, was interrupted, twice in the short pace of pleven months, by days of thanksgiving afor great victories.

It may seem paradoxical to say that the incapacity which Pitt showed in all that related to the conduct of the war is, in some sense, the most decisive proof that he was a man of very extracrdinary abilities. Yet this is the simple truth. For assuredly one-tenth part of his errors and disasters would have been futal to the power and influence of any minister who had not possessed, in the highest degree, the talents of a parliamentary leader. While his schemes were confounded, while his predictions were falsified, while the coalitions which he had laboured to form were falling to pieces, while the expeditions which he had sent forth at enormous cost were ending in rout and disgrace, while the enemy against whom he was feebly contending was subjugating Flanders and Brabant, the Electorate of Montz, and the Electorate of Treves, Holland, Piedmont, Liguria, Lombardy, his authority over the House of Commons was constantly his victories, his Lodi and his Arcola,

existence of the state-depended on the a run on the bank, had spread dismay efficiency of the fleet. He continued to through the ranks of his majority, that doze away and trifle away the time dismay lasted only till he rose from the which ought to have been devoted to Treasury bench, draw up his haughty head, stretched his arm with commanding gesture, and poured forth, in deep and sonorous tones, the lofty language of inextinguishable hope and inflexible resolution. Thus, through a long and calamitous period, every disaster that happened without the walls of Parliament was regularly followed by a triumph within them. At length he had no longer an opposition to encounter. Of the great party which had contended against him during the first eight years of his administration more than one half now marched under his standard, with his old competitor the Duke of Portland at their head; and the rest had, after many vain struggles, quitted the field in despair. Fox had retired to the shades of St. Anne's Hill, and had there found, in the society of friends whom no vicissitude could estrange from him, of a woman whom he enderly loved, and of the illustrious dead of Athens, of Rome, and of Florence, ample compensation for all the misfortunes of his public life. ollowed session with scarcely a single division. In the eventful year 1799, he largest minority that could be mustered against the government was wenty-five.

In Pitt's domestic policy there was at this time assuredly no want of vigour. While he offered to French Jacobinism a resistance so feeble that it only encouraged the evil which he wished to uppress, he put down English Jacobinsm with a strong hand. The Habeas Corpus Act was repeatedly suspended. Public meetings were placed under severe restraints. The government obtained from parliament power to send out of the country aliens who were susbecoming more and more absolute, pected of evil designs; and the power There was his empire. There were was not suffered to be idle. Writers ho propounded doctrines adverse to his Rivoli and his Murengo. If some monarchy and aristogracy were progreat misfortune, a pitched battle lost scribed and punished without mercy. by the allies, the annexation of a new twee hardly safe for a republican to department to the French Republic, a livew his political creed over his been sanguinery insurrection in Ireland, a steak and his bottle of port at a chop-

sedition, laws which were considered by Englishmen as barbarous, and which a succession of governments had suffered to rest, were now surbished up and snarpened anew. Men of cultivated minds and polished manners were, for offences which at Westminster would have been treated as mere misdemeanours, sent to herd with felons at Botany Bay. Some reformers, whose opinions were extravagant, and whose language was intemperate, but who had never dreamed of subverting the government by physical force, were indicted for high treason, and were saved from the gallows only by the righteous verdicts of juries. This severity was at the time loudly applauded by alarmists whom fear had made cruel, but will be seen in a very different light by posterity. The truth is, that the Englishmen who wished for a revolution were, even in number, not formidable, and, in everything but number, a faction utterly contemptible, without arms, or funds, or plans, or organisation, or leader. There can be no doubt that Pitt, strong as he was in the support of the great body of the nation, might easily have repressed the turbulence of the discontented minority by firmly yet temperately enforcing the ordinary law. Whatever vigour he showed during this unfortunate part of his life was vigour out of place and season. He was all feebleness and languor in his conflict with the foreign enemy who was really to be dreaded, and reserved all his energy and resolution for the domestic enemy who might safely have been despised.

One part only of Pitt's conduct during the last eight years of the eighteenth century deserves high praise. He was the first English minister who formed great designs for the benefit of Ireland. The manner in which the Roman Catholicopopulation of that unfortunate country had been kept down during many generations seemed to him unjust and crubl; and it was scarcely possible for a man of his abilities not to perceive

house. The old laws of Scotland against | he wished, it is probable that a wise and liberal policy would have averted the rebellion of 1798. But the difficulties which he encountered were great, perhaps insurmountable; and the Roman Catholics were, rather by his misfortune than by his fault, thrown into the hands of the Jacobins. There was a third great rising of the Irishry against the Englishry, a rising not less formidable than the risings of 1641 and 1689. The Englishry remained victorious; and it was necessary for Pitt, as it had been necessary for Oliver Cromwell and William of Orange before him, to consider how the victory should be used. It is only just to his memory to say that he formed a scheme of policy, so grand and so simple, so righteous and so humane, that it would alone entitle him to a high place among statesmen. He determined to make Ireland of kingdom with England, and, at the same time, to relieve the Roman Catholic laity from civil disabilities, and to grant a public maintenance to the Roman Catholic clergy. Had he been able to carry these noble designs into effect, the Union would have been an Union indeed. It would have been inseparably associated in the minds of the great majority of Irishmen with civil and religious freedom; and the old Parliament in College Green would have been regretted only by a small knot of discurded jobbers and oppressors, and would have been remembered by the body of the nation with the loathing and contempt due to the most tyrannical and the most corrupt assembly that had ever sate in Europe. But Pitt could execute only one half of what he had projected. He succeeded in obtaining the consent of the Parliaments of both kingdoms to the Union; but that reconciliation of races and sects, without which the Union could exist only in name, was not accomplished. He was well aware that he was likely to find difficulties on the closet. But he flattered himself that, by cautious and dexterous management, those difficulties might be overcome. Unhappily, there that in a contest against the Jacobins, were traitors and syconhants in high the Resear Catholics were his natural place who did not suffer him to take

prematurely disclosed his scheme to the | was universally admitted to have been King, and disclosed it in the manner most likely to irritate and alarm a weak and diseased mind. His Majesty absurdly imagined that his Coronation oath bound him to refuse his assent to any bill for relieving Roman Catholics from civil disabilities. To argue with him was impossible. Dundas tried to explain the matter, but was told to keep his Scotch metaphysics to himself. Pitt, and Pitt's ablest colleagues, resigned their offices. It was necessary that the King should make a new arrangement. But by this time his anger and distress had brought back the malady which had, many years before, incapacitated him for the discharge of his functions. He actually assembled his family, read the Coronation oath to them, and told them that if he broke it, the Crown would imit-diately pass to the House of Savoy. It was not until after an interregnum of several weeks that he regained the full use of his small faculties, and that a ministry after his own heart was at length formed.

The materials out of which he had to construct a government were neither solid nor splendid. To that party. weak in numbers, but strong in every kind of talent, which was hostile to the domestic and foreign policy of his late advisers, he could not have recourse. For that party, while it differed from his late advisers on every point on which they had been honoured with his approbation, cordially agreed with them as to the single matter which had brought on them his displeasure. All that was left to him was to call up the rear ranks of the old ministry to form the front rank of a new ministry. In an age pre-eminently fruitful of parliamentary talents, a cabinet was formed containing hardly a single man who, in parliamentary talents, could be considered The most as even of the second rate. important offices in the state were bestowed on decorous and laborious medi-Henry Addington was at the head of the Treasury. He had been an early, indeed a hereditary, friend of Pitt, and had by Pitt's influence been glish people with extravagant joy. The placed, while still a young man, in the popularity of the minister was for the

the best speaker that had sate in that chair since the retirement of Onslow. But nature had not bestowed on him very vigorous faculties; and the highly respectable situation which he had long occupied with honour had rather unfitted than fitted him for the discharge of his new duties. His business had been to bear himself evenly between contending factions. He had taken no part in the war of words: and he had always been addressed with marked deference by the great orators who thundered against each other from his right and from his left. It was not strange that, when, for the first time, he had to encounter keen and vigorous antagonists, who dealt hard blows without the smallest ceremony, he should have been awkward and unready, or that the air of dignity and authority which he had acquired in his former post, and of which he had not divested himself, should have made his helplessness laughable and pitiable. Nevertheless. during many months, his power seemed to stand firm. He was a favourite with the King, whom he resembled in narrowness of mind, and to whom he was more obsequious than Pitt had ever been. The nation was put into high good humour by a peace with France. The enthusiasm with which the upper and middle classes had rushed into the war had spent itself. Jacobinism was no longer formidable. Everywhere there was a strong reaction against what was called the atheistical and anarchical philosophy of the eighteenth century. Bonaparte, now First Consul, was busied in constructing out of the astical establishment and a new order of knighthood. That nothing less than the dominion of the whole civilised world would satisfy his selfish ambition was not yet suspected; nor did ever wise men see any reason to doubt the he might be as safe a neighbour as any prince of the House of Bourbon had been. The treaty of Amiens was therefore hailed by the great body of the Enchair of the House of Commons. He moment immense. His want of parlla

mentary ability was, as yet, of little | that his wish had not been divined, that adversary to encounter. The old opposition, delighted by the peace, regarded him with favour. A new opposition had indeed been formed by some of the late ministers, and was led by Grenville in the House of Lords, and by Windham in the House of Commons the new opposition could scarcely muster ten votes, and was regarded with no favour by the country. On Pitt the ministers relied as on their firmest support. He had not, like some of his colleagues, retired in anger. He had expressed the greatest respect for the conscientious scruple which had taken possession of the royal mind; and he had promised his successors all the help in his power. In private his advice was at their service. In Parliament he took his seat on the bench behind them; and, in more than one debate, defended them with powers far superior to their The King perfectly understood the value of such assistance. On one occasion, at the palace, he took the old minister and the new minister aside. "If we three," he said, "keep together, all will go well."

But it was hardly possible, human nature being what it is, and, more especially, Pitt and Addington being joyed. what they were, that this union should be durable. Pitt, conscious of superior powers, imagined that the place which he had quitted was now occupied by a mere puppet which he hade set up; which he was to govern while he suffered it to remain, and which he was to fling aside as soon as he wished to resume his old position. Nor was it long before he began to pine for the power which he had relinquished. He had been so early raised to supreme authority in the state. and had enjoyed that authority so long, that it had become necessary to him. In refrement his days passed heavily. He could not, like Fox, forget the bleasures and cares of ambition in the company of Euripides or Herodotus. number of the supporters of the governeven to his dearest friends, that he change; he made game of Addington evished to be again minister. But he and of Addington's relations in a suc-

consequence: for he had scarcely any it had not been anticipated, by one whom he regarded as his deputy.

Addington, on the other hand, was by no means inclined to descend from his high position. He was, indeed, under a delusion much resembling that of Abon Hassan in the Arabian tale. His brain was turned by his short and unreal Caliphate. He took his elevation quite seriously, attributed it to his own merit, and considered himself as one of the great triumvirate of English statesmen, as worthy to make a third with Pitt and Fox.

Such being the feelings of the late minister and of the present minister, a rupture was inevitable; and there was no want of persons bent on making that rupture speedy and violent. Some of these persons wounded Addington's pride by representing him as a lacquey, sent to keep a face on the Treasury bench till his master should find it convenient to come. took every opportunity of praising him at Pitt's expense. Pitt had waged a long, a bloody, a costly, an unsuccessful war. Addington had made peace. Pitt had suspended the constitutional liberties of Englishmen. Under Addington those liberties were again en-Pitt had wasted the public Addington was carefully resources. nursing them. It was sometimes but too evident that these compliments were not unpleasing to Addington. Pitt became cold and reserved. During many months he remained at a distance from London. Meanwhile his most intimate friends, in spite of his declarations that he made no complaint, and that he had no wish for office, exerted themselves to effect a change of minis-His favourite disciple, George try. Canning, young, ardent, ambitious, with great powers and great virtues, but with a temper too restless and a wit too satirical for his own happiness, was indefatigable. He spoke; he wrote; he intrigued; he tried to induce a large Pride restrained him from intimating, ment to sign a round robin desiring a .. thought if strange, almost ungrateful, cession of lively pasquinades. The

Pitt could keep out of the affray only by keeping out of politics altogether and this it soon became impossible fo him to do. Had Napoleon, content with the first place among the sovereigns o. the Continent, and with a military renoble task of making France happy by mild administration and wise legislation, our country might have long conintentions and feeble abilities. Unexistence of the nation was at hand, perated. men looked with increasing uncasiness on the weak and languid cabinet which genius of Frederick the Great. It is the ambitious and encroaching policy true that Addington might easily have of France; and, on the 22nd, the House been a better war minister than Pitt, took the message into consideration. and could not possibly have been a But Pitt had cast a spell on the public mind. The eloquence, the judgment, the calm and disdainful firm- spoken in Parliament; and there were ness, which he had, during many years, displayed in Parliament, deluded the world into the belief that he must be eminently qualified to superintend every department of politics; and they imagined, even after the miserable failures of Dunkirk, of Quiberon, and mistake, shut out on that day from the of the Helder, that he was the only gallery, so that the newspapers constatesman who could cope with Bona-This feeling was nowhere stronger than among Addington's own colleagues. The pressure put on him was so strong that he could not help yielding to it; yet, even in yielding, he showed how far he was from knowing his own place. His first proposition was, that some insignificant noblemans with loud cheering. At every pause in . should be First Lord of the Treasury his speech there was a burst of applause. and nominal head of the administra- he peroration is said to have been one.

minister's partisans retorted with equal tion, and that the real power should be acrimony, if not with equal vivacity. divided between Pitt and himself, who were to be secretaries of state. Pitt. as might have been expected, refused even to discuss such a scheme, and talked of it with bitter mirth. "Which secretaryship was offered to you?" his friend Wilberforce asked. "Really," putation surplissing that of Marlborough said Pitt, "I had not the curiosity to or of Turenne, devoted himself to the inquire." Addington was frightened into bidding higher. He offered to resign the Treasury to Pitt, on condition that there should be no extensive tinued to tolerate a government of fair change in the government. But Pitt would listen to no such terms. Then happily, the treaty of Amieus had came a dispute such as often arises scarcely been signed, when the restless after negotiations orally conducted, ambition and the insupportable inso- even when the negotiators are men of lence of the First Consul convinced the strict honour. Pitt gave one account great body of the English people that of what had passed: Addington gave the peace so eagerly welcomed, was another: and, though the discrepancies only a precarious armistice. As it be- were not such as necessarily implied came clearer and clearer that a war for any intentional violation of truth on the dignity, the independence, the very cither side, both were greatly exas-

Meanwhile the quarrel with the First onsul had come to a crisis. On the would have to contend against an 16th of May, 1803, the King sent a enemy who united more that the power message calling on the House of Comof Lewis the Great to more than the mons to support him in withstanding

> Pitt had now been living many nonths in retirement. There had been a general election since he had wo hundred members who had never leard him. It was known that on this eccasion he would be in his place; and curiosity was wound up to the highest point. Unfortunately, the short-hand writers were, in consequence of some ained only a very meagre report of the roceedings. But several accounts of rhat passed are extant; and of those accounts the most interesting is conained in an unpublished letter, writen by a very young member, John William Ward, afterwards Earl of Dudey. When Pitt rose, he was received

of the most animated and magnificent rately, have been formidable from abiever heard in Parliament. Minister.

gency, to do his part towards the saving chery. It was necessary to give way ment, have been as popular as the trusted to Pitt. conlition of 1783 had been unpopular. ablest subjects to office that he was The passions to which the French Rebent on excluding them all.

ing the personment with dislike and their day. Jacobinism and Anti-Ja-contains some to an understanding cobinism had gone out of fashion towith each other. But in the spring of gether. The most liberal statesmen itself against the strongest of opposia the most conservative statesman could

"Pitt's lity, and which, when united, were also speech," Fox wrote a few days later, formidable from number. The party "was admired very much, and very which had opposed the peace, headed justly. I think it was the best he ever by Grenville and Windham, and the made in that style." The debate was party which had opposed the renewal adjourned; and on the second night of the war, headed by Fox, concurred Fox replied in an oration which, as the in thinking that the men now in power most zealous Pittites were forced to were incapable of either making a good acknowledge, left the palm of eloquence peace or waging a vigorous war. Pitt doubtful. Addington made a pitiable had, in 1802, spoken for peace against appearance between the two great the party of Grenville, and had, in 1803, rivals; and it was observed that Pitt, spoken for war against the party of Fox while exhorting the Commons to stand But of the capacity of the cabinet, and resolutely by the executive government especially of its chief, for the conduct against France, said not a word indicat- of great affairs he thought as meanly ing esteem or friendship for the Prime as either Fox or Grenville. Questions were easily found on which all the enc-War was speedily declared. The First mies of the government could act cor-Consul threatened to invade England dially together. The unfortunate First at the head of the conquerors of Bel- Lord of the Treasury, who kad, during gium and Italy, and formed a great the earlier months of his administracamp near the Straits of Dover. On tion, been supported by Pitt on one the other side of those Straits the whole side, and by Fox on the other, now had population of our island was ready to to answer Pitt, and to be answered by rise up as one man in defence of the Fox. Two sharp debates, followed by soil. At this conjuncture, as at some close divisions, made him weary of his other great conjunctures in our history, post It was known, too, that the Upthe conjuncture of 1660, for example, per House was even more hostile to him and the conjuncture of 1688, there was than the Lower, that the Scotch reprea general disposition among honest and sentative peers wavered, that there patriotic men to forget old quarrels, were signs of mutiny among the biand to regard as a friend every person shops. In the cabinet itself there was who was ready, in the existing emer- discord, and, worse than discord, treaof the state. A coalition of all the first the ministry was dissolved; and the men in the country would, at that mo- task of forming a government was en-

Pitt was of opinion that there was Alone in the kingdom the King looked now an opportunity, such as had never with perfect complacency on a cabinet before offered itself, and such as might in which no man superior to himself in never offer itself again, of uniting in genius was to be found, and was so far the public service, on honourable terms. from being willing to admit all his all the eminent talents of the kingdom volution had given birth were extinct. A few months passed before the dif- The madness of the innovator and the ferent parties which agreed in regard- madness of the alarmist had alike had 1884 it became evident that the weak- did not think that season propitious for of ministries would have to defend schemes of parliamentary reform; and tions, an opposition made up of three not pretend that there was any occasion subsections, each of which would, sepa-for gagging bills and suspensions of the Habeas Corpus Act. The grea strug- Court. All that was left to Pitt was gle for independence and national ho- to construct a government out of the nour occupied all minds; and those who wreck of Addington's feeble adminiswere agreed as to the duty of main- tration. The small circle of his pertaining that struggle with vigour might sonal retainers furnished him with a well postpone to a more convenient very few useful assistants, particularly time all disputes about matters com paratively unimportant. Strongly im- Melville, Lord Harrowby, and Canning. pressed by these considerations, Pitt tne first men in the country. of power little inferior to his own.

should have been resolute. sonal considerations out of the question. Dundas, who had been created Viscount

Such was the inauspicious manner in wished to form a ministry including all which Pitt entered on his second ad-The ministration. The whole history of Treasury he reserved for himself; and that administration was of a piece with to Fox he proposed to assign a share the commencement. Almost every month brought some new disaster or The plan was excellent, but the King disgrace. To the war with France was would not hear of it. Dull, obstinate, soon added a war with Spain. The opunforgiving, and, at that time half mad, ponents of the minister were numerous, he positively refused to admit Fox into able, and active. His most useful his service. Anybody else, even men coadjutors he soon lost. Sickness dewho had gone as far as Fox, or further prived him of the help of Lord Harthan Fox, in what his Majescy con-rowby. It was discovered that Lord sidered as Jacobinism, Sheridan, Grey, Melville had been guilty of highly cul-Er-kine, should be graciously received; pable laxity in transactions relating to but Fox never. During several hours public money. He was censured by the Pitt laboured in vain to reason down House of Commons, driven from office, this senseless antipathy. That he was ejected from the Privy Council, and refectly sincere there can be no doubt: impeached of high crimes and misbut it was not enough to be sincere; he demeanours. The blow fell heavy on Had he Pitt. It gave him, he said in Parliadeclared himself determined not to ment, a deep pang; and, as he uttered take office without Fox, the royal ob- the word pang, his lip quivered, his stinacy would have given way, a it voice shook, he paused, and his hearers gave way, a few months later, when thought that he was about to burst into opposed to the immutable resolution of tears. Such tears shed by Eldon would Lord Grenville. In an evil hour Pitt have moved nothing but laughter. Shed yielded. He flattered himself with the by the warm-hearted and open-hearted hope that, though he consented to fore- Fox, they would have moved sympathy, go the aid of his illustrious rival, there but would have caused no surprise. would still remain ample materials for But a tear from Pitt would have been the formation of an efficient ministry. something portentous. He suppressed That hope was cruelly disappointed. his emotion, however, and proceeded Fox entreated his friends to leave per- with his usual majestic self-possession.

His difficulties compelled him to reand declared that he would support, sort to various expedients. At one with the utmost cordiality, an efficient time Addington was persuaded to acand patriotic ministry from which he cept office with a peerage; but he should be himself excluded. Not only brought no additional strength to the his friends, however, but Grenville, government. Though he went through and Grenville's adherents, answered, the form of reconciliation, it was imwith one voice, that the question was possible for him to forget the past not personal, that a great constitutional While he remained in place he was principle was at stake, and that they jealous and punctilious; and he soon would not take office while a man retired sgain. At another time Pitt eminently qualified to render service to renewed his efforts to overcome his the commonwealth was placed under a master's aversion to Fox; sad it was ban merely because he was disliked at rumoured that the King's obstinacy

was gradually giving way. But, mean-|hall. His popularity had declined. while, it was impossible for the minister to conceal from the public eye the decay of his health, and the constant anxiety which grawed at his heart. His sleep was broken. His food ceased to All who passed him in nourish him. the Park, all who had interviews with him in Downing Street, saw misery written in his face. The peculiar look which he wore during the last months of his life was often pathetically described by Wilberforce, who used to call it the Austerlitz look.

Still the vigour of Pitt's intellectual faculties, and the intrepid haughtiness of his spirit, remained unaltered. had staked everything on a great venture. He had succeeded in forming another mighty coalition against the French ascendency. The united forces of Austria, Russia and England might, he hoped; oppose an insurmountable barrier to the ambition of the common enemy. But the genius and energy of Napoleon prevailed. While the English troops were preparing to embark for Germany, while the Russian troops were slowly coming up from Poland, he, with rapidity unprecedented in modern war, moved a hundred thousand men from the shores of the Ocean to the Black Forest, and compelled a great Austrian army to surrender at Ulm. To the first faint rumours of this calamity Pitt would give no credit. He was irritated by the alarms of those around him. "Do not believe a word of it," he said: "it is all a fiction." The next day he received a Durch newspaper containing the capitulation. ' He knew no Dutch. It was Sunday; and the public offices were shut. He carried the paper to Lord Malmesbury, who had been minister in Holland; and Lord Malmesbury translated it. Pitt tried to bear up; but fne shock was too gagat ; and he went away with death in his face.

But on this occasion the multitude, greatly excited by the recent tidings, welcomed him enthusiastically, took off his horses in Cheapside, and drew his carriage up King Street. When his health was drunk, he returned thanks in two or three of those stately sentences of which he had a boundless command. Several of those who heard him laid up his words in their hearts; for they were the last words that he ever uttered in public: "Let us hope that England, having saved herself by her energy, may save Europe by her

example.

This was but a momentary rally. Austerlitz soon completed what Ulm had begun. Early in December Pitt had retired to Bath, in the hope that he might there gather streng h for the approaching session. While he was languishing there on his sofa arrived the news that a decisive battle had been fought and lost in Moravia, that the coalition was dissolved, that the Continent was at the feet of France. He sank down under the blow. Ten days later, he was so emaciated that his most intimate friends hardly knew He came up from Buth by slow jou neys, and, on the 11th of January, 1806, reached his villa at Putney. Parliament was to meet on the 21st. On the 20th was to be the parliamentary dinner at the house of the First Lord of the Treasury in Downing Street; and the cards were already issued. But the days of the great minister were numbered. The only chance for his life, and that a very slight chance, was, that he should resign his office, and pass some months in profound repose. His colleagues paid him very short visits, and carefully avoided political conversation. But his spirit, long accustomed to dominion, could not, even in that extremity, relinquish hopes which everybody but The news of the battle of Trafalgar himself perceived to be vain. On the arrived four days later, and seemed for day on which he was carried into his a moment to revive him. Forty-eight bedroom at Putney, the Marquess hours after that most glorious and most Wellesley, whom he had long loved, mournful of victories had been and whom he had sent to govern India, accuracy to the top of the common that been Manner day; and But diped at Guild- of rinently able, energetic, and success-

ful, arrived in London after an absence of eight years. The friends saw each other once more. There was an affectionate meeting, and a last parting. That it was a last parting Pitt did not seem to be aware. He fancied himself to be recovering, talked on various subjects cheerfully, and with an unclouded mind, and pronounced a warm , and discerning eulogium on the Marquess's brother Arthur. "I never," he said, " met with any military man with whom it was so satisfactory to converse." The excitement and exertion of this interview were too much for the sick man. He fainted away; and Lord Wellesley left the house, convinced that the close was fast approaching.

And now members of Parliament were fast coming up to London. chiefs of the opposition met for the purpose of considering the course to be taken on the first day of the session. It was easy to guess what would be the language of the King's speech, and of the address which would be moved in answer to that speech. An amendment condemning the policy of the government had been prepared, and was to have been proposed in the House of Commons by Lord Henry Petts, a young nobleman who had already won for himself that place in the esteem of his country which, after the lapse of more than half a century, he still retains. He was unwilling, however, to come forward as the accuser of one who was incapable of defending himself. Lord Grenville, who had been informed of l'itt's state by Lord Wellesley, and had been deeply affected by it, carnestly recommended forbearance; and Fox, with characteristic generosity and good nature, gave his voice against attacking his now helpless rival. "Sunt lacrymæ rerum," he said, "et mentem mortalia tangunt." On the first day, therefore, there was no debate. It was rumoured that evening that Pitt was better. But on the following morning his physicians pronounced that there were no hopes. had been too proud were beginning to Chamber, was borne with great pomp fail. His old tutor and friend, the to the northern transept of the Absey.

danger, and gave such religious advice and consolation as a confused and ob-. scured mind could receive. were told of devout sentiments fervently uttered by the dying man. But these stories found no credit with anybody Wilberforce prowho knew him. nounced it impossible that they could be true. "Pitt," he added, "was a man who always said less than he thought on such topics." It was asserted in many after-dinner speeches, Grub Street clegies, and academic prize poems and prize declamations, that the great minister died exclaiming, "Oh my country!" This is a fable; but it is true that the last words which he uttered, while he knew what he said, were broken exclamations about the alarming state of public affairs. He ceased to breathe on the morning of the 23rd of January, 1806, the twenty-fifth anniversary of the day on which he first ' took his seat in Parliament. He was in his forty-seventh year, and had been, during near nineteen years, First Lord of the Treasury, and undisputed chief of the administration. Since parliamentary government was established in England, no English statesman has held supreme power so long. Walpole, it is true, was First Lord of the Treasury during more than twenty years: but it was not till Walpole had been some time First Lord of the Treasury that he could be properly called Prime Minister.

It was moved in the House of Commons that Pitt should be honoured with a public funeral and a monument. The motion was opposed by Fox in a speech which deserves to be studied as a model of good taste and good feeling. The task was the most invidious that ever an orator undertook: but it was performed with a humanity and delicacy which were warmly acknowledged by the mourning friends of him who was gone. The motion was carried by 288 votes to 89.

The 22nd of February was fixed for the funeral. The corpse, having lain The commanding faculties of which he | in state during two days in the Painted Bishop of Lincoln, informed him of Is A splendid train of princes, nobles,

The grave of Pitt had been made near to the spot where his great father lay. near also to the spot where his great rival was soon to lif. The sadness of the assistants was beyond that of ordinary mourners. For he whom they were committing to the dust had died of sorrows and anxieties of which none of the survivors could be altogether without a share. Wilberforce, who carried the banner before the hearse, described the awful ceremony with deep feeling. As the coffin descended into the earth, he said, the eagle face of Chatham from above seemed to look down with consternation into the dark house which was receiving all that remained of so much power and glory.

All parties in the House of Commons readily concurred in voting forty thousand pounds to satisfy the demands of Pitt's creditors. Some of his admirers seemed to consider the magnitude of hisembarrassments as a circumstance highly honourable to him: but men of sense will probably be of a different opinion. It is far better, no doubt, that a great minister should carry his contempt of money to excess than that he should contaminate his hands with unlawful gain. But it is neither right nor becoming in a man to whom the public has given an income more than sufficient for his comfort and dignity to bequeath to that public a great debt. the effect of mere negligence and profusion. As First Lord of the Treasury and Chancellor of the Exchequer, Pitt never had less than six thousand a year, besides an excellent house. In 1792 he was forced by his royal master's friendly importunity to accept for life the office of Warden of the a year more. • He had neither wife nor child: he had no needy relations: he had no expensive tastes: he had no long election bills. Had he given but a quarter of an hour a week to the regulation of his household, he would have kept his expenditure within the purpose, he had numerous friends, of Pitt, whose eloquence was never mediant men of susiness, who would me econspicuously displayed than when

bishops, and privy councillors followed. | have been proud to act as his stewards. One of those friends, the chief of a. great commercial house in the city. made an attempt to put the establishment in Downing Street to rights; but in vain. He found that the waste of the servant's hall was almost fabulous. -The quantity of butcher's meat charged in the bills was nine hundred weight a week. The consumption of poultry, of fish, and of tea was in proportion. The character of Pitt would have stood higher if, with the disinterestedness of Pericles and of De Witt, he had united their dignified frugality.

The memory of Pitt has been assailed, times innumerable, often justly, often unjustly; but it has suffered much less from his assailants than from his eulogists. For, during many years, his name was the rallying ary of a class of men with whom, at one of those terrible conjunctures which confound all ordinary distinctions, he was accidentally and temporarily connected, but to whom, on almost all great questions of principle, he was diametrically opposed. The haters of parliamentary reform called themselves Pittites, not choosing to remember that Pitt made three motions for parliamentary reform, and that, though he thought that such a reform could not safely be made while the passions excited by the French revolution were raging, he never uttered a word indicating that he should not be prepared at a more convenient season to bring the question forward a fourth time. The toast of protestant ascendency was drunk on Pitt's birthday by a set of Pittites who could not but be aware that Pitt had resigned his office because he could not carry Catholic emancipation. The defenders Cinque Ports, with near-four thousand of the Test Act called themselves Pittites, though they could not be ignorant that Pitt had laid before George the Third unanswerable reasons for abolishing the Test Act. enemies of free trade called themselves Pittites, though Pitt was far more deeply imbued with the doctrines of Adam Or, if he could not spare Smith than either Fox or Grey. The even a quarter of an hour a week for very negro-drivers invoked the name

he spoke of the wrongs of the negro. pre-eminently qualified, intellectually This mythical Pitt, who resembles the and morally, for the part of a parliagenuine Pitt as little as a Charlemagne | mentary leader, and capable of adminof Ariosto resembles the Charlemagne istering, with prudence and moderation, of Eginhard, has had his day. History the government of & prosperous and will vindicate the real man from calumny disguised under the semblance prising and terrible emergencies, and of adulation, and will exhibit him as liable, in such emergencies, to err what he was, a minister of great talents, grievously, both on the side of weakness honest intentions, and liberal opinions, and on the side of violence.

#### · MISCELLANEOUS POEMS, INSCRIPTIONS, ETC.

#### EPITAPH ON HENRY MARTYN. When the ocean, whose waves like a (1812.)

HERE Martyn lies. In Manhood's early

The Christian Hero finds a Pagan tomb. Religion, sorrowing o'er her favourite

Points to the glorious trophies that he

Eternal trophies! not with carnage red, Not stained with tears by hapless captives shed,

But trophies of the Cross! for that dear name,

Through every form of danger, death, and shame.

Onward he journeyed to a happier shore, Where danger, death, and shame assault no more.

#### LINES TO THE MEMORY OF PITT. (1813.)

On Britain! dear Isle, when the annuls of story

Shall tell of the deeds that thy children have done,

When the strains of each poet shall sing of their glory,

And the triumphs their skill and their yalour have won;

in the clive and palm in thy chaplet fre blended,

When thy arts, and thy fame, and thy commerce increase,

When thy arms through the uttermost coasts are extended,

And thy war is triumphant, and o bappy thy peace;

rampart flow round thee,

Conveying thy mandates to every

And the empire of nature no longer can bound thee.

And the world be the scente of thy conquests no more:

Remember the man who in sorrow and danger,

When thy glory was set, and thy spirit was low,

When thy hopes were o'erturned by the arms of the stranger,

And thy banners displayed in the halls of the foe,

Stood forth in the tempest of doubt and disaster.

Unaided, and single, the danger to brave.

Asserted thy claims, and the rights of his master,

Preserved thee to conquer, and saved thee to save.

#### A RADICAL WAR SONG. (1820.)

AWAKE, ariso, the hour is come, For rows and revolutions;

There's no receipt like pike and drum For crazy constitutions.

Close, close the shop! Break, break the loom,

Desert your hearths and furrows, And throng in arms to sen the doom Of England's rotten boroughs.

On his own Dublin rack, sir; We'll drown the King in Eau de vie, The Laureate in his sack, sir, Old Eldon and his sordid hag In molten gold we'll smother, And stifle in his own green bag The Doctor and his brother.

In chains we'll hang in fair Guildhall The City's famed Recorder, And next on proud St. Stephen's fall,

Though Wynne should squeak to order.

In vain our tyrants then shall try To 'scape our martial law, sir; In vain the trembling Speaker cry That "Strangers must withdraw," sir.

Copley to hang offends no text; A rat is not a man, sir :

With schedules and with tax bills next We'll bury pious Van, sir.

The slaves who loved the Income Tax, We'll crush by scores, like mites, sir, And him, the wretch who freed the blacks.

And more enslaved the whites, sir.

The peer shell dangle from his gate, The bishop from his steeple, Till all recanting, own, the State Means nothing but the People. We'll fix the church's revenues On Apostolic basis,

One coat, one scrip, one pair of shoes Shall pay their strange grimaces.

We'll strap the bar's deluding train In their own darling halter, And with his big church bible brain The parson at the altar.

Hail glorious hour, when fair Reform Shall bless our longing nation,

And Hunt receive commands to form A new administration.

Carlisle shall sit enthroned, where sat Our Cranmer and our Secker:

And Watson show his snow-white hat In England's rich Exchequer.

The breast of Thistlewood shall wear Our Wellesley's star and sash, man; And many a mausoleum fair

Shall rise to honest Cashman.

We'll stretch that tort'ring Castlereagh | Then, then beneath the nine-tailed cat Shall they who used it writhe, sir: And curates lean, and rectors fat, Shall dig the ground they tithe, sir.

Down with your Bayleys, and your Bests, Your Giffords, and your Gurneys:

We'll clear the island of the pests, Which mortals name attorneys.

Down with your sheriffs, and your mayors,

Your registrars, and proctors, We'll live without the lawyer's cares,

And die without the doctor's. No discontented fair shall pout

To see her spouse so stupid;

We'll tread the torch of Hymen out, And live content with Cupid.

Then, when the high-born and the great Are humbled to our level,

On all the wealth of Church and State, Like aldermen, we'll revel.

We'll live when hushed the battle's din, In smoking and in cards, sir,

In drinking unexcised gin, And wooing fair Poissardes, sir.

#### THE BATTLE OF MONCONTOUR. (1824.)

OH. weep for Moncontour! Oh! weep for the hour

When the children of darkness and evil had power,

When the horsemen of Valois triumphantly trod

On the bosoms that bled for their rights and their God.

Oh, weep for Moncontour! Oh! weep for the slain,

Who for faith and for freedom lay slaughtered in vain;

Oh, weep for the living, who linger to bear 🍃 The renegade's shame, or the exile's despair.

One look, one last look, to our cots and our towers.

To the rows of our vines, and the beds of our flowers.

To the church where the bones of our fathers decayed.

Where we fondly had deemed that our ... own would be laid.

Alas! we must leave thee, dear desolute | And the Man of Blood was there, with home.

To the spearmen of Uri, the shavelings of Rome.

To the serpent of Florence, the vulture of Spain.

To the pride of Anjou, and the guile of Lorraine.

Farewell to thy fountains, farewell to thy shades,

To the song of thy youths, and the dance of thy maids,

To the breath of thy gardens, the hum of thy bees,

And the long waving line of the blue Pyrenees.

Farewell, and for ever. The priest and the slave

May rule in the halls of the free and the brave.

Our hearths we abandon; our lands we resign;

But, Father, we kneel to no altar but thine.

#### THE BATTLE OF NASEBY,

BY OBADIAH BIND-THEIR-KINGS-IN-CHAINS - AND - THEIR - NOBLES - WITH links-of-iron, Serjeant in Ireton's REGIMENT. (1824.)

Ou! wherefore come ye forth, in triumph from the North,

With your hands, and your feet, and

your raiment all red? And wherefore doth your rout send forth a joyous shout?

And whence be the grapes of the wine-press which ye tread?

Oh evil was the root, and bitter was the fruit,

And crimson was the juice of the vintage that we trod :

For we trampled on the throng of the haughty and the strong, Who sate in the high places, and

slew the maints of God.

It was about the moon of a glorious day of June,

That we saw their banners dance, and their enirusees shine,

his long essenced hair.

And Astley, and Sir Marmaduke, and Rupert of the Rhine.

Like a servant of the Lord, with his Bible and his sword,

The General rode along us to form us to the fight,

When a murmuring sound broke out, and swell'd into a shout,

Among the godless horsemen upon the tyrant's right.

And hark! like the roar of the billows on the shore.

The cry of battle rises along their charging line!

For God! for the Cause! for the Church! for the Laws!

For Charles King of England and Rupert of the Rhine!

The furious German comes, with his clarions and his drums,

His bravoes of Alsatia, and pages of Whitehall;

They are bursting on our flanks. Grasp your pikes, close your ranks;

For Rupert never comes but to conquer or to fall.

They are here! They rush on! We are broken! We are gone!

Our left is borne before them like stubble on the blast.

O Lord, put forth thy might! O Lord, defend the right!

Stand back to back, in God's name, and fight it to the last.

Stout Skippon hath a wound; the centre hath given ground:

Hark! hark! - What means the trampling of horsemen on our rear? Whose banner do I see, boys? 'Tis he,

Bear up another minute: brave Oliver is here.

thank God, 'tis he, boys.

Their heads all stooping low, their points all in a row,

Like a whirlwind on the trees, like a deluge on the dykes,

Our cuirassiers have burst on the ranks Uf the Accurat,

And at a shock have scattered the prest of his pikes.

Fast, fast, the gallants ride, in some safe nook to hide

Their coward heads, predestined to rot on Temple Bar;

And he—he turns, he flies:--shame on those cruel eyes

That bore to look on torture, and dare not look on war.

Ho! comrades, scour the plain; and, ere ye strip the slain,

First give another stab to make your search secure,

Then shake from sleeves and pockets their broad-pieces and lockets. The tokens of the wanton, the plun-

der of the poor.

Fools! your doublets shone with gold, and your hearts were gay and bold, When you kissed your lily hands to your lemans to-day;

And to-morrow shall the fox, from her chambers in the rocks,

- Lead forth her tawny cubs to howl above the prey.

Where be your tongues that late mocked at heaven and hell and fate,

And the fingers that once wore so busy with your blades,

Your perfum'd satin clothes, your catches and your oaths,

Your stage-plays and your sonnets, your diamonds and your spades?

Down, down, for ever down with the mitre and the crown,

With the Belial of the Court and the Mammon of the Pope;

There is woe in Oxford halls: there is wail in Durham's Stalls: The Jesuit smites his bosom: the

The Jesuit smites his bosom: the Bishop rends his cope.

And She of the soven hills shall mourn her children's ills,

And tremble when she thinks on the edge of England's sword;

And the Kings of earth in fear shall shudder when they flear

What the hand of God hath wrought for the Houses and the Word.

#### SERMON IN A CHURCHYARD. (1825.)

LET pious Damon take his seat,
With mincing step, and languid
smile.

And scatter from his 'kerchief sweet, Sabæan odours o'er the aisle;

And spread his little jewelled hand,
And smile round all the parish
beauties,

And pat his curls, and smooth his band, Meet prelude to his saintly duties.

Let the thronged audience press and stare,

Let stifled maidens ply the fan, Admire his doctrines, and his hair, And whisper "What a good young

And whisper "What a good young man!"

While he explains what seems most clear,

So clearly that it seems perplexed,
I'll stay, and read my sermon here;
And skulls, and bones, shall be the
text.

Art thou the jilted dupe of fame?

Dost thou with jealous anger pine
Whene'er she sounds some other name,
With fonder emphasis than thine?
To thee I preach; draw near; attend!
Look on these bones, thou fool, and see
Where all her scorns and favours end,
What Byron is, and thou must be.

Dost thou revere, or praise, or trust Some clod like those that here we spurn;

Some thing that sprang like thee from dust,

And shall like thee to dust return?

Dost thou rate statesmen, heroes, wits,

At one sear leaf, or wandering
feather?

Behold the black, damp narrow pits, Where they and thou must lie together.

Dost thou beneath the smile or frown Of some vain woman bend thy knee? Here take thy stand, and trample down Things, that were once as fair as she. Here rave of her ten thousand graces, Boson, and lip, and eye, and chin,

While, as in scorn, the fleshless faces
Of Hamiltons and Waldegraves grin.

Whate er thy losses or thy gains, Whate'er thy projects or thy fear

Whate'er thy projects or thy fears, Whate'er the joys, whate'er the pains,

That prompt thy baby smiles and tears;

Come to my school, and thou shalt learn, In one short hour of placid thought,

A stoicism, more deep, more stern, Than ever Zeno's porch hath taught.

The plots and feats of those that press To seize on titles, wealth, or power,

Shall seem to thee a game of chess, Devised to pass a tedious hour. What matters it to him, who fights

For shows of unsubstantial good, Whether his Kings, and Queens, and

Knights, Be things of flesh, or things of wood?

We check, and take; exult, and fret;

Our plans extend, our passions rise, Till in our ardour we forget

How worthless is the victor's prize. Soon fades the spell, soon comes the

night:

Say will it not be then the same, Whether we played the black or white, Whether we lost or won the game?

Dost thou among these hillocks stray, O'er some dearidol's tomb to moan? Know that thy foot is on the clay

Of hearts once wretched as thy own.
How many a father's auxious schemes,
How many rapturous thoughts of
lovers.

How many a mother's cherished dreams, The swelling turf before thee covers!

Here for the living, and the dead,

The weepers and the friends they weep. Hath been ordained the same cold bed, The same dark night, the same long sleep:

Why shouldest thou writhe, and sob, and rave

O'er those with whom thou soon must be?

Death his own sting shall cure—the

Shall vanquish its own victory.

Here learn that all the griefs and joys, Which now torinent, which now be-

guile; hurts, and children's toys,

Here learn that pulpit, throne, and press.

Sword, sceptre, lyre, alike are frail, That science is a blind man's guess, And History a nurse's tale.

Here learn that glory and disgrace, Wisdom and folly, pass away, That mirth hath its appointed space, That sorrow is but for a day;

That all we love, and all we hate, That all we hope, and all we fear, Each mood of mind, each turn of fate, Must end in dust and silence here.

## TRANSLATION FROM A. V. ARNAULT.

Fables: Lavre v. Fable 16. (1826.)

Thou poor leaf, so sear and frail, Sport of every wanton gale, Whence, and whither, dost thou fly, Through this bleak autumnal sky? On a noble oak I grew, Green, and broad, and fair to view; But the Monarch of the shade By the tempest low was laid. From that time, I wander o'er Wood, and valley, hill, and moor, Wheresoe'er the wind is blowing, Nothing caring, nothing knowing: Thither go I, whither goes, Glory's laurel, Beauty's rose.

De ta tige détachée,
Pauvre feuille desséchée
Où vas-tu?—Je n'en sais rien.
L'orage a frappé le chêne
Qui seul était mon soutien.
De son inconstante haleine,
Le zéphyr ou l'aquilon
Depuis ce jour me promène
De la forêt à la plaine,
De la montagne au vallon.
Je vais où le vent me mène,
Sans me plaindre ou m'offrayer,
Je vais où va toute chose,
Où va la feuille de rose
Et la feuille de laurier.

DIES IRÆ. (1826.)

On that great, that awful day, This vain world shall pass away. Thus the sibyl sang of old. Thus hath Holy David told. There shall be a deadly fear When the Avenger shall appear, And unveiled before his eye All the works of man shall lie. Hark! to the great trumpet's tones Pealing o'er the place of bones: Hark! it waketh from their bed All the nations of the dead,-In a countless throng to meet, At the eternal judgment seat. Nature sickens with dismay, Death may not retain his prey; And before the Maker stand All the creatures of his hand. The great book shall be unfurled, Whereby God shall judge the world: What was distant shall be near, What was hidden shall be clear. To what shelter shall I fly? To what guardian shall I cry? Oh, in that destroying hour, Source of goodness, Source of power, Show thou, of thine own free grace, Help unto a helpless race. Though I plead not at thy throne Aught that I for thee have done, Do not thou unmindful be. Of what thou hast borne for me: Of the wandering, of the scorn, Of the scourge, and of the thorn. Jesus, hast thou borne the pain, And hath all been borne in vain? Shall thy vengeance smite the head For whose ransom thou hast bled? Thou, whose dying blessing gave Glory to a guilty slave: Thou, who from the crew unclean Didst release the Magdalene: Shall not mercy vast and free, Evermore be found in thee? Father, turn on me thine eyes, See my blushes, hear my cries Faint though be the cries I make, Save me for thy mercy's sale, From the worm, and from the fire, From the torments of thine ire. Fold me with the sheep that stand Pure and safe at thy right hand. Hear thy guilty child implore thee, Rolling in the dust before the s.

Oh the horrors of that day? When this frame of sinful clay, Starting from its burial place, Must behold thee face to face. Hear and pity, hear and aid, Spare the creatures thou hast made. Mercy, mercy, save, forgive, Oh, who shall look on thee and live?

#### THE MARRIAGE OF TIRZAH AND AHIRAD. (1827.)

GENESIS VI. 3.

Ir is the dead of night:
Yet more than noonday light
Beams far and wide from many a gorgeous hall.

Unnumbered harps are tinkling,
Unnumbered lamps are twinkling,
In the great city of the fourfold wall.
By the brazen castle's moat,
The sentry hums a livelier note.
The ship-boy chaunts a shriller lay
From the galleys in the bay.
Shout, and laugh, and hurrying feet
Sound from mart and square and
street,

From the breezy laurel shades,
From the granite colonnades,
From the golden statue's base,
From the stately market-place,
Where, upreared by captive hands,
All its pillars in a blaze
With the many-coloured rays,
Which lanthorns of ten thousand dyes
Shed on ten thousand panoplies.
But closest is the throng,
And loudest is the song,
In that sweet garden by the river side,
The abyss of myrtle bowers,

The wilderness of flowers,
Where Cain hath built the palace of
his pride.

Such palace ne'er shall be again Among the dwindling race of men. From all its threescore gates the light Of gold and steel afar was thrown;

Two hundred cubits rose in height. The outer wall applicated stone. On the top was ample space. For a gallant chariot race. Near either parapet a bed. Of the richest mould was apread,

Where smidst flowers of every scent and hue

Rich orange trees, and palms, and giant cedars grew.

In the mansion's public court
All is revel, song, and sport;
For there, till morn shall tint the east,
Menials and guards prolong the feast.
The boards with painted vessels shine;
The marble cisterns foam with wine.
A hundred dancing girls are there
With zoneless waists and streaming
hair;

And countless eyes with ardour gaze,
And countless hands the measure beat,
As mix and part in amorous maze
Those floating arms and bounding
feet

But none of all the race of Cain,
Save those whom he hath deigned
to grace

With yellow robe and sapphire chain, May pass beyond that outer space. For now within the painted hall The Firstborn keeps high festival. Before the glittering valves all night

Their post the chosen captains hold. Above the portal's stately height The legend flames in lamps of gold: "In life united and in death

"May Tirzah and Ahirad be,
"The bravest he of all the sons of Seth,
"Of all the house of Cain the loveliest she."

Through all the climates of the earth This night is given to feetal mirth. The long continued war is ended. The long divided lines are blended. Ahirad's bow shall now no more Make fat the wolves with kindred gore. The vultures shall expect in vain Their banquet from the sword of Cain. Without a guard the herds and flocks Along the frontier moors and rocks

From eve to morn may roam;

Nor shriek, nor shout, nor reddened sky,
Shall tarn the startled hind to fly

From his beloved home.

Nor to the pier shall burghers crowd With straining necks and faces pale, And think that in each flitting cloud They see a hostile sail.

The peasest without fear shall guide Dewn smooth canal or river wide His painted bark of cane, Fraught, for some proud bazaar's arcades.

With chestnuts from his native shades, And wine, and milk, and grain. Scarch round the peopled globe to-night, Explore each continent and isle,

Explore each continent and isle,
There is no door without a light,
No face without a smile,

The noblest chiefs of either race,
From north and south, from west and

Crowd to the painted hall to grace
The pomp of that atoning feast.
With widening eyes and labouring

breath
Stand the fair-haired sons of Seth,
As bursts upon their dazzled sight
The endless avenue of light,
The bowers of tulip, rose, and palm,
The thousand cressets fed ith balm,
The silken vests, the boards piled
high

With amber, gold, and ivory, The crystal founts whence sparkling

The richest wines o'er beds of snow,
The walls where blaze in living dyes
The king's three hundred victorics.
The heralds point the fitting seat
To every guest in order meet,
And place the highest in degree
Nearest th' imperial canopy.
Beneath its broad and gorgeous fold,
With naked swords and shields of
gold,

Stood the seven princes of the tribes of Nod.

Upon an ermine carpet lay
Two tiger cubs in furious play,
Beneath the emerald throne where sat
the signed of God.

Over that ample forehead white The thousandth year roturneth. Still, on its commanding height, With a fierce and blood-red light, The fiery token burneth. Wheresoe'er that mystic star Blazeth in the van of war,

Back recoil before its ray
Shield and banner, bow and spear,
Maddened horses break away
From the trembling charioteer.
The fear of that stern king doth lie
On all that live beneath the sky;

All shrink before the mark of his despair, The seal of that great curse which he alone can bear.

Blazing in pearls and diamonds'sheen,
Tirzuh, the young Ahirad's bride,
Of humankind the destined queen,
Sits by her great forefather's side.
The jetty curls, the forehead high,
The swanlike neck, the eagle face,
The glowing cheek, the rich dark eye,
Proclaim her of the elder race.
With flowing locks of auburn hue,
And features smooth, and eye of blue,
Timid in love as brave in arms,
The gentle heir of Seth askance
Snatches a bashful, ardent glance
At her majestic charms;

Blest when across that brow high musing flashes

A deeper tint of rose,

Thrice blest when from beneath the silken lashes Of her proud eye she throws The smile of blended fondness and

disdain

Which marks the daughters of the

house of Cain.

All hearts are light around the hall Save his wife is the lord of all. The painted roofs, the attendant train, The lights, the banquet, all are vain. He sees them not. His fancy strays To other scenes and other days. A cot by a lone forest's edge,

A fountain murmuring through the trees,

A garden with a wild flower hedge, Wheace sounds the music of the bees.

A little flock of sheep at rest Upon a mountain's swarthy breast. On his rude spade he seems to lean Beside the well remembered stone,

Rejoicing o'er the promised green
Of the first harvest man hath sown.
He sees his mother's tears;

His father's voice he hears,
Kind as when first it praised his

• youthful skill.

And soon a seraph-child, In boyish rapture wild,

With a light crook comes bounding from the hill,

Kisses his hands, and strokes his face, And nestles close in his embrace. In his adamantine eye

None might discern his agony; But they who had grown hoary next his side,

And read his stern dark face with deepest skill,

Could trace strange meanings in that lip of pride,

Which for one moment quivered and was still.

No time for them to mark or him to feel
Those inward stings; for clarion,
flute, and lyre,
[quire.

And the rich voices of a countless Burston the ear in one triumphant peal. In breathless transport sits the admiring throng,

As sink and swell the notes of Jubal's lofty song.

"Sound the timbrel, strike the lyre, Wake the trumpet's blast of fire,

Till the gilded arches ring. Empire, victory, and fame, Be ascribed unto the name

Of our father and our king.
Of the deeds which he hath done,
Of the spoils which he hath won,
I at his crutoful children sing.

Let his grateful children sing. When the deadly fight was fought, When the great revenge was wrought, When on the slaughtered victims lay The minion stiff and cold as they, Doomed to exile, scaled with flame, From the west the wande rer came. Six score years and six he strayed A hunter through the forest shade. • The lion's shaggy jaws he tore, To earth he smote the foaming boar, He crushed the dragon's fiery crest. And scaled the condor's dizzy nest; Till hardy sons and daughters fair Increased around his woodland lair. Then his victorious bow anstrung On the great-bison's horn he hung. Giraffe and elk he left to hold

The wilderness of boughs in pace, And trained his youth to pen the fold, To press the cream, and weave the fleece.

As shrunk the streamlet in its bed,
As black and scant the herbage grew,
O'er endless plains his flocks he led,
Still to new brooks and pastures new.

So strayed he till the white pavilions Of his camp were told by millions, Till his children's households seven Were numerous as the stars of heaven. Then he bade us rove no more;

And in the place that pleased him best,

On the great river's fertile shore,

He fixed the city of his rest.

He taught us then to bind the sheaves, To strain the palm's delicious milk,

And from the dark green mulberry leaves
To cull the filmy silk.

Then first from straw-built mansions roamed

"O'er flower-beds trim the skilful bees; Then first the purple wine vats foamed Around the laughing peasant's knees; And olive-yards, and orchards green, O'er all the hills of Nod were seen.

"Of our father and our king Let his grateful children sing. From him our race its being draws, His are our arts, and his our laws. Like himself he bade us be, Proud, and brave, and fierce, and free. True, through every turn of fate, In our friendship and our hate. Calm to watch, yet prompt to dare; Quick to feel, yet firm to bear; Only timid, only weak, Before sweet woman's eye and cheek. We will not serve, we will not know, The God who is our father's foe. In our proud cities to his name No temples rise, no altars flame. Our flocks of sheep, our groves of spice, To him afford no sacrifice. Enough that once the House of Cain Hath courted with oblation vain

The sullen power above.

Henceforth we bear the yoke no more;
The only gods whom we adore
Are glory, vengeance, love.

"Of our father and our king
Let his grateful children sing.
What eye of living thing may brook
On his blasing brow to look?
What might of living thing may stand
Against the strength of his right hand?
First he led his armies forth
Against the Mammoths of the north,
What time they wasted in their pride
Pasture and vineyard far and wide.

Was thawed with fire and dyed with blood,
And heard for many a league the sound
Of the pine forests blazing round,
And the death-howl and trampling din
Of the gigantic herd within.
From the surging sea of flame
Forth the tortured monsters came;
As of breakers on the shore
Was their onset and their roar;
As the cedar-trees of God
Stood the stately ranks of Nod.
One long night and one short day

Then the White River's icy flood

The sword was lifted up to slay. [sons Then marched the firstborn and his O'er the white ashes of the wood, And counted of that savage brood Nine times nine thousand skeletons.

"On the snow with carnage red The wood is piled, the skins are spread. A thousand fires illume the sky; Round each a hundred warriors lie. But, long ere half the night was spent, Forth thundered from the golden tent

The rousing voice of Cain.

A thousand trumps in answer rang,

And fast to arms the warriors sprang

O'er all the frozen plain.
A herald from the wealthy bay
Hath come with tidings of dismay.
From the western occan's coast
Seth hath led a countless host,
And vows to slay with fire and sword
All who call not on the Lord.
His archers hold the mountain forts;
His light armed ships blockade the
ports;

His horsemen tread the harvest down. On twelve proud bridges he hath passed The river dark with many a mast, And pitched his mighty camp at last Before the imperial town.

"On the south and on 'he west, Closely was the city prest. Before us lay the hostile powers. The breach was wide between the towers.

Pulse and meal within were sold For a double weight of gold. Our mighty father had gone forth Twe hundred marches to the north. Yet in that extreme of ill We stoutly kept his city still; And swore beneath his royal wall, Like his true sons, to fight and fall.

"Hark, hark, to gong and horn, Clarion, and fife, and drum, The morn, the fortieth morn. Fixed for the great assault is come. Between the camp and city spreads A waving sea of helmed heads. From the royal car of Seth

Was hung the blood-red flag of death: At sight of that three-hallowed sign Wide flew at once each banner's fold; The captains clashed their arms of gold; The war cry of Elohim rolled

Far down their endless line.
On the northern hills afar
Pealed an answering note of war.
Soon the dust in whirlwinds driven,
Rushed gcross the northern heaven.
Beneath its shroud came thick and loud
The tramp as of a countless crowd;
And at intervals were seen
Lunce and hauberk glancing sheen;
And at intervals were heard
Charger's neigh and battle word.

"Oh what a rapturous cry From all the city's thousand spires arose, With what a look the hollow eye Of the lean watchman glared upon the foce.

With what a yell of joy the mother pressed

The mouning baby to her withered breast.

When through the swarthy cloud that veiled the plain Burst on his children's sight the flaming

brow of Cain!"

There paused perforce that noble song;

There paused perforce that noble song; For from all the joyous throng, Burst forth a rapturous shout which drowned

Singer's voice and trumpet's sound.

Thrice that stormy clamour fell,
Thrice ross again with mightier swell.
The last and loudest roar of all
Had died along the painted wall.
The crowd was hushed; the minstel
train

Prepared to strike the chords again, When on each ear distinctly smote A low and wild and wailing note.

It means again. In mure amaze Menials, and guests, and harpers gaze. They look above, beneath, around, No shape doth own that mournful sound. It comes not from the tuneful quire;

It comes not from the tuneful quire;
It comes not from the feasting peers
There is no tone of earthly lyre
So soft, so sad, so full of tears.
Then a strange horror came on all
Who sate at that high festival.
The far famed harp, the harp of gold,
Dropped from Jubal's trembling hold.
Erantic with dismay the bride
Clung to her Ahirad's side.
And the corpse-like hue of dread
Ahirad's haughty face o'erspread.
Yet not even in that agony of awe

Did the young leader of the fairhaired race

From Tirzah's shuddering grasp his hand withdraw [face.

Or turn his eyes from Tirzah's livid The tigers to their lord retreat, And crouch and whine beneath his feet. Prone sink to earth the golden shielded seven.

All hearts are cowed save his alone
Who sits upon the emerald throne;
For he hath heard Elohim speuk from

Still thunders in his ear the peal;
Still blazes on his front the seal:
And on the soul of the proud king
No terror of created thing
From sky, or earth, or hell, hath power
Since that uputterable hour.

heaven.

He rose to speak, but paused, and listening stood,
Not daunted, but in sad and curious

With knitted brow, and searching eye of fire.

A deathlike silence sank on all around, And through the boundless space was heard no sound,

Save the soft tones of that mysterious lyre.

Broken, faint, and low.
At first the numbers flow.
Louder, deeper, quicker, still
Into one fierce peal they swell,
And the echoing palace fill
With a strange funereal yell.
Avoice comes forth. But what or where?
On the earth, or in the air?

Lake the midnight winds that blow Round a lone cottage in the snow, With howling swell and sighing fall, It wails along the trophied hall. In such a wild and dreary mean

The watches of the Scraphim Poured out all night their plaintive hymn

Before the eternal throne.

Then, when from many a heavenly eye
Drops as of earthly pity fell
For her who had aspired too high,

For him who loved too well.
When, stunned by grief, the gentle pair
From the nuptial garden fair,
Linked in a sorrowful caress,
Strayed through the untrodden wilder-

And close behind their footsteps came The desolating sword of flame, And drooped the cedared alley's pride, And fountains shrank, and roses died.

"Rejoice, oh Son of God, rejoice,"
Sang that melancholy voice,
"Rejoice, the maid is fair to see;
The bower is decked for her and thee;
The ivory lamps around it throw
A soft and pure and mellow glow.
Where er the chastened lustre falls
On roof or cornice, floor or walls,
Woven of pink and rose appear
Such words as love de ights to hear.
The breath of myrrh, the lute's soft sound,
Float through the moonlight galleries
round.

O'er beds of violet and through groves of spice,

Lead thy proud bride into the nuptial bower;

For thou hast bought her with a fearful price.

And she hath dowered thee with a fearful dower.

The price is life. The dower is death.

Accursed loss! Accursed gain!

For her thou givest the blessedness of

And to thine arms she brings the curse of Gain.

Round the dark curtains of the flery throne

Passes awhile the voice of sacred song: From all the angelic ranks goes forth a

'How long, O Lord, how long?'

The still small voice makes answer
'Wait and see,
Oh sons of glory, what the end shall be.'

"But, in the outer darkness of the place Where God hath shown his power without his grace,

Is laughter and the sound of glad acclaim, Loud as when, on wings of fire, Fulfilled of his malign desire,

From Paradise the conquering scrpent came.

The giant ruler of the morning star
From off his fiery bed
Lifts high his stately head,
Which Muchaels sword hath marked
with many a sear.

At his voice the pit of hell
Answers with a joyous yell,
And flings her dusky portals wide
For the bridegroom and the bade

"But louder still shall be the din In the halls of Death and Sin, When the full measure runneth o'er When mercy can endure no more, When he who vainly proffers grace, Comes in his fury to deface

The fair creation of his hand; When from the heaven streams down

amain
For forty days the sheeted rain;
And from his ancient barriers free,
With a deafening roar the sea

Comes foaming up the land.
Mother, cast thy babe aside:
Bridegroom, quit thy virgin bride:
Brother, pass thy brother by:
'Tis for life, for life, ye fly.
Along the drear horizon raves
The swift advancing line of waves.
On: on: their frothy creats appear
Each moment nearer, and more near.
Urge the dromedary's speed;
Spur to death the reeling steed;
If perchance ye yet may gain
The mountains that o'erhang the plain.

"Oh thou haughty land of Nod,
Hear these stence of thy God.
Thou hast said 'Of all the hills
Whence, after autumn rains, the rills
In silver trickle down,
The fairest is that mountain white
Which intercepts the morning light
From Cain's imperial town.

On its first and gentlest swell
Are pleasant halls where nobles dwell;
And marble porticoes are seen
Peeping through terraced gardens green.
Above are olives, palms, and vinos;
And higher yet the dark-blue pines;
And highest on the summit shines
The crest of overlasting ice.
Here let the God of Abel own

That human art hath wonders shown
Beyond his boasted paradise.'

"Therefore on that proud mountain's crown

Thy few surviving sons and daughters Shall see their latest sun go down

Upon a boundless waste of waters. None salutes and none replies;

None heaves a groan or breathes a

They crouch on earth with tearless eyes, And clenched hands, and bristling

hair

The rain pours on: no star illumes
The blackness of the roaring sky.
And each successive billow booms

Nigher still and still more nigh.
And now upon the howling blast

The wreaths of spray come thick and fast;

And a great billow by the tempest

Falls with a thundering crash; and all is o'er.

And what is left of all this glorious world?

A sky without a beam, a sea without a shore.

"Oh thou fair land, where from their starry home

Cherub and scraph oft delight to roam, Thou city of the thousand towers,

Thou palace of the golden stairs,

Ye gardens of perennial flowers, Ye moated gates, ye breezy squares; Ye parks amidst whose branches high Oft peers the squirrel's sparkling eye; Ye vineyards, in whose treflised shade Pipes many a youth to many a maid; Ye ports where rides the gallant ship, Ye marts where wealthy burchers

Ye marts where wealthy burghers meet:

Yo dark green lanes which know the trip Of woman's conscious feet; Ye grassy meads where, when the day is done,

The shepherd pens his fold;

Ye purple moors on which the setting sun Leaves a rich fringe of gold;

Ye wintry deserts where the larches grow:

Ye mountains on whose everlasting snow No human foot hath trod;

Many a fathom shall ye sleep

Beneath the grey and endless deep, In the great day of the revenge of God."

## THE COUNTRY CLERGYMAN'S TRIP TO CAMBRIDGE.

AN ELECTION BALLAD. (1827.)

As I sate down to breakfast in state, At my living of Tithing-cum-Boring, With Betty beside me to wait, Came a rap that almost beat the

door in.

I laid down my basin of tea,

And Betty ceased spreading the toast,
"As sure as a gun, sir," said she,
"That must be the knock of the post."

A letter—and free—bring it here—
I have no correspondent who franks.
No! Yes! Can it be? Why, my dear,
'Tis our glorious, our Protestant
Bankes.

"Dear sir, as I know you desire
That the Church should receive due
protection.

I humbly presume to require Your aid at the Cambridge election.

"It has lately been brought to my knowledge,

That the Ministers fully design To suppress each cathedral and college, And eject every learned divine.

To assist this detestable scheme Three nuncios from Rome are come

They left Calais on Monday by steam, And landed to dinner at Dover.

"An army of grim Cordeliers, Well furnished with relics and vermin, Will follow, Lord Westmoreland fears, To effect what their chiefs may determine. Lollard's bower, good authorities say, Is again fitting up for a prison; And a wood-merchant told me to-day 'Tis a wonder how faggots have risen.

"The finance scheme of Canning contains

A new Easter-offering tax;

And he means to devote all the gains To a bounty on thumb-screws and racks.

Your living, so neat and compact-Pray, don't let the news give you pain!-

Is promised, I know for a fact. To an olive-faced Padre from Spain."

I read, and I felt my heart bloed, Sore wounded with horror and pity;

So I flew, with all possible speed, To our Protestant champion's com-

mittee.

True gentlemen, kind and well-bred! No fleering! no distance! no scorn! They asked after my wife who is dead, And my children who never were born.

They then, like high-principled Tories, Called our Sovereign unjust and unsteady.

And assailed him with scandalous

storics. Till the coach for the voters was ready.

That coach might be well called a casket Of learning and brotherly love:

There were parsons in boot and in basket:

There were parsons below and above.

There were Sneaker and Griper, a pair Who stick to Lord Mulesby like lecches:

A smug chaplain of plausible air, Who writes my Lord Goslingham's speeches

Dr. Buzz, who alone is a host, Who, with arguments weighty as lead, Proves six times a week in the Post

That flesh somehow differs from bread.

Dr. Nimrod, whose orthodox toes Are seldom withdrawn from the stirrup;

Dn. Humdrum, whose eloquence flows, Lake droppings of sweet poppy syrup;

Dr. Rosygill puffing and fanning, And wiping away perspiration; Dr. Humbug, who proved Mr. Canning The beast in St. John's Revelation.

A lavman can scarce form a notion Of our wonderful talk on the road; Of the learning, the wit, and devotion, Which almost each syllable showed:

Why divided allegiance agrees So ill with our free constitution;

How Catholics swear as they please, In hope of the priest's absolution;

How the Bishop of Norwich had bartered

His faith for a legate's commission; How Lyndhurst, afraid to be martyr'd, Had stooped to a base coalition;

How Papists are cased from compassion By bigotry, stronger than steel;

How burning would soon come in fashion,

And how very bad it must feel.

We were all so much touched and excited

By a subject so direly sublime, That the rules of politoness were slighted,

And we all of us talked at a time; And in tones, which each moment grew

 louder, Told how we should dress for the show, And where we should fasten the powder, And if we should bellow or no.

Thus from subject to subject we ran, And the journey passed pleasantly o'er.

Till at last Dr. Humdrum began;

From that time I remember no more. At Ware he commenced his prelection, In the dullest of clerical drones;

And when next I regained recollection We were rumbling o'er Trumpington' stones.

#### SONG. (1827.)

O stay, Madonna! stay; 'Tis not the dawn of day

That marks the skies with yonder opal streak:

The stars in silence shine; Then press thy lips to mine,

And rest upon my neck thy fervid cheek.

O sleep, Madonna! sleep; Leave me to watch and weep

O'er the sad memory of departed joys, O'er hope's extinguished beam, O'er fancy's vanished dream,

O'er all that nature gives and man destroys.

O wake, Madonna! wake: Even now the purple lake

Is dappled o'er with amber flakes of light;

they go.

A glow is on the hill; And every trickling rill

In golden threads leaps down from yonder height.

O fly, Madonna! fly, Lest day and envy spy What only love and night may safely know: Fly, and tread softly, dear! Lest those who hate us hear The sounds of thy light footsteps as

#### POLITICAL GEORGICS. (MARCH 1828.)

"Quid faciat latas segetes," &c.

How cabinets are form'd, and how destroy'd,

How Tories are confirm'd, and Whigs decoy'd,

How in nice times a prudent man should vote,

At what conjuncture he should turn his coat.

The truths fallacious, and the candid lies, And all the lore of skek majorities.

I sing, great Premier. Oh, mysterious

Lords of our fate, the Doctor and the

If, by your care enriched, the aspiring

Quits the close alley for the breezy park

And Dolly's chops and Roid's entire resigns

For odorous frienssees and costly wines; And you, great pair, through Windsor's shades who rove,

grove;

All, all inspire me, for of all I sing,

Doctor and Jew, and M-s and

Thou, to the maudlin muse of Rydal dear;

Thou more than Neptune, Lowther, lend thine ear. At Neptune's voice the horse, with

flowing mane And pawing hoof, sprung from th'

obedient plain; But at thy word the yawning earth, in

fright. Engulf'd the victor steed from mortal

Haste from thy woods, mine Arbuthnot,

with speed, Rich woods, where lean Scotch cattle love to feed:

Let Gaffer Gooch and Boodle's patriot band,

Fat from the leanness of a plundered land,

True Cincinnati, quit their patent ploughs,

Their new steam-harrows, and their premium sows ;

Let all in bulky majesty appear,

Roll the dull eye, and yawn th' unmeaning cheer.

Ye veterau Świss, of senatorial wars, Who glory in your well-earned sticks and stars;

Ye diners-out from whom we guard our spoons:

Ye smug defaulters; ye obseene buffoons:

Come all, of every race and size and

Corruption's children, brethren of the worm;

From those gigantic monsters who devour

The pay of half a squadon in an hour. To those foul reptiles, doomed to night and scorn,

Of filth and stench equivocally born:

From royal tigers down to toads and lice;

From Bathursts, Clintons, Fanes, to - and P-

Thou last, by habit and by nature Hest With every gift which serves a courteer best.

The Faun and Dryad of the conscious The lap-dog spittle the hyapa bile, The maw of shark, the tear of crocodile, . Whate'er high station, undetermined

Awaits thee in the longing Cabinet,-Whether thou seat thee in the room of Peel.

Or from Lord Prig extort the Privy Seal, Or our Field-marshal-Treasurer fix on And turned their rage to fear, their

thee, A legal admiral, to rule the sea,

Or Chancery-suits, beneath thy wellknown reign,

Turn to their nap of fifty years again; (Already L ...., prescient of his fate, half his woolsack to thy Yields mightier weight;)

On! Eldon, in whatever sphere thou shine.

For opposition sure will ne'er be thine, Though scowls apart the lonely pride of Grey,

Though Levonshire proudly flings his staff away,

Though Lansdowne, trampling on his broken chain.

Shine forth the Lansdowne of our hearts again,

Assist me thou, for well I deem, I see An abstract of my ample theme in thee. Thou, as thy glorious self hath justly said,

From earliest youth, wast pettifogger bred,

And, raised to power by fortune's fickle

Art head and heart a pettifogger still. So, where once Fleet-ditch ran confessed, we view

A crowded mart and stately avenue; But the black stream beneath runs on the same,

Still brawls in W---'s key,-still stinks like H----'s name.

THE DELIVERANCE OF VIENNA.

TRANSLATED FROM VINCENZIO DA FILICATA. (Published in the" Winter's Wreath," Liverpool, 1828.)

"Le corde d'oro elette," &c.

THE chords, the sacred chords of gold, Strike, oh Muse, in measure bold, and frame a sparkling wreath of joyous

For that great God to whom revenge belongs.

Who shall resist his might, Who marshals for the fight Earthquake and thunder, hurricane and flame?

He smote the haughty race Of unbelieving Thrace,

pride to shame.

He looked in wrath from high. Upon their vast array;

And, in the twinkling of an eye, Tambour, and trump, and battle-

And steeds, and turbaned infantry, Passed like a dream away.

Such power defends the mansions of the just:

But, like a city without walls, The grandeur of the mortal falls Who glories in his strength, and makes not God his trust.

The proud blasphemers thought all carth their own;

They deemed that soon the whirlwind of their ire

Would sweep down tower and palace, dome and spire,

The Christian altars and the Augustan throne.

And soon, they cried, shall Austria bow

To the dust her lofty brow. The princedoms of Almayne Shall wear the Phrygian chain;

In humbler waves shall vassal Tiber roll; And Rome, a slave forlorn.

Her laurelled tresses shorn, Shall feel our iron in her inmost soul. Who shall bid the torrent stay? Who shall bar the lightning's way? Who arrest the advancing van Of the ficty Ottoman?

As the curling smoke wreaths fly When fresh breezes clear the sky, Passed away cach swelling boast Of the misbelieving host. From the Hebrus rolling far Came the murky cloud of war, And in shower and tempest dread Burst on Austria's fenceless head. But not for vaunt or threat Didst Thou, oh Lord, forget

The flock so dearly bought, and loved so well.

### MISCELLANEOUS POEMS.

Even in the very hour Of guilty pride and power Full on the circumcised Thy vengeance

Then the fields were heaped with dead, Then the streams with gore were red, And every bird of prey, and every beast, Frem wood and cavern thronged to

Thy great feast.

What terror seized the fiends obscene of Nile!

How wildly, in his place of doom beneath.

Arabia's lying prophet gnashed his tecth,

And cursed his blighted hopes and wasted guile!

When, at the bidding of Thy sovereign might,

Flow on their destined path Thy messengers of wrath,

Riding on storms and wrapped in deepest night.

The Phthian mountains saw, And quaked with mystic awe: The proud Sultana of the Straights bowed down

Her jewelled neck and her embattled

The miscreants, as they raised their

Glaring defiance on Thy skies, Saw adverse winds and clouds display The terrors of their black array; --

Saw each portentous star Whose fiery aspect turned of yore to flight The iron charlots of the Canaanite

Gird its bright harness for a deadlier

Beneath Thy withcring look Their limbs with palsy shook; Scattered on earth the crescent banners lay;

Trembled with panic fear Sabre and targe and spear, Through the proud armies of the rising

Faint was each heart, unnerved cach

And, if they strove to charge or stand Their efforts were as vain As his who, scared in feverish sleep By evil dreams, essays to leap, Then backward falls again.

With a crash of wild dismay, Their ten thousand ranks guve way: Fast they broke, and fast they fled; Trampled, mangled, dying, dead, Horse and horseman mingled lay; Till the mountains of the slain Raised the valleys to the plain.

Be all the glory to Thy name divine! The swords were our's; the arm, O Lord, was Thine.

Therefore to Thee, beneath whose footstool wait

The powers which erring man calls Chance and Fate.

To Thee who hast laid low The pride of Europe's foe,

And taught Byzantium's sullen lords to lear,

I pour my spirit out In a triumphant shout,

And call all ages and all lands to hear. Thou who evermore endurest, Loftiest, mightiest, wisest, purest, Thou whose will destroys or saves, Dread of tyrants, hope of slaves, The wreath of glory is from Thee, And the red sword of victory.

There where exulting Danube's flood Runs stained with Islam's noblest blood From that tremendous field, There where in mosque the tyrants met, And from the crier's minaret

Unholy summons pealed, Pure shrines and temples now shall be Decked for a worship worthy Thee. To Thee thy whole creation pays With mystic sympathy its praise, The air, the earth, the seas: The dayshines forth with livelier beam: There is a smile upon the stream,

An anthem on the breeze. Glory, they cry, to Him whose might Hath turned the barbarous foe to flight, Whose arm protects with power divine The city of his favoured line.

The caves, The woods, the rocks, repeat the sound;

The everlasting hills roll the long echoes round.

But, if Thy rescued church may dare" Still to besiege Thy throne with prayer, Sheathe not, we implore Thee, Lord, Sheathe not Thy victorious sword.

Still Panonia pines away, Vassal of a double sway: Still Thy servants groan in chains, Still the race which hates Thee reigns: Part the living from the dead: Join the members to the head:

Snatch Thine own sheep from you fell monster's hold :

Let one kind shepherd rule one undivided fold.

He is the victor, only he Who reaps the fruits of victory. We conquered once in vain, When foamed the Ionian waves with

And heaped Lepanto's stormy shore With wrecks and Moslem slain. Yot wretched Cyprus never broke

The Syrian tyrant's iron yoke. Shall the twice vanquished for Again repeat his blow?

Shall Europe's sword be hung to rust in peace ?

No - let the red-cross ranks Of the triumphant Franks

Bear swift deliverance to the shrines of Greece

And in her inmost heart let Asia feel The avenging plagues of Western fire and steel.

Oh God! for one short moment raise The veil which hides those glorious days. The flying foes I see Thee urge Even to the river's headlong verge.

Close on their rear the loud uproar Of fierce pursuit from Ister's shore Comes pealing on the wind;

The Rab's wild waters are before, The Christian sword behind.

Sons of perdition, speed your flight. No earthly spear is in the rest; No earthly champion leads to fight

The warriors of the West. The Lord of Hosts asserts His old renown,

Scatters and smites, and slays, and tramples down.

Fast, fast, beyond what mortal tongue can say,

Or mortal fancy dream,

He rushes on his prey: Till, with the terrors of the wondrouk theme

Bewildered and appalled, I cease to sing, And close my dazzled eye, and rest my

wearied wing.

THE LAST BUCCANEER. (1,839.)

THE winds were yelling, the waves were swelling,

The sky was black and drear,

When the crew with eyes of flame brought the ship without a name Alongside the last Buccaneer.

"Whence flies your sloop full sail before so fierce a gale,

When all others drive bare on the seas? Say, come ye from the shore of the holy Salvador,

Or the gulf of the rich Carlbbees?"

"From a shore no search hath found, from a gulf no line can sound,

Without rudder or needle we steer; Above, below, our bark, dies the sea fowl and the shark,

As we fly by the last Buccancer.

"To-night there shall be heard on the rocks of Cape de Verde

Asloud crash, and a louder roar; And to-morrow shall the deep, with a heavy moaning, sweep

The corpses and wreck to the shore."

The stately ship of Clyde securely now may ride

In the breath of the citron shades; And Severn's towering mast securely now flies fast,

Through the sea of the balmy Trades.

From St. Jago's wealthy port, from Havannah's royal fort,

The seaman goes forth without fear; For since that stormy night not a mortal hath had sight

Of the flag of the last Buctaneer.

#### EPITAPH ON A JACOBITE. (1845.)

To my true king I offered free from stain Courage and faith; vain faith, and courage vain. For him, I threw lands, honours, wealth, And lo! the fairy queens who rule our away,

And one dear hope, that was more prized than they.

For him I languished in a foreign clime, Grey-haired with sorrow in my manhood's prime;

Hoard on Lavernia Scargill's whispering trees.

And pined by Arno for my lovelier Tees, Beheld each night my home in fevered sleep,

Each morning started from the dream to weep;

Till God, who saw me tried too sorely, gave

The resting place I asked, an early grave Oh thou, whom chance leads to this nameless stone,

From that proud country which was once mine own,

By those white cliffs I never more must

By that dear language which I spake like thee,

Forget all feuds, and shed one English tear

O'er English dust. A broken heart lies herc.

#### LINES WRITTEN IN AUGUST, 1847.

The day of tumult, strife, defeat, was

Worn out with toil, and noise, and scorn, and spleen,

I slumbered, and in slumber saw once more

A roo n in an old mansion, long unseen.

That room, methought, was curtained from the light,

Yet through the curtains shone the moon's cold ray

Full on a cradle, where, in linen white, Sleeping life's first soft sleep, an infant lay.

Pale flickered on the hearth the dying flame.

And all was silent in that ancient hall.

Save when by fits on the low nightwind came

The murrour of the distant waterfall.

birth
Drew nigh to speak the new born
baby's doom:

With noiseless step, which left no trace on earth.

From gloom they came, and vanished into gloom.

Not deigning on the boy a glance to cast Swept careless by the gorgeous Queen of Gain:

More scornful still, the Queen of Fashion passed,

With mineing gait and sneer of cold disdain.

The Queen of Power tossed high her jewelled head,

And o'er her shoulder threw a wrathful frown:

The Queen of Pleasure on the pillow shed Scarce one stray rose-leaf from her fragrant crown.

Still Fay in long procession followed Fay,

And still the little couch remained unblest:

But, when those wayward sprites had passed away,

Came One, the last, the mightiest, and the best.

Oh glorious lady, with the eyes of light And laurels clustering round thy lofty brow,

Who by the cradle's side didst watch that night,

Warbling a sweet strange music, who wast thou?

"Yes, darling; let them go," so ran the strain:

"Yes, let them go, gain, fashion, pleasure, power,

And all the busy elves to whose domain Belongs the nether sphere, the fleeting hour.

"Without one envious sigh, one anxious scheme.

The nether sphere, the fleeting hour resign.

Mine is the world of thought, the world of dream,

Mine all the past, and all the future mine.

"Fortune, that lays in sport the mighty | "I brought the wise and brave of an-

Age, that to penance turns the joys of youth

Shall leave untouched the gifts which I lighted Milton's darkness with the I bestow,

The sense of beauty and the thirst of truth.

"Of the fair brotherhood who share my

I, from thy natal day, pronounce thee free;

And, if for some I keep a nobler place, I keep for none a happier than for

"There are who, while to vulgar eyes they seem

Of all my bounties largely to partake, Of me as of some rival's handmaid deem, And court me but for gain's, power's,

fashion's sake. "To such, though deep their lore,

though wide their fame,

Shall my great mysteries be all unknown:

But thou, through good and evil, praise and bl**a**me, Wilt not thou love me for myself

alone?

"Yes; thou wilt love me with exceeding love;

And I will tenfold all that love repay, Still smiling, though the tender may reprove,

Still faithful, though the trusted may betray.

"For aye mine emblem was, and aye shall be. The ever-during plant whose bough

I wear,

Brightest and greenest then, when every

That blossoms in the light of Time is bare.

"In the dark hour of shame, I deigned to stand

Before the frowning peers at Bacon's side:

On a far shore I smoothed with tender

Through months of pain, the sleepleng and of Hyde:

cient days

To cheer the cell where Raleigh pined alone :

blaze

Of the bright ranks that guard the eternal throne.

"And even so, my child, it is my pleasure

That thou not then alone shouldst feel me nigh,

When, in domestic bliss and studious

Thy weeks uncounted come, uncounted fly;

" Not then alone, when myriads, closely pressed

Around thy car, the shout of triumph raise;

Nor when, in gilded drawing rooms, thy breast

Swells at the sweeter sound of woman's praise.

"No: when on restless night dawns cheerless morrow,

When weary soul and wasting body pine,

Thine am I still, in danger, sickness, sorrow.

In conflict, obloquy, want, exile, thine;

"Thine, where on mountain waves the snowbirds scream,

Where more than Thule's winter barbs the breeze,

Where scarce, through lowering clouds, one sickly gleam

Lights the drear May-day of Antarctic seas;

"Thine, when around thy litter's track all day

White sandhills shall reflect the blinding glare;

Thine, when, through forests breathing death, thy way

All night shall wind by many a tiger's lair;

"Thine most, when friends turn pale, when traitors fly,

When, hard beset, thy spirit, justly proud,

For truth, peace, freedom, mercy, dares defy A sullen priesthood and a raving "Amidst the din of all things fell and | "Yes: they will pass away; nor deem

Hate's yell, and envy's hiss, and folly's bray,

Remember me; and with an unforced smile

See riches, baubles, flatterers, pass · away.

it strange:

They come and go, as comes and goes the sea:

And let them come and go; thou, through all change,

Fix thy firm gaze on virtue and on

#### TRANSLATION FROM PLAUTUS. (1850.)

[The author passed a part of the summer and autumn of 1850 at Ventnor, in the Isle of Wight. He usually, when walking alone, had with him a book. On one occasionance was lottering in the land-dip near Bonchurch, reading the Rudens of Plautta, it struck him that it might be an interesting experiment to attempt to produce something which might be supposed to resemble passages in the lost Greek drama of Duphilus, from which the Rudens appears to have been taken. He selected one passage in the Rudens, of which he then needs the following version, which he draward entitled that the collection of the resemble passages in the Rudens, of which he then made the following version, which he afterwards copied out at the request of a friend to whom he had repeated it.]

#### Act. IV. Sc. vii.

hominum plurimæ

Fiunt transennæ, ubi decipiuntur dolis; Atque edepol in eas plerumque esca. imponitur.

Quam si quis avidus pascit escam avariter.

Decipitur in transenna avaritia sua.

Ille, qui consulte, docte, atque astute cavet.

Diutine uti bene licet partum bene. Mi istæc videtur præda prædatum

Ut cum majore dote abeat, quam advenerit.

Egone ut, quod ad me adlatum esse alienum sciam,

Celem? Minime istuc faciet noster

Semper cavere hoc sapientes æquissimum est,

Ne conscii sint ipsi maleficiis suis. Ego, mihi quum lusi, nil moror ullum lucrum.

cos ad istum modum • Sapienter dicta dicere, atque iis plau-

Quum illos sapientis mores monstrabant poplo;

Sed quum inde suam quisque ibant diversi domum.

Nullus erat illo pacto, ut illi jusserant

Dæmones. Ο Gripe, Gripe, in ætate ΔΑΙΜ. Ο Γρίπε, Γρίπε, πλείστα παγίδων σχήματα

ίδοι τις δυ πεπηγικέν' έν θνητών βίφ,

καὶ πλεῖστ' ἐπ' αὐτοῖς δελέαθ', ὧν ≹πιθυμία

δρεγόμενός τις έν κακοῖς ἁλίσκεται. ύστις δ' απιστεί και σοφώς φυλάτ-

καλώς ἀπολαύει τών καλώς πεπορισμένων.

**ἄρπαγμα δ' οὐχ ἄρπαγμ'** ὁ λάρναξ ούτοσὶ.

άλλ' αὐτὸς, οἶμαι, μᾶλλον άρπάξει τινά.

τόνδ' ἄνδρα κλέπτειν τάλλότρι' --εὐφήμει, τάλαν • • ταυτήν γε μή μαίνοιτο μανίαν

Δαιμονής.

τόδε γαο αεί σοφοίσιν εύλαβητέον, μή τί ποθ' έαυτφ τις αδίκημα συννοῆ·

κέρδη δ' ξμοιγε πάνθ' δσοις εὐφραί-

κέρδος δ' ἀκερδès δ τουμον ἀλγύνει

Geipus. Spectavi ego pridem Comi- ΓΡΙΠ. κάγὼ μὲν ήδη κωμικῶν ἀλήκοα σεμνώς λεγόντων τοιάδε, τοὺς δὲ θεωμένους

κροτείν, ματαίοις ήδομένους σοφίσμασιν

aelo, as aπηλο εκαστος οίκαδ.

οὐδὲν παρέμεινε τῶν καλῶς εἰρη μένων.

#### PARAPHRASE OF A PASSAGE IN THE CHRONICLE OF THE MONK OF ST. GALL. (1856.)

In the summer of 1856, the author travelled with a friend through Lombardy. As they were on the rolld between Novara and Milan, they were conversing on the subject of the legends relating to that country. The author remarked to his companion that Mr. Panizzi, in the Essay on the Romantic Narrative Poetry of the Italians, prefixed to his edition of Bojardo, had pointed out an instance of the conversion of ballad poetry into pruse narrative which strongly confirmed the theory of Perizonius and Niebuhr, upon which "The Lays of Ancient Rome" are founded; and, after repeating an extract which Mr. Panizzi has given from the chronicle of "The Monk of St. Gall," he proceeded to frame a metrical paraphrase. The note in Mr. Panizzi's work (vol. 1. p. 123, note b) is here copied evebatin.]

"The monk says that Oger was with De siderius, King of Lombardy, watching the advance of Charlemagne's army. The king often asked Oger where was Charlemagne. Quando videris, inquit, segetem campis in-horrescere, ferreum Padum et Ticinum marinis fluctibus ferro nigrantibus muros civitatis inundantes, tunc est spes Caroli venientis. His nedum expletis primum ad occasum Circino vel Borea cospit apparere, quasi nubes tenebrosa, quæ diem clarissimam horrentes convertit in umbras. Sed proplante Im-peratore, ex armorum splendore, dies omni nocte tenebrosior oborta est inclusis. Tune visus est 193e ferreus Carolus ferrea galea cristatus, ferreis manicis armillatus, &c. &c. His igitur, que ego balbus et edentulus, non ut debui circuitu tardiore diutius explicare tentavi, veridicus speculator Oggerus celer-rimo visu contuitus dixit ad Desiderium: Ecce, habes quem tantopere perquisisti. Et hac dicens, pene examinis cecidit.—MONACH. SANGAL. de Reb., Bel. Curoli Magni. lib. ii. § xxvi. Is this not evidently taken from poetical effusions?"

#### PARAPHRASE.

To Oggier spake King Didier:
"When cometh Charlemagne?
We looked for him in harvest:
"We looked for him in raiss
Crops are reaped; and floods are past;
And stal he is not here.
Some token show, that we may know
That Charlemagne is near."

on a jier, the christened Dane:
In stands the iron harvest,
is at the Lombard plain,

That stiff harvest-which is reaped With sword of knight and peer, Then by that sign ye may divine That Charlemagne is near.

"When round the Lombard cities
The iron flood shall flow,
A swifter flood than Ticin,
A broader flood than Po,
Frothing white with many a plume,
Dark blue with many a spear,
Then by that sign ye may divine
That Charlemagne is near."

INSCRIPTION ON THE STATUE OF LORD WM. BENTINCK.

AT CALCUTTA. (1835.) 4

To

WILLIAM CAVENDISH BENTINCE, Who, during seven years, ruled India with eminent

Prudence, Integrity, and Benevolence:
Who, placed at the head of a great
Empire, never laid aside

The simplicity and moderation of a private citizen:

Who infused into Oriental despotism the spirit of British Freedom: Who never forgot that the end of

Government is
The happiness of the Governed:
Who abolished cruel rites:

Who efficed humiliating distinctions:
Who gave liberty to the expression
of public opinion:

Whose constant study it was, to elevate the intellectual

And moral character of The Nations committed to his charge: This Monument

Was creeted by men,
Who, differing in Race, in
Manners, in Language, and in Religion,
Cherish, with equal veneration

and gratitude,
The memory of his wise, upright, and
Paternal Administration.

# SIR BENJAMIN HEATH MALKIN.

AT CALCUTTA. (1837.)

This monument
Is sacred to the memory
of

SIR BENJAMIN HEATH MALKIN, Knight, One of the Judges of

The Supreme Court of Judicature:
A man eminently distinguished
By his literary and scientific

attainments,
By his professional learning and
ability,

By the clearness and accuracy of his intellect,

By diligence, by patience, by firmness, by love of truth,

By public spirit, ardent and disinterested. Yet always under the guidance of

discretion,

By rigid uprightness, by unostentations

By the serenity of his temper,
And by the benevolence of his heart.

He died on the 29th September, 1797. He died on the 21st October, 1837.

## EPITAPII ON LORD METCALFE. (1847.)

Near this stone is laid
CHARLES LORD METCALER
A Statesman tried in many high offices
And difficult conjunctures,

And found equal to all.

The three greatest Dependencies of the

British Crown

Were successively entrusted to his cure.
In India, his fortitude, his wysdom,
His probits, and his mydording

His probity, and his moderation, Are held in honourable remembrance By men of many races, languages, and religions.

In Jamaica, still convulsed by a social revolution,

His prudence calmed the evil passions Which long suffering had engendered in one class

And long domination in another. In Canada, not yet recovered from the calamities of civil war,

He reconciled contending factions To each other,

And to the Mother Country.

Costly monuments in Asiatic and

American cities

Attest the gratitude of the nations which he ruled.

This tablet records the sorrow and the

pride
With which his memory is cherished
by his family.

## INDEX.

## ABSALOM.

A BSALOM and Achitophel of Dryden, character of it, 105.

Absolute government, theory of, 172.

Ab-olute rulers, 155.

Academy, the French, its services to litera

ture, Ió.

Addington, Henry, formation of his adminis-tration 353. His position as Prime Minis-ter, 355. Resigns, 356. Raised to the peerage, 357.

Æschines, compared by Mr. Mitford to Demosthenes, 77, 78. Æschylus, his works, how regarded by Quintil-

Agesilaus, depressed by the constitution of Lycurgus, 74.

Ajax, the prayer of, in the Iliad, 57.
Aldrich, Dean, his mode of instructing the

youths of his college, 282. Employs Charles Boyle to edit the letters of Phalaris, 283. Alfieri, Vittorio, character of his works, 24.

His great fault in his compositions, 70. Antinomian bach preacher, story of the, 199.

Approbation, love of, 148. Aristocratical form of government. See Oli-

garchy.

Aristotle, his unrivalled excellence in analysis and combination, 56. Value of his general propositions, 56. His enlightened and profound criticism, 57.

Arnault, A.V., Translation from, 366. Arras, cruelties of the Jacobins at. 255. Arrian, his character as a historian, 114. Arts, the fine, laws on which the progress and

decline of depend, 84.

Athenian Revels, scenes from, 12. . Athens: disreputable character of Peirœus, 14. Police officers of the city, 14. Favourite epithet of the city, 15. The Athenian orators, 56. Excellence to which eloquence attained at, 59. Dr. Johnson's contemptuons derision of the civilisation of the people of, 59. Their books and book education, 59. An Athenian day, 59, 60. Defects of the Athenians' conversational education, 60. The law of ostracism at Athens, 73. Happiness of the Athenians in their form of government, 75. Their naval superiority, 76. Freweity of the Athenians in war, 76. And of their dependencies in seditions, 76. Cause of the violence of faction in the human Influence of Athenian genius on the human intellect and on private happiness, 81. gifts of Athens to man, 82. Character of the great dramas of Athens, 93. Change in BARÈRE.

the temper of the Athenians in the time of Aristophanes, 109.

Atterbury, Francis, his birth and early life, 252. Defends Martin Luther against the aspersions of Obadiah Walker, 282. Enters the church and becomes one of the royal chaplains, 282. Assists Charles Boyle in preparing an edition of the letters of Phalaris, 283. His answer to Bentley's dissertation on the letters of Plinlaris, 284. Bentley's reply, 285. Atterbury's defence of the clergy against the prelates, 285. Created a D.D. and promoted to the Deanery of Carlisle, 285. His pamphlets against the Whigs, 286. Appointed to the Deanery of Christ Church, 286. Removed to the Bi-shopric of Rochester, 286. His opposition to the government of George I., 286. His private life, 287. His taste in literature and literary friends, 287. Thrown into prison for treason, 288. Deprived of his dignitics and banished for life, 288. Calls Pope as a witness to his innocence, 288. Goes to Paris, and becomes Prime Minister of King James, 289. Retires from the court of the ex-King, 289. Death of his daughter, 289. Induced by the Pretender to return to Paris, 290. His defence of the charge of having garbled Clarendon's History of the Rebellion, 290. His death, 290. August, lines written in, 379.

BACON, Lord, his description of the logo-machies of the schoolmen, 161, 171. And of the Utilitarian philosophy, 161. His mode

of tracking the principle of heat, 168. Barbaroux, the Girondist, his execution, 254. Barère, Bertrand, Mémoires de, of Carnot and David, review of the, 232. Barere's true-character, 233. His lies 235. His talents as an author, 237. Sketch of his life, 237— Votes against the King, 245. His federal views and ultra-Girondism, His apparent zeal for the cause of order and humanity, 249. His motion for punishing the Jacobins, 249. Defeat of the Girondists, 249. Retains his seat at the Board of the Triumphant Mountain, 250. His infamous motion against the chiefs of the Girondists, 251. Moves that the Queen be brought 5-forc the Revolutionary Tribunal, 252. Re-gales Robespierre and other Jacobins at a tavern on the day of the jesth of the Queen, 252. Formation of his peculiar style

of oratory 252 His Carmignoles, 253. Effect produced by his discourses, 253 Seconds Role spierre atrocious motion in the Convention, 273 Becomes one of the six members of the Committee of Public Safety, 274 The first to proclaim terror as the order of the day, 257. Recommends
Fouquer Tinville to the Revolutionary
Committee of 1 une 257. His proposal to destroy I year and Toulon, 257 His opposition to the personal defence of Danton, 277, 28 His support of the wretch Lebon. 258 His will agunst learning, art, and history 8 His sensual excesses, 208 Percomes a healty church man, 259 His merning a dience, and mode of treating petition- 2 9 His orders against cer tain head die ses 2"9 Vickname given ™ickname given him 260 Obtains a decree that no quar ter should be given to any English or Hanoverian soldier, 200 M Carnot's de fence of this barbarity, 260 note Barres support of Robe-pierre s flendish decree 20 Ilis pinessiie on Robespierre 201 His motion that Rebespierre and his a complices should be put to death, 2'1 De truction of the power of the Incolung 260 Report on m's conduct voted by the zoo mapor on ins conduct votra by the Convention, 267 (ondemned to be removed to a distant place of confinement, 268 His perious journey, 268. Impiasoned at Ole on, 269, 269 Removed to Sainte-269 Feapes to Bordeaux, 27) Chosen a member of the Council of like Hundred which a first the council of like Hundred, which refuses to admit him 270 Hislibel on Figl and 270 The Liberty of the Seas, 270 His flight to St Ouen, 270 Sends a copy of his work to the lirst Consul 270 Allowed by Bonaparte to remain in Paris, 271 Refuses becomes a writer and a spy to Bonaparta, 272 Sends his friend Demer to Bonapart, 272 ands his friend Demer rille to the guillotine 274. Spice set to watch the spy 274 Oldered to quit Paris 274 Employed in the lowest political drudgery 274 His Momoral Antibritan nique and pamphlets, 278. His fulsome adulation of the Empiror, 278 Causes of his failure as a journalist, 275 Treated with contempt by Napoleon, 276 Histockery to his failure and pamphlets, 277 Research and the contempt by Napoleon, 276 Histockery to his Juneau and 277 Research paster 277 Research and the support paster 277 Research 277 come 11 years to he imperial master, 277 Be come 11 years to not he return of the Bour bons 277 Compelled to leave France, 279 Roturns in July 1830, 278 Joins the extreme left, 278 His last years and death, 279 Summary of his character, 230 His hatred of England, 280. His MS. works on divinity 191

Barre, Colonel, joins the Whig opposition, 382. Al pointed by Pitt Clerk of the Polls, 398.

Bearn, the corr titution of, 239.

Beatrice, Dante love of, 26 Beauclerke Toph and, a member of the Literary Club, 820

Entilant, Wr, his defence of Mr. Mill, 150
His merits and shortcomings, 150, 151
Examination of his views, 153
His ac in the wing opposition, 12 the works, 193 the "greatest happiness principle," 162 the stanony to his merets, 216 thisk, Lord William, Inscription on the state of at Calcutta, 482.

Tentier Richard, his classration on the R

BYRON.

letters of Phalaris 253 284 to the attack of Atterbury, 29 IIIs answer His fine apophthopm 32,

Bible the Linglish translation of the regulded as a specimen of the leauty and pewer of

the English language, 14

Billand, M, becomes a memics of the C m nuttee of Pullic Sucy 4 (1) 1 5 7 Robespierre 261 Hinnself li 1 litti il, 266 267 Condemned to be 1cm 1 to distant place of confinement 268 11 in ntel to Gui una 20) His sabsequent life.

Bon pute, Nar Ileon, his left tation of the luglish prisoners, 202. His return to m 1 gypt and a sumption of it outcower is 1 nst Consul 270 Hispolicy at this pericl, I list Consul 270 Hisponey were 271.

270 Allows barelo to reside in Paris 271.

Barra 18 1 writer and spy 22, I milly's Briefe is a writer and spy 72, .74 I still be the Imperial government, His opinion of Far is journalism, His dete t and abdication 277

Boswell, Jame because a member of Tl Club, 320 His character, 2) His life (1

Johnson 320

Lour on Duke churcter of the exernment cf 245

Fow Street, whiggery of 1.9 100 Boyd his translation of the Divine Comely of Dante 31

Boyle (hales his college editi n letters of Phalari 283. The inswer to fently attribut 1 to him 281.

Boy etl poet his fit adship with sin i I John on 312

Bired is great only when he eased to be a Luclimonian 71 Briss t, the Girendi t Inder 244 His trial

Bussoines the Section has Buconieci the Last 78

Buny in John a, in which be produced by Pilgiam's Progress ? Hi lith al uly lite 200 His notions of geed and evil Indists in the pullamentary nan-Returns home and marries, 202 Ħ finitisic and int in il suffering \_12 Thrown into gaol 29, Hi prison lif 2)1 His intima of nowledge of the little, 2)1 His early withing 191 His abhor rence of the Quiters 2)1 His controver ics, 294 His an wat to Filward Fowler 191 His dispute with some of his own sect 224 His liberation 6 om prison 29 | Ilis 1 il His liberation (om prison 29 miss normalon computed 29 His 111 mins Progress 2). His Holy Wu 2)6 Difficulties of 1685s 297 His death and burnal place 297 Fame of his lalgram s Progress, 297, 298 Eurgundy, Duke of, his theory of good go wernment. 296

vernment, 226

Burke Ldunund, his character of the fir t Prench republic, 117 And of the Iren h National Assembly, 220 His tindication of himself from the charge of inconsistancy, 222 His part in The ( lub 829 His post tion in the Whig opposition, "32

CÆSAR.

ÆSAR, accounts of his campaigns, regarded as history, 118. mden. Lord, joins the Whig opposition,

Canning, George, popular comparison of, with Mr. l'itt, 210. His activity in Pitt's can-e, 354. Carey, his translation of the Divine Comedy

of Dante, 32.

Catmagnoles, the, of Barère, 253. Lord Ellenborough's, 253.

Carmichael, Miss, or Polly, in Dr. Johnson's house, 322. Carnot, M. Hippolyte, his part in the Me-

moirs of Bertrand Barère, 232, 285, 237. Carolan, his compositions, 299.

Carner, the tyrant of Nantes, placed under mrc-t, 266.

. Casti, character of his Animali Parlanti, 21.

Catholicism, Roman, the most poetical of all ichgions, 26. Its great revival at the beginning of the thirteenth century, 26. Treaty concluded by Charles II. by which he bound himself to set up Catholicism in Cyrus, Aenophon's Life of, its character, 114. England, 295.

Catiline, Sallust's account of the Conspiracy of, 114.

Cavendish, Lord John, becomes Chancellor of the Exchequer, 333. Resigna, 334.

Cervantes, delight with which his Don Quixote is read, 86.

Charles II., King of England, influence of his residence abroad upon his character and tastes, 95. His treaty respecting Roman Catholics, 295.

Chatham, first Earl of, compared by Mirabeau, 211. His declining years, 327. last appearance in the House of Lords, 327.

Chatham, second Earl of, his mismanagement

of the Admiralty, 351. Channette, one of the accusers of the Girondists before the Revolutionary Tribunal, 253.

Christ Church, Oxford, cry of, against Bentley, 284.

Christianity, effect of the victory of, over paganism, 123.

Churchill, his insult to Johnson, 319. Civil War, the great, 45.

Clarendon, his History of the Rebellion, charge of garbling it, 290.

Classical writers, celebray of the great,

Cleomenes, causes and results of his raving cruelty, 75. Clergyman, the Country, his Trip to Cam-

bridge, 373.

Club, The formation of, 301, 320. Members of the, 320.

"Coalition," formation of the, 335. versal disgust, 386. End of the Coalition, 838.

Coates, Romeo, the actor, 151.

('ool) Lane Ghost, the, 318. Collot, d'Herbois, becomes a member of the Committee of Public Safety, 254. Attacked in the streets, 260. Brought to trial 266, 287. Condemned by the Convention to be removed to a distant place of confinement, 268. His end, 269,

Condencet, strength brought by him to the

DIONYSIUS.

Girondist party, 214. His melancholy end,

Constantinople, empire of, its retrogression

and stuperaction, 121. Convention, the French, of 1792, 213. The Groundsts, 211. The Mountain, 215. Character of the diplomatic language during the reign of the Convention, 252.

Corday, Charlotte, her murder of Marst, 250. Corneille, attempts of the Academy to depress the ri ing tame of, 10.

Cornwallis, General, his surrender to the Americans, 332.

Cottabus, the Athenian game of, 13.

Couthon, becomes a member of the Committee of Public Sately, 254. His execution, 264.

Cowley, Mr. Abraham, and Mr. John-Milconversation between, touching the great Civil War, 45.

Criticism, verbal, 58. Improvement of the science of criticism, 90. The critical and poetical faculty distinct and incompatible,

DANTE, criticism on, 21. His first adventure in the popular tongue, 22. finences of the times in which he lived upon his works, 21. His love of Beatrice, 26. His de-pair of happiness on earth, 26. Close connection between his intellectual and moral character, 27. Compared with Milton, His metaphors and comparisons, 28. Little impression made by the forms of the external world upon him, 29. Fascination revolting and nausous images had for his mind, 30. His use of ancient mythology in his poems, 30. His idolatry of Virgil, 30. Excellence of his style, 31. Remarks upon the translations of the Divine Comedy, 31. His veneration for writers inferior to himself, 86. How re-garded by the Italians of the fourteenth century, 86, 87.

Danton, character of, 233. His death, 257. David, M. d'Angers, the sculptor, his part in the Memoirs of Bertrand Barere, 2:2.

De Foe, effect of his Robinson Crusoe on the imagination of the child and the judgment of the man, 87

Demerville, the Jacobin, betrayed by his friend Barère, 271. Democracy, a pure, 72, 73. Mr. Mill's view of a pure and direct, 184.

Demosthenes, compared by Mr. Mitford to \*Aschines, 77, 78. His irresistible clo-

quence, 80. Denham, Sif John, character of his poetry, 94. Denie, St., Abbey of, laid waste by Bardre,

Dennis, St., and St. George in the Water, some Account of the Lawsuit between the l'arishes of," 40.

Parisnes of, 40.

Blo- and Village of Oliver Goldsmith, 508.

Desmoulins, Camille, his attack on the Reign of Terror, 25°. Reply of Barero, 25°.

Desmoulins, Mrs., in Dr. Johnson's house, 22°.

Despotic rule's, 15°. Theory of a despoid

government, 172.

Dies Iræ, 367. Dionysius, his criticiems, 57.

cc2

DIPLOMATIC.

Directory, the Executive, of France, forma-tion of, 269.

Dissenters, persecution of the, by the Cava-liers, 283. Relieved by Charles, II., 295. Prosecutions consequent on the enterprise of Monmouth, 295. The Dissenters courted by the government of James II., 297.

Divine Comedy of Dante, the great source of the power of the, 27. Remarks on the

translations of the, 31.

Djezzar Pasha, his cruelty, 256. Doddington, Bubb, his kindness to Samuel Johnson, 315. Don Quixote, delight with which it is read,

Dorort, the Earl of, his poetry, 95. Compared with that of Athens and France, 93. Causes of the excellence of the English drama, 93. Superiority of dramatic to other works of imagination, 93. Extinction of the drama by the Puritans, 94. The drama of the time of Charles II., 95. Dryden's plays, 97.

Dryden, John, place assigned to him as a poet, 83. His merits and defects, 85, 104. Influence exercised by him on his age, 96. Two parts into which his life divides itself, 1 We parts into which his hie divides user, 96. His small pieces presented to patrons, 96. Character of his Annus Mirabilis, 97. His rhyming plays, 97. His impossible men and women, 98, 99. His truck ney to bombast, 100. His attempts at fairy bombask, 100. His attempts at fairy imagery, 101. His incomparable rea-on-ings in verse, 102, 103. His art of pro-ducing rich effects by familiar words, 103. Catholicity of his literary creed, 103. Causes of the exaggeration which distigure his panegyrics, 103. Character of Hind and Panther, 101. And of his Absalom and Achitophel, 105. Compard with Juvenal, 105. What he would probably have accomplished in an epic poem, 106. Compared with Milton, 106.

Dubois, Cardinal, his mode of dealing with

public petitions, 259.

punote permans, 200.

Dumont, M., review of his Souvenirs sur
Mirabeau, 216. Services rendered by him
to soliety, 216. His interpretation of
Bentham's works, 217. His view of the
French Revolution, 218. His efforts to instruct the French in political knowledge, 220. Sketch of the character of Mirabeau. 230. Of Sieyes and Talleyrand, 231. And of his own character, 231.

Dumonrier, his Girondist sympathics, 244. His defection, 249.

Dundas, Henry, Lord Advocate, commence-uent of his friendship with Pits 333.

Dunning, Mr., joins the Whig oppostion,

Duroc, General, his letter to Barère, 271.

ADY, Dr., his advertisements, 151.

Education, the, of the Athenians, 59. Defects of their conversational education, 60. Texts of their conversation, 30.

Beotism, the pest of conversation, 32. Zest given by it to writing, 32.

Beusisian Wayteries, the, 21.

Ellenborough, Lord, his Carmagnoles, 253.

Ellis, Welber, 333. FRANCE.

Diplomatic language used by the French Con- England, revolution in the poetry of, 91.

vention, 252. Mr. Mill's remarks on the British Constitution, 139. His view of the constitution of the English Government, 173. Mr. Sadler's statement of the law of population in England, 188, 208. The English Revolution compared with the French, 221. Mildness of the revolution caused by the Reform Bill, 223. Makes war against

France, 356. Epistles, Petrarch's, 39.

Epitaph on Henry Martyn, 362.
——— on Lord William Bentinck, 382. on Sir Benjamin Heath Malkin, 383.

on Lord Metcalfe, 383.

Euphuism in England, 92.

Euripides, mother of, 18 note. His Jesuitical morality, 20 note. How regarded by Quin-tillian, 57.

Evil, question of the origin of, in the world, 185, 201.

FEDERALISM, the new crime of, in Trance, 247. Federalism as entertained by Barère, 248.

Fénélon, his principles of good government, as shown in his Telemachus, 226.

Fluxions, discovery of the method of, 84. Fortune, remedies for Good and Evil, Petrarch's, 39.

Fowler, Edward, John Bunyan's answer to,

Fox, Charles James, his character, 332. His great political error, 334. The King's detestation of him, 335. Becomes Secretary of State under the Duke of Portland, 336. His India Bill, 3.17. Il is speeches, 342.

Fragments of a Roman Tale, 1. France, character of the poetry of, 91. racte sties of the personifications of the drama of, 93. Spirit excited in France at the time of the Revolution by some of the ancient historians, 117. Burke's character of the French Republic, 117. Population of, 205. Condition of the government of, of, 205. Conduction of the government of, in 1799, 218. Strictures of M. Dumont on the National Assembly, 220. Infancy of political knowledge of the French at the period of the Revolution, 220. The English Revolution compared with the French, 221. Arguments against the old monarchy of France, 221. The first compared with the second Frence Revolution, 222. Causes of the first Revolution, 224. Condition of France for eighty years previous to the Revolution, 239. Causes which immediately led to that event, 239. Infficulties of the Constitution of 1791, 242. The war with the continental coalition, 212. Effect of the League of Pilnitz on the position of the King, 243. Formation and meeting of the King, 243. Formation and meeting of the Convention, 243. The two great parties of the Convention—the dirondists and the Mountain, 244, 245. Death of the King, 246, 247. Policy of the Jacobins, C47. The new crime of federalism, 247. Defection of Dumourier and appointment of the Committee of Public Safety, 249, 556. Irruption of the mob into the palece of the Tuileries, 249. Destruction of the Girondista, 254. Restablishment of the Reign of Terror, 284. Condition of

HEBRIDES.

France during the reign of Louis XIV., 224. And during that of Louis XV., 225. Féné-lon's principles of good government, 226. His views incomprehensible to his countrymen, 226. Loss to France on the death of the Duke of Burgundy, 226. The Regency of Philip of Orleans, 227. The Duke of Bourbon, 228. Lownward course of the monarchy, and indications of the forthcoming revolution, 228, 229. The Greek and Roman models of the French legislators, 230. Victories of France in 1794, 263. The memorable ninth of Thermidor, Execution of Robespierre and his accomplices, 265. End of the Jacobin dominion in France, 266, 269. Condition of the nation at this period, 266. Formation of the Constitution of 1795, 269. Bonaparte's return from Egypt and assumption of absolute power, 270. Political pies in France, 273. Defeat of Napoleon at Waterloo, 277. The Chamber of Representatives, 277. The Royalist Chamber under the Bourbons, 278. Review of the policy of the Jacobins, 279. War declared by England against, 356.

French Academy, its services to literature, 10. Froissart, character of his history, 121.

GARRICK, David, relation between him and his old master Johnson, 314. member of the Literary ('lub, 320.

Garth, Dr., insults Bentley and extols Boyle,

Gaudet, the Girondist, his execution, 254. Gensonné, the Girondist leader, 211. trial, 253. His death, 254.

Geologist, Bishop Watson's description of a,

George III.. his treatment of his ministers,

Georgics, Political, 373.

Germaine, Lord George, 333.

Gibbon, Edward, charges brought against him as a historian, 125. llis part in The Club, 320.

Girondists, or Brissotines, Barère's account of the proceedings against the, 237. Sketch of the political party so called, 244. Its struggles with the Mountain, 247. Accusation brought against the leaders of the party, 247. Defeated by the Mountain, 249. Impeached by their late colleague Barre, 251. Their trial, 253. Their fate,

Goldsmith, Oliver, his birth and early life, 298. His first sol wolmaster, 298. His personal appearance, 299. His college life, 299. Death of his father, 299. His attempts at the church, law, and physic, 300. His rambles on foot through Flanders, France, and Switzerland, 300. His disregard of truth, 300. His return to England, gard of truth, 300. His return to England, and desperate expedients to obtain a living, 300. His literary drudgery, 301. Character of his works, 301. Introduced to Johnson, 301. One of the original members of The Club, 301. Removes from Press read Street tests Townle 301. Shows bers of The Citu, 6"...
Breakneck Steps to the Temple, 301. Story of the publication of the Vicar of Wakefield, 302. His Traveller, 302. His Dramas, 12th Descript Village, 303. His She His Histories, Stoops to Conquer, 303.

303, 304. His arts of selection and condensation, 304. His intimacy with the great talkers of the day, 304. His conversational powers, 304. How regarded by his associates, 305? His virtues and vices, 305. His death, 306. His cenotaph in Westminster Abbey: 306. His biographers, 300. His part in The Club, 320. Comer Chephoraod, King of Babylon, apo-

logue of, 11.

Goodnatured Man, the, of Oliver Goldsmith, 302.

Government, proper conditions of a good, 72. Review of Mr. Mill's Essays on, &c., 131. His chapter on the ends of governnent, 133. And on the means, 133. His view of a pure and direct democracy, 134. Of an oligarchy, 134. And of a monarchy, 134. Deduction of a theary of politics in the mathematical form, 136. Government according to Mr. Mill only necessary to prevent men from plundering each other, 136. His argument that no combination of the three simple forms of government can exist, 137. Ilis remarks on the British Constitution, 139. His hope for mankind in the government of a representative body, 141. The real security of men against bad government, 142. Mr. Mill's views as to the qualifications of voters for representatives, 143. The desire of the poor majority to plunder the rich minority. 144. Effects which a general spoliation of the rich would produce, 145. Method of arriving at a just conclusion on the subject of the science of government, 149. Mr. Bentham's detence of Mr. Mill's Essays, 150. Deduction of the theory of government from the principles of human nature, 160, Remarks on the Utilitarian theory of Go-vernment, 167. Mode of tracking the latent principle of good government, 168. Checks in political institutions, 170. Power, 171. Constitution of the English government, 173.

Precee, review of Mr. Mitford's History of, 69. Gross ignorance of the modern his-torians of Greece, 70. The imaginative

and critical schools of poetry in, 91.
Greeks, domestic habits of the, 33., Change in their temper at the close of the Peloeponnesian war, 109. Character of their tashionable logic, 109. Causes of the exclusive spirit of the Grocks, 121, 122.

HALL, Robert, his eloquence, 294.

Happiness, principle of the greatest, of tife greates number examined, 162-164. The most clevated station the principle is ever likely to attain, 161. The Westminster Reviewer's defence of the "greatest happiness principle," 176.

Hayley, his translation of the Divine Comedy of Dante, 31.

Heat, Lord Bacon's mode of tracking the

principle of, 168.
Hébert, the Jacobin, his vile character, 251,
252. A zouses the Girondigts before the
Revolutionary Tribunal, 253.

252.

- A principle of the Section 1988. Hebrides, Johnson's visit to the, 322.

HERODOTUS.

Herodotus, character of his history, 107. His | faults, 108. Character of the people for whom the book was composed, 108. His history compared with that of Thucydides, 110. Herodotus regarded as a delineator of character, 120.

Heron, Rebert, his drama of News from Cam-

Hervey, Henry, his kindness to Samuel Johnson. 309, 310.

History, Mr. Huford's views of, 80. The true domain of history, 80, 81. Qualifications necessary for writing, 106. The history of Herodotus, 107. That of Thucydides, 110. Johnson's remark on history, 110. Xenobhon's history, 114. Polybius and Arrian, 114. Character of the historians of the Plutarch class, 114, 115. English classical associations and names compared with those of the ancients, 116. Spirit excited in England and in France by the writers of the Pluturch class, 117. Lavy, 118. Cresar, 118. Sallust, 118. Tacitus, 119. Merits and defects of modern historians, 120, 121. Froissart, Machiavelli, and Guicciardini, 121. Effect of the invention of printing, 121. Causes of the exclusiveness of the Greeks and Romans, 121-123. Effect of the victory of Christianity over paganism, 123. Establishment of the balance of moral and intellectual influence in Europe, 124. The species of misrepresentation which abounds most in modern historians, 125. Hume, Gibbon, and Mutford, 125. Neglect of the art of narration, 126. Effect of instorical reading compared to that produced by foreign travel, 128. Character of the perfect historian, 128. Instruction derived from the productions of such a writer, 130.

Hoche, General, refuses to obey the cruel decree of the Convention, 262.

Holy War, Bunyan's, 296.

Homer, interse desire to know something of him 33. Quintillian's criticisms on, 57. scription of Hector at the Grecian wall.

Hoole, the metaphysical tailor, his friendship with Samuel Johnson, 312.

Horace, his comparison of poems to certain paintings, 60.

Hume, David, charges brought against him as a historian, 125.

Hyder Aly, his successes, 331.

TDLER, Johnson's, publication of the, 316,

Imagination and j.dgment, 47, 88. Power of the imagination in a barbarous age, 89. In lia Bill, Fox's, 397.

Inferno, Dante's, character of the, 2'y 28,

Ireland, William Pitt the first English minister who formed great designs for the benefit of Ireland, 352.

Isocrates, his defence of oligarchy and

tyramy, 78.

Italian inaguage, Dante's first work on the, 22.

Italian inaguage, Dante's first work on the, 22.

Juryman, the stipend of an Athenian, 14.

Italian writers, Criticisms on the Principal,

Juryman, the stipend of an Athenian, 14.

Juryman, the stipend of an Athenian, 14.

Italian writers, Criticisms on the Principal,

Juryman, the stipend of an Athenian, 14.

Italian writers, Criticisms on the Principal,

Juryman, the stipend of an Athenian, 14.

Juryman, the stipend of an Athenian, 14.

Italian writers, Criticisms on the Principal,

Juryman, the stipend of an Athenian, 14.

Mi Dante, 21. Petrarch, 32.

"Rely, sevolution of the poetry of, 91. Monti's imitation of themsylv of Dante, 91.

JUST.

JACOBINS of Paris, policy of the, 247. Excesses of the, 248, 219. Materials of which the party was composed, 254, 255. Their cruelties in Paris and in the provinces, 255. Review of the policy of the Jacobins, 279.

Jacobite, Epitaph on a, 378.

Jacobites, revival of their spirits in 1721, 287 Plan for a Jacobite insurrection, 287.

Jenyns, Soame, Dr. Johnson's review of this Inquiry into the Nature and Origin of Eyil, 316.

Jews, the sacred books of the, unknown to

the Romans, 123.

Johnson, Dr. Samuel, his contemptuous derision of the civilisation of the Athenians, 59. His remark on history and historians, 110. Oliver Goldsmith introduced to, 301. Story of the publication of the Vicar of Wakefield, 301, 302. Johnson's birth and early life, 307. His father, 307. Goes to Oxford, 308. His attainments at this time, 308. His struggles with poverty, 308, 310. Becomes an incurable hypochondriae, 308. His hterary drudgery, 309. His marriage, 309. His school near Lichfield, 30. Sets out for London, 310. Effect of his privations in his temper and deportment, 310. Engaged on the "Gentleman's Magazine," 311. His political opinions, 311. His Jacobite views, 311. His poem of London, 312. His associates, 312. His life of Richard Savage, 313. His Dictionary, 313. His treatment by Lord Chesterfield, 313. Llis Vanity of Human Wishes compared with the Satire of Juvenal, 314. Relation between him and his pupil David Garrick, 311. Irene brought out, 311. Publication and recytion of the Rambler, 315. Death of Mrs. Johnson, 315. Publication of the Dictionary, 316. First review of Somie Jenyns & Inquiry into the Nature and Origin of Evil, 316. His Lider, 317. Death of his mother, 317. Circumstances under which Rasselas was published, 317. His burd of the White, 318. According tween him and his pupil David Garrick, 317. His hatred of the Whige, 318. Accepts a pension of three hundred a year, 318. His belief in ghosts, 318. Publication of his edition of Shakspeare's works, 319. Honours conferred upon him, 319. His colloquial talents, 319. His predominance in The Club, 320. His biographer, James Boswell, 320. The inmates of his house near Fleet Street, 322. His visit to the Hebrides, 322. (Lis prejudice against the Scotch, 322. His exposure of Macpherson's forgery of Fingal, 322. His Taxation no Tyranny, 321. His Lives of the Poets, 324. His declining years, 325. Ilis death, 327.

Johnson, Michael, of Lichfield, 207.

Jones, Sir William, his part in the Literary Club, 320.

Judgment and imagination, 87, 88.

Julian, the Emperor. his partiality for the Greek language, 122.

Juri-prakence, Mr. Bentham's reduction of, to

the Committee of Public Safety, 254. His doom, 257. His execution, 264.

JUVENAL.

Juvenal compared with Dryden, 105. His Macpherson, his forgery of Fingal, stree compared with that of Samuel John. Threatens Dr. Johnson, 323. son, 314.

, KING, the, in the Athenian democracy, 21

Kleber, General, refuses to obey the cruel decree of the Convention, 262.

ACED FMON, cans sof the silent but rapid downtall of, 63 note. The development of merit prevented by the laws of, 73. Her toroign policy and domestic institutions, 74. der government compared with that of Athens, 75, 76. Her helots, 77,

Langton Bennet, a member of the Literary Club, 320,

Latin works of Petrarch, the, 39.

Lebon, the Jacobin, his crimes defended by Barere, 298. Placed under arrest, 266.

Levets, Robert, the quack doctor, 322. Liberty, how regarded by the later ancient writers, 71. How regarded by historians of the Plutarch class, 115. Peculiar and escentially blacksh character of English liberty, 116. Political, views with which it was regarded by the French legislators of the Revolution, 230.

Tics, various kinds of, 235.

Lincoln Cathedral, story of the painted window of, 129.

Laterary Magazine, Johnson's contributions to the, 316.

Literature, on the Royal Society of, 9.

Literature, ancient, proper examination of, 58. State of Interature as a cailing, in the last century, 310.

Livy, his faults and merits as a historian,

London, blessing of the great are co 121. Riots in, in 1780, 331.

Longinus, criticism on his work on the "Sublime." 57.

Louis XIV., his bitter lamentations of his former extravagances, 143. His character as a king, 221.

Louis XV., condition of France when he came to the throne, 225.

Louis XVI., his character, 212. His position in 1792, 242, 243. His death, 246, 247.

Louis XVIII., leniency of his government at the Restoration, 278.

Love, honourable and chigalrous, unknown to the Greeke, 33. The paction as delineated in the Roman poers, 33. What is implied in the modern sense of the word love, 33. Change undergone in the nature of the passion of love in the middle ages, 34.

Lycurgus, his mistaken principles of legislation, 75. His system of domestic slavery,

Lyons, crueltics of the Jacobins at, Bardre's proposal to utterl; annihilate it.

Lyappiler, depressed by the constitution of Lycurgus, 74.

MACELECNOE, of Dryden, character M the, 105. Machiavelli, character of his history, 121.

MOUNTAIN.

Malkin, Sir Benjamin Heath, epitaph on, 383. Malthus, Mr., attacked by Mr. Sadier, 184. Man, the contemplation of, the noblest earthly object of man, 29.

Marat, his murmurs against Bardre, 250. His death, 250 note.

Marcellus, the counterfeit oration for, 283.

Marie Antoinette, Queen, Barère's account of Marie Antonette, Queen, inserver a secount or the death of, 235. Brought before the Re-volutionary Tribunal on the motion of Barrer, 252. Her execution, 252. Marlyn, Henry, epitaph on, 362. Medical science, l'etrarch's invectives on the,

Melville, Lord, his impeachment, 357.

Memoirs, popularity of, as compared with that of history, 126, 127.

Mémorial Antibritannique the, of Barère, \$5.

Metaphors, Dante's, 28

Metcalfe, Lord, Epitaph on, 383.

Mill. Mr., review of his Essays on Government, &c., 131. His utilitarianism, 131. Falseprinciples upon which his theory rests, 131. Precision of his arguments and dryness of his style, 132. His a priore method of reasoning, 132. Curious instances of his peculiar turn of mind, 133. His views of democracy, oligarchy, and monarchy, 134. His fallacies, 135 et seq. His proposed government by a representative body, 142. His proposal of universal suffrage, but for males only, 142 The effects which a general spoliation of the rich would engender, 145. His remarks on the influence of the middle rank. 146. Review of the Westminster Reviewer's

defence of Mr. Mill, 150, 167.
Milton, John, compared with Dante, 27.
Milton, Mr. John, and Mr. Abraham Cowley, conversation between, touching the great Civil War, 45. His great modern cpic, 96. Dryden's admiration for his cpic, 96.

genius, 103, 104.

Mirabeau, Sonvenirs sur, &c., M. Dumont's review of, 216. M. Dumont's picture of Mirabeau in the National Assembly, 230. Mirabeau compared to Wilkes, 231. And

to the Earl of Chatham, 231. Mitford, Mr., criticism on his History of Greece, 69. His principal characteristic as a historian, 69. Errors of almost all the most modern historians of Greece, 70. Estimation in which the later ancient writers have been held, 71. Differences Differences between Mr. Mitford and the historians who have preceded him, c1. His love of singularity, 72. His hatred of democracy, 72. And love of the obsarchical form of government, 73. His illogical inferences and false statements, 77. His deficiencies, 59. 80. Charges of misrepresentation brought against bim as a historian, \$25,\$26.

Monarchical form of government, Mr. Mill's view of a, 134.

Moncontour, the Battle of, 363

Mountain, sketch of the party in the French Convention se called, 245. Votes for the Convention so called, 245. Votes for the death of the King, 246. Its victory over the Dirondists, 249. Tyranny of the Mountain, 249 et a.g. Violence of public opinion against it. 266.

NASEBY.

NASEBY, the Battle of, 364.
National Assembly, the French, 220. Mr.
Burke's character of them, 220. M. Dumont's picture of the Assembly, 230.

Nollekens, his cenotaph of Oliver Goldsmith in Westminster Abbey, 306. Nonconformists, relief of, by Charles II., 295. North, Lord, and the American difficulties, 332. Resignation of his ministry, 333. The Coalition, 335, End of the Coalition, 338,

ODE on St. Cecilia's Day of Dryden; its Character, 105.

Oléron, Barère, Billaud, and Collot d'Herbois

oleron, Baster, Blanda, and could thereous imprisoned st, 268, 269, Oligarchy, Mr. Mitforn's love of pure, 73. Examination of this sentiment, 73. The growth of genius always stunted by oli-garchy, 74. Mr. Mill's view of an oligarchical form of government, 134.
Opinion, good, of the public, causes of our regard for the, 135.

Orators, Athenian, 56.

Oratory: Excellence to which eloquence attained at Athens, 59. Circumstances favourable to this result, 60. Principles upon which poetry is to be estimated, 61. Causes of the difference between English and American orators, 61. The history of eloquence at Athens, 61. Speeches of the aucients, as transmitted to us by Thucydides, 61. Period during which eloquence flourished most at Athens, 62. Coincidence between the progress of the art of war and that of oratory. The irresistible eloquence of Demosthenes, 80. The oratory of Pitt and Fox. 341, 342.

Orestes, the Greek highwayman, 14. Orleans, Philip, Duke of, character of him and of his Regency, 227. Ossian, character of the poems of, 31.

Ostracism, practice of, among the Athenians,

Othello, causes of the power of, 29.

PAGANISM, effect of the overthrow of, by Christianity, 123.

Pallas, the birth-place of Oliver Goldsmith, 299

Paradise, Dante's, its principal merit, 31.

Paraphrase of a passage in the Chronicle of the Monk of St. Gall, 382. Paris, policy of the Jacobins of, 247. Their

excesses, 248. Parliamentary government, its advantages

and disadvantages, 340. Patronage, effect 'f, on lite sture, 96.

Pausanias, his insanity, 75,

Pauson, the Athenian painter, 12

Reers, question of the sterility of a a class, 213.

 Poirceus, usreputable character of, 13.
 Pericles, his eloquence, 68.
 Pétion, the Girondist, 244. Saint 244. Saint Just's speech on his guilt, 252. His unfortunate end, 254.

Petrarch, influence of his poems on the literature of Italy, 22, 23. Criticism on the works of, 32. Celebrity as a water, 32. Sugar of this 32. Extraordary sensation caused by the matery verse, 31. Causes POETRY.

co-operating to spread his renown, 34. His coronation at allome, 35. His poetical powers, 35. His genius, 36. Paucity of his thoughts, 36. His energy when speaking of the wrongs and degradation of Italy, His poems on religious subjects, 37. Pre-vailing defect of his best compositions, 37. Remarkson his Latin writings, 38.

Phalaris of Agrigentari, the spurious letters of, 283. Sir W. Temple's opinion of them, 283. Their worthlessness shown by Bentley,

284. Phillips, John, his monument refused admission into Westminster Abbey, 104.

Pilgrim's Progress, Bunyan's, history of the, 295. Its fame, 297. Attempts to improve and imitate it, 297.

Pilnitz, League of, effect of the, 243. Piozzi, Mrs., 323, 324. Pisistratus, his eloquence, 61.

Pitt, William, popular comparison of, with Mr. Canning, 219. His birth and early life, 327, 328. His preceptor Pretyman, 329. His fondness for mathematics, 329. His knowledge of Greek and Latin, 329. And of modern literature, 330. His delight in oratory, 330. Studies the law, 331. Goes into Parliament for Appleby, 331. dition of the country at this period, 331. Pitt's first speech in Parliament, 332. Declines the Vice-Treasurership of Ireland, 333. Courts the ultra-Whig party, 333. His advocacy of reform, 334. Becomes Chancellor of the Exchequer at (wentythree years of age, 334. Pitt's speech and Sheridan's repartee, 335. His visit to the Continent with William Wilberforce, 336. Appointed First Lord of the Treasurer and Chancellor of the Exchequer, 338. His difficulties and dangers, 338. His power, 339. Review of his merits and defects, 339. His reported speeches, 341. Character of his oratory, 342. His private life, 342. His popularity, 343. His neglect of authors, 344. His talents as a leader, 344. Effect of the French Revolution, 347. His love of peace and freedom, 349. Unjustly charged with spostas, 349. Beginning of his misfortunes, 350. His domestic policy. 351. His great designs for the benefit of Ireland, 352. His rupture with Addington, 354. His speech on the opening of the Session of 1803, 355. Reconstructs the government on the resignation of the Addington migistry, 357. Decline of his health, 358. His death, 359. His public funeral, 359. Vote for paying his dobts, 360. Review of his life, 360. Lines to his memory, 362. Plautus, translation from his Rudens, 381.

Plutarch, class of historians of which he may be regarded as the head, 115. His delineation of character, 120.

Poetry: Horace's comparison of poems t certain paintings, 60. Principles which poetry is to be estimated, 60. Principles upon ment by which poetry is poetry, or of mind required by poetry, 86. Ansurdities of writers who attempt to gi composition. 86. The ment by which poetry is poetry, 84. France general rules for composition, 86. The infection and part of the art of poetry, .9. Power of the imagination in a barbara! age, 89. Periods of consummate excelle ...

SAFETY.

and of the decline of Setry, 90. Age of Reform Bill, mildness of the revolution of critical poetry, 90. The imaginative school gradually fading into the critical, 91. The Regent, the Prince, and the King. 346. Poets of Greece, 91. And of Rome, 91. Revolution of the poetry of Italy, Spair, and England, 91. The critical and poetical faculties, distinct and incompatible, 92. Excellence of English dramatic poetry, 93. Extinction of the dramatic and ascendency of the fashionable school of poetry, 94. Changes in the time of Charles II., 95. John Dryden, 96 et seq.

Poets, the favourite themes of the, of the present day, 29. Catholicity of the orthodox poetical creed, 29. Why good poets are bad critics, 31.

\* Police officers of Athens, 14.

Polybius, his character as a historian, 114. Pomponius Attiens, his veneration for Greek literature, 122.

Pope, Alexander, condensation of the sense in his couplets, 61. His friendship with Bishop Atterbury, 287. Appears as a witness in favour of his friend, 268. His epitaph or Atterbury, 290.

Population, review of Mr. Suller's work on the law of, 183. His attack of Mr. Malthus, 184. His statement of the law of popula-tion, 188. Extremes of population and fecundity in well-known countries, 188. Population of lingland, 188, 209. Of the United States of America, 197. Of France, 205. And of Prussia, 210.

Portland, Duke of, formation of his Administration, 336.

Portrait-painting compared with history, 111.

Posterity, Epistle to, Petrarch's, 40.

Power, senses in which the word may be used, 171. I pendence of the happiness of nations on the real distribution of . . wer,

Pretyman, Bishop of Lincoln, 329. His life of William Pitt, 329.

Printing, influence of, on modern history, 121. Prior, Matthew, his intimacy with Bishop Aturbury, 257.

Prize poems, character of, 10.

Provencal poets, their amatory compositions, 34.

Prussia: Mr. Sadler's law of population, as illustrated by the census of Prussia, 210. Psamunazar, George, his friendship with

Samuel Johnson, 312.

Purgatorio, Dante's, the simile of the sheep in the, 29. Incomparable style of the sixth canto of, 31.

Paritans, their prohibition of theatrical representations, 91.

QUAKERS, Bunyan's abhorrence of the, 294. Quintillian, his principles of citicism. 57.

Quixote, the Spiritual, 297.

ADICAL War Song, a, 362.
Rambler, Johnson's, publication of the,

tasselas, Johnson's, circumstances under " which it was written, 317. Plan of the wonk, 317.

Rent, doctrine of, 84.

Representation, its value as a check on the

governing Jew. 173.
Revolution, the French, terms in which it is spoken of by M. Dumont, 218.

Revolution, the first and second French, 220 -Compared with the English, 2%.

And with the American, 221. Reynolds, Sir Joshua, his part in The Club,

Rich: desire of the poor majority to plunder

the rich minority, 144. Effects which a general spoliation would produce, 145, 158. Richardson, Samuel, his opinion of the Rambler, 315. His kindness to Johnson, 316. Robespierre, his power over the lives of . is fellow-citizens, 172. His character, 233.

Regaled by Barère at a tavern on the day of the Queen's death, 252. Atrocious decree of the Convention proposed by him, 253. Becomes one of the Committee of Public Safety, 254. Purpose of his celebrated fiendish decree, 263. Barère's panegyric on Robespierre, 264. Barère's motion to put Robespierre and his accomplices to death, 264. Robespierro's execution, 265. character, 265.

Rockingham, Charles Marquis of, at the heau of the Whig opposition. 332. His adherents in the House of Commons, 332. Becomes

Prime Minister, 333. His death, 334. Roland, Madame, her courage and force of thought, 241. Her execution, 254.

Roland, the Girondist, 214. His wife, 211. His fate, 251,

Roman Tale, Fragments of a, 1. Romans, domestic habits of the, 33. Character of the poetry of the Romans, 91. regard for the language and literature of Greece, 122. Their disregard of the sacred books of the Hebrews, 122. Their exclusive spirit, 123. The Roman empire of the time of Diocletian compared with the Chinese empire, 123. Effect of the victory of Christianity over paganism, 123. Purification of the Roman world by the invasion of the Barbarians, 124.

Rousseau, his egotism and its success, 32.

Reyal Society of Literature, on the. 9. Rumford, Count, he proposition for feeding soldiers cheaply, 59. Russell, Lord William, his last saying, 116.

SACHEVERFLL, prosecution of, 285, 286. Sauler, Mr., review of his work on the Law of Population, 183. His style, 184, 199. And Jan. 184. His attack of Mr. Mal-And parm. 184. His attack of Mr. Mal-thes, 184. His distinctions without a difference, 186. The great disc. ... by which he has vindicated the ways of Providence, by which 187. His Refutation refuted, 199. motto on his title-page, 199. His st ments examined and refuted, 200 et seq. His state-

Safety, Committee of Public, formation of the, in Paris, 249. Names of the persons com-posi g the, 254. Character of the men composing he Committee, 256. Its critics and blunders, 257. Robespierre's fiendish ,

motion, 263.

SATIUST.

Sallust, he ment as a hi toman, 116 His | Tinde Dr Jol ston connection with the Conspiracy of Catalance 118 Satire of Juvenal and Disden, 105.

Swape Richard, his circer, o. 2, 313 Schoolmen, Loid Paon's description of the logopacities of fair of his time, 161–171 Sc. 75, Sur Walter his use of the rejected

it igments of hi tery 129, Sermon in a ( hureny aid, 36%

Shikspeare, William, Linguage he gives to his sancthum in beings 25. His enphuisin
12. His diamas minucles of art, 95. His exquisit incinery 101 Publication of Christian of the work of 31)
Christian William Lulot, in the Whig of

position 12 Pluced by the king at the heal of the fleasury 4

Si ilm Ruhud bim 3, ha icparte to Pitt, 35

Side , Sir Phil p his dying thankspirin 1 1

Sayes the MI M Durout's eketch of the character of 231 Similitudes Dinte - 29

Simon Sunt his chirater and opiniona 221 Slavery Dom ste the curse of the violence of factions in motent times 76.

Su thicke Dear of Carlisle and Christ Church, 25

Son Seni of Lincoln

Sparts the rate mad 7. Mr Mitford's

thin ation of the stability of the instatu tions of, 74 he il character of the Spur tans, 74 he il kind of liberty, 115 Spectator, Adli na, crowds of mutations of

the 314 Spencer George Larl his able administration

of the Admin sity, 3 1 Spi s, political in Irance, 270 Then un popularity in Inglin 1, 27, oper and of a spe 27, The modu

Stories, good, fondac's of the later ancient writers for, 71

"Sublime, Longmu- on the, 57 Buke and Drgald Stewart discussions, .7. Suffrage, Univer al, 157, 158.

TACITUS, 1 Talleyin d, 21 1 unmonts sketch of the chr eminence as a historium

Tallien his in y ko i Robespierie in the Con vention, 64

Tase), character of his "Seechia Rapita,

Telephus the of I mipides, 18
Temple, Sir William his essay in the case of the anount writers 253

Ten Thoug 2 renoption s Expedition of the, its character 111

Terror, Reign of commencement of the, in France, 254 Members of the Committee of

Public Saicty, 254. Robespierre s f endish decree, 268 knd of the Revolutionary

Tribunal, 20°5

Themisticles, ins cloquence, 61.

Tribunal tribunal in cloquence, 62.

Themisticles, the memorable must the memorable muth of, 264, WALIER.

,21 32 ) Thuc vdides, character of the speeches of the merents, as transmitted to us by him, 61. His historical shortcomings, 80 His his-

t ny compared with that Of Herodotus, 110. M magament of his prist circ in hastory, 111 His species jut into the mouths of his characters 112 His school in which I tade I, 11 His styl il philo the II beguled a rolch-

nator of chart 120 nlw Leid r tams the (rat "eal under loid Rockingham > Dismissed, 336.

san lord Crinceller 5
In this Cesa Themas deline in of the charater of 1.0

in kers in the seventeenth entury, 200 linville I mapper lis into luction to the Revolution in Indunit of Lairs, 27.

Head under mic t 26

Inzih md Unvi mani ot 67. Iculoi Bur qui all icuso it, 23. Iruseller (Il mthopul licuten of the, ₩ 502 Its neblean I mil d i m 02

TINITED STAIRS of Arches, mercuse of the human is in the 197. Causes of this 197 he alts of four con suses 197 Number of slaves in the Union in 1810, 197 And of englints to the United State in 1817, 198 1 the resepondence of the State \_nition (f Springer of Carville

Universal Suffree Land ses, Statute of its justification life 165

Utilitarium m. 131. Ore er the principal tenets of, 11 Style of with returned by Utilitation 1 1 teen theories of the Pulitum 11 1 1 0 Duty of exposing the falle vot their name t 101 Lord Brons I riter the Unituan philosophy 101 Mr I rd miscycuten of the Utilitatin jameiple 101 Pen irks on the Utilitumn the ry is veinint, 167 Pel sien et the Utilituran 167 Origin of their fulls 107 I cd chu +; of the set, lee Then sum num tonure, 1 ).

VENICE an exam le of the starbty of an oligarchical tarm of gov ann at 74.

Vergraand the (1) indictie dit lies to junce, 241. His me include dity in the Convention, 216. Charles of 13 the Mountain Sciono the Bevolution in Committee 2.12, 2.03. His last 9 (CCR) 2. His detti, 2.14. Vermi, the delivering of .70.

Virgil, idolatry of D inte lor the writings of,

Virgin, Ode to the, Peti nch s "7. Voltane reluctance of the Lionels Academy

to acknowl & the genus of 10. Voccis quality ations of, Mi. Mills views regaiding, 110.

WAKEFIELD Vicas of, story of the pub-tive lication of the, 302. Walker, Obadiah, the apostate, 282. His as-persions on Martin Luther 282.

Waller, Edward, character of his poetry, 94.

## WALNESI FY.

Waln a ky Gilbert, his kindness to "imual Williams, Mrs., in Dr Johnson's house, 222.

John cu, 09, 110.

Wyomen, condition of, among the Greeks, 33. Wu, difference between, in large and mall communities, 76.

Waterloo battle of, 277.

We, the cattorial its fatal influence on rising genice 10 Welle lov Sir Arthur, Wi him Pitt's remark , ,

"Wellnetennal A Proph in d Ac unit of a il National Lpic Poem to be enabled, 64.

In train for Hall compared with to R man W tunnster R view its definer of Mill

1 1 wed 150 And et the Utilit 11 in the 13 of poverment 16

Attahmy 258 the prity of opposin n 1751 352

Will ideac William II visit to the Con tin nt wit i William Pitt 6 Returned i Yeik 2 ilkes, John, compared to Milaberu, 2 1.

XENOPHON.

Among the Romans, 3. Superstitions veneration with which they were regarded by the warriors of the North of Europe, 34. Their in 13th into more, 113 Rejected by Mi Mill from all share in government,
142. Identity of interest between the exes,
143 Right of women to vote as well as incn, 157

Wordsworth William, his cott mases hib

in his writings of World The, 10 It remarks on the literary genius of Johnson, 516.

Winten Duke of, his speech in d fence of VENOPUCE, hi to ical value of his treatise of hi history 111 His Life of Cyru, 111 His I xpedition of the Ton Thou and and History of Corn Affans 14 His uper titien and lorier of jojular turi u knee 114 Regarded as a deline iter of character, 120

LONDON

PRINTED BY SPOTTES POOD # AND, CO. MEW-STREET TOUGHE